# **MassHealth Senior Care Options Program Evaluation**

# Pre-SCO Enrollment Period CY2004 and Post-SCO Enrollment Period CY2005 Nursing Home Entry Rate and Frailty Level Comparisons

Prepared by JEN Associates, Incorporated June 6, 2008



# **Table of Contents**

Overview	3
Study Methods	3
Data Sources	4
Elderly SCO Enrollee and All Medicaid Elderly Population Profiles	4
Demographics	
Long Term Care (LTC) Utilization Status	5
Chronic Disease Prevalence	
Utilization Rates	6
Comparison Population	7
Outcome Measures	
Key Findings	9
·	11

#### Overview

For many years, the MassHealth Office of Long Term Care has contracted with JEN Associates, Inc. (JAI) of Cambridge to provide analytical and statistical consulting support for the MassHealth Senior Care Options (SCO) program. Specifically, JAI has linked the administrative data for Medicare and Medicaid, and has developed and provided critical descriptive and financial information needed to structure and implement the SCO model.

SCO is an integrated Medicare and Medicaid managed care program that has been offered to elderly Medicaid beneficiaries since 2004. Massachusetts Medicaid and the federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid (CMS) jointly contract with qualified managed care plans (Senior Care Organizations, SCOs) to provide a complete benefit package that includes the full range of Medicaid and Medicare services for enrollees.

The SCO plans are open to elderly at all levels of disability. The integration of acute and long term care benefits, and a Medicaid capitation rate that is responsive to changing levels of frailty, make SCO plans especially well suited for providing flexible and extended community care to enrollees. A capitation structure that is responsive to beneficiary health status independent of the setting of care is a significant component in the SCO design. The intended result of this component of the program design is to provide enhanced financing for community care, and ultimately reduce long term nursing facility stays. While frail elderly with minimal to moderate disabilities will receive sufficient services to be able to remain in the community, only the most frail elderly of the SCO population will require nursing facility care. In other words, the level of impairment in SCO enrollees entering the nursing facility population will be higher than in non-SCO populations. Also, the impairment level of the community care population will rise as frail elderly are diverted from nursing home entry. Consequently, the state asked JAI to compare SCO populations to other like populations (i.e. matched cohorts) for outcome measures related to reduced rates of nursing facility entry and changes in the frailty level in the SCO new-to-nursing facility and community care populations.

## **Study Methods**

JAI based its evaluation methods on a comparison between Medicaid beneficiaries who enroll in a SCO plan and a matched cohort of Massachusetts Medicaid enrollees who remain under fee-for-service Medicaid and Medicare benefits. A propensity-matched case/control cohort design statistically adjusts for differences between the case and comparison population both in the selection of a comparable control population and in the multivariate effect measurement analyses. The study population consists of elderly populations with concurrent eligibility for Medicare and Medicaid benefits at the time of SCO entry. Analysis is based on patterns of nursing facility utilization subsequent to the SCO enrollment date. The controls are analyzed on utilization patterns following a date matched to the control cases' plan enrollment dates (the control's index date). The differential in nursing facility use measured in the follow-up period among the cases and controls is the basis for the program's effect estimate.

#### **Data Sources**

The baseline data for both the case and comparison populations was derived from Medicare and Medicaid administrative data. The data included program enrollment records with beneficiary demographics and health services claims data with detailed information on pre-enrollment patterns of procedures, diagnoses, and episodes of acute hospital and long term care utilization. In the post-enrollment period, health services claims data is no longer available since the SCO plans are paid on a monthly capitated basis. SCO capitation payments are related to the assessed need of the beneficiary. In order to support a time varying capitation rate for a beneficiary, the monthly enrollment records include information on both nursing facility status and need for community long term care services. Health services utilization data in the post-index date period is available for the comparison population.

