ANNUAL REVIEW PROTOCOL FOR CONSOLIDATED SPECIALTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND OTHER FUNDED SERVICES

FISCAL YEAR 2003-2004

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

ANNUAL REVIEW PROTOCOL FOR CONSOLIDATED SPECIALTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND OTHER FUNDED SERVICES FISCAL YEAR 2003-2004

SECTION A	ACCESS	PAGES	1 - 8
SECTION B	AUTHORIZATION	PAGES	9 - 11
SECTION C	BENEFICIARY PROTECTION	PAGES	12 - 15
SECTION D	FUNDING, REPORTING, AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS	PAGES	16 - 20
SECTION E	TARGET POPULATIONS AND ARRAY OF SERVICES	PAGE	21
SECTION F	MENTAL HEALTH BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS	PAGES	22 - 25
SECTION G	INTERFACE WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE	PAGES	26 - 28
SECTION H	PROVIDER RELATIONS	PAGE	29
SECTION I	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	PAGES	30 - 38
SECTION J	CHART REVIEWNON-HOSPITAL SERVICES	PAGES	39 - 45
SECTION K	CHART REVIEWSD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES	PAGES	46 - 50
SECTION L	UTILIZATION REVIEWSD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES	PAGES	51 - 56
SECTION M	THERAPEUTIC BEHAVIORAL SERVICES	PAGES	57 - 63

ATTACHMENT A ENFORCEMENT AND CONSEQUENCES FOR NON-COMPLIANCE / TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE & TRAINING

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<u>24/7</u>	24 HOURS A DAY/SEVEN DAYS A WEEK	MOU	MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
<u>AB 2034</u>	ASSEMBLEY BILL THAT PROVIDED MONEY TO ASSIST THE HOMELESS	<u>NFP</u>	NOT FOLLOWING PLAN
<u>ASO</u>	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE ORGANIZATION	<u>NOA</u>	NOTICE OF ACTION
<u>CCP</u>	CULTURAL COMPETENCE PLAN	<u>PATH</u>	PROJECTS FOR ASISTANCE IN TRANSITION FROM HOMELESSNESS
<u>CCR</u>	CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS	<u>P&P</u>	POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
<u>CFR</u>	CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS	<u>PCP</u>	PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN
<u>CMS</u>	CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES	<u>PHF</u>	PSYCHIATRIC HEALTH FACILITY
<u>DMH</u>	DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH (STATE)	<u>POA</u>	POINT OF AUTHORIZATION
<u>DSM-IV</u>	DIAGNOSTIC AND STATISTICAL MANUAL OF MENTAL DISORDERS	<u>QI</u>	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
<u>EPSDT</u>	EARLY AND PERIODIC SCREENING, DIAGNOSIS, AND TREATMENT	QIC	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE
<u>FY</u>	FISCAL YEAR	<u>SD/MC</u>	SHORT-DOYLE/MEDI-CAL
IA	INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT	<u>SMHS</u>	SPECIALTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
<u>IP</u>	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN	<u>TAR</u>	TREATMENT AUTHORIZATION REQUEST
<u>LEP</u>	LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	<u>TDD</u>	TELECOMMUNICATION DEVICE FOR THE DEAF
MCE	MEDICAL CARE EVALUATION	<u>TBS</u>	THERAPEUTIC BEHAVIORAL SERVICES
<u>MCMCP</u>	MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE PLAN	<u>UM</u>	UTILIZATION MANAGEMENT
<u>MHP</u>	MENTAL HEALTH PLAN	<u>UR</u>	UTILIZATION REVIEW
<u>MHS</u>	MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES	<u>URC</u>	UTILIZATION REVIEW COMMITTEE
MOE	MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT	<u>W&IC</u>	WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE

SECTION A	<u>ACCESS</u>
-----------	---------------

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

		• •	••••••••••
1.	Is the MHP making ongoing outreach efforts as follows:		 Is the MHP in compliance with its IP? Ask the MHP to describe its outreach efforts
1a.	Evidence of community information and education plans or P&P that enable the MHP's beneficiaries' access to specialty mental health services?		 Review evidence of outreach efforts, i.e., flyers, meeting agendas, newspaper articles Review education plans and policies that are in place
1b.	Evidence of outreach for informing under-served populations about cultural/linguistic services available, e.g., number of community presentations and/or forums?		Review evidence of outreach to under-served populations identified in the MHP's CCP
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.310(a)(2)(B); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 16.		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no evidence of any outreach efforts, including outreach to under-served populations identified in the MHP's CCP
2.	Is the county making ongoing outreach efforts as follows:		<u>NOTE</u> : When applicable, review PATH and/or AB 2034 (Homeless) proposal before review
2a.	Evidence of outreach to the homeless mentally disabled?		Review evidence of outreach to the homeless
2b.	Evidence of outreach to the hard-to-reach individuals with mental disabilities?		Review evidence of outreach to the hard-to-reach
	W&IC Sections 5600.2(d) and 5614(b)(5).		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: No evidence of any outreach efforts to the homeless and the hard-to-reach
3.	Regarding the statewide, toll-free number,		<u>NOTE</u> : Test the line within two months prior to the review <u>NOTE</u> : Test after-hours and regular work hours in both English and other language(s)

<u>SECTION A</u> <u>ACCESS</u>

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

За.	Does the statewide toll-free number make available information on how to access specialty mental health services, including services needed to treat a beneficiary's urgent condition/crisis situation?	
3b.	Does this number have linguistic capabilities, including Telecommunication Device for the Deaf (TDD) or California Relay Services, in all the languages spoken by beneficiaries of the county?	Is the toll-free line answered 24/7 in a manner that ensures linguistic capabilities in all languages, including TDD or California Relay Services, spoken by beneficiaries of the MHP?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.405(d) and 1810.410 (d)(1); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 12.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no 24/7 coverage; information in 3a not made available; lack of linguistic capacity, including TDD or California Relay Services, in all languages spoken by beneficiaries of the MHP as evidenced by results of DMH test-calls
4.	Does the MHP have procedures for ensuring access to services for beneficiaries out of the county for the following categories:	 Review procedures Have MHP describe how services are accessed for 4a-b
4a.	Children in foster care and other residential placements out of county?	 Is the MHP utilizing the services of the ASO or another process?
4b.	Adults in residential placements out of county?	Does the MHP have any adults in residential placements?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1830.210, 1830.215 and 1830.220; DMH Information Notice No: 97-06, D, 4.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; MHP has no procedures for ensuring access to services for beneficiaries out of county
5.	Is MHP information being provided to beneficiaries with visual or hearing impairments?	 Ask about P&P Ask MHP how it is providing services to these beneficiaries
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.110(a); DMH Information Notice No. 97-06, D, 5; W&IC Sections 5600.2(e) and 5614(b)(5).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; evidence that the MHP is not providing information to beneficiaries with visual or hearing impairments

<u>SECTION A</u> <u>ACCESS</u>

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

6.	Does each request-for-service log entry contain the name of the beneficiary, the date of the request, and the initial disposition of the request?	 <u>NOTE</u>: MHP must only log: 1) initial requests, 2) requests for SMHS, 3) requests from beneficiaries, 4) and requests from beneficiaries of the MHP Have the MHP describe the logging system and review a sample Test-call, as needed Review the logs or some sample of the log(s) for required information <u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: NFP; requests-for-service logs not being maintained, wherever required; MHP not recording required information; DMH test-calls not recorded
7.	Regarding written information in English and the threshold languages to assist beneficiaries in accessing specialty mental health services:	 <u>NOTE</u>: Regarding mental health education materials, if none in English, none are required in threshold languages. Confidentiality and release of information form
7a.	At a minimum, does the MHP have the following:	 Is the beneficiary brochure and problem resolution information available in English and in threshold languages?
	1) The beneficiary brochure?	 Is this information available in English and the threshold languages at all provider sites where beneficiaries have access to it?
	2) Beneficiary problem resolution grievance and fair hearing materials?	
	3) Mental health education materials?	

<u>SECTION A</u> <u>ACCESS</u>

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

7b.	Does the MHP provide beneficiaries with the beneficiary brochure upon request and when first accessing services?		 Review how the MHP makes the beneficiary brochure available upon request and upon accessing specialty mental health services, e.g., as part of the intake packet As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements)
7c.	Does the beneficiary brochure include the following information:		<u>NOTE</u> : The brochure should contain a description of available services, not just a listing of available services
	1) A description of available services?		
	2) A description of the process for obtaining services, including the MHP's statewide toll-free telephone number?		
	3) A description of the beneficiary's right to request a fair hearing at any time before, during, or within 90 days after the completion of the MHP's beneficiary problem resolution process, and a description of the right to request a fair hearing whether or not the beneficiary uses the problem resolution process and whether or not the beneficiary has received a notice of action?		
	 A description of the process for obtaining a list of the MHP's providers that includes alternatives and options for cultural/linguistic services? 		 What is the process for obtaining a list? Review beneficiary brochures
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.410(a), and (d)(3), 1810.360(c)(1), (2), (3) and 1850.205(c)(1)(A); MHP Contract with the DMH; DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Pages 14-15.		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; beneficiary brochure does not contain the required information; evidence that the MHP does not provide the beneficiary with the beneficiary brochure upon request and upon first accessing services; MHP does not address the process for obtaining a list in the brochure

020		IN	CON	IPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS
8.	Regarding the list of providers:			Verify process described in the beneficiary brochure
8a.	Does the MHP provide, upon request, a list of the MHP's providers that includes alternatives and options for cultural/linguistic services?			<u>NOTE</u> : At a minimum, the categories should include: 1) Psychiatric inpatient hospital services, 2) targeted case management, 3) and/or all other specialty mental health services
				 Are services listed per requirements? Are there cultural/linguistic providers? Does it include addresses?
8b.	Is there evidence that the MHP is making efforts to include additional culture-specific community providers and services in the range of programs offered?			 <u>NOTE</u>: Within the range above, are there any efforts to include additional culture-specific providers? Ask if MHP is monitoring need for additional cultural/linguistic services
				 Is the MHP taking into account cultural competence issues in making budget reductions?
_	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Pages 15-16; MHP Contract with the DMH.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE : NFP; MHP does not have a list of its providers with addresses, including cultural/linguistic specific providers; the list is not available to beneficiaries as described in the brochure
9.	Does the MHP have policies and procedures to			Review P&P, contracts, and practices
	assure that culturally and linguistically competent services are available to its beneficiaries?			
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 17.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no P&P and practices in place that address beneficiary requests for culture-specific providers

