



Public Notice

July 15, 2015

The CMHPC Advocacy Committee will convene for its monthly meeting on July 22th, 2015 from 11:00 a.m. to noon. Please see agenda below for additional information. Please note: This meeting has been rescheduled from July 15th.

CHAIRPERSON
Cindy Claffin

EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Jane Adcock

CMHPC Advocacy Committee
1501 Capitol Ave, 3rd Floor, CMHPC Office

Meeting Date: July 22, 2015

AGENDA

Time	Topic
11:00 a.m.	Welcome and Introductions
11:05	Agenda Review
11:10	Legislation Review - AB 1424 Steve Kaplan, Director, San Mateo Behavioral Health and Recovery Services; Adrienne Shilton, CBHDA (tentative)
11:20	Community Health Workers – Raising Visibility
11:40	Work Plan – Next Steps
11:55	Public Comment
Noon	Adjourn

➤ **Advocacy**

➤ **Evaluation**

➤ **Inclusion**

Conference Call capability is provided for those unable to attend in person.

Call: **1-877-580-9104**

Participant Code: **2763421**

The scheduled times on the agenda are estimates and subject to change.

If reasonable accommodation is required, please contact the CMHPC office within five working days of the meeting at 916.323.4501 in order to work with the venue.

MS 2706
PO Box 997413
Sacramento, CA 95899-7413
916.323.4501
fax 916.319.8030



June 23, 2015

Governor Jerry Brown
California State Capitol, Suite 1173
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: AB 1424 (Mullin) – OPPOSE

Dear Governor Brown:

CHAIRPERSON
Cindy Clafin

EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Jane Adcock

- **Advocacy**
- **Evaluation**
- **Inclusion**

The California Mental Health Planning Council (Council) is mandated under federal and state statute to review and report on the adequacy and efficacy of mental health services in California to legislators and other policy decision makers. The Advocacy Committee, on behalf of the California Mental Health Planning Council (Council) is registering its opposition to AB 1424 (Mullin). The Council has strong concern that employing any county employee on a county board creates an inherent conflict of interest. Deliberating county policy issues of any sort will impact county services, which creates a conflict. This puts the county employee in a position where they may feel vulnerable or subject to tacit duress to vote in the county's interest or risk retaliation of some sort. We feel this extends beyond contract votes or fiscal expenditure votes that are specified as being events qualifying for recusal.

The concern that persons with lived experience who are not county employees cannot be located or recruited seems unrealistic given the high rate of unemployment that is currently experienced in the mental health community.

The Council regrets that this opposition was not registered sooner. As it was initially introduced as a Medi-Cal bill, it was not flagged or recognized as a mental health policy issue until it was brought to our attention last week. Although we recognize that it has been placed on the consent calendar, we feel strongly that this legislation is flawed and wish to register our opposition.

We appreciate this opportunity to voice our concerns and hope you will consider them when it comes across your desk for signature.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cindy Clafin".

Cindy Clafin, Chair
CMHPC

MS 2706
PO Box 997413
Sacramento, CA 95899-7413
916.323.4501
fax 916.319.8030

C: The Honorable:
Kevin Mullin, D-22, California State Assembly, Author
William Monning, D-17, California State Senate

California Behavioral Health Directors Association

_____ INFORMATION

TAB SECTION D

X ACTION REQUIRED

DATE OF MEETING 6/18/15

MATERIAL
PREPARED BY: Murphy

DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 6/5/15

AGENDA ITEM:	Inclusion of Community Health Workers in SB 614 Language
ENCLOSURES:	REMHDCO –Background info and proposed language change for SB 614 (Leno) Article: Medicaid Will Allow Reimbursement for Community Health Worker Preventive Services!
OTHER MATERIAL RELATED TO ITEM:	

ISSUE: REMHDCO would like the Advocacy Committee to consider the following information and entertain adding its support to REMHDCO’s proposed amended language for SB 614. This will include Community Health Workers in the proposed legislation of approved providers. Please see attachments for additional information.

If the Advocacy Committee agrees that Community Health Workers should be included in the SB 614 language, it will present its findings and rationale to the entire Planning Council at the General Session as part of its report out.

The US Bureau of Labor Statistics offers this Standard Occupation Classification (SOC) description:

21-1094 Community Health Workers

Assist individuals and communities to adopt healthy behaviors. Conduct outreach for medical personnel or health organizations to implement programs in the communities that promote, maintain, and improve individual and community health. May provide information on available resources, provide social support and informal counseling, advocate for individuals and community health needs, and provide services such as first aid and blood pressure screening. May collect data to help identify community health needs. Excludes "Health Educators" (21-1091).

Illustrative examples: *Peer Health Promoter, Lay Health Advocate*



REMHDCO

Racial and Ethnic Mental Health Disparities Coalition

REMHDCO's Proposed Language Regarding SB 614 (Leno)

June 1, 2015

SB 614 (Leno) provides for certification of "Peer Support Specialists" in order to provide mental health support services to consumers and family members and that their services could be reimbursed by Medi-Cal. REMHDCO had and continues to hold, a "Support if Amended" position on the bill.

On May 4, 2015, REMHDCO offered concept language for SB 614 (Leno) that would remove our concerns and allow us to support the bill. We currently have a "Support if Amended" position.

In order to ensure underserved communities receive equitable services that were culturally and linguistically appropriate, REMHDCO seeks language for the bill that would clearly *allow Counties to utilize "Community Health Workers" in lieu of "Peer Support Specialists"* when necessary.

Background

Originally, REMHDCO had proposed that "Community Health Worker" be included in as a category for "Peer Support Specialist". The bill already provides for three categories of "Peer Support Specialists": 1) Adult peer support specialist 2) Family peer support specialist and 3) Parent peer support specialist. (A youth peer support specialist category may be added.)

However, the proponents of SB 614 made clear that they were just "following the language outlined by CMS" and did not want to do anything to jeopardize their approval of certification for Peer Support Specialists in California.

REMHDCO members found out that the category *Community Health Worker* is already defined (see attachment) and their services have been approved for Medi-Cal reimbursement by CMS or the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

What REMHDCO put forth is merely the option for Counties to utilize “Community Health Workers” in lieu of “Peer Support Specialists” when it is appropriate or needed to ensure culturally and linguistically appropriate services to our underserved racial and ethnic communities.

Why Community Health Workers?

REMHDCO supports all the categories of Peer Support Specialists but also recognizes that many consumers and families in underserved racial and ethnic communities may be better served by *Community Health Workers*. Community Health Workers can perform the same or similar services as Peer Support Specialists (although they may or may not have mental illness themselves or mental illness within their families.)

