ANNUAL SYNAR REPORT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26

OMB № 0930-0222

FFY 2018 State: CA

Table of Contents

Introduction	i
FFY 2018: Funding Agreements/Certifications	
Section I: FFY 2017 (Compliance Progress)	
Section II: FFY 2018 (Intended Use)	
Appendix A: Forms 1–5	
Appendixes B & C: Forms	
Appendix B: Synar Survey Sampling Methodology	28
Appendix C: Synar Survey Inspection Protocol Summary	32
Appendix D: List Sampling Frame Coverage Study	36

OMB No. 0930-0222 Expiration Date: 06/30/2019

Public Burden Statement: An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this project is 0930-0222. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 18 hours per respondent, per year, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, 5600 Fisher's Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

INTRODUCTION

The Annual Synar Report (ASR) format provides the means for states to comply with the reporting provisions of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300x-26) and the Tobacco Regulation for the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (SABG) (45 C.F.R. 96.130 (e)).

How the Synar report helps the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention

In accordance with the tobacco regulations, states are required to provide detailed information on progress made in enforcing youth tobacco access laws (FFY 2017 Compliance Progress) and future plans to ensure compliance with the Synar requirements to reduce youth tobacco access rates (FFY 2018 Intended Use Plan). These data are required by 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and will be used by the Secretary to evaluate state compliance with the statute. Part of the mission of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) is to assist states¹ by supporting Synar activities and providing technical assistance helpful in determining the type of enforcement measures and control strategies that are most effective. This information is helpful to CSAP in improving technical assistance resources and expertise on enforcement efforts and tobacco control program support activities, including state Synar program support services, through an enhanced technical assistance program involving conferences and workshops, development of training materials and guidance documents, and onsite technical assistance consultation.

How the Synar report can help states

The information gathered for the Synar report can help states describe and analyze substate needs for program enhancements. These data can also be used to report to the state legislature and other state and local organizations on progress made to date in enforcing youth tobacco access laws when aggregated statistical data from state Synar reports can demonstrate to the Secretary the national progress in reducing youth tobacco access problems. This information will also provide Congress with a better understanding of state progress in implementing Synar, including state difficulties and successes in enforcing retailer compliance with youth tobacco access laws.

¹The term "state" is used to refer to all the states and territories required to comply with Synar as part of the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant Program requirements (42 U.S.C. 300x-64 and 45 C.F.R. 96.121).

Getting assistance in completing the Synar report

If you have questions about programmatic issues, you may call CSAP's Division of State Programs at (240) 276-2550 and ask for your respective State Project Officer, or contact your State Project Officer directly by telephone or email. If you have questions about fiscal or grants management issues, you may call the Grants Management Officer, Office of Financial Resources, Division of Grants Management, at (240) 276-1422.

Where and when to submit the Synar report

The ASR must be received by SAMHSA no later than December 31, 2017 and must be submitted in the format specified by these instructions. Use of the approved format will avoid delays in the review and approval process. The chief executive officer (or an authorized designee) of the applicant organization must sign page one of the ASR certifying that the state has complied with all reporting requirements.

The state must upload one copy of the ASR using the online WebBGAS (Block Grant Application System). In addition, the following items must be uploaded to WebBGAS:

- FFY 2018 Synar Survey Results: States that use the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) must upload one copy of SSES Tables 1–5 (in Excel) to WebBGAS. States that do not use SSES must upload one copy of ASR Forms 1, 4, and 5, and Forms 2 and 3, if applicable, (in Excel), as well as a database with the raw inspection data to WebBGAS.
- Synar Inspection Form: States must upload one blank copy of the inspection form used to record the result of each Synar inspection.
- Synar Inspection Protocol: States must upload a copy of the protocol used to train inspection teams on conducting and reporting the results of the Synar inspections. This document should be different than the Appendix C attached to the Annual Synar Report
- A scanned copy of the signed Funding Agreements/Certifications

Each state SSA Director has been emailed a login ID and password to log onto the Synar section of the WebBGAS site.

FFY 2018: FUNDING AGREEMENTS/CERTIFICATIONS

The following form must be signed by the Chief Executive Officer or an authorized designee and submitted with this application. Documentation authorizing a designee must be attached to the application.

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES ACT AND SYNAR AMENDMENT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires each state to submit an annual report of its progress in meeting the requirements of the Synar Amendment and its implementing regulation (45 C.F.R. 96.130) to the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services. By signing below, the chief executive officer (or an authorized designee) of the applicant organization certifies that the state has complied with these reporting requirements and the certifications as set forth below.

SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

The state certifies that the Synar survey sampling methodology on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY 2018 is up-to-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL

The state certifies that the Synar Survey Inspection Protocol on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY 2018 is up-to-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

State: CA

Name of Chief Executive Officer or Designee: Jennifer Kent

Signature of CEO or Designee:

Title: Director, Department of Health Care Services

Date Signed:

If signed by a designee, a copy of the designation must be attached.

SECTION I: FFY 2017 (Compliance Progress)

YOUTH ACCESS LAWS, ACTIVITIES, AND ENFORCEMENT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires the states to report information regarding the sale/distribution of tobacco products to individuals under age 18.

- **1.** Please indicate any changes or additions to the state tobacco statute(s) relating to youth access since the last reporting year. If any changes were made to the state law(s) since the last reporting year, please attach a photocopy of the law to the hard copy of the ASR and also upload a copy of the state law to WebBGAS. (*see 42 U.S.C. 300x-26*).
 - a. Has there been a change in the minimum sale age for tobacco products?

Yes	\square	No
------------	-----------	----

f Yes, current minimum age:	19	20	$\boxtimes 21$
------------------------------------	----	----	----------------

b. Have there been any changes in state law that impact the state's protocol for conducting *Synar inspections*?

🗌 Yes 🖂 No

If Yes, indicate change. (Check all that apply.)

Changed to require that law enforcement conduct inspections of tobacco outlets

No

🖂 No

Changed to make it illegal for youth to possess, purchase or receive tobacco

Changed to require ID to purchase tobacco

- Changed definition of tobacco products
- Other change(s) (*Please describe*.)

c. Have there been any changes in state law that impact the following?

Yes

Licensing of tobacco vendors \Box Yes \boxtimes No

Penalties for sales to minors

Vending machines **Yes**

Added additional product

Categories to youth access law \Box Yes \boxtimes No

2. Describe how the Annual Synar Report (see 45 C.F.R. 96.130(e)) and the state Plan (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-51) were made public within the state prior to submission of the ASR. (Check all that apply.)

Placed on file for public review

Posted on a state agency Web site (*Please provide exact Web address and the date when the FFY 2018 ASR was posted to this Web address.*)

<u>Web address:</u> <u>http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/SAPTBLOCKGRANT.aspx</u> <u>Date published:</u>

Notice published in a newspaper or newsletter

Public hearing

Announced in a news release, a press conference, or discussed in a media interview

Distributed for review as part of the SABG application process

Distributed through the public library system

Dublished in an annual register

Other (Please describe.) <u>The California Department of Health Care Services</u> (DHCS) posts a draft copy of the Annual Synar Report on the DHCS website for public review and comment for two weeks prior to submitting the final ASR to SAMHSA for approval. Once the ASR is approved, DHCS reposts the final version on the DHCS Website.

3. Identify the following agency or agencies (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130).

a. The state agency (ies) designated by the Governor for oversight of the Synar requirements:

DHCS and the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) have shared responsibility to oversee the Synar requirements. The Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act of 1994 (Business and Professions [B&P] Code Section 22950-22963) requires the annual transfer of \$2 million from DHCS's SABG award to CDPH to administer the provisions of the Synar Amendment.

Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report?

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

b. The state agency(ies) responsible for conducting random, unannounced Synar inspections:

<u>CDPH</u>, California Tobacco Control Program (CTCP) has an Interagency Agreement with California State University, Sacramento (CSUS), to conduct the random, unannounced inspections of tobacco outlets.

Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report?

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

c. The state agency(ies) responsible for enforcing youth tobacco access law(s):

<u>CDPH</u>, Food and Drug Branch (FDB) is the statewide enforcement agency responsible for enforcing the STAKE Act; however, as a result of legislation enacted in 2007, other state agencies, as well as local law enforcement agencies, are authorized to enforce the STAKE Act. In addition, local law enforcement agencies are responsible for enforcing other tobacco control laws, including Penal Code Section 308 (a), illegal tobacco sales to minors.

Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report?



- 4. Identify the following agencies and describe their relationship with the agency responsible for the oversight of the Synar requirements.
 - a. Identify the state agency responsible for tobacco prevention activities (the agency that receives the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Tobacco Control Program funding).

<u>CDPH, CTCP is responsible for administering California's statewide tobacco</u> prevention and control activities as authorized in Health and Safety Code Part 3, Chapter 1, Article 1, Sections 104350-104480, to comply with Proposition (Prop) 99, the Tobacco Tax and Health Protection Act of 1988 and Prop 56, the California Healthcare, Research, and Prevention Tobacco Tax Act of 2016.

- b. Has the responsible agency changed since last year's Annual Synar Report?
 ☐ Yes ∑ No
- c. Describe the coordination and collaboration that occur between the agency responsible for tobacco prevention and the agency responsible for oversight of the Synar requirements. (*Check all that apply.*) The two agencies
 - \boxtimes Are the same
 - Have a formal written memorandum of agreement

Have an informal partnership

Conduct joint planning activities

Combine resources

- Have other collaborative arrangement(s) (*Please describe*.)
- No relationship
- d. Does a state agency contract with the Food and Drug Administration's Center for Tobacco Products (FDA/CTP) to enforce the youth access and advertising restrictions in the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act?
 in Yes in No (if no, go to Question 5)
- e. If yes, identify the state agency responsible for enforcing the youth access and advertising restrictions in the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (the agency that is under contract to the Food and Drug Administration's Center for Tobacco Products (FDA/CTP)). <u>CDPH, FDB is the statewide enforcement agency responsible for enforcing the youth access and advertising restrictions in the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act.</u>
- f. Has the responsible agency changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? ☐ Yes ⊠ No
- g. Describe the coordination and collaboration that occur between the agency contracted with the FDA to enforce federal youth tobacco access laws and the agency responsible for oversight of the Synar requirements. (*Check all that apply.*) The two agencies:

 \boxtimes Are the same

Have a formal written memorandum of agreement

Have an informal partnership

Conduct joint planning activities

Combine resources

Have other collaborative arrangement(s) (*Please describe*.)

- No relationship
- h. Does the state use data from the FDA enforcement inspections for Synar survey reporting?
 - 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
- 5. Please answer the following questions regarding the state's activities to enforce the state's youth access to tobacco law(s) in FFY 2016 (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130(e)).
 - a. Which one of the following describes the enforcement of state youth access to tobacco laws carried out in your state? (*Check one category only.*)
 - Enforcement is conducted exclusively by local law enforcement agencies.
 - Enforcement is conducted exclusively by state agency(ies).
 - \boxtimes Enforcement is conducted by both local *and* state agencies.

b. The following items concern penalties imposed for all violations of state youth access to tobacco laws by <u>LOCAL AND/OR STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT</u> <u>AGENCIES (this does not include enforcement of local laws or federal youth tobacco access laws)</u>. Please fill in the number requested. If state law does not allow for an item, please mark "NA" (not applicable). If a response for an item is unknown, please mark "UNK." The chart must be filled in completely.

PENALTY	OWNERS	CLERKS	TOTAL
Number of <u>citations issued</u>	243	0	243
Number of fines assessed	243	0	243
Number of permits/licenses suspended	53		53
Number of permits/licenses revoked	1		1
Other (Please describe.)	NA	NA	NA

c. Are citations or warnings issued to retailers or clerks who sell tobacco to minors for inspections that are part of the Synar survey?

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

If "Yes" to 5c, please describe the state's procedure for minimizing risk of bias to the survey results from retailers alerting each other to the presence of the survey teams:

- d. Which one of the following best describes the level of enforcement of state youth access to tobacco laws carried out in your state? (*Check one category only.*)
 - Enforcement is conducted only at those outlets randomly selected for the Synar survey.
 - Enforcement is conducted only at a subset of outlets not randomly selected for the Synar survey.
 - Enforcement is conducted at a combination of outlets randomly selected for the Synar survey and outlets not randomly selected for the Synar survey.
- e. Did every tobacco outlet in the state receive at least one compliance check that included enforcement of the state youth tobacco access law(s) in the last year?

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

f. What additional activities are conducted in your state to support enforcement and compliance with state youth tobacco access law(s)? (*Check all that apply and briefly describe each activity in the text boxes below each activity.*)

Merchant education and/or training

CTCP provides educational materials to over 35,000 California tobacco retailers, including vape shop owners, through a multi-faceted, multi-agency, coordinated

approach. Resources and educational materials related to youth access laws can be found on CTCP's website and include: a *STAKE Act Age-of-sale Warning* sign, a *Letter to Retailers* summarizing changes to state tobacco control laws in 2016, *What is a Tobacco Product*? Tip Sheet, *ID Verification* Tip Sheet, and a *Minimum Sale Age and Electronic Smoking Devices*, and *A Summary for Retailers* fact sheet which is available in Arabic, Punjabi, Chinese, Korean, Vietnamese and Spanish on CTCP's website:

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CCDPHP/DCDIC/CTCB/Pages/Tobacco21.asp <u>x</u>

Other retailer information and resources on CTCP's website include: *two Retail Tobacco Laws Frequently Asked Questions* documents, which responds to questions from retailers about updates to state tobacco laws in 2016; *Minimum Clerk Age Jurisdictions* list, which describes the local jurisdictions that have a minimum clerk age for the sale of tobacco products; *How to Comply: Tips for Retailers* document, which provides guidance on how to comply with the law, tips on training, and how to refuse a sale; and *Notice to Employees* document, which describes new age-ofsale requirements for tobacco products and provides a place for an employee to acknowledge the requirements by signing the document. It is offered as an example and may be modified to meet the needs of the retailer.

CTCP disseminated approximately 69,870 pieces of educational materials to retailers, local and state law enforcement agencies, local health departments, community-based organizations, and grocery stores from July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017. The total included 53,458 of the STAKE Act Age-of-Sale Warning stickers, and 16,322 of the We Check ID window cling.

The FDB STAKE Act Enforcement Unit also conducts merchant education by providing retail store personnel with educational materials about California's tobacco retailer laws and by referring them to the Tobacco Education Clearinghouse of California (TECC) for additional materials. These materials are disseminated subsequent to an inspection resulting in a STAKE Act violation and when the retailer is notified of the violation. Additionally, the FDB sends an official letter to STAKE Act violators that outlines youth access law requirements. Between July 2016 and June 2017, approximately 243 letters were mailed to tobacco retailers that violated the STAKE Act. Over 1,600 STAKE Act inspections are conducted annually by the FDB. Stores checked for compliance are generally selected on a random basis within a given geographic area and/or in response to reports of illegal tobacco sales left on the toll-free number by concerned citizens.

Incentives for merchants who are in compliance (e.g., nonenforcement compliance checks in which compliant retailers are given positive reinforcement and noncompliant retailers are warned about youth access laws)

29 of California's 61 local county and city health departments and many of the competitive grantees funded by CTCP implement a variety of interventions to reduce youth access to tobacco and encourage enforcement of tobacco laws affecting retailers. These interventions include merchant incentives such as retailer public recognition for compliance via: press releases, newspaper articles, website

postings, plaques, store signage and sticker campaigns, and "report card" programs highlighting youth access law compliance.