SECTION A

<u>ACCESS</u>

SECTION A	ACCESS

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

10.	Does the MHP have a policy in place that prohibits the expectation that families will provide interpreter services?	 Review the MHP policy As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements)
_	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 14; Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, (42 U.S.C., Section 2000d, 45 C.F.R., part 80).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no such policy in place
11.	Is there evidence that limited English proficient (LEP) individuals are informed, in a language they understand, that they have a right to free language assistance services?	 For example, look for posters and other announcements in English and other languages Look at P&P, if available A consumer may choose to use a family member or a friend as an interpreter
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 13; Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, (42 U.S.C., Section 2000d, 45 C.F.R., part 80).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no evidence that LEP individuals are informed as required
12.	Whenever feasible and at the request of the beneficiary, does the MHP provide an opportunity to change persons providing the specialty mental health services, including the right to use culturally specific providers?	 <u>NOTE</u>: Also see 7b under Section I, "Quality Improvement Program" for annual review of this process Is the MHP in compliance with its IP? As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements) Ask MHP to describe the processes for changing the person who will provide the service
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1830.225(a) and (b); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Pages 15&17.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; evidence the MHP does not provide an opportunity to change persons providing the service; MHP is routinely denying access to another provider or culture-specific provider

SECTION A	ACCESS

IN COMPLIANCE

CRITFRIA Y N COMMENTS NOTE: A "Key Point of Contact" is defined as: Common points of 13. Regarding mandated key points of contact: entry into the mental health system, including 24-hour toll free line, beneficiary problem resolution system, inpatient hospital or other central access or contact locations where there is face-to-face encounters with consumers as designated by the MHP As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility for 13a-b (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements) NOTE: A "Mandated Key Point of Contact" is defined as: A Key Point of Contact that is located in a region or area that meets threshold language population concentrations NOTE: Must include some clinic sites as mandated key points of contact 13a. Is there documented evidence to show which Confirm mandated key points of contact for each language • services have linguistically proficient staff or interpreters available to beneficiaries during See evidence of interpreters and linguistically proficient staff for regular operating hours? all hours, including regular operating hours, for each service, for each site, and for each threshold language Review charts and determine the length of time that it takes to get access to bi-lingual staff or interpreter Review evidence of interpreters and linguistically proficient staff Look for language proficiency as defined by the MHP

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

<u>SECTION A</u> ACC

IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA Y N COMMENTS Is there documented evidence to show the 13b. If applicable, review evidence in charts, or elsewhere, of offers response to offers of interpretive service? of interpretive services, availability of such services, and/or how beneficiaries are linked to appropriate service Request a chart(s) that requires interpreter services **OUT OF COMPLIANCE:** NFP; interpreter services are not available during regular operating hours; no documented evidence CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410 (d)(2); to show response to offers or interpretive service DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 13. Regarding all key points of contact: 14. 14a. Are there policies and procedures in place to link Review P&P about linking as well as evidence that beneficiaries beneficiaries who do not meet the threshold who do not meet the threshold language criteria are linked to language criteria to appropriate services? appropriate services Is there evidence, including documented 14b. progressive steps, to show that beneficiaries who do not meet the threshold language criteria are linked to appropriate services? CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410 (d)(2); **OUT OF COMPLIANCE:** NFP; no P&Ps to link; beneficiaries who DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Pages 13-14; Title do not meet the threshold language are not being linked to VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, (42 U.S.C., Section 2000d, 45 C.F.R., part 80). appropriate services

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

SECTION B AUTHORIZATION

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

CRITERIA

RE: HOSPITAL SERVICES UTILIZING A POINT OF AUTHORIZATION

1.	Regarding the Treatment Authorization Requests (TARs):	NOTE:	Review 1a-c only when there have been complaints
1a.	Are the TARS being approved or denied by licensed, waivered, or registered mental health professionals of the beneficiary's MHP?	qual TAR • As t	iew random sample of DMH selected TARS to determine if lified mental health professionals are approving or denying s ime permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility ntact MHP in advance to make arrangements)
1b.	Are all adverse decisions based upon a lack of medical necessity being reviewed and supported by a physician or, when applicable, a psychologist?	require <u>NOTE</u> : signatu • Rev • Des and • As t	Only adverse decisions based upon medical necessity physician review and support Review and support must be by way of a physician's re, although it need not be on the TAR iew random sample of DMH selected TARS cribe how denials of medical necessity are being reviewed supported, i.e., signature on TAR ime permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility ntact MHP in advance to make arrangements)
1c.	Does the MHP approve or deny TARs within 14 calendar days of the receipt of the TAR?	elsewhe • As t (Cor • Rev • Che • Rev leve	ime permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility ntact MHP in advance to make arrangements) iew DMH selected TARs eck receipt date with approval or denial date iew some TARS submitted following an appeal (1 st & 2 nd el) ruled in favor of the provider
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1820.220(d), (f), & (h) and 1850.305(d)(2)(D), and (e)(5)(C).	psychol signatu	F COMPLIANCE : NFP; physician or, when applicable, a logist, is not reviewing and supporting denials; no physician re for adverse decisions; MHP not acting on TARS within s of receipt

SECTION B AUTHORIZATION

IN COMPLIANCE Y N

CRITERIA

RE: NON-HOSPITAL SPECIALTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

2.	Does the MHP ensure that specialty mental health services are available to treat beneficiaries who require services for an urgent conditions 24 hours a day, seven days a week?	 Is the MHP in compliance with its IP? Test process, as indicated Have the MHP describe 24/7 availability of services for urgent conditions If available, review P&P
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.405(c).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; or urgent services not available 24/7

RE: UTILIZATION MANAGEMENT

3.	Is there evidence that the MHP is reviewing utilization management (UM) activities annually, including a review of the consistency in the authorization process?		al and non-hospital activities in this area? iewing this annually?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440(b); MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix B.	OUT OF COMPLIANC	E: NFP; no evidence of monitoring activities

020	CRITERIA	CON N	IPLIANCE	INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS
4.	When required, is the MHP providing a written NOA-A to a beneficiary when the MHP or its providers determine that the beneficiary does not meet the medical necessity criteria and is not entitled to any specialty mental health services?		 As time perm (Contact MHF <u>NOTE</u>: Current Is the MHP us If utilizing a for 	As given since last DMH review its, interview staff with assigned responsibility P in advance to make arrangements) version of NOA-A is dated July 3, 1998 sing the most current NOA-A form? orm different from the DMH approved form, does it e required elements?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.405(e) and 1850.210(i).		OUT OF COMPL issuing NOA-As	_IANCE : NFP; there is evidence the MHP is not per regulations
5.	When required, is the MHP providing a written NOA-B to the beneficiary when the MHP denies, modifies, or defers a payment authorization request from a provider for specialty mental health services?		 Ask the MHP Is the MHP or authorization 30 days)? Is the MHP us If utilizing a for contain all the As time perm (Contact MHF) 	ersion of NOA-B is dated July 3, 1998 if prior authorization is required r its providers providing an NOA-B when payment requests are denied, modified, or deferred (over sing the most current NOA-B form? orm different from the DMH approved form, does it e required elements? its, interview staff with assigned responsibility P in advance to make arrangements)
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1850.210(a)(b)(c).		OUT OF COMPL issuing NOA-Bs	_IANCE : NFP; there is evidence the MHP is not per regulations

AUTHORIZATION

<u>SECTION B</u>

SECTION C BENEFICIARY PROTECTION

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

1.	Does the MHP have problem resolution processes in place for both the informal complaint and the formal grievance processes that provide for two levels of grievance reviews within the MHP?	 Review processes for both hospital and non-hospital Are complaints being logged? If yes, review sample of complaint log(s)
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1850.205(b)(1)&(2) and 1850.205(e)(2).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no informal level; no two levels of formal processes; not following processes
2.	Are there notices posted explaining complaint	NOTE: Visit some organizational provider site(s) to verify
Ζ.	resolution and grievance process procedures in locations at all MHP provider sites sufficient to ensure that the information is readily available to both beneficiaries and provider staff?	 Review evidence that MHP has informed its providers about this requirement Review contract language and ask the MHP if posted at all sites _hospital/non-hospital; network/SD-MC; in-county/out of county
		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; posted notices not in all provider
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(c)(1)(B).	sites visited
3.	Are grievance forms and self-addressed envelopes	NOTE: Visit some organizational provider site(s) to verify
5.	available for beneficiaries to pick up at all MHP provider sites without having to make a verbal or written request?	 Review evidence that MHP has informed its providers about this requirement Review contract language and ask if grievance forms and self-addressed envelopes are available at all sites – hospital/non-hospital; network/SD-MC; in-county/out of county
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(c)(1)(C).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE : NFP; grievance forms and self-addressed envelopes are not available in all provider sites visited without the need to made a verbal or written request

SECTION C BENEFICIARY PROTECTION

IN COMPLIANCE

	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS
4.	Does the MHP have policies in place to protect beneficiary confidentiality?			 Review MHP policies as it pertains to protecting the confidentiality of beneficiary complaints and grievances As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements)
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(c)(6); Welfare & Institutions Code Section 5328.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no policies in place
5. 5a.	Does the MHP have the following processes in place: The beneficiary may authorize another person to act on his/her behalf and that this representative may use the complaint resolution process or the grievance process on the beneficiary's request?			 <u>NOTE</u>: Review a-f only if MHP out of compliance during previous year review Review P&P As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility for 5a-f (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements) How does beneficiary learn of 5a-f?
5b.	The beneficiary is not subject to any penalty for filing a complaint or grievance?			How is this accomplished?
5c.	The beneficiary is not required to present a concern or complaint in writing?			

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

SECTION C BENEFICIARY PROTECTION

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

5d.	The beneficiary has the right to use the grievance process or request a fair hearing at any time before, during, or after the complaint resolution process has begun?	
5e.	Personnel have been identified to assist the beneficiary with these processes at the beneficiary's request?	
5f.	Personnel have been designated to provide information regarding the status of a beneficiary's grievance?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1850.205(c)(2),(3),(4),(5), (d)(2)&(3), and (e)(6)(C).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; MHP does not have processes in place for 5a-f
6.	Are grievances recorded in the grievance log within one working day of the date of receipt of the grievance?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(e)(6)(A).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE : NFP; grievances not being recorded within one day of receipt
7.	Does the grievance log contain, at least, the following entries:	 Verify information is present for each grievance
	The name/identifier of the beneficiary?	
	• The date of receipt of the grievance?	
	The nature of the problem?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1850.205(e)(6)(A)1.,2.,3.	<u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u> : NFP; log(s) does not contain this information on all grievances

SECTION C **BENEFICIARY PROTECTION**

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

	CRITERIA	Y	N	COMMENTS
8.	Does the MHP have a process that provides a decision on grievances at each level within thirty calendar days of receipt by that level of review within the MHP?			Review grievance decisions for timeliness at each level
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(e)(3).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; MHP does not provide for a decision in a timely manner
9.	Is the final disposition of each grievance, including the date the decision is sent to the beneficiary or the reason(s) that there has not been a final disposition of the grievance, being documented?			 <u>NOTE</u>: This information need not be recorded in the log Review documentation material
_	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(e)(6)(B).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; MHP not documenting final disposition or the date disposition is sent to beneficiary or why no disposition
10.	Is documentation present that verifies the beneficiaries have been notified in writing of their right to appeal the grievance decision to a second level of review within the MHP or to request a fair hearing?			 <u>NOTE</u>: This information need not be recorded in the log <u>NOTE</u>: Required only if beneficiary disagrees with the decision Describe how beneficiaries are notified
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(e)(6)(D).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no documentation that MHP is notifying beneficiaries of this right
11.	When a provider was included in the grievance, is documentation in place to show that providers have been notified of the grievance resolution?			 <u>NOTE</u>: This information need not be recorded in the log Describe how providers are notified.
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1850.205(e)(6)(E).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no documentation that MHP is notifying providers of the grievance resolution 15 Final FY'03-04.doc