Community Health worker will encompass more specific language used in different cultural communities like Natural Helper in Native American communities or Promotores in Latino communities. These “*peer cultural models*” are the models that work and that are preferred as noted in the population reports of the California Reducing Disparities Project. However, these peer cultural models would not be honored in the current language of SB 617 of what constitutes a peer specialist, even though there is evidence that these peer cultural models are the interventions that would improve engagement and reduce disparities for diverse populations.

Community Health Worker will also honor different cultural meanings of wellness and mental illness beyond the DSM. As stated by the U.S. Surgeon General’s Report of 2001 and other documents examining the reduction of disparities, many diverse communities suffer from misdiagnosis as their conditions are not listed in the DSM. Many people from diverse communities do not engage in the behavioral health system of care so many would not fit the description of “peer” as defined by the current language. Furthermore, due to transition, cultural, and linguistic barriers, it is often difficult to identify and support “Peer Support Specialists,” as opposed to community health workers specifically trained to serve this population with regard to their mental health condition, cultural background, and linguistic preferences.

The bill as written would leave out services to people who are already underserved and could increase disparities for diverse communities.

Medicaid Will Allow Reimbursement for Community Health Worker Preventive Services!

To engage the service, you first need a physician or other licensed practitioner. Read more below:

Community Health Worker (CHW) Health Disparities Initiative partners -- have you heard about the CMS ruling announced last month? The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) created a new rule which allows state Medicaid agencies to reimburse for preventive services provided by professionals that may fall outside of a state's clinical licensure system, as long as the services have been initially recommended by a physician or other licensed practitioner. The new rule for the first time offers state Medicaid agencies the option to reimburse for more community-based preventive services, including those of CHWs. The rule goes into effect on January 1, 2014.

The announcement of the CMS ruling marked a wonderful moment in time, providing a new opportunity to recognize and advance the role of CHWs! We encourage you to begin discussions with your own national, state and local networks to see how you can contribute to the conversation about reimbursement for preventive services provided by CHWs in your state. Please see below for the actual ruling and links to the relevant sections.

The new rule now states,

"(c) Preventive services means services recommended by a physician or other licensed practitioner of the healing arts acting within the scope of authorized practice under State law to—

1. Prevent disease, disability, and other health conditions or their progression;
2. Prolong life; and
3. Promote physical and mental health and efficiency."

The citation for the ruling is:

Medicaid and children's health insurance programs: essential health benefits in alternative benefit plans, eligibility notices, fair hearing and appeal processes, premiums and cost sharing, exchanges: eligibility and enrollment; final rule.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 78 Fed Reg 42160 (July 15, 2013). The relevant section is, "a. Diagnostic, Screening, Preventive, and Rehabilitative Services (Preventive Services) (§ 440.130)" (paragraph citation: 78 FR 42226)

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE WORKPLAN FOR 2015-16

<p>Example: Describe overall goal here</p>	<p align="center">Rationale (s) Why is this needed?</p>	<p align="center">Measure(s) of Success/Products How will we know if it is accomplished?</p>	<p align="center">Target Audience Who is this meant for?</p>
<p>Demonstrate that MHSA has made a positive difference in counties for persons living with serious and persistent mental illness</p>	<p>To counter negative press and highlight successful practices.</p> <p><u>Federal Public Law (PL) 106-310-</u> Advocate for adults with serious mental illness, children with a severe emotional disturbance, and other individuals with mental illnesses or emotional problems</p> <p>Monitor, review, and evaluate annually the allocation and adequacy of mental health services within the State.</p> <p><u>WIC 5772</u> (1) To advocate for effective, quality mental health programs.</p> <p>(2) To review, assess, and make recommendations regarding all components of California's mental health system, and to report as necessary to the Legislature, the State Department of Health Care Services, local boards, and local programs.</p> <p>(5) To advise the Legislature, the State Department of Health Care Services, and county boards on mental health issues and the policies and priorities that this state should be pursuing in developing its mental health system.</p>		<p>Legislators</p> <p>County Behavioral Health</p> <p>County Boards of Supervisors</p>
<p>Objectives How will we know we are on the right track?</p>	<p align="center">Action Steps How do we get ON the track?</p>	<p align="center">Timeline What gets done by when?</p>	<p align="center">Leads By whom?</p>

California Involuntary Detentions Data Report

Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-14

California Department of Health Care Services – Mental Health Services Division

California's Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) Section 5402 requires the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to collect from each county mental health program or treatment facility and publish quantitative information on the number and types of involuntary detentions. For the benefit of the general public, medical, legal, and other groups, DHCS publishes this report annually, in accordance with the WIC code. This report contains the number and duration of involuntary detentions, temporary and permanent conservatorships established by the superior court of the county, the number of persons transferred from a jail for mental health services, the number of persons admitted to a Lanterman Petris Short (LPS) approved treatment program within a jail facility, and the number of outpatient mental health services provided to persons detained in jail facilities. Certain data cells were suppressed to protect health information (PHI) and personal information (PI) in accordance with DHCS's Public Aggregate Reporting Guidelines.

The following tables consist of the FY 2013-14 totals as reported by each county for each of the various classifications of involuntary detentions, including a table of the rates of detention per 10,000 people. The rate of detention per 10,000 takes into account fluctuations to the annual population total and allows for comparison of these rates over time and across counties.

DATA SOURCES

The data were collected and tabulated from quarterly reports of involuntary detentions compiled and reported from each county mental health department and the facilities that provide evaluation and treatment within each county. This includes data from both public and private facilities and the clients served in those facilities receiving services reimbursed by private or public funds.

Three forms are used to summarize and report the involuntary detention data:

- 1. DHCS 1008 - Quarterly Report on Services Provided to Persons Detained in Jail Facilities** - Counties and providers use this form to summarize and report the number of transfers for admission, both voluntary and involuntary, to local inpatient services pursuant to Penal Code Sections 4011.6 or 4011.8 and evaluated and/or treated in a local mental health facility. It is also used to collect the number of admissions, both voluntary and involuntary, to an LPS approved inpatient treatment program within a jail facility, and the unduplicated count of persons receiving outpatient services provided within a jail facility.
- 2. DHCS 1009 - Quarterly Report of Conservatorships Established by the Superior Court of the County** - This form is used to summarize the number of persons placed under temporary conservatorship, including the number of newly established and re-established permanent conservatorships established by the superior court of the county, regardless of the type of facility in which the patient is placed (e.g., county agency, state hospital).

California Involuntary Detentions Data Report
Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-14
California Department of Health Care Services – Mental Health Services Division

3. DHCS 1010 - Quarterly Report on Involuntary Detentions - This form is used to summarize the number of admissions under various categories of WIC Section 5150. Specifically, the number of all admissions, both child and adult, for 72-hour evaluation and treatment, the number of certifications for 14-day treatment and additional 14-day intensive treatment for persons who are suicidal, the number of certifications for 30-day intensive treatment and 180-day post-certification treatment.