To implement a fair comparison between outcomes in the SCO enrollees and the comparison population, a data source should be employed that provides equal information for the complete study population. The CMS Nursing Home Minimum Data Set (MDS) contains records of all stays in certified nursing facilities. The records include dates of stay, morbidity flags, activity of daily living assessments, and other supporting data. Similarly, the CMS Outcome and Assessment Information Set (OASIS) database includes records of utilization and assessment status for beneficiaries using licensed home health agencies, regardless of SCO enrollment. In summary, the pre-enrollment period for study cases and controls can be profiled in depth using Medicaid and Medicare claims and enrollment data and the post enrollment period can be analyzed using SCO and Medicaid/Medicare enrollment data and the CMS MDS and OASIS sources.

Table 1-Available Data Sources for SCO Enrollees and Comparison Population

Data Sources	SCO Enrollees	Control Population
SCO Enrollment Records	Yes	N/A
SCO Assessment Records	Yes	N/A
MassHealth SCO Enrollee Rate Payment File	Yes	N/A
CMS Medicare Beneficiary Denominator	Yes	Yes
OASIS	Yes	Yes
MDS (NF/Certified Only)	Yes	Yes
Fee for Service Claims (Medicare, Medicaid)	Pre-Enrollment	Yes

### **Elderly SCO Enrollee and All Medicaid Elderly Population Profiles**

The SCO program is designed to provide integrated care for beneficiaries regardless of setting of care and frailty status. The program is expected to enroll beneficiaries that are community-dwelling and relatively healthy all the way through long term nursing facility residents. The program is voluntary and actual enrollment patterns will rely on a number of factors. In order to be able to fairly construct a control population, the key characteristics of SCO enrollees and other dually eligible Medicaid beneficiaries must be understood. The tables below contain specific measures of comparison between CY 2004 SCO enrollees and non-SCO enrollee Medicaid dually eligible elderly. In order to

profile pre-enrollee characteristics, the descriptive tables include only MassHealth members with dual eligibility in 2004 and with a history of fee-for-service dual eligibility in 2003. As a result of this restriction, approximately 5% of CY 2004 SCO enrollees are not included in the descriptive tables.

Tables 2-5 provide demographic, long term care status and morbidity statistics for the Medicaid beneficiaries who enrolled in a SCO plan between March and December 2004 as well as the total Massachusetts elderly dual eligible beneficiaries. The data on preenrollment utilization, LTC status and diseases is from CY 2003 claims and enrollment records.

#### **Demographics**

The dually eligible elderly are a heterogeneous population. The key beneficiary groups are low income seniors who are categorically needy for Medicaid, and other seniors who qualify as medically needy for Medicaid once they become very frail and require nursing facility level of care.

Table 2 SCO and All Dually Eligible Medicaid Elderly Demographic Profile

		CY 2004 SCO Cases	All MA Elderly Duals	
		N=635 N=96,313		
Gender	Male	27%	28%	
	Female	73%	72%	
Age Categories	Age 65-74	53%	42%	
	Age 75-84	33%	35%	
	Age 85+	13%	23%	

On average, SCO enrollees in 2004 were younger than the total Medicaid elderly population, with fewer "very old" elderly enrollees and more "younger" elderly.

#### Long Term Care (LTC) Utilization Status

The highest frequency age group for long stay nursing facility enrollees is over age 80. The younger age of SCO enrollees suggests that fewer nursing facility residents are in the program than in the overall Medicaid elderly population. In Table 3, the SCO and Medicaid elderly populations are categorized according to their LTC status at the end of CY 2003. The categories are specifically designed for persistent users of community long term care services with episodes that are greater than 3 months in duration. The episode algorithm used for the stratification does allow for off-utilization periods related to inpatient hospital care.

Table 3 CY 2003 Baseline LTC Utilization Profile

		CY 2004 SCO Cases	All MA Elderly Duals
		N=635	N=96,313
LTC Setting	Nursing Facility Residents	12%	25%
	Community LTC Users	24%	12%
	Community Well	64%	63%

On average, SCO enrollees were less likely to be long term nursing facility residents than the total elderly dual eligible population and more likely to have a history of community

focused care. Community care includes, but is not limited to, personal care, adult foster care, adult day health services and home health care.

