

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Data/ Outcomes	1	Care Coordination/ Data Sharing	Develop a patient and provider friendly system for sharing MHSUD clinical info. across all current clinical care providers.
Data/ Outcomes	2	Data Collection Coordination	<p>Create a coordinated method for data collection and evaluation of outcomes that helps to ensure excellence in care and improved outcomes for all recipients. This involves evaluating the specific critical performance metrics and outcome measures DHCS is currently using (and going to use) in key MHSUDS areas to monitor performance, evaluate progress, inform decisions, drive actions and raise questions that may invite further analysis and investigation in order to improve care and quality using health information technology. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify and allocate resources critical to the success of this project.</li> <li>• Establish a task force to help develop the strategy and set the stage for implementation.</li> <li>• Research and identify all required measurements, outcomes, and data for both treatment and prevention services.</li> <li>• Review current work by state organizations, counties, and other entities to determine areas of agreement, duplication, and gaps.</li> <li>• Clarify the unique roles and responsibilities of the range of governmental organizations and other entities that are involved in evaluation efforts across the state.</li> <li>• Develop a measurement system that builds on existing work and recommends deletion of duplicate or unnecessary work.</li> </ul>
Data/ Outcomes	3	Data Collection Coordination	<p>Implement a comprehensive, statewide data-driven system.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify near- and long-term objectives and specify roles and responsibilities.</li> <li>• Determine the readiness of participants to meet the near-term objectives, including technology systems and data element reporting structures, and arrange technical assistance as needed</li> <li>• Work with partners and all stakeholders to ensure the continued scalability and utility of the system over time; make recommendations for modification as needed.</li> </ul>
Data/ Outcomes	4	Data Collection Coordination	What data is currently collected? What needs to continue to be collected; what can be eliminated?

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Data/ Outcomes	5	Data Collection Coordination	Strengthening and integrating data systems to assure better system wide data availability and information flow as well as user friendly data systems and reporting.
Data/ Outcomes	6	Data Collection Coordination	<p>As noted above, DHCS understands the importance of establishing a central point for collection and analysis of Medi-Cal mental health and substance use service performance measurement and quality improvement issues. Key functions of this centralized function would be to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• collect data from all the disparate sources (including counties); analyze and interpret the data;</li> <li>• make it available on a regular basis to DHCS management and county management for system tracking and decision support;</li> <li>• publish summary information for use by the field and its stakeholders.</li> <li>• translate findings from the data analyses into annual quality improvement initiatives to be carried out on a statewide or local basis in concert with physical health plans, MHPs, and DMC.</li> <li>• collect and disseminate information on evidence based and promising practices to plans and providers in the field</li> <li>• assist county level entities to adopt their own quality improvement plans to address specific county level system and provider issues.</li> </ul>
Data/ Outcomes	7	Data Collection Coordination	Enhance database to collect and querie MHSUD beneficiary and provider data. - System should be robust enough to meet CMS and Department needs and improve access to information for end-users, while ensuring HIPAA Compliance, and PI confidentiality.
Data/ SUD PL	8	Data Collection Coordination	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Client Reporting issues; specifically: Streamline the reporting process
Data/ Outcomes	9	Health Information Technology/ Electronic Health Record	Improve care and quality using health information technology.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Data/ Outcomes	10	Information Technology/ Data Systems	There needs to be a stronger and more effective DHCS web presence for health and behavioral health to facilitate stakeholder access, education and involvement.
Data/ Outcomes	11	Outcomes Reporting	To what degree are uninsured un-enrolled individuals being effectively enrolled in Medi-Cal and engaged, as needed, in mental health and substance use services? a. Are special populations being enrolled at an adequate rate to compensate for higher rates on un-insurance? b. Are all counties performing equally well in meeting enrollment expectations?
Data/ Outcomes	12	Outcomes Reporting	Once enrolled, to what degree are these individuals receiving mental health and substance use services at predicted rates?
Data/ Outcomes	13	Outcomes Reporting	What are the per service and per year costs, for primary care and specialty care, of providing mental health and substance use services to the Medi-Cal expansion population, how do these differ from predicted costs, and how do they differ from the existing Medi-Cal population?
Data/ Outcomes	14	Outcomes Reporting	Review data to determine the mix of mental health and substance use services received by the expansion population as compared to the Medi-Cal existing population?