<u>SECTION D</u> <u>FUNDING AND REPORTING, AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS</u>

	IN COMPLIANCE	INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS	
CRITERIA	Y N	COMMENTS	

MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT (MOE)

1.	Regarding the MOE requirements, is the county in compliance with either 1a or 1b:	Interview fiscal officer
1a.	Is the county depositing its local matching funds per the schedule developed by the DMH?	 See MOE dollar amount schedule—last published: FY'96-97 Obtain from county the quarterly county submission reports to the State Controller's Office for the past year
1b.	If the county elects not to apply MOE funds, is the county in compliance with Section 17608.5(c) that prohibits the county from using the loss of these funds for realignment purposes?	
	W&IC Sections 5614(b)(1), 17608.05(a)&(b)&(c), and 17609.05; DMH Policy Letter No. 97-05.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE : County is not depositing its local matching funds per schedule; county is not in compliance with Section 17608.05(c)

FUNDING OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES

2.	Is the county in compliance with either 2a or 2b:	Interview fiscal officer
2a.	The requirement to maintain its funding for children's services at a level equal to or more than the proportion expended for children's services in FY'83-84?	Obtain verification from county
2b.	The requirement to document the determination in a noticed public hearing that the need for new or expanded services to persons under 18 has significantly decreased?	 <u>NOTE</u>: Public hearing is the Board of Supervisors meeting If proportion has decreased, review documentation from public hearing
	W&IC Sections 5704.5(b) and 5614(b)(3).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: County does not maintain funding for children's services per requirement; the county does not have documentation from noticed public hearing

<u>SECTION D</u> <u>FUNDING AND REPORTING, AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS</u>

				IPLIANCE	INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν		COMMENTS
3.	Is the county in compliance with either 3a or 3b:			Interview fis	cal officer
3a.	The requirement to allocate for services to persons under 18 years of age 50% of any new funding received for new or expanded mental health programs until the amount expended for mental health services to persons under age 18 equals 25% of the county's gross budget for mental health or equals the percentage of persons under 18 in the total county population, whichever is less?			Obtain verif	ication from county
3b.	The requirement to document the determination in a noticed public hearing that the need for new or expanded services to persons under 18 does not exist or is less than the needs of specified groups of adults?				hearing is the Board of Supervisors meeting has decreased, review documentation from public
	W&IC Sections 5704.6(a)&(c) and 5614(b)(3).			children's servi	PLIANCE: County does not allocate funding for ces per requirement; the county does not have from noticed public hearing

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

4.	Did the MHP submit the annual Cultural Competence Plan (CCP) update to the DMH?	NOTE: Check with Office of Multi-Cultural Services for due date NOTE: Coordinator to obtain information directly from responsible DMH unit Image: Service of Multi-Cultural Services for due date
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a),(b),(c): DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, pages 1-2.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: MHP has not submitted its CCP update by due date

SECTION D FUNDING AND REPORTING, AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

		IN	CO	MPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS
5.	Has the MHP submitted a report that summarizes beneficiary grievances filed in the previous fiscal year?			NOTE: Due October 1st to DMHNOTE: Coordinator to obtain information directly from responsibleDMH unit
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.375 (a) and W&IC Section 5614(b)(4).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Beneficiary grievance report not submitted by October 1 st
6.	 Regarding hospital contracts, does the MHP have one of the following in place for each disproportionate share and traditional hospital that meets selection criteria: A signed contract for the current fiscal year? A DMH approved request for exemption? 			 <u>NOTE</u>: DMH staff to obtain approved request(s) for exemption directly from responsible DMH unit Review DMH Information Notice to determine list of hospitals requiring a contract for current FY Review contract(s) to document all are in place <u>NOTE</u>: New exemption required each year <u>NOTE</u>: Hospitals can refuse to contract with the MHP If hospital(s) refuses to contract with the MHP, see documentation of such refusal <u>NOTE</u>: MHP should provide letter from the hospital stating its desire to not contract with the MHP <u>NOTE</u>: If hospital refuses to write such a letter, MHP may avouch such declaration in writing <u>NOTE</u>: New letter required each year unless provider has informed MHP otherwise
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.430(a)&(b) and (c)(1)(A)(B)&(C).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; MHP not contracting with listed hospitals and no approved exemption(s) or documentation of a refusal(s) to contract are in place

SECTION D FUNDING AND REPORTING, AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

		IN	CON	IPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Υ	Ν	COMMENTS
7.	Has the MHP submitted a list of all hospitals with which the MHP has current contracts?			<u>NOTE</u> : Due October 1 st to DMH
				<u>NOTE</u> : Coordinator to obtain information directly from responsible DMH unit
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.375 (b) and 5614(b)(4).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: List of hospitals not submitted by October 1st
8.	Has the MHP submitted Fee for Services/Medi-Cal contract hospital rates annually as required?			NOTE: N/A if not a host county
				NOTE: Check with responsible DMH unit for due date
				<u>NOTE</u> : Coordinator to obtain information directly from responsible DMH unit
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.375(c) and W&IC Section 5614(b)(4).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Hospital rates not submitted by due date
9.	Has the MHP reported the unexpended balance remaining from the previous year's allocation?			<u>NOTE</u> : Due December 31 st of the following year to the County Financial Program Support unit
				<u>NOTE</u> : Coordinator to obtain information directly from County Financial Program Support unit
				<u>NOTE</u> : Refers to Managed Care funds covered under sections 1810.330 and 1810.335
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.375(d); W&IC Section 5614(b)(4).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: County not submitting the amount of unexpended funds by December 31 st of the following year even if submitted by the time of the review

<u>SECTION D</u> <u>FUNDING AND REPORTING, AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS</u>

	IN COMPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS							
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS				
10.	Regarding Research and Performance Outcomes:			NOTE: Check with responsible DMH unit for due date				
10a.	Is the county reporting adult performance outcome system data as required?			<u>NOTE</u> : Coordinator to obtain information directly from responsible DMH unit				
10b.	Is the county reporting children performance outcome system data as required?							
	<i>W&IC Sections 5614(b)(7) and 5610(a); County Performance Contract.</i>			OUT OF COMPLIANCE : County not reporting data as required				
11.	Does the MHP have a monitoring system in place that ensures all contracted individual, group, and organizational providers utilized by the MHP are in compliance with the documentation standards requirements contained in the MHP Contract with the DMH?			 Ask the MHP how it monitors the individual and group providers to ensure documentation standards are being met Review some of the monitoring documentation 				
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.110; MHP Contract with DMH.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE : MHP does not have a monitoring system in place; no documentation of monitoring activities				
12.	Does the MHP have a monitoring system in place that ensures contracted organizational providers are certified and recertified per conditions of the MHP Contract with the DMH?			 Ask the MHP how it monitors the contract organizational providers to ensure onsite certifications and recertifications are completed per MHP Contract requirements Check dates on a sample of re/certifications to determine compliance 				
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.110; MHP Contract with DMH.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: MHP does not have a monitoring system in place; MHP not following re/certification requirements of the contract				

SECTION E TARGET POPULATIONS AND ARRAY OF SERVICES

		IN	CON	INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS
1.	To the extent resources are available, is the county providing services to the target population in every geographic area?			<u>NOTE</u> : Check with appropriate DMH unit to determine whether or not county has been previously found to be out of compliance
	W&IC Sections 5600.35 and 5614(b)(5).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: DMH has previously found county to be out of compliance by other means
2.	To the extent resources are available, is the county organized to provide an array of treatment options?			 <u>NOTE</u>: Check with appropriate DMH unit to determine whether or not county has been previously found to be out of compliance <u>NOTE</u>: Options may include: Pre-crisis and crisis services Comprehensive evaluation and assessment Individual Service Plan Medication education and management Case management 24/7 treatment services Rehabilitation and support services Vocational rehabilitation Residential services Services for homeless persons Group services?
	W&IC Sections 5600.4(a-k) and 5614(b)(5).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: DMH has previously found county to be out of compliance by other means

SECTION F MENTAL HEALTH BOARDS/COMMISSIONS IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

1. Does the county have a mental health board/commission that meets either 1a or 1b: NOTE: Ask the board/commission how it functions in this county NOTE: County may establish a board or a commission 1a. For counties with a population of more than 80,000, does the county) have a board/commission on onsisting of 10 to 15 members (depending on the preference of the county) appointed by the governing body? • Meet with NHP designee and, if available, the board/commission members 1b. For counties with a population of less than 80,000, does the county have a board/commission consisting of a minimum of five members appointed by the governing body? • Meet with no more than two board/commission members 1b. For counties with a population of less than 80,000, does the county have a board/commission consisting of a minimum of five members appointed by the governing body? • Meet with no more than two board/commission members by the governing body? 2. Is one member of the local governing body? • Meet of the local governing body? 3. Is the board/commission recommending appointees to the local governing body? • Out OF COMPLIANCE: Counter the MHB can not recommend appointees to the Board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? For survey only W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1). For survey only NOTE: Describe the ethnic diversity of the client population and the make-up of the board/commission 4. <t< th=""><th></th><th>CRITERIA</th><th>T</th><th>IN</th><th>COMMENTS</th></t<>		CRITERIA	T	IN	COMMENTS
80,000, does the county have a board/commission consisting of 10 to 15 members (depending on the preference of the county) appointed by the governing body? • Meet with no more than two board/commission members 1b. For counties with a population of less than 80,000, does the county have a board/commission consisting of a minimum of five members appointed by the governing body? • Meet with no more than two board/commission members 2. Is one member of the board/commission a member of the local governing body? • OUT OF COMPLIANCE : County does not have a board/commission; the board/commission membership does not meet the minimum membership requirement 2. Is one member of the board/commission a member of the local governing body? • OUT OF COMPLIANCE : One member is not a member of a local governing body 3. Is the board/commission recommending appointees to the county supervisors? • OUT OF COMPLIANCE : Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the Board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? For survey only	1.				
does the county have a board/commission consisting of a minimum of five members appointed by the governing body? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: County does not have a board/commission membership does not meet the minimum membership requirement 2. Is one member of the board/commission a member of the local governing body? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: One member is not a member of a local governing body? 3. Is the board/commission recommending appointees to the county supervisors? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? NOTE: Describe the ethnic diversity of the client population and the make-up of the board/commission	1a.	80,000, does the county have a board/commission consisting of 10 to 15 members (<i>depending on the preference of the county</i>) appointed by the			board/commission ChairMeet with no more than two board/commission members
W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1). meet the minimum membership requirement 2. Is one member of the board/commission a member of the local governing body? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: One member is not a member of a local governing body 3. Is the board/commission recommending appointees to the county supervisors? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the county supervisors? 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? For survey only	1b.	does the county have a board/commission consisting of a minimum of five members appointed by the			
2. Is one member of the board/commission a member of the local governing body? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: One member is not a member of a local governing body 3. Is the board/commission recommending appointees to the county supervisors? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the county supervisors? W&/C Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1). OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the Board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? For survey only		M/R/C Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(c)(1)			
member of the local governing body? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: One member is not a member of a local governing body 3. Is the board/commission recommending appointees to the county supervisors? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the Board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? NOTE: Describe the ethnic diversity of the client population and the make-up of the board/commission		$W_{a}C$ Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1).			
3. Is the board/commission recommending appointees to the county supervisors? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the Board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? NOTE: Describe the ethnic diversity of the client population and the make-up of the board/commission	2.				
to the county supervisors? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Evidence the MHB can not recommend appointees to the Board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? NOTE: Describe the ethnic diversity of the client population and the make-up of the board/commission		W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1).			governing body
W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1). appointees to the Board of Supervisors 4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? NOTE: Describe the ethnic diversity of the client population and the make-up of the board/commission	3.	0 11			
4. Does the board/commission membership reflect the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county? For survey only NOTE: Describe the ethnic diversity of the client population and the make-up of the board/commission		W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1).			
the ethnic diversity of the client population in the county?					
W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1).	4.	the ethnic diversity of the client population in the	sur	vey	
		W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(1).			

