

California Mental Health Planning Council

Continuous System Improvement Committee

Lake Natoma Inn
702 Gold Lake Drive,
Folsom, CA 95630
(916) 351-1511

October 15, 2015
Boardroom: Natoma
8:30 am – 12:00 pm

Time	Topic	Presenter or Facilitator	Tab
8:30 am	Planning Council issue requests	All members	
8:35 am	Welcome and Introductions	Susan Wilson, Chair Lorraine Flores, Chair-elect	
8:40 am	Review/approve June & September minutes	All members	A
8:50 am	Data Notebook progress, timelines, target audience, focus for next year. CBHDA letter	Susan Wilson, Linda Dickerson Susan Wilson, Noel O'Neill	A-1
9:05 am	Prep for Council vote on EPSDT POS performance indicators (PI)	Susan Wilson	
9:20 am	Report on Joint Task Force for PI Updates on MHSOAC evaluation projects	Renay Bradley, L. Dickerson Renay Bradley	
9:50 am	Panel presentation: Mental Health services to homeless adults in permanent supportive housing	Jenifer Carter from Mutual Housing in Sacramento	B
11:15 am	Review 2015 Work Plan and update	Susan Wilson, Lorraine Flores	C
11:45 am	Public comment		
11:50 am	Evaluate meeting/Develop agenda for next meeting	Susan Wilson, Lorraine Flores	

The scheduled times on the agenda are estimates and subject to change.

Committee Members

Co-chairs:	Chair: Susan Wilson	Vice-Chair: Lorraine Flores	
Members:	Patricia Bennett, PhD	Monica Nepomuceno	Karen Hart
	Renay Bradley, PhD	Noel O'Neill	Esmeralda Liberato
	Kathleen Casela	Amy Eargle, PhD	Celeste Hunter
	Raja Mitry	Walter Shwe	Staff: Tom Orrock

If reasonable accommodations are required, please contact CMHPC at (916) 323-4501 within 5 working days of the meeting date.

_____ **INFORMATION**

TAB SECTION A

 X **ACTION REQUIRED:**
Approve Minutes

DATE OF MEETING
10/15/15

MATERIAL
PREPARED BY: Orrock

DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 09/09/15

AGENDA ITEM:	Approval of CSI Committee Meeting Minutes
ENCLOSURES:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minutes of CSI Meetings from June 2015, and September, 2015
OTHER MATERIAL RELATED TO ITEM:	None

ISSUE:

Continuous System Improvement Committee review and approval of minutes from the June 8, 2015 Quarterly meeting **and** the September 01, 2015 in-between meeting. The June Quarterly minutes could not be approved at the September 2015 meeting because there was no quorum.

Continuous System Improvement Committee

Meeting Highlights

Thursday, June 18, 2015

**Crowne Plaza San Francisco Airport
1127 Airport Blvd., Burlingame, CA 94010
Parkside Room**

Committee Members Present:

Susan Wilson, Chair

Lorraine Flores, Chair-Elect

Kathleen Casela

Amy Eargle, PhD

Karen Hart

Celeste Hunter

Esmeralda Liberato

Raja Mitry

Monica Nepomuceno

Noel O'Neill

Walter Shwe

-

Staff Present:

Laura Leonelli

Others Present:

Beryl Nielson, CALMHBC, Napa County

Luvenia Jones, LMHB, Alameda County

By Phone: Renay Bradley, PhD, MHSOAC, and Linda Dickerson, PhD, CMHPC

Planning Council Members Issue Requests: Chair Susan Wilson asked if any Committee members had an issue request to bring forward. Hearing none, she continued the agenda.

Welcome and Introductions:

Chair Susan Wilson welcomed those present, who all introduced themselves and shared their background and interest in the Continuous System Improvement Committee.

Review and Approve April Minutes:

The minutes were reviewed and no changes suggested. Karen Hart moved that the minutes be approved as written; Lorraine Flores seconded the motion; the motion passed.

Update: Data Notebook completion, distribution timeline:

Linda Dickerson reported that the Data Notebook has been completed with the addition of data from the California Outcomes Management System (CalOMS) which was received in mid-May. Each County reports this data on their substance abuse treatment programs to the State. Notebooks were customized with each county's data and were electronically mailed to the County Behavioral/Mental Health Directors. Completed Data Notebooks are due to the CMHPC by August 4th. The County Behavioral Health Directors' Association (CBHDA) has discussed the Data Notebook with its members, which is a welcome demonstration of support (thanks to Noel O'Neill). The DHCS Children's EPSDT Performance Outcome System (POS) work group has been finalizing performance

indicators, and a report should be available in September. It may be the data source for a new theme for next year's Data Notebook, which will be discussed this fall. CSI Member input on the theme has been valuable, please give input for the new topic for next year.

Update: CMHPC and OAC Priority Indicators Joint Task Force; OAC Statewide Disparities Evaluation Framework

Renay Bradley reported that the Priority Indicators Joint Task Force will meet again in July, the regular meeting schedule is the second Thursday every other month. The Trends report is due from UCLA early next year, and the analysis of the 12 Priority Indicators will include recent Fiscal Year data. The Task Force is going to recommend if Indicators need to be revised, or deleted, or new ones added. For example, Indicators for the definition of 'new client' have been revised, and Indicators for 'timely access to services' have been added. LD has met with RB and the OAC staff and have provided input to the Task Force. The conclusion of the study depends on the OAC's ability to use DHCS data, the access to which must be approved. CSI members who also serve on the Task Force remarked that many counties participated in the meeting by phone. There needs to be consistency across counties about what data is collected. Indicators should measure what outcomes that the clients would like to achieve.