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Data/ Outcomes	15	Outcomes Reporting	<p>Consider assessing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What effect has Medi-Cal expansion had on drug-related death rates in California?” (Data Source for tracking drug-related deaths in California: CDPH, Center for Chronic Disease Prevention, Division of Chronic Disease and Injury Control, Safe and Active Communities Branch.)</li> <li>• What proportion of substance use treatment facilities offered screening for hepatitis C?” (Data Source for tracking the proportion of substance use treatment facilities offering hepatitis C screening: National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services.)</li> <li>• what proportion of mental health and substance use service participants receive both mental health and substance use services on an annual basis?</li> <li>• What proportion of mental health and substance use participants are high cost users of health care services, and thus require care coordination or other similar interventions?</li> <li>• What proportion of mental health and substance use service participants receive a service encounter following screening.</li> <li>• Determine the feasibility of measuring to what degree mental health and substance abuse participants within Medi-Cal move back and forth between uninsured statuses or into commercial insurance on an annual basis? Does the rate of movement between coverage statuses differ for people with mental health and substance use diagnoses as compared to physical health-only participants?</li> </ul>
Data/ Outcomes	16	Performance and Outcome Monitoring	<p>MEDS: Better understanding the criteria for access to MEDS data</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• While only clarification of MEDS access is mentioned here, the same could be said of many other important data systems including CalOMS, CSI, etc. We recommend expanding this issue to include DHCS data systems relevant to performance and outcome monitoring more generally.</li> </ul>
Data/ Outcomes	17	Performance and Outcome Monitoring	<p>The state should clarify DHCS’s role with regard to Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) accountability.</p>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Data/ Outcomes	18	Quality Improvement	<p>Develop a comprehensive system that supports evaluation, accountability, and quality improvement. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Developing plans to enhance overall credibility of MHSUD services through strong performance accountability.</li> <li>• Support ongoing improvement in quality of care and prevention;</li> <li>• Support performance-based evaluation of clients as well as population outcomes</li> <li>• Demonstrate accountability to all appropriate state and county entities, and stakeholders.</li> </ul> <p>Address wellness, recovery, and resiliency; cultural and linguistic issues, including challenges related to threshold languages; underserved, un-served, and inappropriately served populations; and the need to focus on the entire life span (i.e., infants, children, youth, adults, older adults). (See Appendix A – Issue paper #1: Business Plan)</p>
Data/ Outcomes	19	Screening & Referrals	<p>DHCS should work with MCPs and MHPs to address issues related to timely information exchange during referral, active treatment, and inpatient phases, including: beneficiary demographic information; diagnosis; treatment plan; medications prescribed; laboratory results; referrals/discharges to/from inpatient and crisis services; and known changes in condition that may adversely impact the beneficiary's health and welfare--so as to ensure effective bi-directional referrals---what's often referred to as a "warm hand off and a hug".</p>
Data/ Outcomes	20	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	<p>Current regulations for Outpatient Drug Free Services restrict individual counseling unless it is for assessment, treatment planning, crisis intervention or discharge. The recommendation is for the Department to consider changing this as it was seen as inconsistent with best practice.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Treatment needs to be "unhitched" from programs. There is a need to access SUD treatment in the same settings that MH care is available. A person shouldn't have to go to a treatment center as his or her only means to receive counseling for SUDs.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	1	Cost reporting	<p>Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create a unified cost reporting system.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	2	Cost reporting	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a unified cost report system similar to the single cost report used by hospitals for Medicare.</li> </ul>
Fiscal/SUD PL	3	Cost reporting	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Cost report settlement issues and specifically to streamline the cost reporting and settlement processes.
Fiscal/SUD PL	4	Fiscal Administration	Recommendation for careful discussion of DMC Administrative Issues. This recommendation recognizes that when counties assumed 100% financial responsibility for the DMC entitlement program through 2011 Realignment, a number of administrative issues immediately became cause for counties' concern. They include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delays in federal reimbursement.</li> <li>• Disjointed CPE process.</li> <li>• Recouping administrative costs.</li> <li>• County Consultation.</li> <li>• EPSDT Service Costs.</li> <li>• Same Day Services.</li> </ul>
Fiscal/SUD PL	5	Fiscal Billing and Claims	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Billing issues; specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Streamline the billing process</li> <li>• Explore conforming DMC billing to existing Department of Mental Health (DMH) practices</li> <li>• Create greater flexibility on billing submission deadlines</li> <li>• Clarify billing policies relating to Minor Consent and dual eligible clients</li> </ul> Accept credit card payments for narcotic treatment program (NTP) slot fees

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal/SUD PL	6	Fiscal Billing and Claims	<p>Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Claims issues</p> <p>Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Examine the legal and business rules for timely reimbursement of claims</li> <li>• Simplify system for providers</li> <li>• Reduce the time to process reimbursements</li> <li>• Reduce number of disallowed claims</li> <li>• Review information technology system requirements and business processes</li> </ul>
Fiscal	7	Fiscal Incentives	<p>Explore new approaches to purchasing MH/SUD services. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding should incentivize successful interventions that are cost-effective and result in high levels of customer satisfaction, and not base such interventions on the volume of service units or exclusively on the establishment of medical necessity.</li> <li>• Fiscal incentives should be established for providers who can document that the interventions they provide to clients are directly related to improvements in health and quality of life, thereby indicating effectiveness of services.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	8	Fiscal Incentives	<p>Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. The state and counties have an opportunity to create financial incentives for continuing care and long-term care for chronic SUD conditions, as well as linkages with primary care and attainment of good health outcomes. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create a specialized workgroup to provide options on possible fiscal incentives, as well as financing and billing barriers to integrated care models.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	9	Fiscal Oversight	<p>Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: The state and counties should determine the specific roles that each will play to oversee, monitor, and assure financial accountability.</p>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	10	Fiscal Oversight	<p>Develop process for state &amp; counties to define roles &amp; responsibilities to manage shared financial risk. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Determine where authority lies for which types of decisions.</li> <li>• Determine the extent to which discontinuities exist between authority, responsibility and financing, and where legislation, regulations, or new models are needed.</li> <li>• Fund small counties according to a formula that a). recognizes the unique fiscal and service delivery context of small and isolated service systems, and b). addresses increases in utilization, caseload growth, and cost increases.</li> </ul>
Fiscal/SUD PL	11	Fiscal Rates	<p>Recommendation for DMC Rate Setting issues; specifically: Examine increasing the reimbursement rates for services</p>
Fiscal	12	Fiscal Reimbursement	<p>Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide counties with flexibility to establish rates for SUD treatment similar to MH Medi-Cal contracts with providers.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	13	Fiscal Reimbursement	<p>Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. Including but not limited to: The county-of-service vs. county of residence issue in Medi-Cal reimbursement is resolved.</p>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	14	Fiscal Reimbursement	<p>Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. DHCS will have options for the design of state and county financing mechanisms; for example, continued fee-for-service, capitation, pay-for-performance, or other models. DHCS will also be in a position to issue guidance or direction for the county-provider relationship. A similar range of options will be available for local-level provider reimbursement – per-member per-month, case rate or other bundled reimbursement, pay for performance, and other methods. Selection of provider payment methods could also be a county option. Standardization of billing and other fiscal systems is important as long as it does not mean forcing SUD billing, budgets, and cost reports inappropriately into a MH or primary care framework. Lack of standardization in fiscal systems keeps MH and SUD locked into silos. Just as we work toward integration of patient care, we should be moving toward integration of billing and the reporting of fiscal, patient and encounter data across primary care, MH and SUD services.(all) . Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standardization of reimbursement mechanisms for providers across counties that are compliant with Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and 42 CFR, Part 2 confidentiality regulations. Utilize lessons learned from the dual-eligible pilots.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	15	Fiscal Reimbursement	FQHCs should have the ability to provide SMHS and DMC services and be paid separately from their PPS (prospective payment system) rate.