The reporting applies to all instances of involuntary treatment regardless of funding source. In other words, persons who are treated involuntarily in private psychiatric facilities, or whose treatment is funded by private resources, must be reported along with persons whose treatment is funded through Medi-Cal or the county mental health program.

PROGRAM NOTE

WIC Section 5775(b) permits counties to jointly agree to deliver or subcontract for the delivery of mental health services. Sutter and Yuba counties are combined under this joint agreement and their involuntary detention data are displayed as Sutter/Yuba County. Population data for these two counties are combined and presented as Sutter/Yuba County.

DATA METHODOLOGY

In November 1999, the Facility-Based Reporting (FBR) method was used to ensure more accurate involuntary detention reporting. The FBR method places the responsibility upon the county in which the treatment facility, jail, or court is located to report the number of persons admitted and detained involuntarily. For example, if client "A" is taken to county "B" under WIC Section 5150, then the facility in county "B" is required to report that client as one 5150 admission. County "B" should obtain these data from all appropriate facilities within their county. Next, county "B" is responsible for submitting a single report based on information from every reporting facility, quarterly, for each of the three involuntary detention reporting areas.

County Population Estimates Report E-2 from the California Department of Finance (DOF) was used in conjunction with DOF Census 2010 Demographic Profile Summary File by county in California to calculate county populations by children and adults in FY 2013-2014. The population table was then used to calculate rates of involuntary detention per 10,000 population. The rate per 10,000 population is an expression of the number of persons involuntarily committed when compared to a segment of the population. For example, in the table Rates per 10,000 by Category and County, approximately 50.2 adults were involuntarily committed for 72-hour evaluation and treatment services. Each rate was calculated by dividing the number of persons in an involuntary detention classification by an appropriate population total, either adult, child, or total population and then multiplying the result by 10,000.

California Involuntary Detentions Data Report
Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-14
California Department of Health Care Services – Mental Health Services Division

DATA LIMITATIONS

The DHCS has learned that some counties have contracted with an outside entity, such as the local Sheriff's Office or a private medical company, to provide mental health outpatient services in jail. In many cases, the contracting agency does not report data on the services provided. Thus, the count of persons receiving mental health outpatient services in jail is limited by those counties not reporting mental health outpatient services in jail.

Additionally, the quarterly unduplicated counts of persons receiving outpatient services have been summed to represent the count of persons receiving outpatient services during the fiscal year. Unduplicated means that individuals are counted only once in a quarter, regardless of the number of times or types of outpatient services they receive in jail facilities.

SUMMARY OF INVOLUNTARY DETENTIONS

FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

	72-Hour Evaluation and Treatment (Children)	72-Hour Evaluation and Treatment (Adults)	14-Day Intensive Treatment	Additional 14-Day Intensive Treatment (Suicidal)	30-Day Intensive Treatment	180-Day Post Certification Intensive Treatment	Temporary Conservatorships	Permanent Conservatorships	Number of Admissions to Local Inpatient Services Pursuant to PC 4011.6 or 4011.8, Evaluated and/or Treated in a Local Mental Health Facility		Number of Admissions to an LPS Approved Inpatient Program Treated Within a Jail Facility		Unduplicated Count of Persons Receiving Outpatient Services Provided Within a Jail Facility
									Involuntary	Voluntary	Involuntary	Voluntary	
STATEWIDE	23,215	144,397	72,683	321	5,353	***	3,938	7,960	942	19	407	1,038	103,256
01 ALAMEDA ¹	1,693	15,551	5,441	***	0	0	529	283	18	0	0	0	8,339
02 ALPINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
03 AMADOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	29	0	0	0	0	0
04 BUTTE	0	411	154	16	0	0	40	104	***	0	0	0	0
05 CALAVERAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	***	0	0	0	0	182
06 COLUSA	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	***	0	0	0	0	110
07 CONTRA COSTA ^{1,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	136	160	0	0	0	0	0
08 DEL NORTE ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09 EL DORADO	0	407	158	***	25	0	29	61	***	0	0	0	30
10 FRESNO ^{2,3}	0	3,365	511	0	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 GLENN	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	19	0	0	0	0	13
12 HUMBOLDT	0	496	189	0	0	0	38	123	0	0	0	0	1,352
13 IMPERIAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	77	0	0	0	0	0
14 INYO ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	19
15 KERN ^{1,2,3}	778	2,132	647	***	***	***	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 KINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	67	0	0	0	0	0
17 LAKE ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18 LASSEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	***	213	0	0	0	247
19 LOS ANGELES ³	8,363	56,115	36,176	14	4,208	***	1,147	2,811	0	0	0	0	0
20 MADERA ³	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	51	0	0	0	0	285
21 MARIN	166	1,436	244	0	0	0	49	112	23	0	***	0	1,410
22 MARIPOSA	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	***	0	0	0	0	31
23 MENDOCINO ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24 MERCED	0	390	128	0	***	0	13	16	***	0	0	0	0
25 MODOC ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
26 MONO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	***
27 MONTEREY	***	1,153	453	0	0	0	***	119	***	0	0	0	0
28 NAPA	0	15	0	0	0	0	12	57	***	0	0	0	989
29 NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	***	0	0	0	0	74
30 ORANGE	801	6,553	2,783	45	185	0	270	799	299	0	12	***	10,996
31 PLACER ^{1,3}	0	160	211	0	21	0	***	88	***	***	***	0	***
32 PLUMAS ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33 RIVERSIDE ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 SACRAMENTO	2,791	10,106	3,304	149	400	***	56	38	0	350	448	0	13,808
35 SAN BENITO ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	***	0	0	0	0	133
36 SAN BERNARDINO	3,108	9,323	6,275	***	***	0	58	458	***	0	0	0	9,563
37 SAN DIEGO	2,394	13,153	2,306	32	62	0	716	921	0	31	556	0	16,763
38 SAN FRANCISCO ¹	702	6,325	2,685	0	0	0	223	46	329	0	0	0	7,790
39 SAN JOAQUIN	***	2,032	1,323	19	68	0	43	58	16	0	0	0	7,579
40 SAN LUIS OBISPO ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,469
41 SAN MATEO	564	1,404	767	0	***	0	160	404	***	***	0	0	447
42 SANTA BARBARA ²	0	302	189	***	48	0	19	104	0	0	0	0	2,342
43 SANTA CLARA ^{2,3}	0	707	223	***	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 SANTA CRUZ	0	278	177	0	***	0	39	109	***	***	***	0	952
45 SHASTA	0	381	0	0	0	0	23	96	0	0	0	0	105
46 SIERRA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	0	0	0	0	***
47 SISKIYOU ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 SOLANO	718	1,876	2,094	20	0	0	39	130	***	0	0	0	0
49 SONOMA	33	455	293	***	17	0	78	126	0	0	0	0	6,444
50 STANISLAUS	0	3,737	2,511	***	43	0	43	75	***	0	0	0	0
52 TEHAMA ^{1,2,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	14	0	0	0	0	145
53 TRINITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	***	0	0	0	0	14
54 TULARE	0	1,720	1,427	0	145	0	23	146	0	0	0	0	3,188
55 TUOLUMNE ^{1,3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	***	15	0	0	0	0	0
56 VENTURA ²	1,099	4,221	1,764	***	0	0	***	35	***	0	0	0	4,470
57 YOLO ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	81	0	0	0	0	1,429
63 SUTTER/YUBA	0	193	250	***	49	0	12	76	0	0	0	0	495