 \square Community education regarding youth access laws

CTCP maintains and updates youth access materials for tobacco control advocates in the field. These materials are available statewide through TECC. Local projects also use materials available from the TECC catalog and/or create original materials for local distribution. TECC and CTCP's Media Unit are available to assist the local projects to develop specific materials. Many of these materials promote the STAKE Act-mandated toll-free complaint line. Between July 2016 and June 2017, the complaint line logged 225 calls from the public reporting possible illegal tobacco sales to youth.

Media use to publicize compliance inspection results

Local health departments regularly release the results of their local youth tobacco purchase surveys, resulting in media coverage on the issue throughout the state. The results of the 2016 Youth Tobacco Purchase Survey (YTPS) were included in a press release announced by CDPH on October 21, 2016, and posted on the Website <u>https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/OPA/Pages/NR16-063.aspx</u>

As of June 30, 2017, the press release and data charts were viewed more than 1,847 times.

The 2017 YTPS results will be released through a statewide press release and posted on CDPH's Website.

Community mobilization to increase support for retailer compliance with youth access laws

CTCP-funded agencies conduct various educational and policy related interventions to reduce youth access to tobacco. Twenty-two local health departments included a tobacco retailer licensing policy objective in their 2014-2017 work plan. In addition, nine competitive grantees include objectives and educational and/or policy-related interventions to reduce youth access to tobacco products in their work plans.

According to The Center for Policy and Organizing and the Tobacco Control Evaluation Center (TCEC), as of June 30, 2017, there are 209 local tobacco retailerlicensing ordinances enacted in California. Of these, 133 include strong provisions that have sufficient fees to cover enforcement costs and well-defined enforcement provisions. Throughout California, over 160 cities and counties have one or more policies that provide additional protections in the retail environment such as restricting retailer density and/or location in local communities; restricting tobacco marketing and advertising in stores; and restricting the sale of menthol cigarettes and/or other flavored tobacco products. In March 2017, CTCP conducted two *Flavored Tobacco and Menthol* trainings. The trainings provided information specific to urban and rural communities in California. The trainings focused on developing local campaigns to adopt policies restricting the sale of flavored tobacco products and/or inserting flavored tobacco product restricting the sale of structure tobacco products and/or inserting flavored tobacco product restricting the sale of flavored tobacco products and/or inserting flavored tobacco product restricting the sale of flavored tobacco products and/or inserting flavored tobacco product restricting the sale of flavored tobacco products and/or inserting flavored tobacco product restrictions into an existing Tobacco Retailer License policy(ies). The trainings featured speakers who discussed topics such as drafting policy (model resolution and model ordinance language), best practices and strategies for a local policy campaign, how to use the tobacco industry documents from the Master Settlement Agreement and references to menthol in the documents, developing local media campaigns around flavors, and working with youth to educate elected officials.

During this period, CTCP also conducted two Law Enforcement Roundtables, one in San Diego and one in Monterey. The Law Enforcement Roundtables provided information, tools, and guidance for agencies interested in collaborating and maintaining productive working relationships between local and state law enforcement, and between local and state public health and tobacco control departments. The roundtables also assisted in identifying and sharing effective enforcement practices among partners. Other topics of discussion were updates on California tobacco control laws, potential funding opportunities for enforcement and compliance, and tobacco retail licensing.

Other activities (Please list.) <u>Collaboration with the Attorney General's Office,</u> <u>Tobacco Litigation and Enforcement Section (AG), Collaboration with Board of</u> <u>Equalization (BOE), Collaboration with FDB, Evaluation and Surveillance</u> <u>Activities, Healthy Stores for a Healthy Community Campaign (HSHC).</u>

Collaboration with the AG:

The AG's Tobacco Litigation and Enforcement Section provides CTCP with valuable input into the development of statewide strategies to reduce sales to minors and promote compliance with California and federal laws and regulations concerning the sale and marketing of tobacco products at retail. The AG provided CTCP with information about the Assurances of Voluntary Compliance, multi-state agreements between State Attorneys General and national retail chains. 13 agreements are currently in place covering over 100,000 retail outlets nationwide.

The AG continues to be a key stakeholder in the ongoing statewide HSHC retail environment campaign. The AG provided critical technical assistance to CTCP with implementation of tobacco control laws that became effective June 2016.

In November of 2016, California voters approved Proposition 56, a \$2.00 increase per pack in the state's cigarette tax and equivalent tax increase on other tobacco products including electronic cigarettes. The Proposition earmarks \$30 million each year for the California Department of Justice/AG to fund local law enforcement efforts related to the illegal sale and marketing of tobacco products to minors. The Proposition specifically calls for the California Department of Justice to establish and administer a grant-making program to distribute these funds. The AG is collaborating with CTCP and local tobacco control enforcement programs to identify and implement "best practices" in future grant-making.

Collaboration with BOE:

CTCP annually notifies BOE of the statewide rate of illegal tobacco sales to minors. The BOE also coordinates mass mailings of educational brochures to tobacco retailers, STAKE Act age-of-sale warning signs, and order forms for merchant education materials through TECC. CTCP and CTCP-funded contractors collaborated with BOE on the implementation of an ongoing regional retailer training program. During FY 2016-17, BOE collaborated with eleven local tobacco control projects to conduct Tobacco Licensing Act classes for retailers. The aim of the class is to inform and help retailers understand the state's requirements of who must be licensed to sell tobacco products, including electronic smoking devices, what to expect during a BOE inspection, tax records maintenance, and recent changes in law that may affect sales of tobacco products. As of July 1, 2017, BOE was reorganized as the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration.

Collaboration with FDB:

CTCP continues ongoing, productive collaboration with the FDB STAKE Act Enforcement Unit. CTCP and FDB participated in monthly coordination calls with the youth recruitment and Youth Tobacco Purchase Survey contractors to promote communication, efficient delivery of services, and quality assurance.

FDB provides the BOE with available adjudicated STAKE Act violation data (3rd, 4th and 5th STAKE Act Violation) in order to facilitate tobacco retailer license suspension and revocation requirements of the Tobacco Licensing Act (B&P Code Sections 22970-22991).

Evaluation and Surveillance Activities:

CTCP conducts ongoing surveillance through the California Adult Tobacco Survey to monitor public support for enforcement of minimum sales age for tobacco products and regulatory efforts to decrease youth access to tobacco. Additionally, the California Student Tobacco Survey collects data on where youth purchase tobacco products.

With the implementation of the tobacco law raising the minimum age of sale to 21 and redefining e-cigarettes as a tobacco product, CTCP conducted a scientific and unannounced Young Adult Tobacco Purchase Survey and a Young Adult E-Cigarette Purchase survey to assess the illegal sales rate of tobacco and e-cigarettes to persons under 21 years old. Results of the surveys are currently in the approval process.

HSHC Campaign:

CTCP, in partnership with tobacco control stakeholders, DHCS, the CDPH Nutrition Education and Obesity Prevention Branch, Chronic Disease Control Branch, Safe and Active Communities Branch, and Sexually Transmitted Disease Branch, continues to implement the statewide HSHC Campaign. The goal of the campaign is to improve the health of Californians through changes to the retail environment. Monthly stakeholders calls are held to discuss planning and implementation of data collection activities related to the campaign. To support the 61 local agencies, communicate their 2016 HSHC survey results, CTCP prepared numerous materials to create consistent messaging, build momentum, and streamline the work of the local agencies. On March 8, 2017, HSHC survey results from more than 7,000 stores were released via 13 simultaneous press conferences held throughout the state. Over 230 news stories resulted with 48 million impressions in various media platforms. Impressions are an estimated number of people who viewed the story.

SYNAR SURVEY METHODS AND RESULTS

The following questions pertain to the survey methodology and results of the Synar survey used by the state to meet the requirements of the Synar Regulation in FFY 2017 (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130).

6. Has the sampling methodology changed from the previous year?

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

The state is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar sampling methodology on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Sampling Methodology (Appendix B). If the sampling methodology changed from the previous reporting year, these changes must be reflected in the methodology submitted.