Fiscal	16	Fiscal Reimbursement	<p>Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• County reimbursement of providers is aligned with outcomes. This is a phased process considering all the other changes on the horizon. The system has metrics on which outcome-incentivized reimbursements can be based.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	17	Fiscal Reimbursement	<p>Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A preferential reimbursement for evidence-based practices.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	18	Fiscal Reimbursement	Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding policy permits a balanced combination of standardization and innovation.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	19	Fiscal Reimbursement	Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Savings in primary care (e.g., overnight stays, emergency department visits) that are produced by MH and SUD services are reinvested in the MH and SUD system.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	20	Fiscal Reimbursement	Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multiple services in the same day are reimbursable.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	21	Fiscal Reimbursement	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create a standardized and simplified methodology for provider reimbursement and billing.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	22	Fiscal Reimbursement	Pursue solutions to provide counties with greater flexibility to manage fiscal & program risks as well as to implement different program and fiscal models. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce financing barriers and create financial structures to support integration of care.</li> </ul>
Fiscal/SUD PL	23	Fiscal Reimbursement	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC rate setting, specifically: Since counties provide the certified public expenditure (CPE) for DMC, the rate setting process must be an annual collaborative venture between the state and counties, similar to the process that exists on the Medi-Cal Mental Health side. The rate setting process must be undertaken each year in a timely manner and with the mutual goal of maximizing federal reimbursement.
Fiscal/SUD PL	24	Fiscal Reimbursement	Review DMC Overly Proscriptive and Restrictive State Statutes Specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are a number of DMC-specific statutes enacted since 1980. Many of them outline the mode and method – even the number – of treatments available under the DMC program, as well as establish rate-setting and reimbursement models.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	25	Fiscal Reimbursement	Counties should have the option and authority to implement pay-for-performance reimbursement methods in provider contracts.
Fiscal	26	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	The continued exploration of the feasibility of integrated health initiatives and special payment programs designed to increase physical health, mental health and substance use service coordination and integration is recommended. These include the continued active assessment by DHCS of the ACA Health Home option at some scale, and designation of Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs).
Fiscal	27	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	DHCS should develop a new system that incorporates the following principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create a simple method so that providers with county mental health contracts to have such contracts include funding and comparable rates and federal share of costs for alcohol and drug services incidental to the mental health services for people with co-occurring disorders</li> </ul>
Fiscal	28	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Sufficient state funding to make this work recognizing that whatever costs are added to behavioral health care the savings will accrue in physical health and criminal justice
Fiscal	29	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure Small counties are adequately funded and clients, children, youth, and families have access to an adequately funded system of care.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	30	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a comprehensive vision statement that addresses the adequacy of funding for MH and SUD services, and considers the impact of MH and SUD on the primary care system.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	31	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop financing strategies for MC and other funding sources that are aligned with positive outcomes &amp; best practices or MHSUD</li> </ul>
Fiscal	32	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Address how the EPSDT entitlement will be equally protected across the state.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	33	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Numerous issues related to MH financing must be addressed. Mental health funding, the administration of funding, and enforcement of regulations need to be compatible with principles of recovery, client-centered treatment, and desired client and system outcomes.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	34	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The challenges of the service delivery in the smallest counties should be considered in all finance related decision making. Large counties contain rural areas with similar challenges that are in need of similar consideration.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	35	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Establish effective policy and processes for purchasing services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DHCS recognizes rural and small county issues in financing and service delivery.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	36	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Emergency Rooms (ER) High Utilizers: Often emergency room services can result in duplication of claims, however while claims are duplicative the services provide are not. There needs to be greater discussion on this particular facet of emergency room services and reimbursement processes.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	37	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	DHCS needs to provide clarity regarding claiming for specialty and non-specialty behavioral health (mh and sud) services by FQHCs, RHCs and Indian Health Service/ FQHC lookalikes using MOAs.
Fiscal	38	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Counties should be the lead for setting local fiscal priorities for services, as long as they are within state and federal mandates.