*** Cells were suppressed for protected health information and personal information in accordance with DHCS's Public Aggregate Reporting Guidelines

¹ DHCS 1010 (Quarterly Report on Involuntary Detentions) incomplete data submitted

² DHCS 1009 (Quarterly Report of Conservatorship) incomplete data submitted

³ DHCS 1008 (Quarterly Report on Persons Detained in Jail Facilities) incomplete data submitted

INVOLUNTARY DETENTION RATES PER 10,000 BY CATEGORY AND COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

	72-Hour Evaluation and Treatment (Children)	72-Hour Evaluation and Treatment (Adults)	14-Day Intensive Treatment	Additional 14-Day Intensive Treatment (Suicidal)	30-Day Intensive Treatment	180-Day Post Certification Intensive Treatment	Temporary Conserva- torships	Permanent Conserva- torships
STATEWIDE	24.3	50.2	19.0	0.1	1.4	***	1.0	2.1
01 ALAMEDA ¹	48.3	129.2	35.0	***	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.8
02 ALPINE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.0
03 AMADOR	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	7.4
04 BUTTE	0.0	23.0	6.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	4.6
05 CALAVERAS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	***
06 COLUSA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	***
07 CONTRA COSTA ¹	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.5
08 DEL NORTE ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
09 EL DORADO	0.0	28.3	8.5	***	1.3	0.0	1.6	3.3
10 FRESNO ²	0.0	50.1	5.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
11 GLENN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	6.6
12 HUMBOLDT	0.0	44.8	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	8.9
13 IMPERIAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	4.3
14 INYO ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15 KERN ^{1,2}	29.8	35.4	7.5	***	***	***	0.0	0.0
16 KINGS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	4.3
17 LAKE ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18 LASSEN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	***
19 LOS ANGELES	33.8	73.5	35.8	0.0	4.2	***	1.1	2.8
20 MADERA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	3.3
21 MARIN	30.9	69.7	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	4.3
22 MARIPOSA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	***
23 MENDOCINO ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24 MERCED	0.0	21.6	4.9	0.0	***	0.0	0.5	0.6
25 MODOC ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
26 MONO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
27 MONTEREY	***	36.9	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	2.8
28 NAPA	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	4.1
29 NEVADA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	***
30 ORANGE	10.6	28.0	9.0	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.9	2.6
31 PLACER ¹	0.0	5.9	5.9	0.0	0.6	0.0	***	2.5
32 PLUMAS ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
33 RIVERSIDE ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
34 SACRAMENTO	74.7	93.0	22.6	1.0	2.7	***	0.4	0.3
35 SAN BENITO ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	***
36 SAN BERNARDINO	50.8	62.9	30.0	***	***	0.0	0.3	2.2
37 SAN DIEGO	32.1	53.9	7.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	2.2	2.9
38 SAN FRANCISCO ¹	63.5	88.1	32.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.6
39 SAN JOAQUIN	***	40.8	18.8	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.6	0.8
40 SAN LUIS OBISPO ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
41 SAN MATEO	34.3	24.4	10.4	0.0	***	0.0	2.2	5.5
42 SANTA BARBARA ²	0.0	9.0	4.3	***	1.1	0.0	0.4	2.4
43 SANTA CLARA ²	0.0	5.1	1.2	***	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
44 SANTA CRUZ	0.0	13.1	6.6	0.0	***	0.0	1.4	4.0
45 SHASTA	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	5.3
46 SIERRA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***
47 SISKIYOU ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
48 SOLANO	68.7	58.5	49.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	3.1
49 SONOMA	3.0	11.7	5.9	***	0.3	0.0	1.6	2.5
50 STANISLAUS	0.0	98.9	47.4	***	0.8	0.0	0.8	1.4
52 TEHAMA ^{1,2}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	2.1
53 TRINITY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	***
54 TULARE	0.0	56.1	31.4	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.5	3.2
55 TUOLUMNE ¹	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	***	2.6
56 VENTURA ²	50.4	67.1	20.8	***	0.0	0.0	***	0.4
57 YOLO ¹	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	3.9
63 SUTTER/YUBA	0.0	15.7	14.6	***	2.9	0.0	0.7	4.4

*** Cells were suppressed for protected health information and personal information in accordance with DHCS's Public Aggregate Reporting Guidelines

¹ DHCS 1010 (Quarterly Report on Involuntary Detentions) incomplete data submitted

² DHCS 1009 (Quarterly Report of Conservatorship) incomplete data submitted

INVOLUNTARY DETENTION DATA - FISCAL YEAR 2005-06 (JULY 1, 2005 - JUNE 30, 2006)

California's Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) Section 5402 requires the Department of Mental Health (DMH) to collect on a quarterly basis from each county mental health program or treatment facility quantitative information on the number of involuntary detentions, the number of temporary and permanent conservatorships established by the superior court of the county, the number of persons transferred from a jail for mental health services, the number of persons admitted to a Lanterman-Petris-Short (LPS) approved treatment program within a jail facility, and the number of outpatient mental health services provided to persons detained in jail facilities.

The following tables consist of the FY 2005-06 totals as reported by each county for each each of the various classifications of involuntary detention, including a table of state population data and a table of the rates of detention per 10,000. The population table includes data by county for children, adults and total population. The rate of detention per 10,000 takes into account fluxuations to the annual population total and allows for comparison of these rates over time.

DATA SOURCES

The data were collected and analyzed from the quarterly reports of involuntary detentions compiled and reported from each county mental health department and the facilities that provide evaluation and treatment within each county. This includes data from all facilities, public or private, and the clients served in those facilities receiving services reimbursed by private or public funds.