OAC Statewide Disparities Evaluation Framework – there is a question about whether the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) has had a positive impact on reducing disparities in access to mental health care. The first report on this subject was not conclusive due to limited data. How would it be possible to get better data? The OAC Evaluation Committee is discussing this issue, but there seem to be no conclusions possible in the short term. In the long term, DHCS data collection and reporting could be improved to include important demographic information. The OAC can also be informed by the CA Reducing Disparities Project funded by the MHSA. RB will send out an Evaluation Committee meeting schedule so that CSI members can participate.

CSI 2015 Work Plan - review and update:

Committee members discussed the Goals listed in the Work Plan and made some modifications:

Goal #1 – Completion of the Data Notebook. Activities are in progress, and the product is a final report around the end of the year. The Goal will be duplicated for next year's Work Plan. Member comments: Who is the target audience, and who are the Stakeholders? There was discussion about who has input into developing the document and which agencies are able to complete it. At this time dissemination of the Data Notebook consists of posting the reports on the CMHPC web site, but this could be expanded to send copies to organizations with a possible interest, such as the National Association for the Mentally Ill (NAMI), the CBHDA, etc. Counties should also be encouraged to post their Data Notebook report on their web sites for access by local stakeholders such as the Local Mental Health Boards. LMHBs could also use their Data Notebooks as their annual report to their Boards of Supervisors.

Goal #2 - Mental health treatment in Juvenile Justice facilities. The product will be a white paper on the Committee's research, to be delivered in October, 2016.

Goal #3 - Mental health programs for homeless adults and youth. The product will be a white paper, and the final date for completion will be moved from January to June of 2016.

Goal #4 - Review and approve performance outcome measures. Activities include not only the Priority Indicators Joint Task Force but also participation in the DHCS EPSDT Performance Outcome System review, to be added.

Goal #5 - To be added: Identify current mental health related research and compile an Annotated Resource List. Activities will include obtaining research articles, composing a brief summary of each, and saving them to a new and dedicated folder on the CMHPC shared drive to be accessible to staff and stakeholders. Research articles will inform the white papers to be prepared for Goals #2 and #3. The Resource List will be distributed to members on a regular basis.

Panel Presentation: Mental Health services to Homeless Adults and Youth:

Ky Le, Director, Santa Clara County Office of Supportive Housing – Mr. Le began by noting that there has always been a strong correlation between mental illness and homelessness. Factors include low income, no affordable housing and few supportive services. Many solutions and strategies have been tried but plans fail because they don't focus on housing. Resources are directed to affordable housing but not supportive housing. Three strategies are needed and should be applied appropriately:

- Residential care, and other options for permanent, supportive housing;
- Rapid re-housing using temporary income supports;
- and Homelessness prevention.

Mental health systems can address homelessness by using a housing assessment tool (eg, the VISPDAT) as a part of the service intake process. MHSA Housing funds should develop supportive housing units and mental health departments should reserve them for the serious mentally ill by controlling the wait list. There needs to be more money (from all sources) designated for housing development, housing subsidies, and long-term supports.

Sparky Harlan, CEO, Bill Wilson Center – Ms. Harlan distributed packets of information about the BWC. She stated that the focus and vision of the Bill Wilson Center is to prevent poverty through supporting youth and families, by connecting them to services and working to end youth and family homelessness by 2020. This is part of the Federal Housing and Urban Development (HUD) plan for the past two administrations. The US Inter-Agency Council on Homelessness coordinates all Federal departments dealing with homeless programs for various populations like chronically homeless, veterans, etc. Often these populations have competing needs and resource allocations. At both federal and local levels, limited resources create a need to prioritize.

The BWC is a national trainer for Family Advocacy Services (FAS), a homelessness prevention program that includes caseworkers placed at schools to help families at risk of losing their homes. Many of the families are immigrants, some monolingual Spanish speaking. The program measures outcomes by how the children perform in school, since uncertain housing is reflected in low attendance and grades. The agency is known and trusted in the community and students self-refer.

Senator Dianne Feinstein has submitted a bill that would establish a common definition of homelessness for youth and families (for HUD and other federal agencies) and expand it to include those who are doubled-up with other families. The BWC believes that housing for youth should include supportive services, using different models for youth than for adults. Transitional housing is a good model for youth. Ms. Harlan illustrated this point with a handout from the packet: for youth under 18 yrs the focus is on family reunification, over 18 the focus was on independent living. However, now the focus is on re-connection with families even over age 18.

Questions from Committee members: How does the agency employ and train staff from immigrant communities, some of whom have experienced trauma? Ms. Harlan replied that many of their staff are peers, some under age 25. They come from the communities that they serve and the agency provides staff mentoring as well as training. Mentors are from similar backgrounds and help newer staff deal with issues of re-traumatization. What counties does the agency serve? Mostly Santa Clara, also Santa Cruz. The agency believes that services should be located in the community so they are willing to find partners in other counties and provide training to them. What about services for foster youth? The agency serves many foster youth and is designing a homeless youth plan. They are piloting a project to re-locate 5 -6 youth to a more affordable area and providing 18 months of support to help them establish there. SCC has become just too expensive to live in.

Dr. Vitka Eisen, CEO, HealthRIGHT 360 – The agency is a merger of several entities, including Walden House and the Haight Ashbury Free Clinic. It now provides services in 7 counties: Santa Clara, San Mateo, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Orange, Imperial and San Diego. The focus is on integrated substance abuse treatment services, mental health care and primary care. The agency runs 4 Federally Qualified Health Centers. 70% of the clientele is homeless. The agency houses about 1000 people statewide, and provides services in jails and prisons as well. Many of the 800 employees are consumers, who inspire the clients through their experience. Volunteers run a hotline that provides over 30,000 calls per year, as well as a Teen Chatline. 30 years ago the CEO was a client who received residential treatment for 2 years through public funding until she was stabilized and had income and housing. No one was released from the program until all 3 conditions were met. Since then SUD has become criminalized, and clients are much sicker with chronic diseases, mental illness, no employment and are often incarcerated. Publicly funded treatment is much shorter, 90 days to 6 months. The Affordable Care Act has provided more people with Medi-Cal, but there is still not enough housing. HUD creates eligibility barriers to affordable housing by excluding anyone with a SUD or who has been in prison. More supportive transitional housing is greatly needed.