<u>SEC</u>	SECTION F MENTAL HEALTH BOARDS/COMMISSIONS						
	CRITERIA		COI N	MPLIANCE	INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS		
		-	1	Γ			
5.	In counties over 80,000 population, or in counties under 80,000 population that elect to have the board/commission exceed the five-member minimum permitted, is the county in compliance with the following:						
5a.	Consumers or the parents, spouses, siblings, or adult children of consumers who are receiving or have received mental health services constitute 50% of the board/commission membership?						
5b.	Consumers constitute at least 20% of the total membership?						
5c.	Families of consumers constitute at least 20% of the membership? W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(2)&(3)(A)&(B).			siblings, or adult received mental board/commissic least 20% of the	<u>IANCE</u>: Consumers or the parents, spouses, children of consumers who are receiving or have health services do not constitute 50% of the n membership; consumers do not constitute at total membership; families of consumers do not t 20% of the membership		
6.	In counties under 80,000 population that elect to have a five member board, is the county in compliance with the following:						
6a.	Is at least one member a consumer?						
6b.	Is at least one member a parent, spouse, sibling, or adult child of a consumer who is receiving, or has received, mental health services? <i>W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(a)(2)&(3)(A)&(B).</i>			least one member not have at least	<u>IANCE</u> : The board/commission does not have at er who is a consumer; the board/commission does one member who is a parent, spouse, sibling, or onsumer who is receiving, or has received mental		

SECTION F MENTAL HEALTH BOARDS/COMMISSIONS IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

	ONTENA	•	COMMENTS
7.	If two or more local agencies jointly establish a community mental health service {under Article 1 (commencing with Section 6500) of Chapter 5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code}, does the board/commission consist of the following:		NOTE: This applies to joint power agreements and counties under such an agreement
7a.	An additional two members for each additional agency?		
7b.	At least one of the two additional members is a consumer or a parent, spouse, sibling, or adult child of a consumer who has received mental health services?		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: The board/commission does not have two additional members for each additional agency; at least one of the two additional members is not a consumer or a parent, spouse, sibling, or adult child of a consumer who has received mental
_	W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(c).		health services
8.	Is the board/commission in compliance with the requirement that no member of the board/commission (or his or her spouse) is any of the following:		
8a.	An employee of the county mental health program?		
8b.	An employee of a mental health contract agency, or paid member of the governing <i>b</i> ody of a mental health contract agency?		
8c.	An employee of the State Department of Mental Health?		NOTE: This does not apply to independent contractors with DMH

SECTION F MENTAL HEALTH BOARDS/COMMISSIONS IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

	W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2) and 5604(d).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: A member of the board (or his or her spouse) is an employee of the county mental health program, an employee or paid member of a mental health contract agency, an employee of a mental health contract agency, or paid member of the governing body, or an employee of the State Department of Mental Health
9.	Does the county have a process for ensuring that the board/commission does the following:	
9a.	Review and evaluate the community's mental health needs, services, facilities, and special problems?	
9b.	Review any county agreements entered into pursuant to Sections 5650 and 5608(c)?	<u>NOTE</u> : Consultation from the board/commission does not imply approval is required
9c.	Review and approve the procedures used to ensure citizen and professional involvement at all stages of the planning process?	Describe involvement of the board/commission
9d.	Review and make recommendations on applicants for the appointment of a local mental health director?	<u>NOTE</u> : The board/commission must be included in the selection process prior to the vote of the governing body
9e.	Submit an annual report to the governing body on the needs and performance of the county's mental health system?	NOTE: Governing body is the board of supervisors
	W&IC Sections 5614(b)(2), and 5604.2(a) and 5608(c).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: The county does not have a process for ensuring the board/commission reviews 9a-e

SECTION G INTERFACE WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE IN COMPLIANCE

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

RE: RELATIONSHIP WITH MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE PLANS

CRITERIA

1.	Regarding MOUs with Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans, the MHP must be in compliance with either 1a or 1b:	<u>NOTE</u> : No MOU(s) in place is OK if MHP is making good faith effort <u>NOTE</u> : An MOU is required only when an MCMCP serves 2,000 or more beneficiaries
1a.	Are MOUs in place with all Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans?	Does this county have Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans?
1b.	If not, is there evidence that the MHP is making good faith efforts to enter into such agreements?	 If yes, how many and what are the names of the MCMCPs?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9,Chapter 11,Sections1810.370 (a),(b)&(c).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: MOU(s) not in place because MHP not making good faith effort
2.	Depending MOLIe with Medi Cel Menaged Care	
Ζ.	Regarding MOUs with Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans, does each MOU address the following items:	 Is the MHP following its IP? Go through the MOUs and find reference to 2a-f If draft MOU, is this a working draft? When possible, verify process in practice for 2a-f
2a.	MHP's process for providing referrals to the Medi- Cal Managed Care Plan?	
2b.	MHP's process for receiving referrals from the Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan?	
2c.	MHP's process for providing clinical consultation and training, including consultation and training on medications to beneficiary provider(s) in the Medi- Cal Managed Care Plan?	

SECTION G INTE

INTERFACE WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

2d.	MHP's procedures for the exchange of medical records information that maintain confidentiality in accordance with applicable state and federal laws and regulations?		
2e.	 MHP's procedures for providing beneficiaries with the following services when these services are covered by the Medi-Cal managed care plan: 1) Prescription drugs and laboratory services? 		
	2) Emergency room facility and related services, home health care, non-emergency medical transportation, and physical health care while in a psychiatric inpatient hospital, including the history and physical required upon admission?		
	3) Direct transfers between psychiatric inpatient hospital services and inpatient hospital services required to address a beneficiary's medical problems?		
2f.	MHP's process for resolving disputes between the MHP and the Medi-Cal managed care plan that includes a means for beneficiaries to receive medically necessary services while the dispute is being resolved?		
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.370(a)(1),(2),&(3), (4)(A)(B)&(C), and,(5); CMS Waiver Requirement.		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; MOU(s) do not contain items 2a-f and there is evidence that the MHP is not making a good faith effort to include the missing items

IN COMPLIANCE

SECTION G INTERFACE WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

RE: RELATIONSHIP WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS WHO DO NOT BELONG TO A MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE PLAN

3. 3a.	 Regarding coordination with: A. Primary Care Providers (PCPs) when no Medi- Cal Managed Care Plans are present B. PCPs who do not belong to a Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan C. Federally Qualified Health Centers , Indian Health Centers, or Rural Health Centers are the following conditions being met: A process is in place for the MHP to provide clinical consultation and training, including consultation and training on medications? 		 Is the MHP following its IP? Have the MHP describe the processes in place for 3a-c When possible, verify processes in practice for 3a-c As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements)
3b.	A process is in place for the exchange of medical records information that maintains confidentiality in accordance with applicable state and federal laws and regulations?		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; there are no processes in place for 3a-b.

SECTION H PROVIDER RELATIONS

IN COMPLIANCE

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

CRITERIA

Y N

RE: MHP'S ASSESSMENT OF PROVIDER SATISFACTION

1.	Is the MHP in compliance with the requirement to gather information, at least every two years, from providers regarding their satisfaction with the utilization management program? <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.315; MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix B.		 <u>NOTE</u>: Applicable only if an authorization unit is used to authorize services Has the MHP gathered or is in the process of gathering provider satisfaction information? <u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: MHP has made no attempt to gather this information
2.	Upon gathering the provider satisfaction information, does the MHP use the information to address identified items of dissatisfaction? <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.315 and 1810.440; MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix B.		NOTE: Applicable only if an authorization unit is used to authorize services • Has the MHP used this information to address identified items of dissatisfaction? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: MHP has made no efforts to address identified items of dissatisfaction
3.	Does the MHP have a process in place to inform its providers on the MHP's criteria related to authorization of payment requests?	For survey only	NOTE: This applies to all services requiring authorization whether provided by hospitals or by non-hospital individual, group, and organizational providers
4.	Does the MHP have a process in place that offers training to its providers on the MHP's criteria related to authorization of payment requests?	For survey only	NOTE: This applies to all services requiring authorization whether provided by hospitals or by non-hospital individual, group, and organizational providers
5.	Does the MHP have a process in place to work collaboratively with its providers to reduce the number of payment authorization denials?	For survey only	NOTE: This applies to all services requiring authorization whether provided by hospitals or by non-hospital individual, group, and organizational providers

IN COMPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS CRITERIA Υ Ν COMMENTS Does the MHP Quality Improvement (QI) program 1. include the active participation of the following Review evidence that each category is represented • stakeholders in the ongoing planning, design, and Review evidence that there is active participation from each execution of the QI program: category a) Practitioners/providers? b) Beneficiaries? c) Family members? CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.440(a)(2)(A)(B)&(C); MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix A. **OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP** Regarding the QIC: 2. 2a. Is the QIC meeting as frequent as described in the • See IP for the specified frequency of the QIC meetings **QI Plan?** 2b. Are the minutes: Review minutes for date and signature • Do the minutes reflect QIC decisions and actions? 1) Dated? 2) Signed? CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440; MHP OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; minutes are not dated and signed Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix A. Is the QIC involved in or overseeing the following 3. Review evidence of each activity described in 3a-d QI activities: Review minutes for evidence of each activity described in 3a-d Recommending policy changes? 3a.