Fiscal	39	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	<p>There are several recommendations for consideration regarding SUD rates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a concern that the DMC rates in general are too low to provide modern, quality treatment.</li> <li>• The recommendation is to revisit the reimbursement disparity between Intensive Outpatient Treatment (IOT) and Outpatient Drug Free Treatment (ODF). IOT has a wider range of services, but is reimbursed at a lower rate than ODF.</li> <li>• The recommendation is to have the ability to separately bill for additional drug testing, outside of the bundled rate.</li> <li>• The recommendation is to discontinue the Implicit Price Deflator- driven DMC reimbursement rates or replace it with a methodology that increases rates based on California State inflation.</li> <li>• The recommendation is to establish a payment structure that allows counties to recoup administrative expenses.</li> </ul> <p>For IOT, the recommendation is to develop a way to bill for treatment if less than 9 hours are provided. Value for each service with modifier? Delink? Example: If a beneficiary comes 2 of 3 days for treatment. No mechanism to bill for 2 treatments.</p>
Fiscal	40	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	<p>Pursue solutions to provide counties with greater flexibility to manage fiscal &amp; program risks as well as to implement different program and fiscal models. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide counties the authority and tools to contract with high-performing, financially responsible providers in order to provide cost effective services that produce good clinical outcomes.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	41	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Pursue solutions to provide counties with greater flexibility to manage fiscal & program risks as well as to implement different program and fiscal models. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pursue a variety of program and federal revenues solutions ranging from state plan amendments, waivers and changes to statute and regulation.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	42	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Pursue solutions to provide counties with greater flexibility to manage fiscal & program risks as well as to implement different program and fiscal models. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide relief for counties from funding formulas that unduly constrain their resources.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	43	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Pursue solutions to provide counties with greater flexibility to manage fiscal & program risks as well as to implement different program and fiscal models. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce administrative barriers to integration of care and coordination between providers.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	44	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Pursue solutions to provide counties with greater flexibility to manage fiscal & program risks as well as to implement different program and fiscal models. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide SUD prevention services at (or aligned with) primary care sites in traditional settings, as well as at school sites and community-based health homes.</li> </ul>
Fiscal/SUD PL	45	Fiscal Strategies/ Policy	Recommendation for careful consideration of Standardization of DMC Business Practices Specifically: Budget, cost report, billing and claims adjudication processes for DMC should conform to practices for Short-Doyle Medi-Cal (This means timelines, data elements, reporting requirements, communications between state and counties, etc.) to ensure quality and efficiency in both communication and administration.
Fiscal	46	Fiscal Systems/ Structures	Explore new approaches to purchasing MH/SUD services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The state should develop a policy for creation of a single administrative billing structure for MH,SUD, and primary care.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	47	Fiscal Systems/ Structures	Simplify federal billing, reimbursement, cost reporting and admin processes to reduce costs, improve efficiency, and return funds to direct care.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	48	Fiscal Systems/ Structures	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simplify federal billing structures and reimbursement processes for Medi-Cal in both the MH and SUD systems.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	49	Fiscal Systems/ Structures	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase the efficiency and accuracy of the Medi-Cal Eligibility Determination System.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	50	Fiscal Sytems/ Structures	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standardize MH and SUD fiscal systems, including budgeting, cost reporting, and billing formats and requirements. This should be done within the broader context of reducing and simplifying state-imposed administrative burdens.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	51	Fiscal Sytems/ Structures	Develop longer term fiscal models to move forward in area of post realignment and health care reform worlds. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DHCS should establish a structure encompassing a set of priorities for SUD that looks at all the revenue sources within the SUD system, as well as SUD-related costs in health care.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	52	Fiscal Sytems/ Structures	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simplify Medi-Cal aid codes and enrollment and eligibility systems.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Fiscal	53	Fiscal/ Cost Measures	Explore new approaches to purchasing MH/SUD services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The costs of the interventions that lead to improvement need to be documented so that cost effectiveness can be measured. Measures should document the extent to which services are compatible with the needs, circumstances, and preferences of the population they are intended to reach, and reflected in consumer satisfaction.</li> </ul>
Fiscal	54	Screening & Referrals	The Department should consider exempting SBIRT (Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral and Treatment) and associated behavioral health services from California's same-day billing restriction.
Integration	1	Access	Inpatient Detoxification (1) and Intensive Outpatient Treatment (2) SUD benefits should be made available via Medi-Cal providers more generally, not just via DMC providers. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add access to private practitioners for SUD treatment.</li> </ul>
Integration	2	Beneficiary Protection	Recommendation is to evaluate how best to deliver DHCS Ombudsman services (given MH and SUD and Managed Care needs) Ombudsman Program
Integration	3	Best Practices	Substance Use Disorder Interface with Managed Care Plans (MCP) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a menu of Substance Use Disorder available services and contacts for information and technical assistance</li> </ul>
Integration	4	Best Practices	Under the leadership of DHCS, document and disseminate lessons learned and best practices information from the many integration projects underway in California, with an emphasis on scalable integration practices emanating from SPD enrollment, LIHP implementation, and the Duals (Cal MediConnect) Demonstration. This could include best practice guidance related to screening for mental illness and co-occurring substance use and mental illness within all DMC, specialty mental health and physical health plans, as well as stakeholder and CBO engagements strategies and multiple care coordination procedures etc... to ensure lessons learned are applied by Managed Care Plans and Counties to Medi-Cal expansion efforts .
Integration	5	Best Practices	Develop a better approach (and capacity) for pain medication abuse

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	6	Best Practices	Identify best practices and key principles of integrated care. Form a service coordination and integration task force to review current promising models and identify principles and practices for effective approaches. Disseminate the information through various distribution channels and through training and technical assistance.
Integration	7	Certification	DHCS should evaluate the feasibility of using “Peer Specialists” and developing a Peer Specialist certification program as one of a number of key strategies to improve both workforce capacity and quality of care.
Integration	8	Certification	Establish appropriate peer and family certification standards.
Integration	9	Collaboration (multi-sector)	Ensure counties are properly prepared and effectively managing the special populations that involves individual in the criminal justice system who will be accessing mh/sud services as a result of ACA. Work with criminal justice agencies to better meet the needs of people involved in the criminal justice system.
Integration	10	Collaboration (multi-sector)	There is a recommendation that DHCS focus efforts on internal initiatives to increase joint planning, program implementation and program coordination within the mental health and substance use systems as well as other areas of the DHCS. This can be an integral part of DHCS's program implementation efforts as the newly acquired mental health and substance use systems are integrated with the rest of the Department, providing even stronger collaboration and integration.
Integration	11	Collaboration (multi-sector)	MMMC Medical Directors Meetings and equivalent CMHDA/MHP Group • Recommendation that MHPs have a presence in the managed Care Medical Director's forums and vice versa
Integration	12	Collaboration (multi-sector)	MMMC Managed Care All Plan CEO meeting: Recommendation is for having an MHP presence similar to the MMMC Medical Directors Meetings and Associations meet with DHCS

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	13	Collaboration (multi-sector)	DHCS should collaborate with education and social service agencies in systems of care for children.