Three forms are used to summarize and report the involuntary detention data to the California DMH:

- 1. MH 3823 - Quarterly Report On Services Provided To Persons Detained In Jail Facilities** - Counties and providers use this form to summarize and report the number of transfers for admission, both voluntary and involuntary, to local inpatient services pursuant to PC §4011.6 or §4011.8 and evaluated and/or treated in a local mental health facility. It is also used to collect the number of admissions, both voluntary and involuntary, to an LPS approved inpatient treatment program within a jail facility, and the unduplicated count of persons receiving outpatient services provided within a jail facility.
- 2. MH 3824 - Quarterly Report Of Conservatorships Established By The Superior Court Of The County** - This form is used to summarize the number of persons placed under temporary conservatorship, including the number of newly established and re-established permanent conservatorships established by the superior court of the county, regardless of the type of facility in which the patient is placed (e.g., county agency, state hospital).
- 3. MH 3825 - Quarterly Report On Involuntary Detentions** - The MH 3825 is used to summarize the number of admissions under various categories of §5150 of the WIC. Specifically, the number of all admissions, both child and adult, for 72-hour evaluation and treatment, the number of certifications for 14-day treatment and additional 14-day intensive treatment for persons who are suicidal, the number of certifications for 30-day intensive treatment and 180-day post-certification treatment.

DATA SOURCES continued

The reporting applies to all instances of involuntary treatment regardless of funding source. In other words, persons who are treated involuntarily in private psychiatric facilities, or whose treatment is funded by private resources, must be reported along with persons whose treatment is funded through Medi-Cal or the county mental health program. The totals also include involuntary detention data obtained from the State Hospitals.

Population projection data were obtained from the California Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF utilized the 2000 Census counts as the benchmark for establishing the population projections for FY 2005-06.

PROGRAM NOTE

WIC §5775 (b) permits counties to jointly agree to deliver or subcontract for the delivery of mental health services. Sutter and Yuba counties are combined under this joint agreement and their involuntary detention data are displayed as Sutter/Yuba County. Population data for these two counties are combined and presented as Sutter/Yuba County.

DATA METHODOLOGY

In November 1999 the DMH introduced the Facility-Based Reporting (FBR) method to ensure more accurate involuntary detention reporting. The FBR method places the responsibility upon the county in which the treatment facility, jail, or court is located to report the number of persons admitted and detained involuntarily. For example, if client "A" is taken to county "B" under WIC §5150, then the facility in county "B" is required to report that client as one 5150 admission. County "B" should obtain these data from all appropriate facilities within their county. Next, county "B" is responsible for submitting a single report, quarterly, for each of the three involuntary detention reporting areas.

The rate per 10,000 population is an expression of the number of persons involuntarily committed when compared to a segment of the population. For example, in Table 9, for every 10,000 adults in California, 50.8 adults were involuntarily committed for 72-hour evaluation and treatment services. Each rate was calculated by dividing the number of persons in an involuntary detention classification by an appropriate population total, either adult, child, or total population and then multiplying the result by 10,000.

DATA LIMITATIONS

The DMH has learned that some counties have contracted with an outside entity, such as the local Sheriff's Office or a private medical company, to provide mental health outpatient services in jail. In many cases, the contracting agency does not report data on the services provided. Thus, the count of persons receiving mental health outpatient services in jail is limited by those counties not reporting mental health outpatient services in jail.

Additionally, the quarterly unduplicated counts of persons receiving outpatient services have been summed to represent the count of persons receiving outpatient services during the fiscal year. Unduplicated means that individuals are counted only once in a quarter, regardless of the number of times or types of outpatient services they receive in jail facilities. However, since the involuntary detention reporting is done on a quarterly basis and this report is summarized by fiscal year, the counts of persons receiving outpatient services in Table 8 reflect some duplication of the same individuals counted across quarters.

TABLE 1
 ADULT 72-HOUR EVALUATIONS AND TREATMENTS BY COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Total		Local Programs		State Hospitals	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	138,295	100	138,075	100	220	100
Alameda	13,995	10.1	13,995	10.1	0	0.0
Alpine	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Amador	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Butte	724	0.5	724	0.5	0	0.0
Calaveras	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Colusa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Contra Costa	3,903	2.8	3,903	2.8	0	0.0
Del Norte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
El Dorado	381	0.3	381	0.3	0	0.0
Fresno	3,006	2.2	3,005	2.2	1	0.5
Glenn	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humboldt	386	0.3	386	0.3	0	0.0
Imperial	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inyo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kern ¹	586	0.4	586	0.4	0	0.0
Kings	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lassen	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Los Angeles	47,438	34.3	47,294	34.3	144	65.5
Madera	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marin	1,443	1.0	1,443	1.0	0	0.0
Mariposa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mendocino	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Merced	529	0.4	529	0.4	0	0.0
Modoc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mono	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Monterey	979	0.7	979	0.7	0	0.0
Napa	113	0.1	77	0.1	36	16.4
Nevada	18	0.0	0	0.0	18	8.2
Orange	8,064	5.8	8,058	5.8	6	2.7
Placer	283	0.2	283	0.2	0	0.0
Plumas	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Riverside	3,162	2.3	3,162	2.3	0	0.0
Sacramento	10,715	7.7	10,715	7.8	0	0.0
San Benito	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Bernardino	6,265	4.5	6,264	4.5	1	0.5
San Diego	8,780	6.3	8,780	6.4	0	0.0
San Francisco	7,438	5.4	7,438	5.4	0	0.0
San Joaquin	1,082	0.8	1,082	0.8	0	0.0
San Luis Obispo	989	0.7	989	0.7	0	0.0
San Mateo	2,118	1.5	2,118	1.5	0	0.0
Santa Barbara	345	0.2	345	0.2	0	0.0
Santa Clara	3,626	2.6	3,626	2.6	0	0.0
Santa Cruz	935	0.7	935	0.7	0	0.0
Shasta	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sierra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Siskiyou	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Solano	1,728	1.2	1,714	1.2	14	6.4
Sonoma	1,236	0.9	1,236	0.9	0	0.0
Stanislaus	2,667	1.9	2,667	1.9	0	0.0
Tehama	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Trinity	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tulare	590	0.4	590	0.4	0	0.0
Tuolumne	770	0.6	770	0.6	0	0.0
Ventura	3,322	2.4	3,322	2.4	0	0.0
Yolo	498	0.4	498	0.4	0	0.0
Sutter/Yuba	181	0.1	181	0.1	0	0.0

¹ = County has not reported Quarter 4;