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

SECTION I

<u>SECTION I QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</u>

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

3b.	Reviewing and evaluating the results of QI activities?	
3c.	Instituting needed QI actions?	
3d.	Ensuring follow-up of QI processes?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440; MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix A.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no evidence that the QIC is involved in and overseeing activities described in 3a-d
4.	Regarding the annual work plan:	
4 <mark>a</mark> .	Does the MHP evaluate the effectiveness of the QI program and show how QI activities have contributed to improvement in clinical care and beneficiary service?	Review work plan
4b.	Does the MHP incorporate relevant cultural competent and linguistic standards in the approved QI program and the annual QI work plan?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440; DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 20; MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix A.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; work plan does not evaluate the effectiveness of the QI program and show how QI activities have contributed to improvement in clinical care and beneficiary service; work plan does not incorporate cultural/linguistic standards
5.	Does the work plan monitor previously identified	Review work plan
	issues, including tracking of issues over time?	 Have the MHP describe activities and monitoring of previously identified issues Are issues being tracked over time?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440; MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix A.	<u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u> : NFP; no work plan; not following work plan; no evidence of monitoring or tracking activities over time

IN COMPLIANCE

SECTION I QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

				IPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Υ	Ν	COMMENTS
6.	Does the work plan include goals and monitoring activities in the following areas:			<u>NOTE</u> : Verify only that goals and planned activities are in the wor plan
6a.	Monitoring the service delivery capacity of the MHP as evidenced by:			
	 A description of the current number, types, and geographic distribution of mental health services within the MHP's delivery system? 			 MHP should have baseline statistics with goals for the year
	2) Goals are set for the number, type, and geographic distribution of mental health services?			
Sb.	Monitoring the accessibility of services as evidenced by:			
	In addition to meeting statewide standards, goals have been set and mechanisms have been established to monitor the following:			Review P&P
	1) Timeliness of routine mental health appointments?			 Goals should be set for 1-4 Mechanisms for monitoring should be in place for 1-4
	2) Timeliness of services for urgent conditions?			
	3) Access to after-hours care?			
	4) Responsiveness of the 24/7 toll-free number?			Does the MHP test-call its toll-free number?

<u>SECTION I</u>

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

6c.	Monitoring beneficiary satisfaction as evidenced by:		
	1) Annual survey of beneficiary satisfaction?		
	2) Annual evaluation of beneficiary grievances and fair hearings?		
	3) Annual review of requests for changing persons providing services?		
	4) Providers are informed of the results of the beneficiary/family satisfaction surveys?		How are providers informed?
	5) Completion of a consumer satisfaction survey in the threshold languages?		<u>NOTE</u> : Nos. 5-6 are conditions of DMH Information Notice No. 02- 03, page 15. The MHP is strongly encouraged to make these a part of its work plan.
	6) Satisfaction surveys, in each threshold language, indicated that, at least, 75% of the respondents had access to written information in their primary language?		<u>NOTE</u> : The requirement refers to conducting the survey and monitoring for meeting the 75% threshold.
id.	Monitoring the MHP's service delivery system as evidenced by:		
	 Relevant clinical issues, including the safety and effectiveness of medication practices, are identified? 		
	2) The interventions implemented when occurrences of potential poor care are identified?		
	3) Providers, beneficiaries, and family members are evaluating data to identify barriers to improvement related to clinical practice and/or administrative aspects of the delivery system?		
Se.	Monitoring provider appeals?		
<u>SECTION I</u>

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

IN COMPLIANCE

	CRITERIA	Y	N	COMMENTS
6f.	CRITERIA When required, a Latino study has been identified?	Y	N	 <u>NOTE</u>: Review contract (MHP Contract with DMH) language to determine if MHP is required to perform the Latino access study Selected MHPs for the Latino access study are defined to include- Counties with Medi-Cal eligible populations of 10,000 or more and/or counties with Spanish as one of their threshold languages will be required to do and/or add a Latino access study to their Annual Quality Improvement Work plan. All county MHP would be required to do the study with the exception of Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, Nevada, Plumas, Siskiyou, Trinity and Tuolumne. These nine MHPs have fewer than 10,000 Medi-Cal beneficiaries, nor did they have Spanish as a Threshold language at the time the recommendation was made) The selected Latino study work plan currently being developed must be consistent with selection criteria in Service Delivery Administrative and operational Requirements Exhibit A – Attachment1And consistent with Quality Improvement Program Exhibit A – Attachment 1 Appendix A, as follows: "The Contractor shall include a study of Latino access in the annual quality Improvement Work plan required by Appendix A, Section B that is effective on or after July 1, 2002 The required study shall, at the Contractor's election, meet the contractor's obligation to conduct any single item required by Appendix A, Section B, Items 1, 2, 3, or 5. The required study may also meet the
				Items 1, 2, 3, or 5. The required study may also meet the Contractor's obligation to conduct one of the clinical studies required by Appendix A, Section B, Item 4, if the Contractor will be studying a clinical issue related to access. The contractor may chose to develop an access study that includes Latino and other
				underserved populations, rather than a study that focuses exclusively on Latino Populations. The Contractor shall provide the Department with information on the design, progress and outcome of the study upon request."

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

SECTION I QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM IN COMPLIANCE **INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS** Y N **CRITERIA** COMMENTS **OUT OF COMPLIANCE:** NFP; not following contract; no work CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440; DMH plan; not following work plan; no evidence of a monitoring plans Information Notice No. 02-03, page 15; MHP Contract for 6a-f with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1. Is the MHP conducting activities to meet the 7. following work plan areas: The accessibility of services: 7a. Review monitoring activities in 7a, 1-4 ٠

	1) Timeliness of routine mental health appointments?	
	2) Timeliness of services for urgent conditions?	
	3) Access to after-hours care?	
	4) Responsiveness of the 24/7 toll-free number?	
7b.	Beneficiary satisfaction:	 Review monitoring activities in 7b, 1-3
	1) Annual survey of beneficiary satisfaction?	
	2) Annual evaluation of beneficiary grievances and fair hearings?	
	3) Annual review of requests for changing persons providing services?	
7c.	When required, a Latino access study has been implemented or completed?	 Study should be completed or in the process of implementing a Latino access study
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440; DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, page 15 <u>; MHP</u> Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; not following contract; no work plan; not following work plan; no evidence of monitoring activities in 7a-c
		 35 Final FY'03-04.doc

<u>SECTION I</u>

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

8.	Has the MHP developed a process to certify or otherwise provide culturally competent services as evidenced by:	
8a.	A process to evaluate the competencies of staff in providing culturally and linguistically competent services?	
8b.	A process to assess staff training needs and provide the necessary training in evaluation, diagnosis, treatment, and referral services for the multicultural groups in their service area?	
8c.	Implementation of training programs to improve the cultural competence skills of MHP staff and contract providers?	 <u>NOTE</u>: Process need not be completed Is the MHP following its CCP? Describe the process
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, page 18.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; evidence that the MHP is not working on a process for 8a-c
9.	Has the MHP implemented training programs to certify or otherwise assure the demonstrated ability of bi-lingual staff or interpreter services in the following areas:	 As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements) Have the MHP describe the training program(s)
9a.	The ability to communicate ideas, concerns, and rationales, in addition to the translation of the words used by both the provider and the consumer?	
9b.	The familiarity with the beneficiary's culture, degree of proficiency in the beneficiary's spoken, and non-verbal communication?	
9c.	The familiarity with variant beliefs concerning mental illness in different cultures?	

<u>SECTION I</u>

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

9d.	Knowledge of the mental health field?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 18	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no training program in place
10.	Has the MHP implemented a plan to facilitate the ease with which culturally and linguistically diverse populations can obtain services:	Review plans for these areas 10a-e <u>NOTE</u> : Are the plans being implemented? <u>NOTE</u> : Look for activities/evidence in the areas identified in the plan
10a.	Location, transportation, hours of operation, or other relevant areas?	
10b.	Adapting physical facilities to be comfortable and inviting?	
10c.	Locating facilities in settings that are non- threatening, including co-location of services and/or partnerships with community groups?	
10d.	Is there a study or analysis of the above factors?	Review study or analysis for the above areas
10e.	Has the MHP adjusted its plan based on the findings of the study or analysis and implemented the modified plan?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Page 16.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no study and analysis of these factors
11.	Regarding penetration and retention rates, does the MHP :	NOTE: How current is the information?
11a.	Track penetration and retention rates by ethnic groups?	 Review the system used to track utilization rates Review tracking of rates covered in 11a

SECTION I

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA Y N COMMENTS 11b. Compare these rates across ethnic groups? Review the system used to track utilization rates ٠ Review tracking of rates covered in 11b • Compare these rates by ethnic groups to the total 11c. Review the system used to track utilization rates • Medi-Cal beneficiary population? Review tracking of rates covered in 11c • 11d. Analyze these rates for each ethnic group by Review the system used to track utilization rates ٠ factors including age, diagnosis, gender, and Review tracking of rates covered in 11d primary language of Medi-Cal mental health consumers to identify potential problem areas? Establish a "percent improvement" for penetration 11e. Review the system used to track utilization rates • and retention rates of ethnic groups with low Review tracking of rates covered in 11e penetration and retention rates? 11f. Take specific actions to meet the "percent Review the system used to track utilization rates improvement" above? Review tracking of rates covered in 11f ٠ CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, pages 19-20. **OUT OF COMPLIANCE:** NFP; no tracking system in place 12. Regarding training on client culture: As time permits, interview staff with assigned responsibility • (Contact MHP in advance to make arrangements) Is there evidence of annual training on client 12a. Review CCP culture that includes a client's personal experience? Does the training plan also include, for children Review DMH Information Notice 02-03 12b. and adolescents, the parent and/or caretaker's personal experiences? <u>CCR</u>, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.410(a); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, page 19. **OUT OF COMPLIANCE:** NFP; no annual training

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

<u>SECTION J</u>

CHART REVIEW—NON-HOSPITAL SERVICES IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

	GRITERIA	Y	N	COMMENTS
1.	Does the beneficiary meet all three of the following reimbursement criteria (1a., 1b., and 1c. below):			 <u>NOTE</u>: Promote peer reviewer participation in review of some charts Review assessment(s), evaluation(s), and/or other documentation to support 1a, 1b, and 1c
1a.	The beneficiary has a DSM IV diagnosis contained in the CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1830.205(b)(1)(A-R)?			 Is the beneficiary's diagnosis among the list of diagnoses in Section 1830.205(b)?
1b.	The beneficiary, as a result of a mental disorder listed in 1a, must have, at least, one of the following criteria (1, 2, or 3 below):			Determine which condition(s) (1, 2, and/or 3) is the focus of treatment
	1) A significant impairment in an important area of life functioning?			NOTE: Definitions of "significant" at the discretion of the MHP
	 2) A probability of significant deterioration in an important area of life functioning? 3) A probability that the child will not progress developmentally as individually appropriate? 			NOTE: Definitions of "probability" at the discretion of the MHP
1c.	Must meet each of the intervention criteria listed below (4 and 5):			
	4) The focus of the proposed intervention is to address the condition identified in no. 1b. above?			 Does the proposed intervention(s) focus on the condition(s) identified in no. 1b?