Integration	14	Collaboration (multi-sector)	Substance Use Disorder Interface with Managed Care Plans (MCP) • Examine issues related to the development of Provider Networks
Integration	15	Collaboration (multi-sector)	Service Models - DHCS & Counties work together to form a coordination/integration task force.
Integration	16	Collaboration (multi-sector)	Develop an MOU between Managed Care and County Alcohol and Drug administrative structures
Integration	17	Collaboration (multi-sector)	DHCS should work with providers to underscore the importance of making the providers in the various systems more aware of and conversant with the warning signs and symptoms associated with elder abuse as well as child and domestic abuse.
Integration	18	Collaboration (multi-sector)	Work closely with other key entities to develop possible MOU's, joint plans and policies, shared administrative procedures.
Integration	19	Communication	Add elements which would enable private/contracted providers to receive information regarding changes in requirements directly from DHCS, similar to the relationship CMHDA and CSAC have with the state.
Integration	20	Compliance/Monitoring	Stronger monitoring and oversight by DHCS regarding implementation and operations of the MOUs between Plans and MHPs.
Integration	21	Compliance/Monitoring	Recommendation is for strengthening follow up on OOC and seeing where MMCDiv. and MHSUDS might align..esp. with timelines/sanctions
Integration	22	Compliance/Monitoring	Recommendation is to consider if there a need for chart reviews of managed care plans for compliance with medical necessity criteria for mh/sud services

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	23	Coordination	Recommendation is for strong and effective coordination with A+I regarding both referral and A+I monitoring roles.
Integration	24	Data Quality / Outcomes	Monitoring: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recommendation is for strong and careful monitoring of the effectiveness of MOUs (MCP and MHP), EQRO, CCI Complaint tracking, general QI results and other quality compliance tools and requirements.</li> </ul>
Integration	25	Data Quality / Outcomes	Recommendation is the use of MMCD data and MHSUDS data to improve QI-Dashboard development
Integration	26	Information Technology/ Data Systems	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a patient- and provider-friendly system for sharing MH and SUD clinical information across all current clinical care providers.</li> </ul>
Integration	27	Information Technology/ Data Systems	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove barriers to exchange of electronic health records and coordination of care.</li> </ul>
Integration	28	MOU/Contracts	DHCS should develop a new system that incorporates the following principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure that MOUs between MediCal managed care plans and county mental health and alcohol and drug programs establish consistent integration and coordination to make sure that those who screen positive actually receive the necessary services and also to make sure that the physical health and alcohol and drug needs of those with severe mental illness are addressed in an integrated manner.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	29	MOU/Contracts	<p>Substance Use Disorder Interface with Managed Care Plans (MCP)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establish a template for MOU that includes dimensions that MCP MHP plans need to address in establishing and maintaining local provider networks                             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Identify model plans</li> <li>ii. Determine Essential Issues and Recommendations for Reimbursement Barriers in the Provision of Substance Use Disorders Services by Federally Qualified Health Centers, Rural Health Clinics, Critical Access Hospitals. Often services require a referral from MCP</li> <li>iii. Describe local referral process for substance use disorder services. Include information on basic services, general information on accessing services locally, identify local technical assistance. High level (in terms of detail) Info-graphic on AOD services</li> <li>iv. Develop recommendations and identify models for establishing provider networks</li> <li>v. Develop a beneficiary referral model</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
Integration	30	Parity	<p>Ensure parity for DMC &amp; MHSUD benefits in the Medi-Cal optional expansion. Including but not limited to: Consideration should be given to quantitative and qualitative issues in terms of the implementation of the Wellstone-Domenici Mental Health Parity and Addition Equity Act of 2008. Behavioral health is oftentimes subject to a higher level of scrutiny in terms of medical necessity.</p>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	31	Policy	Attention to (and refinement if necessary) of existing regulations and contract terms to require all Medi-Cal plans (managed care and MHP), including DMC, to have effective MOUs defining mutual referral practices, clinical protocols, information sharing protocols where appropriate, and joint planning for improved care coordination at the county/community level.
Integration	32	Policy	Determine the proper fiscal and program arrangements between FQHCs and their ability to provide specialty mental health and Drug Medi-Cal services.
Integration	33	Policy - Fraud	DHCS should develop a new system that incorporates the following principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eliminate fraud, but do it in a way that does not prevent people who have documented medical need for care from getting that care in a timely cost effective least restrictive setting.</li> </ul>
Integration	34	Problem Resolution	Problem Resolution Process- Ensuring adequate Clinical & Administrative problem resolution processes between MCPs and MHPs.
Integration	35	Problem Resolution	Improve MHSUD beneficiary problem resolution experience through greater cross training and collaboration between the behavioral health system, and improved telecom capacity.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	36	Screening & Referrals	In the primary care setting, screening and brief intervention should not be limited to substance use issues but should be evidence based and include mental health conditions as well and that all providers of care for people with serious mental illness (SMI) should routinely screen for physical health conditions and assure coordination with primary care.
Integration	37	Screening & Referrals	The state needs to evaluate the current mental health and substance use disorder screening tools used by both the plans and the MHPs and DHCS intends to work with counties, health plans, providers and other stakeholders to encourage all Medi-Cal plans to screen for mental illness and co-occurring substance use and mental illness in addition to the required screening for alcohol issues as the managed care system moves forward with the SBI requirement. This will be an important priority for the Department as it works with partners and stakeholders on the details of implementation.
Integration	38	Screening & Referrals	Screening and assessment tools used by MCPlans and MHPs for MHSUD will be re-examined. Are the tools still acceptable to MMCD, MHSUDS and Benefits?