Discussion: Main points –

- Focus of services should be on outcomes, not the requirements of funding sources
- Collaboration is effective in providing a continuum of services – warm hand-off
- The 1115 Waiver negotiations include coverage of housing through Medi-Cal – CMS will not make direct payments but cost savings can be used.
- However, costs savings are difficult to quantify and apply, they are estimates only
- Drug Medi-Cal can now pay for residential treatment in facilities > 16 beds
- It is important to connect the homeless with a social community. Many have no family or are estranged, but they stay connected with the program and with peers.
- Strategies with short and long term goals should be established to create a whole system to reduce homelessness.

Public Comment:

Thank you for covering these interesting topics. It is nice to hear how different regions are handling these issues.

Evaluate Meeting/Develop Agenda for Next Meeting:

The next Quarterly Meeting of the CMHPC will be in the Sacramento area. On July 1 we will decide on a new theme for the fiscal year. Agenda items for the CSI Committee meeting will include:

- Homelessness – rapid rehousing, transition to community from involuntary commitments. The Committee wants to emphasize Youth and TAY (18 – 25 yrs) within this topic. A panel of homeless youth will be arranged: some organizations to contact are the California Youth Empowerment Network (CAYEN), Youth in Mind, and referrals from Monica N and Kathleen C. The topic can intersect with Juvenile Justice and schools. Lorraine F will also ask FAS program staff.
- Data Notebook – Updates and the new Theme for 2016.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

Continuous System Improvement Committee

In-between Meeting Highlights

September 1, 2015

1501 Capitol Avenue, Building 171, 3rd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Committee Member Attendees:

Susan Wilson, Chair

Karen Hart

Walter Shwe

Lorraine Flores, Chair-elect

Raja Mitry

Kathleen Casela

Staff present: Jane Adcock, Linda Dickerson, Tom Orrock

Others present: None

Welcome and Introductions:

Susan Wilson welcomed the committee members and staff present. Jane introduced new staff member Tom Orrock and thanked the committee for delaying the meeting to accommodate Tom's entrance into the process.

Review and Approve Minutes: The minutes could not be approved as there was no quorum.

Item 1: Data Notebook Update (DNB):

Linda Dickerson stated that the progress is going well and the due date was in the first week of August to return their information. To date, 25 reports have been received. Alpine and Plumas county responded with information and they had not responded last year. Eighteen other counties have communicated that they are in progress toward completion of Data Notebooks. Thirteen counties need a second reminder to turn in their Notebook; including five counties with populations over 100,000, and 8 counties with pop under 60,000 have not responded. Jane mentioned that the Data Notebooks were due on August 1, 2015 and it is now September 1st. Jane mentioned that there will need to be a cutoff date for these reports as the information will need to be compiled so that Linda can move forward with the analysis and write the report. Susan mentioned that we were thinking positive in giving counties 2-3 months to get reports turned in and that counties have a dark period in the summer months. This will need to be considered in the future. Linda mentioned that it is especially true of smaller counties where they may only have quarterly meetings. Los Angeles was counted as submitted but was sent back as incomplete. LA county did not submit answers to questions 15, A-F about MHB. Jane mentioned that LA county asked us to include this in the DNB but they did not

complete those items. Linda has about 20 pages of a rough draft report about substance use and co-occurring disorders with a focus on just the CalOMS data, including an explanation of the CalOMS data.

Jane mentioned that she received a letter from the CBHDA through Noel O'Neil. They had concerns about the DNB. Specifically they want the MH Directors to be more involved and wanted us to use their **MOQA data**. They feel the MHDs should be involved from the beginning and to have more control of the review, wording of questions, and what gets onto the questionnaire itself. Jane is working with the Officer team to create a response to CBHDA. Jane will send Susan a copy of the letter. Linda has received emails regarding where the DNB Statewide Overview Report is released. She has told them that they can find it on the website and will be released this fall with final revisions done in February.

Jane mentioned that the Exec committee is working with a consultant to raise visibility and to better share our products and to do a better job of informing all parties about what the CMHPC is doing. Jane stated that the council has put out some very good products which have shaped the discussion of MH issues in the state. With the passage of Prop 63 the CMHPC is no longer the biggest voice as there are many voices now. Council members themselves were unaware of products created by the CMHPC. Raja Mitry mentioned that we should be making sure that the county Boards of Supervisors knows about the DNB and knows the purpose of the NB. He stated that this action would fulfill the role of oversight in knowing what their Behavioral Health departments ought to be working on.

Item 2: Update 2015 Work Plan:

Goal #1: Data Notebook;

Data NB timelines should be updated reflect new due dates for the DNB. It is important to have decisions on themes and focus by the end of 2015 in order to meet new timelines for DN 2016, and allow for delays in the DHCS approval and legal release process.

Goal #2: Juvenile Justice Mental Health Services:

Susan mentioned contacting Sierra Health Foundation who could direct us to possible presentations. Tom mentioned that a representative from probation may also benefit the report. Past presentations have taken place. JJ presentation to full council will be June/July of 2016 with a report in October 2016. Laura may have some write ups about past presentations. March and June 2015 notes would reflect info from presenters. Tom and Susan to discuss further.