Y N

<u>SECTION J</u>

CHART REVIEW—NON-HOSPITAL SERVICES IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

	5) The expectation is that the proposed intervention will do, at least, one of the following (A, B, or C):	Can a connection be identified between the proposed intervention and the following:
	A) Significantly diminish the impairment?	Diminishing the impairment?
	B) Prevent significant deterioration in an important area of life functioning?	Preventing a significant deterioration?
	C) Allow the child to progress developmentally as individually appropriate?	 Allowing a child to progress developmentally as individually appropriate?
		<u>NOTE</u> : For beneficiaries eligible for EPSDT services, see eligibility under no. 2 below, if necessary
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1830.205(b).	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Criteria 1a and 1b not supported by documentation; criteria 1c not established
0		
2.	Do beneficiaries under 21 years of age who do not meet the medical necessity criteria of no. 1b. and/or no. 1c. above meet the medical necessity	<u>NOTE</u> : N/A if not EPSDT eligible; or medical necessity established in no. 1 above
	criteria per EPSDT (<i>CCR, Title 22, Section 51340[e][3]</i>) eligibility when specialty mental health services are needed to correct or ameliorate a defect, mental illness, or condition?	 Can a connection be made between the diagnosis in 1a and the service(s) provided?
		OUT OF COMPLIANCE : No connection can be made between the diagnosis and the service(s) provided; no evidence that services are needed to correct or ameliorate a defect, mental illness, or
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1830.210(a).	condition

Y N

SECTION J CHART REVIEW—NON-HOSPITAL SERVICES

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

RE: ASSESSMENT

3.	Has an assessment been completed and, as appropriate, does it contain areas addressed in the MHP contract with the DMH?	 <u>NOTE</u>: Assessment information need not be in a specific document or section of the chart Review assessment(s), evaluation(s), and/or other documentation to support 1a, 1b, and 1c Does the assessment(s) include the appropriate elements? These elements may include the following: Physical health conditions reported by the client are prominently identified and updated Presenting problems and relevant conditions affecting physical and mental health status: i.e., living situation, daily activities, social support Client strengths in achieving client plan goals Special status situations and risks to client or others Medications, dosages, dates of initial prescription and refills, informed consent Allergies and adverse reactions, or lack of allergies/sensitivities Mental health history, previous treatment dates, providers, therapeutic interventions and responses, sources of clinical data, relevant family information, lab tests, consultation reports For children and adolescents, pre-natal and perinatal events, and complete developmental history Past and present use of tobacco, alcohol, and caffeine, as
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.204; MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1,	 Past and present use of tobacco, alcohol, and caffeine, as well as illicit, prescribed, and over-the-counter drugs <u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: NFP; no assessment has been completed
	Appendix C.	

<u>SECTION J</u> <u>CHART REVIEW—NON-HOSPITAL SERVICES</u>

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

RE: CLIENT PLAN

4.	Does the client's plan contain the following elements:	
4a.	Specific, observable, or quantifiable goals?	Review the client plan
4b.	The proposed type(s) of intervention?	Look for type(s) of interventions
4c.	The proposed duration of the intervention(s)?	Look for duration of intervention(s)
4d.	Writing that is legible?	
4e.	 A signature (or electronic equivalent) of, at least, one of the following: 1) A person providing the services(s)? 2) A person representing the MHP providing services? 3) When the plan is used to establish that services are provided under the direction of an approved category of staff, and if the above staff are not of the approved categories, one of the following must sign: A. A physician? B. A licensed/waivered psychologist? C. A licensed/registered/waivered social worker? D. A licensed/registered/waivered marriage and family therapist? E. A registered nurse? 	If necessary, ask for a list of staff, staff signatures, and staff licenses

<u>SECTION J</u>

CHART REVIEW—NON-HOSPITAL SERVICES

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

4f.	Documentation of the client's degree of participation and agreement with the client plan as evidenced by one of the following:	Does the chart contain documentation of the client's degree of participation and agreement with the plan?
	 When the client is a long-term client, as defined by the MHP, and the client is receiving more than one type of service from the MHP, the client's signature, or an explanation of why the signature could not be obtained, is documented on the plan? 	 Describe how the MHP defines "long-term client" Is the client a long-term client? Is the client receiving more than one type of service? Is there a client signature or explanation of why the signature could not be obtained documented on the plan?
	2) When the client is not a long-term beneficiary, examples of documentation include, but are not limited to, reference to the client's participation and agreement in the body of the plan, the client signature on the plan, or a description of the client's participation and agreement in the progress notes?	 Is there reference to the client's participation and agreement in the body of the plan? or, is there a client signature on the plan? or, is there a description of the client's participation and agreement in the progress notes?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1840.314 and 1810.440(c); MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix C; DMH Policy Letter No. 99-03.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no client plan has been completed; complete absence of 4a, 4b, and 4c; writing that is illegible; absence of signature for 4e or 4f

Y N

RE: PROGRESS NOTES

5.	Do progress notes document the following:		Review progress notes
5a.	The date services were provided?		
5b.	Client encounters, including clinical decisions and interventions?		

SECTION J CHART REVIEW—NON-HOSPITAL SERVICES

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

5c.	A signature (or electronic equivalent) of the staff providing the service with professional degree, license, or job title?	
5d.	Writing that is legible?	
5e.	Timeliness/frequency as following:	
	 Every service contact for: A. Mental health services? B. Medication support services? C. Crisis intervention? 	
	2) Daily for:A. Crisis residential?B. Crisis stabilization (one per 23/hour period)?	
	3) Weekly for:A. Day treatment intensive?B. Day rehabilitation?C. Adult residential?	
	4) Other notes as following:	
	A) Psychiatric health facility services: each shift?B) Targeted case management: every service contact, daily, or weekly summary?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440(c); MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix C; DMH Policy Letter No. 99-03.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; progress notes within the review period do not contain these elements

SECTION J

<u>CHART REVIEW</u>	-NON-HOSPITAL	SERVICES

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

RE: OTHER CHART DOCUMENTATION

CRITERIA

6.	Is there a process to notify the beneficiary that a copy of the client plan is available upon request?	Describe the procedure for obtaining client plan.
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.110(a); MHP Contract with DMH, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix C.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no process in place
7.	When applicable, was information provided to beneficiaries with visual and hearing impairments?	Evidence that beneficiaries with visual and/or hearing impairment were provided with information?
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.110(a); DMH Information Notice No. 97-06, D, 5; W&IC Sections 5600.2(e) and 5614(b)(5).	<u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u> : NFP; no evidence that beneficiaries with visual and/or hearing impairment were provided with information based on MHP's IP or policy
0		
8.	Regarding cultural/linguistic services:	 <u>NOTE</u>: Coordinate findings with DMH system review process Review CCP and charts
8a.	Is there any evidence that mental health interpreter services are offered?	 <u>NOTE</u>: If beneficiary Limited English Proficiency (LEP), review for interpretive services offered Is there evidence beneficiaries are made aware of services available in their primary language? When families provide interpreter services, is there documentation that other linguistic services were offered first, but the client preferred to provide a family interpreter?
8b.	When applicable, is there documentation of linking beneficiaries to culture-specific and/or linguistic services as described in the MHP's CCP?	
8c.	Is service-related personal correspondence in the client's preferred language?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections1810.410(a) and (d)(2); DMH Information Notice No. 02-03, Pages 13-15.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; no evidence of 8a-c

<u>SECTION K</u> <u>CHART REVIEW—SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES</u>

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

RE: MEDICAL NECESSITY

1.	Does the beneficiary's admission to a psychiatric inpatient hospital meet both of the following admission reimbursement criteria (1a. and 1b. below):		 <u>NOTE</u>: Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Disallowance Summary Worksheet" Review medical record documentation
1a.	The beneficiary has a DSM IV diagnosis contained in the CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.205(a)(1)(A-R)?		 Is the diagnosis listed in the regulations?
1b.	The beneficiary requires psychiatric inpatient hospital services, as a result of a mental disorder, due to, at least, one of the following indications (the beneficiary must meet either 2 a-d. or 3 a-c)?		<u>NOTE</u> : Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Disallowance Summary Worksheet" • Review medical record documentation
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.205(a)(1); MHP Contract with DMH.		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Beneficiary does not have an admission diagnosis contained in Section 1820.205
2.	Does the beneficiary have symptoms or behaviors of one of the following (2a-d):		Review medical record documentation
2a.	Represent a current danger to self or others, or to significant property destruction?		
2b.	Prevent the beneficiary from providing for, or utilizing food, clothing, or shelter?		
2c.	Present a severe risk to the beneficiary's physical health?		
2d.	Recent significant deterioration in ability to function?		
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.205(a)(2)(B)1 a, b, c and d; MHP Contract with DMH.		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Documentation does not support medical necessity criteria

SECTION K CHART REVIEW—SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES IN COMPLIANCE

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

	CRITERIA	Y	N	COMMENTS
3.	Does the beneficiary require treatment and/or observation for, at least, one of the following (3a., 3b., or 3c.):			 <u>NOTE</u>: Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Disallowance Summary Worksheet" Review medical record documentation
За.	Further psychiatric evaluation?			
3b.	Medication treatment?			
3c.	Specialized treatment?			
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.205(a)(2)(B) 2a-c; MHP Contract with DMH.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Documentation does not support medical necessity criteria
4.	Does the beneficiary's continued stay in a psychiatric inpatient hospital meet one of the following reimbursement criteria (4a-d):			<u>NOTE</u> : Use "Continued Stay Summary Worksheet" and "Disallowance Summary Worksheet"
4a.	Continued presence of indications which meet the medical necessity criteria specified in items 1., 2., and 3. above?			Review medical record documentation
4b.	Serious adverse reaction to medications, procedures, or therapies requiring continued hospitalization?			 Daily note that describes severity of symptoms, behaviors, function and risk
4c.	Presence of new indications which meet medical necessity criteria specified in items 1., 2., and 3. above?			 Review UR notes or other documentation for lack of availability to support
4d.	Need for continued medical evaluation or treatment that can only be provided if the beneficiary remains in a psychiatric inpatient hospital?			
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.205(b)(1), (2), (3), and (4); MHP Contract with DMH.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Documentation does not support medical necessity criteria

<u>SECTION K</u> <u>CHART REVIEW—SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES</u>