Integration	39	Screening & Referrals	DHCS should develop a new system that incorporates the following principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensuring that everyone who is identified as having a substance use disorder through screening, hospitalization, incarceration or treatment for a co-occurring mental illness has timely access to all medically necessary services</li> </ul>
Integration	40	Screening & Referrals	Substance Use Disorder Interface with Managed Care Plans (MCP) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design an Info-graphic that describes the general referring process for Substance Use Disorder AOD Services-Identifying primary contact points for screening, assessment, and referral.</li> </ul>
Integration	41	Screening & Referrals	DHCS should develop a new system that incorporates the following principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establish a comprehensive system to ensure that everyone is screened for both mental health and alcohol and drug conditions in primary care – for the purpose of facilitating timely care and also documenting that those who don't screen positive should not be billed for care.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	42	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	DHCS should consider requesting a Federal Waiver related to the Medicaid Institution for Mental Disease (IMD) exclusion as it pertains to substance use residential treatment programs under the newly expanded benefit design.
Integration	43	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Substance Use Disorder Interface with Managed Care Plans (MCP) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review issues that FQHC, RHC, CAH experience in the provision of SUD services</li> </ul>
Integration	44	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	DHCS should develop a new system that incorporates the following principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managed Care with providers having county contracts for services</li> </ul>
Integration	45	Stakeholders	The importance of meaningful stakeholder engagement is crucial. Create an ongoing forum for state and county leaders/partners as well as stakeholders to address MH & SUD issues and develop strategies for more effective coordination & integration of care. Involve counties and other key stakeholders in planning the best way to enhance credibility and accountability. Develop the forum and focus it initially on the management and implementation of Business Plan recommendations.
Integration	46	Workforce	Credentialing: The process should be effective and enhance system capacity without compromising standards or quality---all in the service of strengthening workforce and facility capacity issues. One specific recommendation is MFTs should be able to bill in MCPs and FQHCs.
Integration	47	Workforce	Enhance tele-health infrastructure and related training to serve underserved areas.
Integration	48	Workforce	Develop a coordinated plan to ensure an adequate and trained workforce needed to support coordinated and integrated care as well as to ensure access to care when and where needed at all stages of life. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) to develop a long range plan to enhance the MH and SUD workforce in terms of numbers, as well as geographic access and cultural competence.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	49	Workforce	Promote distance learning to enhance education and training opportunities for workforce in underserved communities and remote areas.
Integration	50	Workforce	Expand loan-forgiveness programs.
Integration	51	Workforce	Promote outreach and incentive programs to attract more individuals to the field (Example: the Title IV-E Program in Social Services).
Integration	52	Workforce	Create mechanisms for adding returning veterans with experience, training, and education in MH and SUD treatment to the California workforce.
Integration	53	Workforce	Support incentives for cross training of staff in MH, SUD, and physical healthcare so that new model of integration are spread throughout the field.
Integration	54	Workforce	Adopt the national psychiatric rehabilitation credential as a new type of MH practitioner.
Integration	55	Workforce	Create incentives for cross training of the MH,SUD, and primary care workforces.
Integration	56	Workforce	Explore credential and certification options for peer and family counselors, and care managers.(Note: prior work has been done on this topic by the California Association of Social Rehabilitation Agencies and Working Well Together.)
Integration	57	Workforce	Build on current ongoing efforts to define and implement core competencies for SUD prevention staff.
Integration	58	Workforce	Support expansion of programs like the UCLA International Medical Graduate (IMG) program bringing bilingual medical staff to California.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Integration	59	Workforce	Advocate for the addition of marriage and family therapists, and SUD-certified counselors as billable providers in Federally Qualified Health Clinics(FQHCs).
Strengthen	1	Access	DHCS should explore options for increasing access to medication assisted treatments, such as through making additions to the Drug Medi-Cal formulary.
Strengthen	2	Best Practices	DHCS should engage in an immediate effort to develop DMC into the “good and modern” benefits continuum outlined in federal and state papers.
Strengthen	3	Certification	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create standardized and combined (for dual diagnosis treatment) MH and SUD organizational certification and licensing.</li> </ul>
Strengthen/ SUD	4	Certification	The recommendations of this report (Senate Office of Oversight and Outcomes (SOOO). released on May 13, 2013 a report on California AOD counselors titled, “Suspect Treatment: State’s lack of scrutiny allows unscreened sex offenders and unethical counselors to treat addicts ) included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Placing the State firmly in charge of certifying SUD counselors</li> <li>• Requiring background checks and rap-backs</li> <li>• Providing guidelines for assessing criminal backgrounds</li> <li>• Creating a centralized data system of all SUD counselors</li> <li>• Increasing oversight over the current Certifying Organizations</li> </ul>
Strengthen	5	Certification	Develop a joint certification for MH and SUD service providers and sites Create a special workgroup to review and recommend a set of organizational certification standards for outpatient, day treatment, and residential programs.
Strengthen	6	Certification	Establish a single certification entity for SUD counselors.