TABLE 2
 CHILD 72-HOUR EVALUATIONS AND TREATMENTS BY COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Total		Local Programs		State Hospitals	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	18,794	100	18,794	100	0	0
Alameda	1,993	10.6	1,993	10.6	0	0
Alpine	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Amador	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Butte	9	0.0	9	0.0	0	0
Calaveras	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Colusa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Contra Costa	816	4.3	816	4.3	0	0
Del Norte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
El Dorado	1	0.0	1	0.0	0	0
Fresno	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Glenn	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Humboldt	9	0.0	9	0.0	0	0
Imperial	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Inyo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Kern ¹	58	0.3	58	0.3	0	0
Kings	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Lake	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Lassen	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Los Angeles	5,802	30.9	5,802	30.9	0	0
Madera	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Marin	186	1.0	186	1.0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Mendocino	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Merced	17	0.1	17	0.1	0	0
Modoc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Mono	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Monterey	25	0.1	25	0.1	0	0
Napa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Nevada	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Orange	650	3.5	650	3.5	0	0
Placer	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Plumas	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Riverside	533	2.8	533	2.8	0	0
Sacramento	2,477	13.2	2,477	13.2	0	0
San Benito	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
San Bernardino	2,019	10.7	2,019	10.7	0	0
San Diego	1,302	6.9	1,302	6.9	0	0
San Francisco	609	3.2	609	3.2	0	0
San Joaquin	13	0.1	13	0.1	0	0
San Luis Obispo	116	0.6	116	0.6	0	0
San Mateo	182	1.0	182	1.0	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Santa Clara	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Shasta	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Sierra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Solano	889	4.7	889	4.7	0	0
Sonoma	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Stanislaus	655	3.5	655	3.5	0	0
Tehama	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Trinity	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Tulare	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Tuolumne	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Ventura	433	2.3	433	2.3	0	0
Yolo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Sutter/Yuba	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0

¹ = County has not reported Quarter 4;

TABLE 3
 14-DAY INTENSIVE TREATMENTS BY COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Total		Local Programs		State Hospitals	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	57,386	100	57,202	100	184	100
Alameda	4,463	7.8	4,463	7.8	0	0.0
Alpine	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Amador	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Butte	117	0.2	117	0.2	0	0.0
Calaveras	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Colusa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Contra Costa	560	1.0	560	1.0	0	0.0
Del Norte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
El Dorado	111	0.2	111	0.2	0	0.0
Fresno	953	1.7	952	1.7	1	0.5
Glenn	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humboldt	158	0.3	158	0.3	0	0.0
Imperial	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inyo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kern ¹	174	0.3	174	0.3	0	0.0
Kings	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lassen	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Los Angeles	27,375	47.7	27,259	47.7	116	63.0
Madera	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marin	137	0.2	137	0.2	0	0.0
Mariposa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mendocino	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
Merced	114	0.2	114	0.2	0	0.0
Modoc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mono	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Monterey	399	0.7	399	0.7	0	0.0
Napa	31	0.1	0	0.0	31	16.8
Nevada	14	0.0	0	0.0	14	7.6
Orange	2,820	4.9	2,813	4.9	7	3.8
Placer	83	0.1	83	0.1	0	0.0
Plumas	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Riverside	1,861	3.2	1,861	3.3	0	0.0
Sacramento	2,074	3.6	2,074	3.6	0	0.0
San Benito	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Bernardino	1,133	2.0	1,132	2.0	1	0.5
San Diego	2,108	3.7	2,108	3.7	0	0.0
San Francisco	2,724	4.7	2,724	4.8	0	0.0
San Joaquin	667	1.2	667	1.2	0	0.0
San Luis Obispo	84	0.1	84	0.1	0	0.0
San Mateo	932	1.6	932	1.6	0	0.0
Santa Barbara	200	0.3	200	0.3	0	0.0
Santa Clara	1,533	2.7	1,533	2.7	0	0.0
Santa Cruz	479	0.8	479	0.8	0	0.0
Shasta	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sierra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Siskiyou	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Solano	2,040	3.6	2,027	3.5	13	7.1
Sonoma	339	0.6	339	0.6	0	0.0
Stanislaus	1,271	2.2	1,271	2.2	0	0.0
Tehama	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Trinity	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tulare	190	0.3	190	0.3	0	0.0
Tuolumne	281	0.5	281	0.5	0	0.0
Ventura	1,537	2.7	1,537	2.7	0	0.0
Yolo	322	0.6	322	0.6	0	0.0
Sutter/Yuba	101	0.2	101	0.2	0	0.0

¹ = County has not reported Quarter 4;

TABLE 4
 ADDITIONAL 14-DAY INTENSIVE (SUICIDE) TREATMENTS BY COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Total		Local Programs		State Hospitals	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	269	100	266	100	3	100
Alameda	7	2.6	7	2.6	0	0.0
Alpine	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Amador	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Butte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Calaveras	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Colusa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Contra Costa	2	0.7	2	0.8	0	0.0
Del Norte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
El Dorado	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fresno	10	3.7	10	3.8	0	0.0
Glenn	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humboldt	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Imperial	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inyo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kern ¹	7	2.6	7	2.6	0	0.0
Kings	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lassen	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Los Angeles	6	2.2	6	2.3	0	0.0
Madera	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mariposa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mendocino	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Merced	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Modoc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mono	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Monterey	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
Napa	1	0.4	0	0.0	1	33.3
Nevada	1	0.4	0	0.0	1	33.3
Orange	3	1.1	3	1.1	0	0.0
Placer	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Plumas	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Riverside	13	4.8	13	4.9	0	0.0
Sacramento	26	9.7	26	9.8	0	0.0
San Benito	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Bernardino	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Diego	3	1.1	3	1.1	0	0.0
San Francisco	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Joaquin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Mateo	14	5.2	14	5.3	0	0.0
Santa Barbara	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
Santa Clara	11	4.1	11	4.1	0	0.0
Santa Cruz	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Shasta	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sierra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Siskiyou	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Solano	155	57.6	154	57.9	1	33.3
Sonoma	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Stanislaus	2	0.7	2	0.8	0	0.0
Tehama	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Trinity	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tulare	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
Tuolumne	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ventura	5	1.9	5	1.9	0	0.0
Yolo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sutter/Yuba	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

1 = County has not reported Quarter 4;

TABLE 5
 30-DAY INTENSIVE TREATMENTS BY COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Total		Local Programs		State Hospitals	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	3,569	100	3,568	100	1	100
Alameda	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Alpine	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Amador	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Butte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Calaveras	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Colusa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Contra Costa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Del Norte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
El Dorado	13	0.4	13	0.4	0	0.0
Fresno	10	0.3	10	0.3	0	0.0
Glenn	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humboldt	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Imperial	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inyo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kern ¹	37	1.0	37	1.0	0	0.0
Kings	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lassen	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Los Angeles	2,970	83.2	2,969	83.2	1	100.0
Madera	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mariposa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mendocino	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Merced	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Modoc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mono	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Monterey	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Napa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Nevada	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Orange	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Placer	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Plumas	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Riverside	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sacramento	310	8.7	310	8.7	0	0.0
San Benito	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Bernardino	19	0.5	19	0.5	0	0.0
San Diego	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Francisco	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Joaquin	82	2.3	82	2.3	0	0.0
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Mateo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Santa Barbara	65	1.8	65	1.8	0	0.0
Santa Clara	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Santa Cruz	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Shasta	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sierra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Siskiyou	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Solano	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0
Sonoma	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Stanislaus	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tehama	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Trinity	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tulare	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tuolumne	10	0.3	10	0.3	0	0.0
Ventura	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yolo	32	0.9	32	0.9	0	0.0
Sutter/Yuba	19	0.5	19	0.5	0	0.0