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

CRITERIA

RE: QUALITY OF CARE

5. 5a.	Regarding culturally competent services: Is there any evidence that mental health interpreter services are offered?	 <u>NOTE</u>: If beneficiary is LEP, review for interpretive services offered Review medical record documentation Review inpatient implementation plan (may be in specialty mental health services implementation plan) MHP's implementation plan as authority When families provide interpreter services, is there documentation that other linguistic services were offered first, but the client preferred to provide a family interpreter?
5b.	When applicable, is there documentation of the response to offers of interpreter services as described in the MHP's cultural competency plan?	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; documentation does not indicate that mental health interpreter services are offered; the response not documented
6.	Does the record documentation reflect staff efforts for screening, referral, and coordination with other necessary services, including, but not limited to, substance abuse, educational, health, housing, vocational rehabilitation services as well as with Regional Center?	 <u>NOTE</u>: Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Summary Worksheet" Review medical record documentation Review MHP inpatient implementation plan
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.310(a)(2)(A); W&IC Section 4696.1.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; documentation does not reflect staff efforts for screening, referral, and coordination with other necessary services

SECTION K CHART REVIEW—SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

7.	Are services delivered by licensed staff within their	
	own scope of practice?	
		<u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u> : Evidence that staff are delivering services
	W&IC Section 5778 (n).	outside their scope of practice

Y N

RE: PLAN OF CARE

8e.	Plans for continuing care?	40 Final FY'03-04 doc
	 Medications? Treatments? Restorative and rehabilitative services? Activities? Therapies? Social services? Diet? Special procedures recommended for the health and safety of the beneficiary? 	
8d.	Any orders for:	
8c.	Objectives?	
8b.	A description of the functional level of the beneficiary?	
8a.	Diagnoses, complaints, and complications indicating the need for admission?	Review MHP inpatient implementation plan
8.	Does the beneficiary have a written plan of care that includes the following elements:	 <u>NOTE</u>: Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" Review medical record documentation

<u>SECTION K</u> CHART REVIEW—SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES IN COMPLIANCE

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS
8f.	Plans for discharge?			
8g.	Documentation of the beneficiary's degree of participation in and agreement with the plan?			 <u>NOTE</u>: Parents, family members, and other advocates can be included in this process as selected by the adult client Look for client's signature or statement describing client participation
8h.	Documentation of the physician's establishment of this plan? <u>CFR</u> , Title 42, Subchapter C, Subpart D, Sections 456.180; <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.210; DMH Contract with the MHP, Exhibit A, Attachment 1, Appendix C : DMH Information Notice 02 02, page 17			Look for physician's signature OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Required elements are not documented
	Appendix C.; DMH Information Notice 02-03, page 17.			
9. 9a.	When applicable: Is there evidence the MHP provided information to beneficiaries with visual and hearing impairments?			 Evidence that beneficiaries with visual and/or hearing impairment were provided with information?
9b.	Is service-related personal correspondence in the client's preferred language? <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.110(a); DMH			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: No evidence that beneficiaries with visual
	Information Notice Nos. 97-06, D, 5 and 02-03, pages 14-15; W&IC Sections 5600.2(e) and 5614(b)(5).			and/or hearing impairment were provided with information based on MHP's IP or policy; correspondence not in client's primary language

SECTION L UTILIZATION REVIEW--SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

1.	Does the Utilization Review (UR) Plan:		Review IP, MHP UR Plan, and URC minutes
1a.	Provide for a committee to perform UR?		Identify URC membersLook at licenses of members
1b.	Describe the organization, composition, and functions of the committee?		
1c.	Specify the frequency of the committee meetings?		Are URC meetings held at the frequency specified?
	<u>CFR</u> , Title 42, Subchapter C, Subpart D, Sections 456.201–205; <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.210		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: UR Plan does not provide a committee to perform UR; URC does not describe the organization, composition, and functions; URC meetings not held according to stated frequency; URC does not have two physicians
2.	Does the UR plan provide that each recipient's record (UR) contain, at least, the required information:	T	Do UR records include all of the required information?
2a.	Identification of the recipient?		
2b.	The name of the recipient's physician?		
2c.	The date of admission?		
2d.	The plan of care required under CFR 456.180?		
2e.	Initial and subsequent continued stay review dates described under CFR 456.233 and 456.234		
2f.	Reasons and plan for continued stay, if the attending physician believes continued stay is necessary?		
2g.	Other supporting material that the committee believes appropriate to be included in the record? <u>CFR</u> , Title 42, Subchapter C, Subpart D, Sections 456.211(a-g); <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.210.		OUT OF COMPLIANCE: UR records do not include all of the required information; the UR plan does not include all of the required review elements.

Y N

51

IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA Υ N COMMENTS Does the UR plan provide for a review of each 3. • Does the UR plan include all of the required review elements? recipient's continued stay in the mental hospital to Is there evidence on the UR worksheets that shows the UR decide whether it is needed and does it include the plan is followed in practice? following: 3a. Determination of need for continued stay? Is the documentation of the determination of need for continued stay required? 3b. Evaluation criteria for continued stay? Is the evaluation criteria documented? Initial continued stay review date? 3c. Are the dates written? 3d. Subsequent continued stay review dates? Description of methods and criteria for continued 3e. Are the methods and criteria for documentation described? stay review dates; length of stay modification? Do the methods include a description of how the length of stay may be modified? 3f. Continued stay review process? Is the continued stay review process documented? • Notification of adverse decision? 3g. Is the notification of adverse decision documented? • 3h. Time limits for final decision and notification of Are time limits for final decisions adhered to? adverse decision? CFR, Title 42, Subchapter C, Subpart D, Sections 456.231-238; CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Section OUT OF COMPLIANCE: UR plan does not include all of the 1820.210. required elements; not following plan Is the UR Plan in compliance with each of the 4 Review IP, MHP UR Plan, URC minutes, URC records, and following: **URC** reports

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

		IN	COI	MPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS
4a.	Contains a description of the types of records that are kept by the UR committee?			 Are all the types of records described by the UR Plan kept by the URC? Do the records contain all the required elements?
4b.	Contains a description of the types and frequency of the URC reports and the arrangements for distribution to individuals?			 Are the URC reports of the types and frequency specified in the UR plan? Is there evidence of arrangements for distribution to individuals?
4c.	Provides for the beneficiary's confidentiality in all records and reports? <u>CFR</u> , Title 42, Subchapter C, Subpart D, Sections 456.212-213 and 456.232; <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.210.			Review records to ensure compliance with confidentially requirements OUT OF COMPLIANCE: NFP; incomplete records; reports not distributed; lack of confidentiality protections; medical care criteria does not assess need for continued stay
5.	Does the URC include anyone who is directly responsible for the care of the beneficiary whose care is being viewed?			 Review UR records, URC minutes, and medical records Identify care providers on URC and who is responsible for care of beneficiary <u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: Care providers of beneficiary are present when URC reviews care; no backup replacement to URC to
	<u>CCR</u> , <i>Title 9, Chapter 11,</i> Section 1820.210.			maintain required composition
6.	Regarding the authorization process:			
6a.	If no POA is involved in the authorization process, has the URC or its designee approved or denied the initial MHP payment authorization no later than the third working day from the day of admission?			 <u>NOTE</u>: Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Worksheet" Review UR records, URC minutes, UR reports, medical records, and denials
6b.	If the MHP uses a POA process, has the POA approved or denied the payment authorization request within 14 calendar days of receipt of the request?			
				Final EV/02 04 day

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1820.220(h) and 1820.230(b).	 <u>5a. URC) OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: URC or designee approved or denied the initial MHP payment authorization later than the third working day from the day of admission <u>5b. POA) OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: POA did not approve or deny the payment authorization within 14 calendar days of receipt of the request
7.	If a hospital's URC authorizes payment, at the time of the initial MHP authorization for payment, did the hospital's URC or its designee specify the date for the subsequent MHP payment authorization determination?	NOTE: Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Worksheet" • Review UR records, URC minutes, UR reports, medical records, and denials OUT OF COMPLIANCE: URC or designee did not specify the date for the subsequent MHP payment authorization determination
8.	Did the URC or its designee, or POA authorize payment for administrative day services only when both of the following criteria (8a. & 8b.) have been met:	<u>NOTE</u> : Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Worksheet"
8a.	During the hospital stay, the beneficiary previously met medical necessity criteria for acute psychiatric inpatient hospital services?	• Review UR records, POA records, URC minutes, UR reports, medical records, denials, and list of all non-acute placement facilities utilized by the facility
8b.	 There is no appropriate, non-acute treatment facility available and the facility has documented its minimum number of appropriate contacts: 1) The status of the placement option? 	 If less than five contacts were made per week, look for written justification The MHP can waive the requirements of five contacts per week if there are fewer than five appropriate, non-acute residential treatment facilities available as placement options for the beneficiary. In no case shall there be less than one contact per week.

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE Y N

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

_	 2) Date of the contact? 3) Signature of the person making the contact? <u>CCR</u>, Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1820.230(d)(2)(A)& (B) and 1820.220(j)(5)(A)&(B). 	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: URC or designee authorized payment for administrative day services for a beneficiary that had not previously met medical necessity criteria as required; there is no appropriate, non-acute treatment facility available and the facility has not documented its minimum number of appropriate contacts
9.	Are persons employed or under contract to provide mental health services as physicians, psychologists, social workers, or marriage and family therapists licensed, waivered, or registered with their licensing boards? <i>W&IC Sections 5778(n) and 5751.2</i>	Review licenses, waivers, and registrations OUT OF COMPLIANCE: MHP employs or contracts with non- licensed/waivered/registered personnel to provide mental health services as physicians, psychologists, social workers, or marriage and family therapists
10. 10a.	Regarding Medical Care Evaluations (MCE) or equivalent studies, does the UR plan contain the following: A description of the methods that the Utilization Review Committee (URC) uses to select and	 Review UR Plan Identify description of methods used to select and conduct MCE or equivalent studies
10b.	conduct MCE or equivalent studies? Documentation of the results of the MCE or equivalent studies that show how the results have been used to make changes to improve the quality of care and promote the more effective and efficient use of facilities and services?	 What does the MHP identify as the MCE equivalent? Review current and past MCE or equivalent studies for two years and published results; URC minutes related to MCE study findings; analysis of MCE or equivalent studies; documentation of improved quality care; changes in use of facilities and services; documented actions taken to correct or investigate deficiencies or problems in the review process; and recommendations for hospital care procedures

		IN	CON	INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν	COMMENTS
10c.	Documentation that the MCE or equivalent studies have been analyzed?			
10d.	Documentation that actions have been taken to correct or investigate any deficiencies or problems in the review process and recommends more effective and efficient hospital care procedures?			
_	<u>CFR,</u> Title 42, Subchapter C, Subpart D, Section 456.242; <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.210.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE : NFP; plan does not contain description of URC methods; URC not using methods; or lack of documentation as required that MCE or equivalent findings are analyzed and how used for improved changes and to correct deficiencies or problems
11.	Regarding MCE or equivalent studies:			Review current and past MCE or equivalent studies for two
11a.	Do the contents of the MCE or equivalent studies meet federal requirements?			years
11b.	Has at least one MCE or equivalent study been completed each calendar year?			
11c.	Is an MCE or equivalent study in progress at all times?			
	<u>CFR</u> , Title 42, Subpart D., Sections 456.243 and 456.245; <u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1820.210.			OUT OF COMPLIANCE: MCE or equivalent studies do not meet federal regulations
12.	Does the SD/MC hospital have a beneficiary documentation and medical record system that meets the requirements of the contract between the MHP and the department and any applicable requirements of state, federal law and regulation?			
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440(c).			OUT OF COMPLIANCE : Documentation and medical record system does not meet the requirements of the contract and any applicable requirements of state, federal law and regulation