Strengthen	7	Certification	Develop a joint certification for MHSUD service providers and sites.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen	8	Certification	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop standardized provider certifications for MH and SUD contracted providers.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	9	Certification	Pursue solutions to provide counties with greater flexibility to manage fiscal & program risks as well as to implement different program and fiscal models. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create integrated site certification standards for community health clinics and SUD Medi-Cal outpatient treatment sites.</li> </ul>
Strengthen/ SUD	10	Certification	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Certification, specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DMC certification should be combined with existing alcohol and other drug program certification requirements.</li> <li>• Counties must have the authority to apply these standards to enroll providers into the local SUD treatment network. Counties would certify community-based providers and the state would certify county-run programs.</li> <li>• Standards for disenrollment need to be established and maintained.</li> </ul>
Strengthen/ SUD	11	Certification	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Provider Applications & Certification issues; specifically: Where possible, eliminate redundancies in the provider certification process including perceived overlap with other Departments' licensing programs
Strengthen/ SUD	12	Certification	Recommendation for DMC Licensure/ DMC Certification issues; specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Streamline the licensing and certification process</li> <li>• Examine adopting the National or Statewide Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities standards for provider certification</li> </ul>
Strengthen/ SUD	13	Certification	Review DMC Overly Proscriptive and Restrictive State Statutes; specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Moreover, since the DMC benefit is carved out of the regular Medi-Cal program, the delivery of DMC services is restricted to specially-certified facilities.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen	14	Children's Behavioral Health	<p>Four of the five diagnoses classified in DSM-IV under the heading of “Pervasive Developmental Disorders” (Autistic Disorder, Childhood Disintegrative Disorder, Asperger’s Disorder, and Pervasive Developmental Disorder NOS) are, in DSM-5, grouped together under the single diagnosis of “Autism Spectrum Disorder.” Because treatment responsibility for outpatient services for individuals with Autistic Disorder does not rest with DHCS, it is important DHCS work with Managed Care Plans, MHPs, the Department of Developmental Services and/or the Department of Education to get clarity on what services are covered and not covered and by whom.</p>
Strengthen	15	Collaboration (multi-sector)	<p>Encourage non-profit organizations to join together in coalitions, networks and/or partnerships. These coalitions or partnerships can be used to create and support critical business functions of the organizations. The coalitions and partnerships should be used to purchase computer hardware and software capacity, legal and technical resources for billing, contracting, and labor negotiations, as well as to plan in regional ways to fill gaps in care, evaluate outcomes, and obtain contracts. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consult with others who have made this transition, such as CPCA in the community clinics and private medical practices and foundations, MH contractors, and others.</li> <li>• Support creation of umbrella legal entities to enhance the capacity of SUD providers.</li> <li>• Provide resources for consultation and facilitation of decision making. These resources will be needed at the local level to explore and plan for new partnerships and structures. State and county advocacy with foundations and federal government for some of these one-time supports is important.</li> </ul> <p>Ideally these recommendations would be completed in a time frame that would permit consideration as part of various federal, state, local, and foundation funding cycles.</p>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen	16	Collaboration (multi-sector)	<p>Increase business capacity for SUD provider organizations to avoid loss of clinical &amp; program capacity in the face of major system changes. (2). Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consult with the California Primary Care Association and the California Council of Community Mental Health Agencies on the models they use for shared administrative support and capacity.</li> <li>• Identify resources to help SUD providers develop shared business functions through business partnerships, administrative service organizations, or other means.</li> <li>• Support legislation to enable MH and SUD providers to participate in federal meaningful use data funding to provide additional resources to build this capacity.</li> <li>• Work with foundations to fund joint planning efforts to develop new business structures.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	17	Compliance/ Monitoring	<p>Focus on ensuring compliance with key mandates. ie: regulations &amp; standards for program quality, access &amp; availability for all services.</p>
Strengthen	18	Eligibility	<p>Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce barriers to Medi-Cal eligibility through a simplified enrollment system.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	19	Health Disparities	<p>Leadership in addressing health disparities, dealing underserved groups and enhancing cultural responsiveness of services.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are health disparities being addressed in terms of the degree to which special populations are accessing and utilizing mental health and substance use services?</li> </ul>
Strengthen	20	Health Disparities	<p>Leadership in addressing health disparities, dealing underserved groups and enhancing cultural responsiveness of services.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State and Counties should explore the feasibility of collaborating on how best to increase cultural/linguistic competence in provider networks.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	21	Information Technology/ Data Systems	<p>Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve care and quality using health information technology.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen/ SUD	22	Information Technology/ Data Systems	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Technology issues Specifically: Determine what process enhancements are feasible including greater system compatibility and integration (i.e. Oracle, Paradox)
Strengthen/ SUD	23	MOU/ Contracts	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC contracting issues. Specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Counties, as local government entities that administer public funds, must have the ability to select and de-select contractors/providers on the basis of the county's need for services and potential providers' compliance with county fiscal, quality and performance standards.</li> <li>• In addition to the contract elements listed above under "Oversight and Accountability Issues," other examples tied to quality and outcomes include competitive pricing, proof of financial stability, the use of and fidelity to evidence-based practices, required attendance at training events, participation in quality assurance processes, and participation in process improvement programs.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	24	MOU/Contracts	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simplify and streamline state and county contracts.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	25	MOU/Contracts	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve efficiency and timeliness of state and county MH and SUD contracts.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	26	MOU/Contracts	Streamline program oversight and reduce administrative burden that could detract from investing funds in direct services. Including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a standard template contract for counties to use with providers of MH and SUD Medi-Cal services.</li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen/ SUD	27	Oversight and Accountability	<p>Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC oversight and accountability issues. This recommendation recognizes that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Under the current realignment framework, it is important that each county has sufficient oversight and authority in administering the Medi-Cal provider network to ensure adequate accountability.</li> <li>• Counties have not been given the administrative tools to promote quality services, ensure access, and focus on outcomes within the DMC program. Consequently, although counties have the responsibility for overseeing the effective and appropriate use of DMC funds, counties have virtually no input in the approval of providers.</li> <li>• The lack of county oversight, choice, and accountability in the contracting process for the expenditure of public funds for DMC providers diverges from the normal county process for contracting – which was developed for maximum accountability, choice, quality, oversight, efficiency and public participation – and significantly raises the level of legal and financial risk assumed by the county under the DMC portion of 2011 Realignment.</li> </ul>
Strengthen	28	Oversight and Accountability	DHCS and Counties need to determine who has the lead role in deciding who should become a DMC provider.
Strengthen	29	Policy	DHCS should provide clear policy direction and planning for health care reform and related new directions.