1 = County has not reported Quarter 4;

TABLE 6
 180-DAY POST CERTIFICATIONS BY COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Total		Local Programs		State Hospitals	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	21	100	14	100	7	100
Alameda	1	4.8	1	7.1	0	0.0
Alpine	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Amador	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Butte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Calaveras	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Colusa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Contra Costa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Del Norte	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
El Dorado	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fresno	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Glenn	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humboldt	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Imperial	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inyo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kern ¹	9	42.9	6	42.9	3	42.9
Kings	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lassen	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Los Angeles	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Madera	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mariposa	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mendocino	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Merced	3	14.3	3	21.4	0	0.0
Modoc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mono	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Monterey	1	4.8	0	0.0	1	14.3
Napa	1	4.8	0	0.0	1	14.3
Nevada	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Orange	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Placer	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Plumas	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Riverside	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sacramento	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Benito	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Bernardino	1	4.8	0	0.0	1	14.3
San Diego	2	9.5	2	14.3	0	0.0
San Francisco	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Joaquin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
San Mateo	1	4.8	1	7.1	0	0.0
Santa Barbara	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Santa Clara	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Santa Cruz	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Shasta	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sierra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Siskiyou	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Solano	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sonoma	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Stanislaus	2	9.5	1	7.1	1	14.3
Tehama	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Trinity	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tulare	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tuolumne	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ventura	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yolo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sutter/Yuba	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

¹ = County has not reported Quarter 4;

TABLE 7
 TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT CONSERVATORSHIPS ESTABLISHED BY COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Temporary Conservatorships		Permanent Conservatorships	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	5,371	100	10,226	100
Alameda	577	10.7	300	2.9
Alpine	1	0.0	0	0.0
Amador	1	0.0	28	0.3
Butte	21	0.4	110	1.1
Calaveras	0	0.0	15	0.1
Colusa	0	0.0	1	0.0
Contra Costa	273	5.1	213	2.1
Del Norte	6	0.1	30	0.3
El Dorado	5	0.1	23	0.2
Fresno	157	2.9	452	4.4
Glenn	4	0.1	14	0.1
Humboldt	40	0.7	110	1.1
Imperial	16	0.3	52	0.5
Inyo	1	0.0	7	0.1
Kern ¹	26	0.5	22	0.2
Kings	16	0.3	57	0.6
Lake	1	0.0	30	0.3
Lassen	4	0.1	4	0.0
Los Angeles	594	11.1	2,352	23.0
Madera	12	0.2	42	0.4
Marin	57	1.1	109	1.1
Mariposa	4	0.1	3	0.0
Mendocino	25	0.5	17	0.2
Merced	13	0.2	94	0.9
Modoc	3	0.1	9	0.1
Mono	1	0.0	0	0.0
Monterey	23	0.4	86	0.8
Napa	15	0.3	66	0.6
Nevada	9	0.2	38	0.4
Orange	538	10.0	762	7.5
Placer	48	0.9	138	1.3
Plumas	1	0.0	2	0.0
Riverside	268	5.0	293	2.9
Sacramento	158	2.9	74	0.7
San Benito ²	0	0.0	6	0.1
San Bernardino	140	2.6	383	3.7
San Diego	775	14.4	1,042	10.2
San Francisco	376	7.0	303	3.0
San Joaquin	114	2.1	255	2.5
San Luis Obispo	6	0.1	39	0.4
San Mateo	166	3.1	301	2.9
Santa Barbara	33	0.6	96	0.9
Santa Clara	347	6.5	786	7.7
Santa Cruz	107	2.0	84	0.8
Shasta	30	0.6	68	0.7
Sierra	0	0.0	2	0.0
Siskiyou	4	0.1	2	0.0
Solano	57	1.1	333	3.3
Sonoma	41	0.8	179	1.8
Stanislaus	67	1.2	126	1.2
Tehama	4	0.1	25	0.2
Trinity	6	0.1	5	0.0
Tulare	34	0.6	201	2.0
Tuolumne	10	0.2	31	0.3
Ventura	86	1.6	218	2.1
Yolo	30	0.6	113	1.1
Sutter/Yuba	20	0.4	75	0.7

1 = County has not reported Quarters 3 & 4; 2 = County has not reported Quarter 1;

TABLE 8
 SERVICES PROVIDED TO PERSONS DETAINED IN JAIL FACILITIES BY CATEGORY AND COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Number of Transfers from Jails for Admission to Local Inpatient Facilities Pursuant to PC 4011.6 or 4011.8		Number of Admissions to an LPS Approved Inpatient Treatment Program Within a Jail		Unduplicated Count of Persons Receiving Outpatient Services Provided in a Jail Facility
	Involuntary	Voluntary	Involuntary	Voluntary	Total
Statewide	1,164	104	3,164	108	219,085
Alameda	50	0	0	0	5,701
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	0	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	217
Colusa	0	0	0	0	9
Contra Costa	3	0	0	0	9,018
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado ¹	8	0	0	0	818
Fresno ²	115	0	0	0	1,386
Glenn	0	0	0	0	405
Humboldt	3	0	0	0	2,144
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	15
Kern ³	0	0	0	0	1,762
Kings	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	0	656
Lassen	34	0	0	0	35
Los Angeles	154	0	561	13	62,004
Madera	0	0	0	0	505
Marin	0	0	10	0	1,456
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	37
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	3,622
Merced	2	0	0	6	451
Modoc	0	0	0	0	42
Mono	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey ⁴	16	0	0	0	0
Napa	4	11	0	0	712
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	12,010
Placer	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	0	0	0	0	138
Riverside	95	0	0	0	3,422
Sacramento	0	0	853	1	10,719
San Benito ¹	0	0	0	0	173
San Bernardino	3	0	6	0	2,633
San Diego	0	0	379	80	21,115
San Francisco	576	0	0	0	7,491
San Joaquin	0	0	18	0	2,212
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0	4,021
San Mateo	6	0	0	0	593
Santa Barbara	23	0	0	0	3,962
Santa Clara	0	93	1,336	0	38,314
Santa Cruz	17	0	0	0	1,027
Shasta ³	0	0	0	0	654
Sierra	0	0	0	0	13
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	355
Solano	22	0	0	0	7,757
Sonoma	0	0	0	0	5,224
Stanislaus	8	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	189
Trinity	0	0	0	0	69
Tulare	0	0	0	0	1,152
Tuolumne	2	0	0	0	285
Ventura	5	0	1	0	3,214
Yolo	0	0	0	0	687
Sutter/Yuba	18	0	0	8	661

¹ = County has not reported Quarter 4; ² = County has not reported Quarter 1; ³ = County has not reported Quarters 3 & 4;
⁴ = County unable to collect Outpatient services data;