IN COMPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEW				
	CRITERIA	Y N		COMMENTS
MU	ST MEET BOTH A & B BELOW)			
A.	CERTIFIED CLASS			
1.	Is the child/youth a member of the certified classes who meets one of the following:		NOTE: This doc	umentation need not be in the chart
1a.	Child/youth is placed in a group home facility of RCL 12 or above and/or locked treatment facility for the treatment of mental health needs? or			
1b.	Child/Youth is being considered by the county for placement in a facility described in 1a? or			onsidered" is defined by the county v "being considered" is defined
1c.	Child/Youth has undergone, at least, one emergency psychiatric hospitalization related to his/her current presenting disability within the preceding 24 months? or			
1d.	Child/Youth previously received TBS while a member of the certified class?		Review prior	TBS notification or other documentation
	DMH Letter No. 99-03, pages 3-4.		OUT OF COMPI	_IANCE : Beneficiary is not a member of the ted in 1a-d

<u></u>	TION M-THERAPEOTIC BEHAVIORAL SE		N COMPLIANCE		INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν		COMMENTS
B.	NEED FOR THIS LEVEL OF SERVICES				
2.	Is there documentation that the child/youth needs TBS for the following reasons (must meet both 2a & 2b):				
2a.	It is highly likely in the clinical judgment of the mental health provider that without additional short term support of TBS:			placement, TBS	n the child/youth may be stable in the current is appropriate if a change in the behavior or pected and TBS is needed to stabilize the child in ment
	• The child/youth will need to be placed in a higher level of residential care, including acute care, because of changes in the child/youth's behaviors or symptoms that places a risk of removal from the home or residential placement? or				umentation in the chart that a change in the symptoms is expected or causing the placement to dy
	• The child/youth needs this additional support to transition to a lower level of residential placement or return to the natural home?				
2b.	The child/youth is receiving other specialty mental health services?				
	DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 4.			OUT OF COMP criteria	LIANCE: Beneficiary does not meet both 2a and 2b

CRITERIA

IN COMPLIANCE

Y N

C. TBS TREATMENT/CLIENT PLAN/ORGANIZATIONAL DOCUMENT

3.	Is there documented evidence that services are provided under the direction of a licensed practitioner of the healing arts (LPHA)? DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 5.	 <u>NOTE</u>: See DMH Letter No. 01-02 for ways direction may be provided LPHA includes: Physicians, licensed/waivered psychologists, licensed/registered/waivered social workers, licensed/registered/waivered Marriage and Family Therapists, and RNs Look for the signature or other documents that may satisfy this requirement <u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: Services are not being provided under the direction of an LPHA
4.	Is the plan for TBS a component of the overall treatment/client plan?	 Review treatment/client plan If the overall treatment plan has been developed by another entity outside of the MHP's specialty mental health service provider network (i.e. private insurance provider) review evidence that the MHP is coordinating care or attempting to coordinate care with that provider as provided by the MHP. Such evidence might include a description, written or verbal, of the coordination contacts <u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u>: The plan for TBS is not a component of the overall treatment/client plan or, if the required specialty mental
	DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 6.	health services are provided by an entity other than the MHP, there is no evidence that the MHP is coordinating care or attempting to coordinate care with an entity outside of the MHP's specialty mental health service provider network (i.e. private insurance provider) who has responsibility for the overall treatment plan

	, IION M-INERAPEUTIC DENAVIORAL SE				INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS
	CRITERIA	Y	Ν		COMMENTS
5.	Does the plan for TBS contain the following (must contain 5a-e):			NOTE: Focus on Review plan for 	presence of elements 5a-e or TBS
5a.	Specific target behaviors or symptoms that are jeopardizing the current place of residence or presenting a barrier to transitions, e.g., temper tantrums, property destruction, assaultive behavior in school?				
5b.	Specific interventions to resolve behaviors or symptoms, such as anger management techniques?				
5c.	Specific outcome measures that can be used to demonstrate that the frequency of targeted behaviors has declined and has been replaced by adaptive behaviors?				
5d.	A transition plan from the inception of TBS to decrease or discontinue TBS when these services are no longer needed or when the need to continue TBS appears to have reached a plateau in benefit effectiveness?			plan of a timeli	In for TBS for evidence in the initial treatment ne for reviewing the partial or complete behavioral benchmarks
5e.	The manner for assisting parents/caregivers with skills and strategies to provide continuity of care when the service is discontinued?			plan that descr skills and strate service is disco	In for TBS for evidence in the initial treatment ribes how parents/caregivers will be assisted with egies to provide continuity of care when the pontinued or a timeline for developing how vers will be assisted
	DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 6.			OUT OF COMPLI	ANCE : No plan for TBS; plan for TBS does not onents 5a-e

IN COMPLIANCE

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS CRITFRIA Υ Ν COMMENTS Is there documented evidence of a monthly review 6. Review documentation of the plan for TBS by the MHP or its designee to ensure that TBS continue to be effective for the • Review charts of TBS open longer then thirty days for evidence beneficiary in making progress towards the of assessment for effectiveness specified measurable outcomes? DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 6. **OUT OF COMPLIANCE:** No documentation of monthly review Is there documented evidence that TBS is 7. Check progress notes or other documentation discontinued when: The identified behavioral benchmarks have been 7a. reached? or Progress towards the behavioral benchmarks is 7b. not being achieved and is not expected to be achieved in the clinical judgment of the MHP/provider? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: TBS is not discontinued when 7a or 7b DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 5. applies 8. Is there documented evidence that TBS is adjusted Check progress notes or other documentation or decreased when progress is documented? OUT OF COMPLIANCE: TBS is not decreased or adjusted when DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 5. progress is documented

SECTION M—THERAPEUTIC BEHAVIORAL SERVICES IN COMPLIANCE

CRITERIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS

D. PROGRESS NOTES

9.	Do progress notes document the following (must meet 9a-c):	NOTE: A note is required for each time period the provider spends with the child
9a.	The date/time period TBS was provided?	<u>NOTE</u> : The time of services may be a progress note by contact/shift
9b.	A signature (or electronic equivalent) of the staff providing the service with job title, and, if applicable, license or professional degree?	
9c.	Writing that is legible?	
	<u>CCR</u> , Title 9, Chapter 11, Section 1810.440(c); DMH Letter No. 99-03, pages 6-7; MHP Contract with DMH, Attachment C.	OUT OF COMPLIANCE: Progress notes for TBS are not in compliance with 9a-c

Y N

E. SERVICE ACTIVITY

10.	Is there documented evidence that the TBS plan and/or progress notes are focused on resolution of target behaviors or symptoms which:	Review TBS plan and progress notes
10a.	Jeopardize the existing placement? or	
10b.	Are a barrier to transitioning to a lower level of residential care and completion of specific treatment goals?	
		<u>OUT OF COMPLIANCE</u> : Evidence that the TBS plan and/or progress notes are not focused on resolution of target behaviors and symptoms which jeopardize existing placements or which are a
	DMH Letter No. 99-03, page 5.	barrier to transitioning to a lower level of care

IN COMPLIANCE INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS CRITFRIA Y N COMMENTS Regarding Therapeutic Behavioral Services: 11. NOTE: Due ongoing to DMH NOTE: Team Coordinator is to obtain listings (TBS beneficiaries and NOAs) from responsible DMH unit prior to the review and compare the DMH's listings to the MHP's listings of TBS beneficiaries and NOAs 11a. Does the MHP submit the required notification information to the DMH within 30 days of commencing TBS services to a beneficiary? Review MHP's list of TBS beneficiaries When applicable, has the MHP been submitting 11b. NOTE: Applicable when services exceed three months update notification(s) guarterly to DMH? Review MHP's list of TBS beneficiaries 11c. Does the MHP submit to the DMH a copy of each Review MHP's list of TBS NOAs TBS Notice of Action within 30 days of issuance? 11d. Regarding certification forms, does the MHP: Note: A certification form declares TBS was considered prior to the vouth's placement in certain higher levels of care 1) Submit the certification forms to the DMH? 2) Maintain the forms in the county? **OUT OF COMPLIANCE:** MHP not submitting notification, NOA, and certification forms to DMH as required; certification forms not maintained in the county DMH Policy Letter Nos. 99-03 and 01-03.

ATTACHMENT A ENFORCEMENT AND CONSEQUENCES FOR NON-COMPLIANCE/TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE & TRAINING

In accordance with Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5614 this serves to notify the County Mental Health Plan (MHP) pursuant to CCR, Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.325, 1810.380(b), and 1810.385, that whenever the department determines that a mental health plan has failed to comply with part or any of the regulations:

1. The department may terminate its contract with an MHP by delivering written notice of termination to the MHP at least 180 calendar days prior to the proposed effective date of termination.

2. The department may impose sanctions, including, but not limited to, fines, penalties, the withholding of payments, special requirements, probationary or corrective actions, or any other actions deemed necessary to prompt and ensure contract and performance compliance. If fines are imposed by the department, they may be withheld from the state matching funds provided to a mental health plan for Medi-Cal mental health services.

3. The department may impose one or more of the civil penalties upon an MHP which fails to comply with the provisions of Part 2.5, Division 5, and Articles 4 and 5, Chapter 8.8, Part 3, Division 9, Welfare and Institutions Code, the provisions of this chapter, or the terms of the MHP's contract with the department.

The MHP may appeal, in writing:

1. A proposed contract termination to the department within 15 working days after the date of receipt of the notice of termination, setting forth relevant facts and arguments. The department shall grant or deny the appeal within 30 calendar days after receipt of the appeal. In granting an appeal, the department may take another action available under section 1810.380(b). The department's election to take another action shall not be appealable to the department. Except for terminations pursuant to section 1810.325(c), the department shall suspend the termination date until the department has acted on the MHP's appeal.

2. A Notice of Non-Compliance to the department within 15 working days after the date of receipt of the notice of termination, setting forth relevant facts and arguments. The department shall grant or deny the appeal in whole or in part within 30 calendar days after receipt of the appeal. The department shall suspend any proposed action until the department has acted on the MHP's appeal.

Following is the procedure for accessing County Operations' assistance:

The staff of the County Operations units are geographically assigned. The staff act as contract liaisons and are available to assist MHP staff to address questions or concerns and to access resources. County Operations is responsible for approving amendments to MHP implementation plans and for coordinating the State Fair Hearing process.

To obtain assistance from County Operations please contact your County Operations' liaison or write to the address below:

County Operations State Department Mental Health 1600 9th Street, Room 100 Sacramento, CA. 95814