Strengthen	30	Quality Improvement	The expansion of DMC benefits raises concerns about the adequacy of utilization review and other quality oversight and management tools.
Strengthen	31	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Tele-health: The recommendation is to explore the option of offering SUD services via tele-health where appropriate.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen	32	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Group Size Limitations The recommendation is to revisit the minimum and maximum limitations to group therapy size.
Strengthen/ SUD	33	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Youth Treatment Standards and Costs DMC is written for adult beneficiaries. The recommendation is that youth specific definitions and costs be created.
Strengthen	34	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	LPHA delivery of Outpatient Services without physician approval: The recommendation revolved about access issues, and the thinking that for capacity issues, it would be better if an LPHA could sign off on treatment plans rather than only a physician.
Strengthen	35	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Rehab vs. Clinic Model The recommendation was regarding further research on what it would take to have DMC switched from the clinic model to the rehab model.
Strengthen	36	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Residential Treatment Concerns The recommendation involved giving increased attention to counties financial capacity to provide ancillary and transportation services with Residential Treatment. Specifically, some believe that it is a parity issue that room and board is not a covered benefit in a residential setting while it is in inpatient. Counties are struggling to find other funds to cover room and board, as block grants cannot be used.
Strengthen	37	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Medical Necessity The recommendation is to further describe medical necessity in regards to SUDS. In order to standardize could it be spelled out in Regulations.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen	38	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Recommended dialogue on improving access to MH/SUD services with a focus on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensuring Access to services is “Timely”</li> <li>• Identifying state and local policies and procedures that will improve access</li> <li>• Describing current oversight processes in place that review, document, and inform access to services</li> <li>• Detailing current local standards and protocols that promote and improve access to mental health and substance use disorder services</li> </ul>
Strengthen	39	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Recommendation for careful consideration of EPSDT Specifically: To the extent that the county is responsible for ensuring that EPSDT beneficiaries receive medically necessary alcohol and drug treatment services and the required pre-authorization of non-state plan EPSDT coverage, allocations to counties’ Behavioral Health Subaccounts must reflect the potential growth in this entitlement.
Strengthen/ SUD	40	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Recommendation for careful consideration of DMC Program Standards issues Specifically: Determine how current Alcohol and Drug Program Standards could be modified to improve operational efficiency and clinical outcomes
Strengthen/ SUD	41	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Recommendation for careful consideration of possible DMC expansion of DMC Services, specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Broaden Medication Assisted Treatment options</li> <li>• Increase flexibility regarding the number of clients permitted in group counseling sessions that may be billed to Medi-Cal</li> <li>• Permit all SUD clients to utilize residential treatment options</li> <li>• Reimburse two treatments in one day</li> <li>• Encourage the use of the social model (as opposed to a medical model) of treatment</li> <li>• Reimburse for:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Counseling of family members</li> <li>o Drug testing</li> <li>o HIV and Hepatitis testing</li> <li>o Greater collaboration of treatments for Co-Occurring Disorders</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen/ SUD	42	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Recommendation for DMC Medicaid Waiver(s) issues Specifically: Discuss possible exceptions requiring Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services approval
Strengthen/ SUD	43	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Same Day Service Restriction The recommendation is to revisit the same day service restrictions. It creates access issues for some beneficiaries. Ex: A beneficiary who is in prenatal residential treatment and also requires methadone.
Strengthen/ SUD	44	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Prior Authorization for Residential Treatment The recommendation is the State consider requiring prior authorization for residential treatment. This authorization would be provided by the counties.
Strengthen/ SUD	45	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	Detox Component of Residential Treatment • The recommendation relates to consideration of a medical detoxification component as a part of Residential Treatment in the future.
Strengthen	46	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	The Department recognizes the phrase “Outpatient Drug Free” is a term that is seen as antiquated and some believe should be eliminated. The Department agrees that this term is outmoded and is open to considering alternatives as part of a number of potential statutory and/or regulatory changes in the areas of substance use disorder services and Drug Medi-Cal treatment.

## DHCS Behavioral Health Forum - Stakeholder Issue Grid

Forum	Issue #	Category	Issue Description
Strengthen	47	Service Delivery Systems and Systems Design	<p><b>Manage Drug Medi-Cal and Mental Health Realignment</b>                      The 2011 Realignment has shifted the burden of financial risk for DMC and specialty MH services from the state to counties. Counties cannot sustain this risk without additional funding to obtain new tools to manage the DMC program, including managing the provider network.                      Additionally, in order to provide cost-effective services that produce good clinical outcomes, it is critical that counties have the authority to contract only with high-quality, financially responsible providers. Limited local resources must be allocated to services of documented effectiveness.                      A variety of solutions should be considered, ranging from state plan amendments, federal waivers, and changes to statute and regulation. Including but not limited to:                      Desired outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Counties are able to manage service quality and client access.</li> <li>• Counties can manage costs and risk under realignment.</li> <li>• Counties are able to meet local needs with a minimum of administrative burden, whether originating from federal, state, or local government.</li> <li>• The state and counties can maximize federal financial participation in Medi-Cal by taking advantage of tools such as federal waivers or state plan amendments to restructure the program.</li> <li>• Counties have the ability to build a prudent reserve in their realignment accounts without incurring a maintenance of effort liability under federal block grant requirements.</li> <li>• Counties will have an efficient cost-based federal reimbursement structure that aligns with the certified public expenditure obligations that have been transferred to local government.</li> <li>• Administrative and indirect cost obligations are minimized to preserve realigned sales tax revenues for direct services to covered beneficiaries.</li> </ul>
Strengthen/ SUD	48	Workforce	<p><b>SUD Counselor Requirements</b>                      The recommendation is to explore the possibility of strengthening the requirements of becoming a counselor.</p>