TABLE 9
 RATES PER 10,000 BY CATEGORY AND COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	72-hour Evaluation and Treatment (Adults)	72-hour Evaluation and Treatment (Children)	14-day Intensive Treatment	Additional 14-day Intensive (Suicidal) Treatment	30-day Intensive Treatment	180-day Post Certification Treatment	Temporary Conservatorships	Permanent Conservatorships
Statewide	50.8	19.5	15.6	0.1	1.0	0.0	1.5	2.8
Alameda	118.5	55.8	29.0	0.05	0.00	0.01	3.8	2.0
Alpine	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.5	0.0
Amador	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.3	7.4
Butte	42.5	1.9	5.4	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0	5.1
Calaveras	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	3.3
Colusa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.5
Contra Costa	50.7	30.8	5.4	0.02	0.00	0.00	2.6	2.1
Del Norte	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.1	10.5
El Dorado	28.7	0.2	6.4	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.3	1.3
Fresno	48.2	0.0	10.8	0.11	0.11	0.00	1.8	5.1
Glenn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.4	4.9
Humboldt	37.6	3.2	12.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.1	8.4
Imperial	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0	3.2
Inyo	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.5	3.8
Kern	11.2	2.6	2.3	0.09	0.50	0.12	0.3	0.3
Kings	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.1	4.0
Lake	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.2	4.7
Lassen	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.1	1.1
Los Angeles	64.4	20.9	27.0	0.01	2.93	0.00	0.6	2.3
Madera	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.9	3.0
Marin	71.9	36.8	5.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.3	4.3
Mariposa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.2	1.6
Mendocino	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.8	1.9
Merced	31.7	2.2	4.7	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.5	3.8
Modoc	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.1	9.3
Mono	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.7	0.0
Monterey	31.4	2.1	9.3	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.5	2.0
Napa	10.9	0.0	2.3	0.07	0.00	0.07	1.1	4.9
Nevada	2.3	0.0	1.4	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.9	3.8
Orange	35.5	8.1	9.2	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.7	2.5
Placer	12.4	0.0	2.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.6	4.5
Plumas	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.5	0.9
Riverside	23.6	10.1	9.9	0.07	0.00	0.00	1.4	1.6
Sacramento	104.4	67.3	14.9	0.19	2.22	0.00	1.1	0.5
San Benito	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.0
San Bernardino	45.8	35.2	5.8	0.00	0.10	0.01	0.7	2.0
San Diego	37.3	18.3	6.9	0.01	0.00	0.01	2.5	3.4
San Francisco	110.5	49.9	34.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.7	3.8
San Joaquin	23.3	0.7	10.1	0.00	1.24	0.00	1.7	3.9
San Luis Obispo	46.7	22.7	3.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.2	1.5
San Mateo	38.0	10.9	12.9	0.19	0.00	0.01	2.3	4.2
Santa Barbara	10.8	0.0	4.8	0.02	1.55	0.00	0.8	2.3
Santa Clara	27.6	0.0	8.7	0.06	0.00	0.00	2.0	4.5
Santa Cruz	46.1	0.0	18.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.1	3.2
Shasta	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.7	3.7
Sierra	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	5.6
Siskiyou	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.9	0.4
Solano	54.7	79.8	47.7	3.63	0.05	0.00	1.3	7.8
Sonoma	32.9	0.0	7.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.8	3.7
Stanislaus	74.0	44.2	25.0	0.04	0.00	0.04	1.3	2.5
Tehama	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.7	4.2
Trinity	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.4	3.7
Tulare	21.3	0.0	4.7	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.8	4.9
Tuolumne	163.9	0.0	48.4	0.00	1.72	0.00	1.7	5.3
Ventura	55.2	20.1	18.8	0.06	0.00	0.00	1.1	2.7
Yolo	34.0	0.0	16.6	0.00	1.65	0.00	1.5	5.8
Sutter/Yuba	16.4	0.0	6.5	0.00	1.23	0.00	1.3	4.8

TABLE 10
 TOTAL POPULATION BY AGE GROUP AND COUNTY
 FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

	Total	Less Than 18	18 And Over
Statewide	36,854,224	9,620,511	27,233,713
Alameda	1,538,138	356,892	1,181,246
Alpine	1,334	247	1,087
Amador	37,779	7,042	30,737
Butte	217,053	46,552	170,501
Calaveras	45,321	9,013	36,308
Colusa	20,953	5,999	14,954
Contra Costa	1,034,834	264,853	769,981
Del Norte	28,625	6,163	22,462
El Dorado	174,067	41,239	132,828
Fresno	882,711	259,043	623,668
Glenn	28,286	7,901	20,385
Humboldt	130,918	28,309	102,609
Imperial	160,520	46,554	113,966
Inyo	18,532	3,861	14,671
Kern	746,589	222,583	524,006
Kings	143,699	40,207	103,492
Lake	64,386	14,850	49,536
Lassen	36,325	6,647	29,678
Los Angeles	10,145,640	2,779,941	7,365,699
Madera	138,788	39,281	99,507
Marin	251,285	50,488	200,797
Mariposa	18,221	3,453	14,768
Mendocino	90,816	21,276	69,540
Merced	244,720	77,825	166,895
Modoc	9,656	2,022	7,634
Mono	13,932	2,902	11,030
Monterey	428,905	116,880	312,025
Napa	134,372	30,972	103,400
Nevada	99,649	20,221	79,428
Orange	3,074,722	800,650	2,274,072
Placer	303,595	75,538	228,057
Plumas	21,163	4,064	17,099
Riverside	1,871,587	530,207	1,341,380
Sacramento	1,394,554	367,882	1,026,672
San Benito	58,452	17,690	40,762
San Bernardino	1,942,091	573,029	1,369,062
San Diego	3,063,322	711,105	2,352,217
San Francisco	795,292	121,972	673,320
San Joaquin	659,566	195,328	464,238
San Luis Obispo	262,634	51,041	211,593
San Mateo	723,405	166,421	556,984
Santa Barbara	419,599	100,852	318,747
Santa Clara	1,757,330	443,170	1,314,160
Santa Cruz	262,312	59,318	202,994
Shasta	181,385	41,733	139,652
Sierra	3,571	660	2,911
Siskiyou	45,251	9,212	36,039
Solano	427,510	111,382	316,128
Sonoma	486,114	109,966	376,148
Stanislaus	508,636	148,306	360,330
Tehama	59,793	14,340	45,453
Trinity	13,517	2,605	10,912
Tulare	408,295	131,883	276,412
Tuolumne	57,999	11,029	46,970
Ventura	817,288	215,907	601,381
Yolo	194,385	47,763	146,622
Yuba	154,802	44,242	110,560

Source: California Department of Finance - 2000 Census Population Projection Data