#### Chronic Disease Prevalence

The SCO program is designed for the integration of Medicaid financing of community focused care with Medicare financing for medical services. The integration of benefits is attractive to beneficiaries with chronic disease and disability. Table 4 includes prevalence statistics in the pre-SCO enrollment period for selected chronic diseases that have a significant impact on the elderly.

Table 4 CY 2003 Baseline Chronic Disease Profile

		CY 2004 SCO Cases	All MA Elderly Duals		
		N=635	N=96,313		
CY 2003 Chronic Disease	Diabetes	49%	34%		
Indicators	$CHD^1$	39%	41%		
	CVD	16%	20%		
	CRD	27%	27%		
	Arthritis	35%	30%		
	CHF	19%	21%		
Chronic Disease Count	Avg.	1.86	1.72		

The SCO population does have a substantially higher rate of diabetes. However, the SCO prevalence rate for the conditions in Table 4 is not remarkably higher than the rate for the overall elderly dually eligible.

#### **Utilization Rates**

The SCO program is designed to provide easier access to care with improved coordination between supportive care providers and physicians. Limitations in access or difficulties in cross program benefit management may motivate SCO enrollment. Table 5 presents specific measures of utilization in the pre-SCO enrollment period.

**Table 5 CY 2003 Baseline Selected Utilization Measures** 

	CY 2004 SCO Cases	All MA Elderly Duals
	N=635	N=96,313
Hospitalization in Year	35%	38%
Re hospitalization within 30 Days	6%	7%
General Practitioner Visit in Year	79%	87%
Outpatient ER Visit in Year	51%	42%

The patterns of acute care admission and readmission between SCO enrollees and the comparison are not substantially different; however, there are some indications of both fewer general practice doctor visits and higher levels of outpatient emergency room utilization.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CHD=Chronic Heart Disease, CVD= Cerebrovascular Disease, CRD= Chronic Respiratory Disease, CHF=Heart Failure

### **Comparison Population**

The information in Tables 2-5 indicates a number of significant differences exist between the SCO population and the general Medicaid elderly population. The analytic challenge is to adjust for these differences such that a fair comparison can be made between the SCO enrollees and a like control population. JAI considered multivariate regression models to implement complex statistical adjustments to account for population differences. However, JAI chose a more sophisticated approach employing a two stage process: 1) selecting controls that are matched to cases using direct matching and statistical matching; 2) measuring effects using a multivariate regression model that further adjusts for the remaining differences in population characteristics. Tables 2-5 suggest the specifications for the control selection "propensity" model. The control selection process identifies a population that has the same balance of characteristics as observed in SCO enrollees. Population demographics, history of chronic disease, history of utilization of acute and long term care services, and Medicaid and Medicare status are taken into account in the selection process. A combination of direct matching and propensity matching are used to select controls that are similar to the case population. Table 6 includes a complete list of the factors used for control selection.

**Table 6 Control Selection Factors** 

Study Member Characteristic	Match Type
Gender	
Male	Direct Match
Female	
Index Age Categories	Direct Match
Age < 65	
Age 65-74	
Age 75-84	
Age 85+	
Race/Ethnicity	Direct Match
White	
Black	
Hispanic	
Other/Unknown Race	
County	Direct Match
SCO Enrollment Month	Direct Match
MCR Status in Index Month	Direct Match
Part A Only	
Part B Only	
Parts A & B	
Part A Only/State Paid Premium	
Part B Only/State Paid Premium	
Parts A & B/State Paid Premium	
MA Risk Status Month prior to Index	Direct Match
Community/Other	
Community LTC	
Nursing/Institutional LTC	
MCD NF Case Mix Status Month prior to Index	Direct Match
Alzheimer's/CMI Indication in Month prior to Index	Direct Match
Base Period (1-3 months pre-index) Medical Utilization	Propensity
Inpatient Utilization	_
Home Health Utilization	