Goal #3 Homeless adults and youth report draft

Nov- Dec draft report with report presented at the January quarterly. Lorraine can assist in writing this report. It was hoped that a report on this would be presented to the committee

at the October meeting. This timeline will not be met so the new time frame for draft for this report would be Nov, Dec. in teleconference and finalize the report in January 2016.

Goal #4 Approve performance indicators which DHCS has implemented for children/youth

Linda and Dionne Maxwell have been working with an Ad hoc workgroup to become familiar with what the performance outcomes. Tom will update the work plan with new dates. The council needs to approve performance indicators. Input is needed on how to present the information to the council. We would probably focus on any differences which stand out from the information rather than going over all the older information.

Fall 2015 meeting.

Item 3: Update: Agenda for October 2015 quarterly meeting:

Data Notebook

Indicators project

Review updated Work Plan

Presentation from Juvenile Justice MH - Tom will research if there is a report or information available. Susan and Tom will talk to determine if JJMH needs to be on the agenda.

Presentation from homeless programs

OAC update from Renay Bradley

Raja mentioned that he would like to hear from direct consumers, youth, and adults who have experienced homelessness or incarceration in JJ facilities. Youth panels were mentioned by Laura Leonelli in the past. Cayen is a program in Sacramento. Tom mentioned Wind Youth Services work with homeless youth. We could explore inviting Sierra HF to San Diego in January to continue discussion of JJ MH.

Evaluate Meeting/Other information: Date Notebook, CSI focus for next year. Themes established by the Exec Committee. Jane outlined the timeline for creation of themes which lead to the completion of reports on those items.

Linda mentioned that we should look at the release dates and release earlier to match MHB timeframes and the summer hiatus for some counties MHB meetings.

Public Comment: No public comment

Meeting adjourned at 9:58 am

X INFORMATION

TAB SECTION A-1

_____ ACTION REQUIRED:
 Approve Minutes

DATE OF MEETING
 10/15/15

MATERIAL
PREPARED BY: Tom Orrock

DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 9?18/15

AGENDA ITEM:	CBHDA letter
ENCLOSURES:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Letter from CBHDA
OTHER MATERIAL RELATED TO ITEM:	None

ISSUE:

A letter from the CBHDA to the CMHPC



TRINITY COUNTY

Behavioral Health Services

MENTAL HEALTH · ALCOHOL & OTHER DRUGS · PERINATAL · PREVENTION

□ P.O. Box 1640
1450 MAIN STREET
WEAVERVILLE, CA 96093
TEL: (530) 623-1362
FAX: (530) 623-5830

□ P.O. Box 91
TULE CREEK ROAD
HAYFORK, CA 96041
TEL: (530) 628-4111
FAX: (530) 628-1982

August 25, 2015

Jane Adcock
Executive Officer
California Mental Health Planning Council
1501 Capital Avenue Suite 3001
Sacramento, Ca. 95814

Dear Jane,

At the recent County Behavioral Health Directors Association (CBHDA) All Members Meeting, held on August 13, 2015, there was considerable discussion among Behavioral Health Directors concerning the Data Notebook. CBHDA thought it would be helpful to communicate with the Planning Council three areas of feedback regarding our data collection effort to facilitate a smoother and more timely gathering of data in the future.

1. Directors felt that the process of gathering this data should be more collaborative between the Advisory Boards and the Mental Health Plans (MHPs). The focus from the Planning Council appears to be directed towards the Advisory Boards, but in reality almost all of the information required for the Data Notebook will come from the MHP. In the initial instructions, Directors felt that if both the MHP and Advisory Board were addressed and invited to collaborate together in the discovery of this data, the process would be more natural to the actual dynamic that exists in the counties.
2. CBHDA has a Committee that does considerable gathering of data. Its goal is to be able to generate quarterly reports based on surveys conducted among the counties founded on Measurement, Outcomes, and Quality Assessment (MOQA). Directors felt that while the Planning Council has the right to request information from any entity that the Council deems appropriate, it might be helpful to vet many of these questions through the CBHDA MOQA Group prior to being sent out to the MHPs. The hoped-for outcome of such a strategy would be that the questions would be formulated in a manner that assures the best success of getting the information to the Council. Since CBHDA knows the sources of data that exist within the

MHPs, the likelihood of these questions rendering the most amount of relevant information will be higher by working together.

3. If the MHP Director is involved from the beginning, the Advisory Board will know they will have the assistance of the MHP when gathering the data, and the process should go more smoothly. Additionally, it is hoped that upon completion of the Data Notebook, the end result could be shared electronically with the MHP Director as well as the Advisory Board Chair. It would also be helpful if the Planning Council could share the list of where the Data Notebook will be distributed, so the MHPs are aware of the stakeholders who will have this information available to them.

Thank you for your consideration of our feedback to your Data Notebook efforts. Please do not hesitate to contact me if there are any questions or concerns. I can be reached at nolga2@sbcglobal.net or at the above phone number in Weaverville. If you have an immediate question, I can be reached on my Cell at 707-272-2456. Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Noel J. O'Neill LMFT". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Noel J. O'Neill, LMFT
Trinity County Behavioral Health Services Director, Planning Council Provider Member

CC: Patricia Ryan, Interim Executive Director for CBHDA
Michael Kennedy, Co-Chair of CBHDA MHSA Committee
Debbie Innes-Gomberg, Co-Chair of CBHDA MHSA Committee

X INFORMATION

TAB SECTION **B**

 ACTION REQUIRED

DATE OF MEETING 10-15-15

MATERIAL
PREPARED BY: Orrock

DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 09-11-15

AGENDA ITEM:	Panel Presentation: Mental Health services to homeless adults in permanent supportive housing.
ENCLOSURES:	Spreadsheet: MHSA occupied units and loans approved listed by county.
OTHER MATERIAL RELATED TO ITEM:	Mutual Housing Brochure

ISSUE:

The Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Housing Program provided some financing for supportive housing units which serve individuals with serious mental illness and their families who are homeless or at risk for becoming homeless. The MHSA Housing Program also offers funds to counties so that they are able to develop permanent supportive housing with subsidies in strategic locations within their county. Sacramento County has three such MHSA funded programs. One of these programs, Mutual Housing, is located at the former McClellan Air Force base and has 33 MHSA units.