- a. If yes, Describe how and when this change was communicated to SAMHSA
- 7. Please answer the following questions regarding the state's annual random, unannounced inspections of tobacco outlets (see 45 C.F.R. 96.130(d) (2)).
 - a. Did the state use the optional Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data?

\square	Yes		No
-----------	-----	--	----

If Yes, attach SSES summary tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 to the hard copy of the ASR and upload a copy of SSES tables 1-5 (in Excel) to WebBGAS. Then go to Question 8. If No, continue to Question 7b.

b. Report the weighted and unweighted Retailer Violation Rate (RVR) estimates, the standard error, accuracy rate (number of eligible outlets divided by the total number of sampled outlets), and completion rate (number of eligible outlets inspected divided by the total number of eligible outlets).

Unweighted RVR

Weighted RVR

Standard error (s.e.) of the (weighted) RVR

Fill in the blanks to calculate the <u>right limit</u> of the right-sided 95% confidence interval.

RVR Estimate	+ plus	(1.645 (1.645	× times) Standard Error)	= equals	Right Limit
Accuracy rate						
Completion rate						

c.	Fill out Form 1 in Appendix A (Forms 1–5). (Required regardless of the sample
	design.)

d.	How were the (weighted) RVR estimate and its standard error obtained?
	(Check the one that applies.)

Form 2 (Optional) in Appendix A (Forms 1–5) (*Attach completed Form 2.*)

Other (Please specify. Provide formulas and calculations or attach and explain the program code and output with description of all variable names.)

e. If stratification was used, did any strata in the sample contain only one outlet or cluster this year?

	□ Yes □ No □ No stratification	
	If Yes, explain how this situation was dealt with in variance estimation	on.
f.	Was a cluster sample design used?	
	□ Yes □ No	
	If Yes , fill out and attach Form 3 in Appendix A (Forms $1-5$), and an following question.	swer the
	If No, go to Question 7g.	
	Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year?	
	□ Yes □ No	
	If Yes, explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance	estimation.
g.	Report the following outlet sample sizes for the Synar survey.	
		Sample Size
	Effective sample size (sample size needed to meet the SAMHSA precision requirement assuming simple random sampling)	
_		
	requirement assuming simple random sampling) Target sample size (the product of the effective sample size and the design	

Final sample size (number of eligible outlets in the sample for which an inspection was completed)

h. Fill out Form 4 in Appendix A (Forms 1–5).

8. Did the state's Synar survey use a list frame?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If Yes, answer the following questions about its coverage.

- a. The calendar year of the latest Sampling frame coverage study: 2017
- b. Percent coverage from the latest Sampling frame coverage study: <u>95.8%</u>
- c. Was a new study conducted in this reporting period?

Yes No

If **Yes**, please complete Appendix D (List Sampling Frame Coverage Study) and submit it with the Annual Synar Report.

- d. The calendar year of the next coverage study planned: 2022
- 9. Has the Synar survey inspection protocol changed from the previous year?

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

The state is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar inspection protocol on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (Appendix C). If the inspection protocol changed from the previous year, these changes must be reflected in the protocol submitted.

- a. If Yes, describe how and when this change was communicated to SAMHSA
- b. Provide the inspection period: From 03/04/17 to 05/26/17MM/DD/YY MM/DD/YY
- c. Provide the number of youth inspectors used in the current inspection year:

<u>81</u>

NOTE: If the state uses SSES, please ensure that the number reported in 9b matches that reported in SSES Table 4, or explain any difference.

One youth had a birthday during data collection and has two youth IDs per CSAP guidelines.

d. Fill out and attach Form 5 in Appendix A (Forms 1–5). (*Not required if the state used SSES to analyze the Synar survey data.*)

SECTION II: FFY 2018 (Intended Use):

Public law 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 of the Public Health Service Act and 45 C.F.R. 96.130 (e) (4, 5) require that the states provide information on future plans to ensure compliance with the Synar requirements to reduce youth tobacco access.

1. In the upcoming year, does the state anticipate any changes in:

Synar sampling methodology	Yes	🖂 No
Synar inspection protocol	Yes	🖂 No

If changes are made in either the Synar sampling methodology or the Synar inspection protocol, the state is required to obtain approval from CSAP prior to implementation of the change and file an updated Synar Survey Sampling Methodology (Appendix B) or an updated Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (Appendix C), as appropriate.

2. Please describe the state's plans to maintain and/or reduce the target rate for Synar inspections to be completed in FFY 2018. Include a brief description of plans for law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, activities that support law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, and any anticipated changes in youth tobacco access legislation or regulation in the state.

In November of 2016, California voters approved Proposition 56, a \$2.00 increase per pack in the state's cigarette tax and an equivalent tax increase on other tobacco products, including electronic smoking devices. The Proposition designates a portion of the tobacco tax revenue be directed toward reducing smoking and tobacco use among all Californians, particularly youth; funding for existing health care programs; and for the implementation of programs to reduce illegal tobacco sales to persons under the minimum sales age. The Proposition also earmarks \$30 million each year for the California Department of Justice/AG to fund local law enforcement efforts related to the illegal sale and marketing of tobacco products to minors

Reducing illegal tobacco sales to persons under the minimum sales age is a major goal of CTCP. Multi-year funding is provided to 61 local health departments, all of which may elect to address reducing tobacco access to persons under age 21 in their comprehensive tobacco control plan. Additionally, funds are made available to community-based organizations through a competitive grant process. CTCP will continue its focus on maintaining or reducing the target rate for Synar inspections by 1) Supporting the enactment of comprehensive, tailored local tobacco retailer licensing policies which incorporate license fees designated for enforcement; and 2) Providing technical assistance and training about emerging retail environment policy strategies, coalition building, and tobacco retailer licensing implementation. Examples of policy intervention goals include: local tobacco retailer licensing; restricting retailer density and/or location in local communities; restricting tobacco marketing and advertising in stores; increasing retailer compliance with local, state and federal tobacco control laws; healthy retailer incentives; restricting the sale of menthol cigarettes and/or flavored other tobacco products; and promoting tobacco-free pharmacies.

CTCP's websites *Partners* and *Rover* (online library catalogue), continue to serve as important technical assistance resources for enforcement best practices and guidelines, advertisements (ads), press releases, case studies, checklists, merchant education materials,

PowerPoint presentations, sample forms, sample letters, sample opinion editorials, survey instruments, and protocols. All are aimed at assisting CTCP-funded projects effectively address the sale and marketing of tobacco products. Additional resources for tobacco control advocates include CTCP's main website,

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CCDPHP/DCDIC/CTCB/Pages/CaliforniaTobaccoControlBranch.aspx_and the TobaccoFreeCA page, http://tobaccofreeca.com/

The CTCP Website includes a section for tobacco retailers directing them to information on the new tobacco laws.

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CCDPHP/DCDIC/CTCB/Pages/Tobacco21.aspx

CTCP funds the Boys and Girls Club of Fresno County to conduct statewide youth recruitment for the STAKE Act compliance checks and the Youth Tobacco Purchase Survey. CTCP will continue to fund statewide projects to support local efforts to reduce the availability of tobacco. ChangeLab Solutions, a CTCP funded agency, will continue to train CTCP-funded projects on retailer licensing policies and emerging policy interventions to reduce tobacco availability in local communities around the state. The Center for Tobacco Policy and Organizing will continue to provide services and hands-on training to CTCP-funded projects conducting community organizing and strategic planning activities as well as youth access-related policy work in their communities. The California Youth Advocacy Network will continue to assist CTCP-funded projects with developing strong evaluation plans and conducting evaluation activities, including retail data collection.

CTCP will continue to refresh, develop, and provide effective and tailored educational materials to promote a reduction in tobacco sales to persons under 21. From July to September of 2016, CTCP's Media Unit conducted a media campaign of California's law raising the minimum age of sale of tobacco to 21. The campaign included gas pump toppers and convenience store ads to be posted at more than 800 gas stations and stores across California. The ads emphasized the legal minimum age of sale and included a referral to the state quit-line. Additionally, from January through March 2017, CTCP's Media Unit conducted a refresh of the Tobacco 21 media campaign, which included gas pump toppers and convenience store ads at more than 1,000 gas stations and stores across California. One-half of the ads emphasized the legal minimum age of sale, while the other half displayed a graphic visual warning of addiction. The ad also included a referral to the state quit-line.