Study Member Characteristic	Match Type
Adult Foster Care	
Day Habilitation Utilization	
MCD Waiver Utilization	
Base Period (1-3 months pre-index) LTC Setting Hierarchy	Propensity
Long Stay Nursing Facility	
Post Acute Care SNF	
Community	
FFS Dual Eligible in 2003	Direct Match
Count of CY 2003 JAI Frailty/Impairment Groups	Propensity
0	
1-3	
4-6	
7+	
CY2000 Chronic Disease Indicators	Propensity
Diabetes	
CHD	
CVD	
CRD	
Arthritis	
CHF	

The control selection specification aimed for 3 controls to be selected for each case. The result of the control selection process was the selection of 1,898 controls. A total of 24 cases could not be matched to a full set of 3 controls. With the selection of a comparison population, the program effectiveness analysis proceeds through the analysis of differential outcomes among SCO enrollees and controls in the post-enrollment/index date periods.

#### **Outcome Measures**

The impact of the SCO model is based on the dynamic nature of the Medicaid capitation rate and the requirement to perform regular assessments. There is a financial incentive to recognize a high risk case and to manage both the Medicaid and Medicare benefits to reduce adverse events. One of the most costly events to Medicaid is long term entry into a nursing facility. By increasing access to community long term care in a timely way, it is hypothesized that nursing facility entry will be reduced. There are three major types of nursing facility episodes: 1) extended rehabilitation care following a hospitalization; 2) end-of-life care; 3) long term stay. The first NF modality is perhaps a natural outcome of an acute care episode. The second modality depends on the availability and quality of end-of-life community care. The third nursing facility modality, long term placement, is the most costly to the Medicaid program and perhaps the most difficult to reduce since it is attributable in many cases to a history of functional decline.

The outcome measure of interest is measured using a multivariate proportional hazards model measuring the SCO program's effect on average time to entry to a nursing facility. A supporting analysis examines the characteristics of SCO members who do enter nursing facility care for indications of higher risk. The analyses are restricted to SCO enrollees in 2004 and only examine outcomes through the end of CY 2005. [As more data becomes available, the analyses will be extended to later years].

### **Key Findings**

SCO enrollment began in March 2004. However, the median enrollment point in 2004 was September. Between SCO entry and the end of the study period, a total of 52 SCO enrollees and 213 control cases used a nursing facility. Most nursing facilities include both Medicare short term SNF and Medicaid long term care services. Both financing streams are included since SNF utilization is frequently a prelude to entry into Medicaid financed nursing facility care. Table 7 provides descriptive detail on the number of study members entering a nursing facility utilization episode in the follow-up period as stratified by the type of episode. The first episode type, extended rehabilitation, is primarily driven by Medicare SNF stays. Other episode types are related to episodes that go beyond the Medicare SNF benefit and are primarily Medicaid financed. The episodes are derived from a mixture of NF MDS admission and assessment records, SCO rate cell in the month, and Medicare/Medicaid fee-for-service records for nursing facility use. Virtually all the episodes are detectable in the MDS data source, however supporting information, including a more accurate admission date, was obtained from the other sources. The analysis of elapsed time to entry was based on a count of whole months from the index date to the month of the nursing facility utilization episode start.

**Table 7 Nursing Facility Entry Rate by Type** 

NF Entry and Type of Stay	SCO En	rollees	Control P	opulation
Nursing Facility Entry Person Count	52	8.7%	213	12.0%
Average months to NF Episode	7.27		6.16	
Average episode months in NF Discharge from Nursing Facility	2.65		3.94	
Count	29	56.0%	111	52.0%
Type of NF Stay				
Rehab (<4 months)	22	42.3%	96	45.1%
End of Life (<6 months w/ Death)	9	17.3%	25	11.7%
Long Term Stay (>=4 months)	8	15.4%	64	30.0%
Undetermined (Limited Follow-up)	13	25.0%	28	13.1%

The descriptive statistics demonstrate that SCO enrollees in comparison to the control population enter nursing facilities at a lower rate. In addition, the time to first nursing facility utilization is greater and the time spent in a nursing facility episode is less than in the control population. For SCO enrollees that do use a nursing facility, there is substantially lower frequency of long term residency. The one exception to the pattern is a higher tendency for nursing facility episodes for SCO end-of-life care. Due to the longer time to first utilization of a nursing facility by SCO enrollees, the number of users without sufficient follow-up time for a classification is elevated. For complete classification, four-six months of follow-up data is required to classify a nursing facility utilization episode.