In June of 2015, the Council heard from Sparky Harlan, Ky Le, and Vitka Eisen during the panel presentation on MH services to homeless adults and youth in Santa Clara County. This item will complete the round of presentations to the Committee and allow for the preparation of the draft report in Nov/Dec with the final report complete by January 2016.

Attachment C
MHSA Housing Program
Loan Applications Approved
As of 3/31/2015

Loan Application Approved During the Report Period ***	County	Project	MHSA Senior Loan Committee Approval Date	Estimated Loan Closing Date	Estimated Completion Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Amount Committed	MHSA Operating Subsidy Amount (COSR) Committed	Total MHSA Funds Committed
	Alameda	McLeod Apartments	8/5/2014	5/28/2015	1/2/2015	5	5	0	0	\$1,426,255	\$648,325	\$0	\$648,325
***	Contra Costa	Tabora Gardens	2/26/2015	12/31/2015	TBD	85	5	0	0	\$0	\$1,081,600	\$0	\$1,081,600
	Contra Costa	Third Avenue Apartments	2/28/2013	7/14/2015	1/2/2015	48	5	0	0	\$25,216,464	\$1,368,864	\$806,434	\$2,175,298
	Humboldt	Arcata Bay Crossing/Crossing Bridges	6/28/2013	1/28/2016	TBD	32	15	15	0	\$0	\$1,343,957	\$651,800	\$1,995,757
	Humboldt	Crossing Bridges-WITHDRAWN	3/19/2012	3/27/2014	TBD	15	15	15	0	\$0	\$1,303,500	\$651,800	\$1,955,300
	Lake	LIGHTHOUSE LAKE CTY - DECLINED	10/12/2009	TBD	TBD	18	9	0	0		\$942,600	\$0	\$942,600
***	Los Angeles	Anchor Place	2/26/2015	11/30/2015	TBD	120	18	18	0	\$46,952,280	\$1,710,000	\$0	\$1,710,000
***	Los Angeles (Tri-Cities MHSA Funds)	Holt Family Apartments (Tri-Cities)	2/26/2015	11/1/2015	TBD	50	25	0	0	\$18,351,400	\$2,368,925	\$0	\$2,368,925
	Los Angeles	Kiwa Family Housing (WITHDRAWN)	6/21/2010	9/30/2011	TBD	52	10	0	0	\$24,313,016	\$1,048,300	\$0	\$1,048,300
	Los Angeles	MJM Pathways SH #1 Eleventh St	4/8/2014	4/17/2015	TBD	15	15	15	0	\$943,350	\$943,371	\$1,200,000	\$2,143,371
	Los Angeles	NEHEMIAH COURT APTS-WITHDRAWN	5/19/2009	9/30/2011	TBD	13	12	12	0	\$0	\$1,248,000	\$600,000	\$1,848,000
***	Los Angeles	Teague Terrace (fka Eagle Vista)	12/12/2014	8/7/2015	6/25/2014	56	5	0	0	\$17,577,448	\$524,150	\$0	\$524,150
***	Los Angeles	Winnetka Senior Apartments	12/12/2014	3/30/2017	TBD	95	15	0	0	\$0	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000
	Nevada	Winters Haven Shared - Housing #2 - WITHDR	8/19/2010	8/12/2014	TBD	4	2	2	0	\$0	\$380,025	\$202,300	\$582,325

Attachment C
MHSA Housing Program
Loan Applications Approved
As of 3/31/2015

Loan Application Approved During the Report Period ***	County	Project	MHSA Senior Loan Committee Approval Date	Estimated Loan Closing Date	Estimated Completion Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Amount Committed	MHSA Operating Subsidy Amount (COSR) Committed	Total MHSA Funds Committed
	Orange	Cerritos Family Apartments- WITHDRAWN	6/30/2010	7/1/2013	4/16/2015	70	7	7	0	\$22,004,010	\$757,120	\$728,000	\$1,485,120
***	Orange	Depot at Santiago	2/26/2015	12/1/2015	TBD	70	10	2	8	\$3,199,996,730	\$1,265,320	\$916,000	\$2,181,320
	Orange	Harper's Point Senior Apts - DECLINED	6/21/2010	12/31/2013	TBD	53	7	7	0	\$15,426,127	\$757,120	\$189,000	\$946,120
	Orange	Harper's Pointe- WITHDRAWN	7/16/2012	12/31/2013	TBD	53	15	15	0	\$15,674,061	\$1,754,790	\$1,754,790	\$3,509,580
	Orange	Lincoln Family Apts	6/23/2014	3/11/2015	TBD	70	15	15	0	\$28,267,330	\$1,897,974	\$1,325,000	\$3,222,974
	Orange	Santa Ines Senior Villas - (WITHDRAWN)	6/28/2013	2/16/2015	TBD	42	10	10	0	\$12,346,992	\$1,216,650	\$1,216,650	\$2,433,300
***	San Benito	Buena Vista	2/13/2015	12/1/2015	TBD	80	4	4	0	\$0	\$585,700	\$319,000	\$904,700
	San Bernardino	Horizons @ Yucaipa- WITHDRAWN	6/21/2010	TBD	TBD	77	10	10	0	\$0	\$839,160	\$810,000	\$1,649,160
***	San Bernardino	Horizons at Yucaipa	2/26/2015	7/1/2016	TBD	50	10	0	10	\$15,797,800	\$1,716,650	\$0	\$1,716,650
	San Bernardino	Horizons at Yucaipa - (WITHDRAWN)	7/16/2012	5/15/2015	2/1/2014	77	10	10	0	\$22,106,700	\$1,087,542	\$1,169,850	\$2,257,392
	San Diego	Churchill	6/23/2014	4/21/2015	12/1/2015	73	16	0	0	\$17,036,375	\$0	\$0	\$0
***	San Diego	Mission Cove Family Apartments 1	2/13/2015	3/17/2016	TBD	90	9	0	0	\$32,193,720	\$943,470	\$0	\$943,470
***	San Joaquin	Tienda Drive Senior Apts.	2/13/2015	12/1/2015	TBD	80	8	0	0	\$18,488,640	\$740,000	\$694,726	\$1,434,726
***	San Joaquin	Zettie Miller	2/13/2015	10/1/2015	TBD	82	20	20	0	\$21,051,286	\$2,000,000	\$1,327,258	\$3,327,258
***	San Mateo	Waverly Place Apartments	2/13/2015	12/17/2015	TBD	16	15	0	0	\$6,626,480	\$1,973,895	\$0	\$1,973,895
	Santa Barbara	Rancho Hermosa- WITHDRAWN	6/8/2010	2/28/2012	TBD	47	12	12	0	\$22,780,524	\$550,000	\$550,000	\$1,100,000