3. Describe any challenges the state faces in complying with the Synar regulation. (*Check all that apply and describe each challenge in the text box below it.*)

Limited resources for law enforcement of youth access laws

The FDB STAKE Act Enforcement Unit has operated with limited staff within a flat \$1.6 million annual budget since the inception of the STAKE Act Enforcement Unit in 1995. Funds collected through penalty assessment for state enforcement of the STAKE Act augment the budget; however, due to increased personnel costs, the budget limits inspection capacity to 7 percent of the approximately 35,000 retailers in the state. With the increased funding from Proposition 56, implemented in April 2017, the STAKE Act Enforcement Unit will have the capacity to increase enforcement staffing and establish a mechanism to recruit underage decoys that are 18-20 years old to conduct enforcement of the minimum age of sale. They will also

have the ability to increase enforcement efforts with electronic smoking devices that deliver nicotine or any vaporized liquid including electronic cigarettes, cigars, pipes, hookah, e-liquids and components of electronic cigarette delivery devices, and coordinate efforts between federal, state and local law enforcement.

Limited resources for activities to support enforcement and compliance with youth tobacco access laws

Financial support for CTCP's retail environment efforts continue to be provided in-kind, such as the maintenance of *Partners* and *Rover*, resource websites for tobacco projects, and the CTCP Website. Other in-kind efforts include the provision of technical assistance and training for local projects, and the publication and dissemination of training materials such as, *Tobacco Control Laws that Affect Retail Businesses* brochure and California Retailers and Tobacco Laws Training.

In State FY 2016-17, CTCP allocated approximately \$ 4,172,545 of Proposition 99 funds to reduce access to tobacco products among persons under the minimum sales age. With Proposition 56 revenues beginning July 2017, it is anticipated that a significant amount of funding supporting local program work will be added. There are also Proposition 56 funds specifically designated to support enforcement and compliance efforts with tobacco laws, including tobacco access laws.

Limitations in the state youth tobacco access laws

Limited public support for enforcement of youth tobacco access laws

Limitations on completeness/accuracy of list of tobacco outlets

Limited expertise in survey methodology

Laws/regulations limiting the use of minors in tobacco inspections

Difficulties recruiting youth inspectors

The recruitment of youth inspectors is an ongoing challenge for a variety of reasons: funding, conflicting youth priorities, youth aging out of the program, parents who do not want their children to participate, conflicting school schedules, and small rural communities where youth are well known and the anonymity of the youth can be compromised. In addition, the sheer size of California presents a challenge in recruiting youth inspectors to cover the entire state.

CDPH will continue helping the youth recruitment contractor tailor recruitment strategies to reach specific communities, groups, and institutions as well as adjust

recruitment timeframes to increase the likelihood that youth of appropriate ages and ethnic/racial backgrounds are recruited for planned decoy operations.

Issues regarding the balance of inspections conducted by youth inspectors age 15 and under

Issues regarding the balance of inspections conducted by one gender of youth inspectors

Geographic, demographic, and logistical considerations in conducting inspections

Cultural factors (e.g., language barriers, young people purchasing for their elders)

Issues regarding sources of tobacco under tribal jurisdiction

Other challenges (Please list.) <u>Expansion of State underage tobacco restriction laws</u>

California increased the minimum age of sale for tobacco products to 21 years of age and broadened the definition of tobacco products to include electronic smoking devices effective June 9, 2016. California was the second state, after Hawaii, to raise the minimum tobacco sale age to 21. New Jersey and at least 255 localities including New York City, Chicago, Boston, Cleveland and both Kansas Cities have also raised the minimum tobacco sale age to 21. With more statewide measures in consideration of raising the minimum tobacco sale age to 21, clear guidance and technical assistance is needed from CSAP for the development of appropriate protocols for future Synar efforts. While the factsheet prepared by the Public Health Law Center is helpful, guidance is needed to update sampling designs and purchase protocols, including determining the age of decoys.

APPENDIX A: FORMS 1–5

FORM 1 (Required for all states not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar Survey data)

Complete Form 1 to report sampling frame and sample information and to calculate the unweighted retailer violation rate (RVR) using results from the current year's Synar survey inspections.

Instructions for Completing Form 1: In the top right-hand corner of the form, provide the state name and reporting federal fiscal year (FFY 2018). Provide the remaining information by stratum if stratification was used. Make copies of the form if additional rows are needed to list all the strata.

Column 1: If stratification was used:

- 1(a) Sequentially number each row.
- 1(b) Write in the name of each stratum. All strata in the state must be listed.

If no stratification was used:

- 1(a) Leave blank.
- 1(b) Write "state" in the first row (indicates that the whole state is a single stratum).

Note for unstratified samples: For Columns 2–5, wherever the instruction refers to "each stratum," report the specified information for the state as a whole.

- Column 2: 2(a) Report the number of over-the-counter (OTC) outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
 - 2(b) Report the number of vending machine (VM) outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
 - 2(c) Report the combined total of OTC and VM outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
- Column 3: 3(a) Report the estimated number of eligible OTC outlets in the OTC outlet population in each stratum.
 - 3(b) Report the estimated number of eligible VM outlets in the VM outlet population in each stratum.
 - 3(c) Report the combined total estimated number of eligible OTC and VM outlets in the total outlet population in each stratum.

The estimates for Column 3 can be obtained from the Synar survey sample as the weighted sum of eligible outlets by outlet type.

- Column 4: 4(a) Report the number of eligible OTC outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
 - 4(b) Report the numbers of eligible VM outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
 - 4(c) Report the combined total of eligible OTC and VM outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
- Column 5: 5(a) Report the number of OTC outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
 - 5(b) Report the number of VM outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
 - 5(c) Report the combined total of OTC and VM outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
- Totals: For each subcolumn (a–c) in Columns 2–5, provide totals for the state as a whole in the last row of the table. These numbers will be the sum of the numbers in each row for the respective column.

				Sumn	nary of Sy	nar Inspe	ection Res	ults by St	ratum				
						-					8	State:	
											I	F FY: <u>2018</u>	
((1)		(2)			(3)			(4)			(5)	
STRA			ER OF OUT IPLING FR		ELIGI	ATED NUM BLE OUTLI OPULATIO	ETS IN		ER OF OU NSPECTEI		VIOL	OUTLETS FO ATION DU ISPECTION	RING
(a) Row #	(b) Stratum Name	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (2a+2b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (3a+3b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (4a+4b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (5a+5b)

FORM 1 (Required for all states not using the Synar Survey Estimation System [SSES] to analyze the Synar Survey data.)

RECORD COLUMN TOTALS ON LAST LINE (LAST PAGE ONLY IF MULTIPLE PAGES ARE NEEDED).

FORM 2 (Optional) Appropriate for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs.

Complete Form 2 to calculate the weighted RVR. This table (in Excel form) is designed to calculate the weighted RVR for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs, accounting for ineligible outlets and noncomplete inspections encountered during the annual Synar survey.

Instructions for Completing Form 2: In the top right-hand corner of the form, provide the state name and reporting federal fiscal year (FFY 2018).

