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### Project Timeline – Graphic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantify the Need for Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantify Current Utilization</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantify the Universe of BH Providers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Document Specified BH System Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Analyses of BH Issues RE: Medicaid Expansion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Develop BH Services Needs Assessment Report</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project the Changing Medicaid &amp; Non-Medicaid Service Patterns</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommend Medicaid Gap-Filling Strategies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Establish System Functioning Principles &amp; Indicators of Performance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Report of the BH System Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Legend: Red for July, Green for August, Blue for September, Dotted for October*
Project Timeline—Narrative

- Through August 2011
  - Quantify the Need for Services

- Through November 2011
  - Quantify Current Utilization
  - Quantify the Universe of BH Providers
  - Document Specified BH System Characteristics

- Through January 2012
  - Special Analyses of BH Issues RE: Medicaid Expansion
Project Timeline—Narrative

- **Through February 2012**
  - Develop BH Services Needs Assessment Report

- **January–April 2012**
  - Project the Changing Medicaid & Non–Medicaid Service Patterns
  - Recommend Medicaid Gap–Filling Strategies

- **April–June 2012**
  - Establish System Functioning Principles & Indicators of Performance

- **By September 2012**
  - Report of the Behavioral Health System Plan
Data Analysis

- Data transfer from DHCS, ADP and DMH

- Estimating Prevalence
  - Based on 2010 Census by County using Nationally recognized analytic methods
    - National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions, National Co-Morbidity Study, Environmental Catchment Area Study
  - Meeting in September with data advisory workgroup to discuss parameters and priorities
  - Initial prevalence estimate report will be available on 1115 website

- Next will begin quantifying current utilization
Key Informant Interviews

- Over 100 key informants interviewed to date
- County officials, state officials, consumer groups, providers, trade associations, health plans, and stakeholders representing special populations
Key Themes—Medicaid Expansion Population

- Need for special engagement/outreach strategies to enroll difficult to engage populations

- Specific populations of concern:
  - Persons experiencing homelessness
  - Persons with substance use disorders and/or mental illness
  - Prison release population
  - Persons whose primary language is not English

- Reduce barriers to enrollment and develop no-wrong door approaches
  - Point of enrollment (e.g., hospital) may drive in part the make-up of early enrollees with varying levels of need.

- Need clear strategies to ensure notification and engagement for enrollment in LIHP for vulnerable persons with mental illness or substance use disorders.
Key Themes—Integration

- Concerns that the primary care workforce not prepared/trained to work with people with mental health or substance use issues.

- Prepare the primary care system to treat people with mild to moderate mental health needs in order to preserve high end needs for psychiatrists and other mental health professionals.

- Privacy issues and confidentiality viewed by some as a challenge to integration.

- Carving-out behavioral health in the managed care plans viewed by some as a barrier to achieving integration.

- FQHCs identified as having most experience with integration. Though certain barriers impede this integration.

- Challenge to integrate substance use given lack of resources/funding.
Key Themes– Cultural & Linguistic Disparity

- Counties are aware of the gaps but struggle to fill these gaps.

- Access to treatment due to lack of bi-cultural/bi-lingual workforce particularly acute for Asian/Pacific Islanders and Hispanic/Latino populations.

- Improvements needed for culturally competent care for LGBTQ, Native American, and Asian/Pacific Islander populations in particular.

- There is a need to support population-specific/grass roots providers who are less sophisticated and have fewer resources; also need to build the capacity of other providers to deliver culturally competent services.
Key Themes– Workforce

- Substance use:
  - Sufficient personnel to meet demand
  - Credentialing and licensing requirements that do not reflect persons with lived experience.
  - Training in multiple levels of care from detoxification through outpatient; experience and access is in non-Medicaid funded services such as residential
  - Readying SUD providers to be Medicaid providers

- Mental health:
  - Psychiatry – especially for children and youth.
  - Reimbursement for psychiatrists in Medi-Cal system
  - Persons with lived experience as providers
Key Themes– Workforce (con’t)

- More training to develop competence in co-occurring treatment for both mental health and AOD professionals
- Bi-lingual/bi-cultural staff
- Geographic challenges for recruitment in rural areas
- Case management
- Gaps between non-Medi-Cal provider requirements and Medi-Cal
Key Themes—Health Information Technology

- Technology infrastructure needs should not be underestimated.

- Privacy issues make it challenging to more effectively share information across physical and behavioral health.

- MHSA important tool for increased use for county mental health providers; variation exists as to stage of implementation; not available for substance use providers.

- Tele-health viewed as a solution to access to care problems especially for psychiatry and for people residing in rural areas.

- Getting workforce trained and comfortable with HIT and EHR use is an important factor in their adoption, as are incentives for use.
Next Steps

- **Data analysis**
  - Examine utilization
  - Pairing estimates of need with current utilization to begin assessment of gaps

- **Key informant interviews**
  - Consumer and family advisory groups to ADP & DMH
  - Key themes from data analysis will drive “next round” of informant interviews

- **On track for 3/1/12 submission to CMS**
Stakeholder Engagement

- Over 100 key informant interviews so far with consumers, families, advocates, providers, counties and state staff

- Will be sending out interim information to our stakeholder list and posting on our web site between now and the end of the year

- Email address for any comments or requests to be added to the stakeholder list

- Public review process prior to submission to CMS for:
  - draft Assessment before March of 2012
  - draft Plan before October 2012
DHCS Web and Email

- DHCS Behavioral Health Needs Assessment and Plan
  Web Site: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/BehavioralHealthServicesAssessmentPlan.aspx

- DHCS Behavioral Health Needs Assessment and Plan
  email address for questions and comments: 1115behavioralhealthassessment@dhcs.ca.gov