Attachment C
MHSA Housing Program
Loan Applications Approved
As of 3/31/2015

Loan Application Approved During the Report Period ***	County	Project	MHSA Senior Loan Committee Approval Date	Estimated Loan Closing Date	Estimated Completion Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Amount Committed	MHSA Operating Subsidy Amount (COSR) Committed	Total MHSA Funds Committed
	Santa Clara	Ford & Monterey Family Housing	11/15/2012	5/15/2015	7/31/2014	75	5	5	0	\$28,527,450	\$885,000	\$115,000	\$1,000,000
***	Shasta	The Woodlands	2/26/2015	12/10/2015	TBD	55	19	19	0	\$17,148,285	\$1,790,700	\$668,668	\$2,459,368
	Solano	Bliss Housing Project DECLINED	11/18/2010	TBD	TBD	4	4	4	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						<u>1,247</u>	<u>239</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>\$3,495,601,293</u>	<u>\$24,537,901</u>	<u>\$8,023,886</u>	<u>#####</u>
						929	163	63	18	3,394,184,069	17,450,410	3,925,652	21,376,062

All Loan Applications Approved: 20
Loan Applications Approved During the Report Period: 13
***Withdrawn/Declined applications are not included in the totals**

Attachment E
MHSAs Housing Program
Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied
As of March 31, 2015

Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period = ***	County	Project	Certificate of Occupancy Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Funds Disbursed	MHSA Operating Subsidy (COSR) Disbursed	Total MHSA Funds Disbursed
	Alameda	6th & Oak Senior Homes	11/12/2012	70	5	0	0	\$28,185,850	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
	Alameda	Ashland Shared Housing 1- Plaza Street	8/5/2010	3	3	3	0	\$494,820	\$324,480	\$82,131	\$406,611
	Alameda	Ashland Shared Housing 2 - Linnea Ave	8/22/2011	4	4	4	0	\$1,119,192	\$432,640	\$58,047	\$490,687
	Alameda	Ashland Shared Housing 3 - Thrush Ave	6/7/2012	4	4	4	0	\$442,880	\$442,880	\$46,505	\$489,385
	Alameda	Ashland Shared Housing 4 - Crane Avenue	5/1/2012	3	3	3	0	\$540,003	\$326,900	\$40,682	\$367,582
	Alameda	California Hotel	1/16/2014	137	15	0	0	\$43,254,462	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000
***	Alameda	Cathedral Gardens	12/30/2014	100	5	0	5	\$37,968,500	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
	Alameda	Clinton Commons	3/11/2013	55	8	8	0	\$24,373,800	\$800,000	\$251,533	\$1,051,533
	Alameda	FAIRMOUNT APTS	2/10/2011	31	6	6	0	\$11,753,061	\$600,000	\$57,250	\$657,250
	Alameda	Harmon Gardens (City of Berkeley)	8/19/2011	8	5	0	0	\$3,617,752	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
	Alameda	HARMON GARDENS-COUNTY	8/19/2011	8	5	0	0	\$3,617,752	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000

Attachment E
MHSAs Housing Program
Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied
As of March 31, 2015

Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period = ***	County	Project	Certificate of Occupancy Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Funds Disbursed	MHSA Operating Subsidy (COSR) Disbursed	Total MHSA Funds Disbursed
	Alameda	MacArthur Apartments	10/30/2013	32	7	0	0	\$16,357,632	\$703,000	\$0	\$703,000
	Alameda	MAIN STREET VILLAGE	8/4/2011	64	10	10	0	\$28,125,824	\$1,040,000	\$79,917	\$1,119,917
	Alameda	The Savoy	3/20/2013	102	15	15	15	\$31,373,058	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000
	Alameda	UA Homes (City of Berkeley)	12/30/2013	74	7	0	0	\$15,760,150	\$758,600	\$0	\$758,600
	Contra Costa	Anka Behavioral Health #1	6/12/2012	4	4	4	0	\$368,000	\$368,000	\$0	\$368,000
	Contra Costa	Anka Behavioral Health #2	6/20/2014	4	4	4	0	\$470,484	\$485,000	\$0	\$485,000
	Contra Costa	LILLIE MAE JONES	7/5/2011	26	6	6	6	\$11,916,918	\$715,000	\$0	\$715,000
	Contra Costa	Robin Lane Apts.	10/24/2013	16	5	5	0	\$2,233,040	\$560,000	\$21,860	\$581,860
	El Dorado	The Aspens at South Lake	12/31/2013	48	6	6	0	\$16,549,584	\$948,770	\$0	\$948,770
	El Dorado	Trailside Terrace Apartments	8/6/2013	40	5	5	0	\$13,363,960	\$540,800	\$82,023	\$622,823
	Fresno	Renaissance at Alta Monte	9/5/2012	30	29	0	0	\$6,601,440	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000
	Fresno	Renaissance at Santa Clara	11/16/2012	70	25	0	0	\$12,484,220	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
	Fresno	Renaissance at Trinity	8/4/2011	21	15	0	0	\$4,037,901	\$875,000	\$0	\$875,000
	Imperial	Las Brisas	9/27/2012	72	18	18	0	\$18,384,984	\$1,872,000	\$124,080	\$1,996,080
	Kern	HAVEN COTTAGES	9/12/2011	24	23	23	0	\$3,976,800	\$2,479,589	\$91,268	\$2,570,857
	Kern	WEST COLUMBUS	4/24/2013	56	20	20	0	\$13,162,520	\$3,153,226	\$0	\$3,153,226
***	Kings	Anchors	10/16/2014	10	10	10	10	\$1,504,300	\$1,469,400	\$0	\$1,469,400
	Los Angeles	28th Street YMCA Res	3/21/2013	49	30	30	0	\$21,483,854	\$4,277,064	\$609,018	\$4,886,082
	Los Angeles	Avalon Apartments	8/28/2014	55	37	0	31	\$18,176,455	\$3,580,644	\$0	\$3,580,644
	Los Angeles	CAROLINE SEVERANCE	3/19/2014	85	48	5	0	\$32,553,895	\$8,531,840	\$45,192	\$8,577,032
	Los Angeles	CHARLES COBB APTS	3/2/2010	76	25	0	0	\$22,090,312	\$2,500,000	\$0	\$2,500,000
	Los Angeles	CRCD Apartments	1/16/2013	43	10	0	0	\$10,414,557	\$1,040,000	\$0	\$1,040,000
	Los Angeles	DANIEL'S VILLAGE	7/29/2009	8	7	7	7	\$3,210,864	\$733,810	\$411,744	\$1,145,554
	Los Angeles	Day Street Apartments	5/15/2014	46	11	0	0	\$14,427,670	\$1,133,000	\$0	\$1,133,000
	Los Angeles	EPWORTH APTS	4/11/2012	20	19	19	0	\$8,722,900	\$1,991,770	\$0	\$1,991,770
	Los Angeles	FIGUEROA APTS	9/24/2014	19	18	18	0	\$4,783,060	\$1,559,918	\$0	\$1,559,918

Attachment E
MHSAs Housing Program
Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied
As of March 31, 2015

Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period = ***	County	Project	Certificate of Occupancy Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Funds Disbursed	MHSA Operating Subsidy (COSR) Disbursed	Total MHSA Funds Disbursed
	Los Angeles	FORD APTS	10/27/2011	151	90	90	0	\$28,987,017	\$9,434,700	\$2,062,518	\$11,497,218
	Los Angeles	Horizon Apartments	7/11/2011	20	15	0	15	\$5,474,980	\$1,261,632	\$0	\$1,261,632
	Los Angeles	Menlo Family Housing	2/20/2013	60	20	0	0	\$26,068,860	\$2,596,570	\$0	\$2,596,570
	Los Angeles	Mid-Celis Apartments	3/28/2013	20	7	0	0	\$8,238,860	\$525,000	\$0	\$525,000
	Los Angeles	Montecito Terraces Apartments	11/9/2011	98	10	0	0	\$27,791,624	\$1,275,000	\$0	\$1,275,000
	Los Angeles	Mosaic Gardens at Huntington Park	1/27/2014	24	15	0	0	\$8,947,944	\$1,754,318	\$0	\$1,754,318
	Los Angeles	Noho Senior Apartments	10/22/2012	49	30	30	0	\$17,025,687	\$3,144,900	\$385,572	\$3,530,472
	Los Angeles	OSBORNE PLACE APTS	11/5/2012	64	39	0	0	\$21,807,168	\$4,003,667	\$0	\$4,003,667
	Los Angeles	PALO VERDE	12/19/2011	61	45	45	0	\$18,610,368	\$4,500,000	\$4,460,020	\$8,960,020
	Los Angeles	Parkview on the Park	12/20/2011	80	40	0	0	\$28,367,120	\$1,099,760	\$0	\$1,099,760
	Los Angeles	PROGRESS PLACE I & II	1/20/2012	16	14	14	0	\$3,870,176	\$1,400,000	\$359,718	\$1,759,718
	Los Angeles	PWC Family Housing	12/10/2013	45	5	0	0	\$20,770,650	\$524,150	\$0	\$524,150
	Los Angeles	Step Up On Vine	4/3/2013	34	32	0	0	\$14,382,646	\$3,328,000	\$0	\$3,328,000
	Los Angeles	THE COURTYARDS IN LONG BEACH	1/4/2011	46	23	23	0	\$12,680,038	\$2,194,403	\$473,835	\$2,668,238
	Los Angeles	VILLAS AT GOWER	2/14/2012	70	35	35	0	\$27,314,280	\$3,500,000	\$3,535,266	\$7,035,266
	Los Angeles	Willis Avenue Apartments	8/6/2012	42	34	0	0	\$15,581,370	\$3,490,000	\$0	\$3,490,000
	Los Angeles	YOUNG BURLINGTON APARTMENTS	11/23/2011	21	14	14	0	\$11,316,753	\$1,343,292	\$0	\$1,343,292
	Madera	Chowchilla Fourplex	5/8/2012	8	8	8	0	\$477,632	\$477,630	\$337,813	\$815,443
	Madera	Hinds House	9/19/2011	4	4	4	0	\$506,052	\$506,013	\$8,430	\$514,443
	Marin	FIRESIDE APARTMENTS	12/3/2010	50	5	5	0	\$25,476,800	\$475,000	\$0	\$475,000
	Merced	GATEWAY TERRACE APTS	7/9/2013	66	10	10	0	\$14,607,582	\$1,081,600	\$0	\$1,081,600
	Merced	Pacheco	8/15/2012	11	10	10	0	\$683,496	\$672,900	\$0	\$672,900