Column 1:	Write in the name of each stratum into which the sample was divided. These should match the strata reported in Column 1(b) of Form 1.
Column 2:	Report the number of outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum. These numbers should match the numbers reported for the respective strata in Column 2(c) of Form 1.
Column 3:	Report the original sample size (the number of outlets originally selected, <i>including</i> substitutes or replacements) for each stratum.
Column 4:	Report the number of sample outlets in each stratum that were found to be eligible during the inspections. Note that this number must be less than or equal to the number reported in Column 3 for the respective strata.
Column 5:	Report the number of eligible outlets in each stratum for which an inspection was completed. Note that this number must be less than or equal to the number reported in Column 4. These numbers should match the numbers reported in Column 4(c) of Form 1 for the respective strata.
Column 6:	Report the number of eligible outlets inspected in each stratum that were found in violation. These numbers should match the numbers reported in Column 5(c) of Form 1 for the stratum.
Column 7:	Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the stratum RVR for each stratum in this column. This is calculated by dividing the number of inspected eligible outlets found in violation (Column 6) by the number of inspected eligible outlets (Column 5). The state unweighted RVR will be shown in the Total row of Column 7.
Column 8:	Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the estimated number of eligible outlets in the population for each stratum. This calculation is made by multiplying the number of outlets in the sampling frame (Column 2) times the number of eligible outlets (Column 4) divided by the original sample size (Column 3). Note that these numbers will be less than or equal to the numbers in Column 2.
Column 9:	Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the relative stratum weight by dividing the estimated number of eligible outlets in the population for each stratum in Column 8 by the Total of the values in Column 8.
Column 10:	Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate each stratum's contribution to the state weighted RVR by multiplying the stratum RVR (Column 7) by the relative stratum weight (Column 9). The weighted RVR for the state will be shown in the Total row of Column 10.
Column 11:	Form 2 (in Excel form) automatically calculates the standard error of each stratum's RVR (Column 7). The standard error for the state weighted RVR will be shown in the Total row of Column 11.
TOTAL:	For Columns 2–6, Form 2 (in Excel form) provides totals for the state as a whole in the last row of the table. For Columns 7–11, it calculates the respective statistic for the state as a whole.

			Calc	ulation of W	eighted Retai	ler Violation	Rate		G + +	
									State:	
									FFY: 2018	
(1) Stratum	(2) N Number of Outlets in Sampling	(3) n Original	(4) n1 Number of Sample Outlets Found	(5) n2 Number of Outlets	(6) x Number of Outlets Found	(7) p=x/n2 Stratum Retailer Violation	(8) N'=N(n1/n) Estimated Number of Eligible Outlets in	(9) w=N'/Total Column 8 Relative Stratum	(10) pw Stratum Contribution to State Weighted	(11) s.e. Standard Error of
Name	Frame	Sample Size	Eligible	Inspected	in Violation	Rate	Population	Weight	RVR	Stratum RVR
	-									
Total										

FORM 2 (Optional) Appropriate for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs.

N - number of outlets in sampling frame

n - original sample size (number of outlets in the original sample)

n1 - number of sample outlets that were found to be eligible

n2 - number of eligible outlets that were inspected

x - number of inspected outlets that were found in violation

p - stratum retailer violation rate (p=x/n2)

N' - estimated number of eligible outlets in population (N'=N*n1/n)

w - relative stratum weight (w=N'/Total Column 8)

pw - stratum contribution to the weighted RVR

s.e. - standard error of the stratum RVR

FORM 3 (Required when a cluster design is used for all states not using the Synar Survey Estimation System [SSES] to analyze the Synar survey data.)

Complete Form 3 to report information about primary sampling units when a cluster design was used for the Synar survey.

Instructions for Completing Form 3: In the top right-hand corner of the form, provide the state name and reporting federal fiscal year (FFY 2018).

Provide information by stratum if stratification was used. Make copies of the form if additional rows are needed to list all the strata.

- Column 1: Sequentially number each row.
- Column 2: *If stratification was used*: Write in the name of stratum. All strata in the state must be listed.

If no stratification was used: Write "state" in the first row to indicate that the whole state constitutes a single stratum.

- Column 3: Report the number of primary sampling units (PSUs) (i.e., first-stage clusters) created for each stratum.
- Column 4: Report the number of PSUs selected in the original sample for each stratum.
- Column 5: Report the number of PSUs in the final sample for each stratum.

TOTALS: For Columns 3–5, provide totals for the state as a whole in the last row of the table.

	Summary of Clusters Created and Sampled				
		S	State:		
			FFY: 2018		
(1) Row #	(2) Stratum Name	(3) Number of PSUs Created	(4) Number of PSUs Selected	(5) Number of PSUs in the Final Sample	
	Total				

FORM 4 (Required for all states not using the Synar Survey Estimation System [SSES] to analyze the Synar Survey data)

Complete Form 4 to provide detailed tallies of ineligible sample outlets by reasons for ineligibility and detailed tallies of eligible sample outlets with noncomplete inspections by reasons for noncompletion.

Instructions for Completing Form 4: In the top right-hand corner of the form, provide the state name and reporting federal fiscal year (FFY 2018).

- Column 1(a): Enter the number of sample outlets found ineligible for inspection by reason for ineligibility. Provide the total number of ineligible outlets in the row marked "Total."
- Column 2(a): Enter the number of eligible sample outlets with noncomplete inspections by reason for noncompletion. Provide the total number of eligible outlets with noncomplete inspections in the row marked "Total."

Inspection Tallies by Reason of Ineligibility or Noncompletion				
State:				
		FFY: 2018		
(1) INELIGIBLE		(2) ELIGIBLE		
Reason for Ineligibility	(a) Counts	Reason for Noncompletion	(a) Counts	
Out of business		In operation but closed at time of visit		
Does not sell tobacco products		Unsafe to access		
Inaccessible by youth		Presence of police		
Private club or private residence		Youth inspector knows salesperson		
Temporary closure		Moved to new location		
Unlocatable		Drive-thru only/youth inspector has no driver's license		
Wholesale only/Carton sale only		Tobacco out of stock		
Vending machine broken		Ran out of time		
Duplicate		Other noncompletion reason(s) (Describe.)		
Other ineligibility reason(s) (<i>Describe.</i>)				
Total		Total		

FORM 5 (Required for all states not using the Synar Survey Estimation System [SSES] to analyze the Synar survey data)

Complete Form 5 to show the distribution of outlet inspection results by age and gender of the youth inspectors.

Instructions for Completing Form 5: In the top right-hand corner of the form, provide the state name and reporting federal fiscal year (FFY 2018).

Column 1: Enter the number of attempted buys by youth inspector age and gender.

Column 2: Enter the number of successful buys by youth inspector age and gender.

If the inspectors are age eligible but the gender of the inspector is unknown, include those inspections in the "Other" row. Calculate subtotals for males and females in rows marked "Male Subtotal" and "Female Subtotal." Sum subtotals for Male, Female, and Other and record in the bottom row marked "Total." Verify that that the total of attempted buys and successful buys equals the total for Column 4(c) and Column 5(c), respectively, on Form 1. If the totals do not match, please explain any discrepancies.

	Synar Survey Inspector Characteristics				
		State:			
		FFY: 2018			
	(1) Attempted Buys	(2) Successful Buys			
Male					
15 years					
16 years					
17 years					
18 years					
Male Subtotal					
Female					
15 years					
16 years					
17 years					
18 years					
Female Subtotal					
Other					
Total					

APPENDIXES B & C: FORMS

Instructions

Appendix B (Sampling Design) and Appendix C (Inspection Protocol) are to reflect the state's CSAP-approved sampling design and inspection protocol. These appendixes, therefore, should generally describe the design and protocol and, with the exception of Question #10 of Appendix B, are not to be modified with year-specific information. Please note that any changes to either appendix must receive CSAP's advance, written approval. To facilitate the state's completion of this section, simply cut and paste the previously approved sampling design (Appendix B) and inspection protocol (Appendix C).

APPENDIX B: SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

State:	CA
FFY:	2018

1. What type of sampling frame is used?

- ⊠ List frame (Go to Question 2.)
- Area frame (*Go to Question 3.*)
- List-assisted area frame (Go to Question 2.)
- 2. List all sources of the list frame. Indicate the type of source from the list below. Provide a brief description of the frame source. Explain how the lists are updated (method), including how new outlets are identified and added to the frame. In addition, explain **how often the lists are updated (cycle).** (After completing this question, go to Question 4.)