A multivariate proportional hazards model was employed to measure the effect of SCO enrollment on first use of nursing facilities. The specification of the model followed a step-wise selection to identify significant effect correlates. The results of the model are presented in Table 8.

**Table 8 Proportional Hazards Model for Nursing Facility Entry** 

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates	<u> </u>						
Variable	Para meter Estimate	Std. Error	Chi Sq	Pr > Chi Sq	Hazard Ratio	95% Confide Limi	ence
Inpatient Utilization 1-3 Months Pre-index	0.62210	0.19333	10.35	0.0013	1.86	1.28	2.72
Home Health Utilization 1-3 Months Pre-index	0.61237	0.14258	18.45	<.0001	1.85	1.40	2.44
Assisted Living Utilization 1-3 Months Pre-index	1.64766	0.20843	62.49	<.0001	5.20	3.45	7.82
MCD Waiver Utilization 1-3 Months Pre-index	0.61855	0.21108	8.59	0.0034	1.86	1.23	2.81
NF Utilization 1-3 Months Pre-index	1.73018	0.27078	40.83	<.0001	5.64	3.32	9.59
SNF Utilization 1-3 Months Pre-index	1.18914	0.43427	7.50	0.0062	3.28	1.40	7.69
FFS-Dual Eligible from July 2003	-0.92930	0.23555	15.57	<.0001	0.40	0.25	0.63
2003 Eligibility/JAI Impairment Count 4-6	0.34968	0.15804	4.90	0.0269	1.42	1.04	1.93
2003 Eligibility/JAI Impairment Count 7+	0.71455	0.20649	11.97	0.0005	2.04	1.36	3.06
2003 Eligibility/COPD	0.41219	0.13650	9.12	0.0025	1.51	1.16	1.97
SCO Enrollment	-0.54218	0.16308	11.05	0.0009	0.58	0.42	0.80

The adjusted results demonstrate a strong and significant protective effect of the SCO program against nursing facility entry after adjusting for selected pre-index measures of patient risk. The effect measure is a measure of time to entry during the period from SCO enrollment to the end of the data. The measure is a composite of nursing facility utilization deferral and diversion. The Hazard Ratio can be directly interpreted as a 42% reduction in nursing facility utilization risk.

The second outcome indicator is the profile of functional ADL scores for the SCO and control population that start a nursing facility use episode. Not all MDS records include ADL information and only those episodes with the information and with MDS dates that correspond to the NF entry are included in the analysis. Table 9 is a univariate tabulation of scores for each major ADL category and a summary record across ADLs. The summary ADLs are computed based on all functional scores, the higher the score the greater the level of required assistance, the final tabulation in the table is based on a selection of ADLs.

Table 9 ADL Levels at NF Episode Initiation

STAY = ALL										
		SCO	SCO Matched Cases N = 52				Matched Control $N = 213$			
Cumulative MDS ADL Scores										
Description	N	Mean	25th Pctl	50 <sup>th</sup> Pctl	75th Pctl	N	Mean	25th Pctl	50 <sup>th</sup> Pctl	75th Pctl
MDS Assessment = No	13	25.0%				25	11.7%			
MDS Assessment = Yes	39	75.0%				188	88.3%			
BED*	39	2.18	0	3	3	188	2.08	1	2	3
TRANS*	39	2.28	1	3	3	188	2.46	2	3	3
WLK RM*	39	3.64	2	3	8	187	3.37	2	2	3
WLK CORR*	39	4.49	2	3	8	187	3.65	2	2	8
LOC UNIT	39	2.87	2	3	4	187	2.74	2	2	4
	Description MDS Assessment = No MDS Assessment = Yes  BED* TRANS* WLK RM* WLK CORR*	Cumulative MDS ADL Scores	Cumulative MDS ADL Scores   N   Mean   MDS Assessment = No   MDS Assessment = Yes   MDS A	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						