6 – Other

Use the corresponding number to indicate Type of Source in the table below.

- 1 Statewide commercial business list
- 2 Local commercial business list
- 3 Statewide tobacco license/permit list
- 4 Statewide retail license/permit list
- 5 Statewide liquor license/permit list

Name of Frame Source	Type of Source	Description	Updating Method and Cycle
BOE Licensing List	3	The licensing list is provided by the BOE. The Cigarette and Tobacco Products Licensing Act of 2003 (California B&P Code Section 22970-22995) requires California retailers of cigarettes and tobacco products to obtain a license from the BOE in order to sell tobacco products.	The list is continually updated by the BOE

- 3. If an area frame is used, describe how area sampling units are defined and formed.
 - a. Is any area left out in the formation of the area frame?

Yes No

If **Yes**, what percentage of the state's population is not covered by the area frame? %

- 4. Federal regulation requires that vending machines be inspected as part of the Synar survey. Are vending machines included in the Synar survey?
 - **Yes No**

If No, please indicate the reason(s) they are not included in the Synar survey. Please check all that apply.

- State law bans vending machines from locations accessible to youth.
- State has a contract with the FDA and is actively enforcing the vending machine requirements of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act.
- Other (*Please describe*.)

If Yes, please indicate how likely it is that vending machines will be sampled.

☐ Vending machines are sampled separately to ensure vending machines are included in the sample

Vending machines are sampled together with over the counter outlets, so it is possible that no vending machines were sampled, however they are included in the sampling frame and have a non-zero probability of selection
 Other reasons (*Please describe.*)

5. Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one.)

Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete.)

Unstratified statewide sample:

Simple random sample (Go to Question 9.)

Systematic random sample (*Go to Question* 6.)

Single-stage cluster sample (Go to Question 8.)

Multistage cluster sample (Go to Question 8.)

Stratified sample:

- Simple random sample (Go to Question 7.)
- Systematic random sample (*Go to Question 6.*)
- Single-stage cluster sample (*Go to Question* 7.)
- Multistage cluster sample (Go to Question 7.)
- **Other** (*Please describe and go to Question 9.*).
- **6. Describe the systematic sampling methods.** (*After completing Question 6, go to Question 7 if stratification is used, Otherwise go to Question 9.*)

7. Provide the following information about stratification.

- a. Provide a full description of the strata that are created.
- b. Is clustering used within the stratified sample?

Yes (Go to Question 8.) No (Go to Question 9.)

- 8. Provide the following information about clustering.
 - **a. Provide a full description of how clusters are formed.** (*If multistage clusters are used, give definitions of clusters at each stage.*)
 - **b.** Specify the sampling method (simple random, systematic, or probability proportional to size sampling) for each stage of sampling and describe how the method(s) is (are) implemented.

9. Provide the following information about determining the Synar Sample.

a. Was the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) used to calculate the sample size?

Yes (*Respond to part b.*)

 \boxtimes No (Respond to part c and Question 10c.)

b. SSES Sample Size Calculator used?

State Level(Respond to Question 10a.)Stratum Level(Respond to Ouestion 10a and 10b.)

c. Provide the formulas for determining the effective, target, and original outlet sample sizes.

The effective sample is size given by:

$$n_e = \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 p(1-p)}{d^2}$$

where,

 $z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.96$, which is $100(1 - \alpha/2)$ percentile of the standard normal distribution with $\alpha = 0.05$,

p = target illegal sales rate of 0.2, d = desired precision of 0.03 with respect to the two-sided 95% confidence interval.

The target sample size, n_t , is the effective sample size times the design effect. The design effect, d_e is 1.0 because the survey uses a simple random sample. Thus, the target sample size is the same as the effective sample size.

 $n_t = n_e * d_e$

The original sample size is then given by

$$n_o = \frac{n_t}{r_i r_c}$$

where, r_i is the eligibility rate from the previous year's survey and r_c is the completion rate from the previous year's survey.

The calculated original sample size is further inflated by an inflation factor that varies from year to year.

10. Provide the following information about sample size calculations for the Synar survey conducted in FFY 2017

a. If the state uses the sample size formulas embedded in the SSES Sample Size Calculator to calculate the state level sample size, please provide the following information:

Inputs for Effective Sample Size:

RVR:

Frame Size:

Input for Target Sample Size:

Design Effect:

Inputs for Original Sample Size:

Safety Margin:

Accuracy (Eligibility) Rate:

Completion Rate:

- b. If the state uses the sample size formulas embedded in the SSES Sample Size Calculator to calculate the stratum level sample sizes, please provide the stratum level information:
- c. If the state does not use the sample size formulas embedded in the SSES Sample Size Calculator, please provide all inputs required to calculate the effective, target, and original sample sizes as indicated in Question 9.

RVR: 20% Design Effect: 1.0 Eligibility Rate: 85.2% Completion Rate: 96.1% Actual Inflation Factor: 150

APPENDIX C: SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL SUMMARY

State:	CA
FFY:	2018

)

)

)

Note: Upload to WebBGAS a copy of the Synar inspection form under the heading "Synar Inspection Form" and a copy of the protocol used to train inspection teams on conducting and reporting the results of the Synar inspections under the heading "Synar Inspection Protocol."

1. How does the state Synar survey protocol address the following?

- a. Consummated buy attempts?
 - Required
 - Permitted under specified circumstances (Describe:
 - Not permitted

b. Youth inspectors to carry ID?

- Required
- Permitted under specified circumstances (Describe:
- Not permitted

c. Adult inspectors to enter the outlet?

- **Required**
- Permitted under specified circumstances (Describe:
- Not permitted

d. Youth inspectors to be compensated?

- ⊠ Required
- Permitted under specified circumstances (Describe:
- Not permitted

2. Identify the agency(ies) or entity(ies) that actually conduct the random, unannounced Synar inspections of tobacco outlets. (*Check all that apply.*)

- Law enforcement agency(ies)
- State or local government agency(ies) other than law enforcement
- Private contractor(s)

Other

List the agency name(s): California State University, Sacramento (CSUS)

3. Are Synar inspections combined with law enforcement efforts (i.e., do law enforcement representatives issue warnings or citations to retailers found in violation of the law at the time of the inspection?)?

Always	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely	🛛 Never
--------	---------	-----------	--------	---------

- 4. Describe the type of tobacco products that are requested during Synar inspections.
 - a. What type of tobacco products are requested during the inspection?
 - Cigarettes
 Small Cigars
 Cigarillos
 Smokeless Tobacco
 - Electronic Cigarettes/Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems (ENDS)
 - \bigcirc Other Large Cigars
 - b. Describe the protocol for identifying what types of products and what brands of products are requested during an inspection.

Youth are instructed to first ask for Marlboro or Camel cigarettes. These brands were selected because they are commonly available in stores and popular among youth. If neither of these brands are sold, the youth ask for a different brand of cigarettes. If the retailer does not sell cigarettes, the youth ask for cigars. If the retailer does not sell cigarettes, the youth ask for cigars. If the retailer does not sell cigarettes, the youth ask for cigars.

5a. Describe the methods used to recruit, select, and train adult supervisors.

CDPH/CTCP entered into an Interagency Agreement with CSUS to conduct the survey. Their responsibilities include: verification of stores, finalizing the survey instrument, training youth and research assistants, conducting the survey, and entering the data.

CSUS adult research assistants accompany the youth to conduct the tobacco purchase survey. The research assistants attend a two-hour training session prior to conducting fieldwork. At the first store site, the research assistants are observed by CSUS staff to ensure that the survey procedures are properly followed. Adult supervisors are trained with a standardized training protocol.

5b. Describe the methods used to recruit, select, and train youth inspectors.