g1fv	LOC OFFU	39	3.28	2	3	4	187	3.93	2	3	4
g1gv	DRESS*	39	2.77	2	3	4	187	2.58	2	3	3
g1hv	EAT*	39	0.77	0	0	1	188	0.69	0	0	1
g1iv	TOLIET*	39	2.51	2	3	4	188	2.54	2	3	3
g1jv	HYGIENE*	39	2.62	2	3	3	187	2.41	2	2	3
g2v	BATH	39	3.05	3	3	4	187	2.86	3	3	3
ADLscore	Sum Above ADLs	39	30.46	19	30	42	187	29.30	21	28	40
ADLscore8	Sum of Indicated (*) ADLs	39	21.36	13	21	32	187	19.93	13	18	28

The unadjusted data in Table 9 suggests that SCO enrollees entering a nursing facility episode are assessed with more functional impairment than the controls. The differential is not large but, as seen in Table 10, it is higher for the subset of episodes that are classified as long stay. The results are intriguing since the underlying hypothesis predicts that SCO community care will lead to decreased NF episodes, and the SCO enrollees who do enter a nursing facility will represent a more frail population. The adjusted analysis of nursing facility episode initiation shows a decrease from the number of expected nursing facility entries as well as decrease in the length of stay. In addition, the unadjusted first year results of frailty status of SCO and controls suggest that the hypothesis of greater frailty among those SCO cases that do enter facility care is true.

Table 10 ADL Levels at Long Stay NF Episode Initiation

TYPE OF NF	STAY = LTC (>=4 MONTHS)										
		SCO Matched Cases					Matched Controls				
		N = 10					N = 78				
Individual and	Cumulative ADL Scores										
				25th	50 <sup>th</sup>	75th			25th	50 <sup>th</sup>	75th
Variable	Description	N	Mean	Pctl	Pctl	Pctl	N	Mean	Pctl	Pctl	Pctl
Asmtf	MDS Assessment = No	0	0.0%				3	3.8%			
Asmtf	MDS Assessment = Yes	10	100.0%				75	96.2%			
ADLs											
g1av	BED*	10	2.10	0	2.5	3	75	1.93	0	2	3
g1bv	TRANS*	10	2.20	2	2.5	3	75	2.52	2	3	3
g1cv	WLK RM*	10	4.20	2	3	8	75	3.33	2	3	3
g1dv	WLK CORR*	10	5.20	2	8	8	75	3.52	2	2	8
g1ev	LOC UNIT	10	2.70	2	3	4	75	2.75	2	3	4
g1fv	LOC OFFU	10	3.50	2	3.5	4	75	3.75	2	3	4
g1gv	DRESS*	10	3.00	2	3	4	75	2.61	2	3	3
g1hv	EAT*	10	1.10	0	0.5	1	75	0.57	0	0	1
g1iv	TOLIET*	10	2.70	1	3	4	75	2.67	2	3	3
g1jv	HYGIENE*	10	2.80	2	3	4	75	2.60	2	3	3
g2v	BATH	10	3.30	3	3	4	75	3.03	3	3	4
ADLscore	Sum Above ADLs	10	32.80	19	37	45	75	29.28	21	30	40
ADLscore8	Sum of Indicated (*) ADLs	10	23.00	13	27	33	75	19.89	13	19	27

#### Conclusion

The analysis of data from SCO enrollees and control subjects in CY2004-2005 provide an early glimpse of the effectiveness of the SCO program in reducing nursing facility utilization. The data is limited by the amount of follow-up time available. (Data from

CY 2006 will be used to extend the analyses. The new analyses will both increase the study population to include CY 2005 SCO enrollees and their control counterparts and will increase the amount of follow-up time.) The analyses of the data strongly indicate an early SCO impact in reducing nursing facility use. The analysis of ADL levels among new nursing facility users suggests that the SCO population that does use facility care is more frail than the level seen in comparative non-SCO populations. The corollary that SCO financed community care is effective in maintaining more frail populations in the community is supported by these early analyses.