CTCP funds the Boys and Girls Club of Fresno County to conduct an ongoing youth decoy outreach program, which recruits approximately 300 youth per year to participate in tobacco sales surveys and enforcement activities. The Boys and Girls Club conducts ongoing recruitment activities by coordination and collaboration with health departments and law enforcement agencies. The Boys and Girls Club also partners with school groups, faith-based organizations, youth service groups/agencies, community-based organizations and tobacco control coalitions. Media activities that aid in recruitment such as radio public service announcements and marketing activities are also conducted. Behavior modification materials in the form of incentives and stipends are given to youth to promote program recruitment and retention.

CDPH/CTCP entered into an Interagency Agreement with CSUS to conduct the scientific survey. CSUS is responsible for: verification of stores, updating the survey instrument, training youth and research assistants, conducting the survey, and entering the data.

CSUS provided youth participants with 30-90 minutes of training prior to participation in the youth tobacco purchase survey using a standardized training protocol. All youth-identifying data was kept confidential to protect the safety and identity of the youth.

6. Are there specific legal or procedural requirements instituted by the state to address the issue of youth inspectors' immunity when conducting inspections?

a.	Legal	
	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	
	(If Yes, please describe.)	
b.	Procedural	
	Yes No	
	(If Yes, please describe.)	

- 7. Are there specific legal or procedural requirements instituted by the state to address the issue of the safety of youth inspectors during all aspects of the Synar inspection process?
 - a. Legal

Yes No

(If Yes, please describe.)

b. Procedural

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

(If Yes, please describe.)

The safety of minors involved in all STAKE Act enforcement and survey inspection operations is of the utmost concern to all supervising adults and enforcement agents. The safety protocol used by CSUS is as follows:

Youth are never sent into potentially volatile or dangerous situations. If adults or youth find themselves in an "uncomfortable" or "confrontational" situation while in a store or neighborhood, they are to exit the area immediately. No one is to confront a store clerk or customer for any reason. Youth are to report "uncomfortable" situations immediately to the accompanying adult.

All participants are instructed to wear seat belts while traveling in a car. Doors should be locked, and every effort made to act in a safety-conscious manner at all times. Adults are to be cautious drivers and obey all traffic rules. Participants should not jaywalk across streets or walk against red traffic lights.

Each accompanying adult is to carry a letter from CDPH verifying the legitimacy of the surveying activities. In addition, adults are required to carry the phone number of a CSUS staff member who can be reached during the purchase attempt activities should a problem arise.

- 8. Are there any other legal or procedural requirements the state has regarding how inspections are to be conducted (e.g., age of youth inspector, time of inspections, training that must occur)?
 - a. Legal

Yes	No
------------	-----------

(If Yes, please describe.)

For FFY 17, the STAKE Act regulation required using 15 or 16-year-old youth in inspections.

b. Procedural

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

(If Yes, please describe.)

APPENDIX D: LIST SAMPLING FRAME COVERAGE STUDY

(LIST FRAME ONLY)

State:	CA
FFY:	2018

1. Calendar year of the coverage study: 2017

- 2. a. Unweighted percent coverage found: <u>95.6</u>%
 - **b.** Weighted percent coverage found: <u>95.8</u>%
 - c. Number of outlets found through canvassing: 160
 - d. Number of outlets matched on the list frame: <u>153</u>

3. a. Describe how areas were defined. (*e.g.*, *census tracts, counties, etc.*)

Areas were defined by zip code and census tract.

- b. Were any areas of the state excluded from sampling?
 - 🗌 Yes 🛛 No

If Yes, please explain.

4. Please answer the following questions about the selection of canvassing areas.

a. Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one.)

Census (*Go to Question 6.*)

Unstratified statewide sample:

- Simple random sample (*Respond to Part b.*)
- Systematic random sample (*Respond to Part b.*)
- Single-stage cluster sample (*Respond to Parts b and d.*)
- Multistage cluster sample (*Respond to Parts b and d.*)

Stratified sample:

- Simple random sample (*Respond to Parts b and c.*)
- Systematic random sample (*Respond to Parts b and c.*)
- Single-stage cluster sample (*Respond to Parts b, c, and d.*)
- Multistage cluster sample (*Respond to Parts b, c, and d.*)
- **Other** (*Please describe and respond to Part b.*)_____

b. Describe the sampling methods.

The list frame was used to estimate the number of retailers in each area. Fifteen zip codes were selected using the probabilities proportional to size method, with the

size measure of the zip code being defined by the number of retailers it contained. If a zip code contained fewer than 20 estimated outlets, then the entire zip code was selected as an area to be included in the study. If a zip code contained more than 20 estimated outlets, census tracts within the zip code were randomly selected to aggregate into an area that contained about 10 estimated outlets.

To determine which census tracts to include within the zip code, each retailer was geocoded using ArcGIS to spatially locate the retailers within their corresponding census tracts in order to estimate the number of retailers in each tract. The census tracts were sorted by tract ID within the zip code and a tract was randomly selected. Tract selection was repeated until the grouping of tracts within the zip code contains more than 10 estimated retailers, which together made up an area for the study.

Using this method, we ultimately obtained 15 areas with an estimated 183 retailers.

c. Provide a full description of the strata that were created.

The state of California was stratified by zip code, and then each zip code was stratified by census tract as required.

d. Provide a full description of how clusters were formed.

Clusters of census tracts were formed within zip codes with a large number of outlets as needed. Census tract were selected randomly selected to create a sampling area of the appropriate size.

5. Were borders of the selected areas clearly identified at the time of canvassing?

Yes No

6. Were all sampled areas visited by canvassing teams?

- **Yes** (Go to Question 7.) **No** (Respond to Parts a and b.)
 - a. Was the subset of areas randomly chosen?
 - Yes No
 - **b.** Describe how the subsample of visited areas was drawn. Include the number of areas sampled and the number of areas canvassed.
- 7. Were field observers provided with a detailed map of the canvassing areas?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If No, describe the canvassing instructions given to the field observers.

8. Were field observers instructed to find all outlets in the assigned area?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If No, respond to Question 9. If Yes, describe any instructions given to the field observers to ensure the entire area was canvassed, then go to Question 10.

Field observers were trained to go inside every business of any kind within the selected area to determine whether tobacco was sold and whether the store was accessible to minors. Tobacco includes cigarettes, little cigars or cigarillos, big cigars, smokeless tobacco (e.g., chew, dip, snuff, snus, hookah), and electronic smoking devices (e.g. e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-hookah, hookah pens, tanks, mods). Field observers were provided with a detailed map with routes outlined for them to follow, and were instructed to review both sides of the road, including both sides of the road on the border areas. Field observers were trained to enter malls, shopping centers, recreational areas and other large areas to assess whether any of the stores inside sold tobacco. A canvassing form was to be filled out at every location that sold tobacco products and was also inputted in an electronic handheld data collection instrument.

9. If a full canvassing was not conducted:

- a. How many predetermined outlets were to be observed in each area?
- b. What were the starting points for each area? _
- c. Were these starting points randomly chosen?

Yes No

- d. Describe the selection of the starting points.
- e. Please describe the canvassing instructions given to the field observers, including predetermined routes.

10. Describe the process field observers used to determine if an outlet sold tobacco.

Field observers were told to enter each business they found and assess whether tobacco was sold there, either through observation or by asking a store employee.

11. Please provide the state's definition of "matches" or "mismatches" to the Synar sampling frame? (i.e., address, business name, business license number, etc).

An outlet found during canvassing was considered a match to the list frame when the store name, address, or geospatial locations were the same.

12. Provide the calculation of the weighted percent coverage (if applicable).

$$P_r = \frac{M}{T} \times 100\%$$

$$P_c = \frac{P_{r1} \times W_1 + P_{r2} \times W_2 + \dots + P_{r15} \times W_{15}}{W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_{15}} \times 100\%$$

$$W = W_P \times W_S$$

where,

 P_r is the raw percent of coverage,

M is the number of matched outlets on the list frame in the sample area,

T is the number of matched outlets found in the canvassing in the sample area,

 P_c is the weighted percent of coverage,

W is the final weight,

 W_p is the weight for probability proportional to size sampling of zip codes, and

 W_s is the weight for selection of census tracts from zip code