Attachment E
MHSAs Housing Program
Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied
As of March 31, 2015

Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period = ***	County	Project	Certificate of Occupancy Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Funds Disbursed	MHSA Operating Subsidy (COSR) Disbursed	Total MHSA Funds Disbursed
	Monterey	SUNFLOWER GARDEN APARTMENTS	5/20/2010	18	15	15	0	\$6,120,864	\$1,649,000	\$414,778	\$2,063,778
	Monterey	WESLEY OAKS	11/17/2011	4	4	4	0	\$395,784	\$299,848	\$19,678	\$319,526
	Napa	HARTLE COURT	1/4/2012	24	18	18	0	\$4,134,888	\$1,218,600	\$19,576	\$1,238,176
	Nevada	Winters' Haven Shared Housing #1	12/10/2012	5	5	5	0	\$558,000	\$496,405	\$0	\$496,405
	Orange	Avenida Villa Apartments	12/14/2012	29	28	28	0	\$12,208,362	\$3,259,600	\$0	\$3,259,600
***	Orange	Cerritos Family Apartments	11/14/2014	60	19	19	0	\$18,860,580	\$2,222,734	\$0	\$2,222,734
	Orange	Cotton's Point Senior Apts	6/19/2014	76	15	15	15	\$26,335,976	\$1,622,400	\$0	\$1,622,400
	Orange	Doria Apts. Phase 2	12/3/2013	74	10	10	0	\$20,029,728	\$1,169,850	\$0	\$1,169,850
	Placer	Placer Street	2/5/2013	12	12	12	0	\$974,520	\$975,000	\$50,855	\$1,025,855
	Placer	TIMBERLINE	1/6/2010	5	5	5	3	\$524,300	\$524,300	\$114,273	\$638,573
	Riverside	Cedar Glen	4/29/2014	51	15	0	0	\$16,572,501	\$2,500,000	\$0	\$2,500,000
	Riverside	Legacy Thousand Palms	5/22/2011	81	15	15	0	\$25,007,778	\$1,622,400	\$251,047	\$1,873,447
	Riverside	RANCHO DORADO	9/30/2010	71	15	15	0	\$21,722,450	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000
	Riverside	Verbena Crossing	9/3/2013	96	15	15	0	\$9,862,464	\$1,687,290	\$0	\$1,687,290
	Riverside	VINEYARDS @ MENIFEE	6/25/2012	81	15	15	0	\$20,702,790	\$1,500,000	\$32,653	\$1,532,653
	Riverside	Vintage @ Snowberry Senior Apartments	3/1/2012	224	15	15	0	\$32,964,064	\$1,622,400	\$130,641	\$1,753,041
	Sacramento	7th & H	8/8/2013	150	18	0	0	\$47,426,400	\$1,800,000	\$0	\$1,800,000
	Sacramento	BOULEVARD COURT APARTMENTS	6/7/2011	75	25	25	0	\$23,058,375	\$2,500,000	\$177,833	\$2,677,833
	Sacramento	FOLSOM OAKS APARTMENTS	12/21/2010	19	5	0	5	\$6,412,310	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
	Sacramento	MUTUAL HSG @HIGHLAND	8/17/2011	90	33	33	33	\$23,107,140	\$2,975,000	\$0	\$2,975,000
	San Bernardino	Lugo Sr Apartments	4/10/2014	119	10	10	0	\$20,420,162	\$1,124,486	\$77,469	\$1,201,955
	San Bernardino	Mountain Breeze Villas	7/25/2014	168	20	20	0	\$19,880,952	\$2,966,000	\$86,441	\$3,052,441

Attachment E
MHPA Housing Program
Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied
As of March 31, 2015

Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period = ***	County	Project	Certificate of Occupancy Date	Total Units	MHPA Units	MHPA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHPA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHPA Capital Loan Funds Disbursed	MHPA Operating Subsidy (COSR) Disbursed	Total MHPA Funds Disbursed
	San Bernardino	Phoenix Apartments	12/3/2012	9	9	8	8	\$1,138,923	\$1,012,374	\$0	\$1,012,374
	San Bernardino	Vintage @ Kendall Apartments	7/17/2013	178	20	20	0	\$20,800,902	\$2,339,720	\$60,277	\$2,399,997
	San Diego	15TH & COMMERCIAL	1/26/2012	140	25	25	0	\$58,724,680	\$2,357,000	\$249,719	\$2,606,719
	San Diego	34TH STREET APARTMENTS	12/17/2010	34	5	0	5	\$6,663,082	\$370,610	\$0	\$370,610
	San Diego	CEDAR GATEWAY APARTMENTS	2/14/2012	65	23	23	0	\$25,430,470	\$2,752,000	\$388,066	\$3,140,066
	San Diego	Citronica One	4/30/2013	56	15	15	0	\$16,879,968	\$1,413,150	\$86,880	\$1,500,030
	San Diego	Citronica Two	9/15/2014	80	10	10	0	\$19,819,120	\$900,000	\$0	\$900,000
	San Diego	Connections	10/1/2013	91	7	0	0	\$33,900,958	\$787,000	\$0	\$787,000
	San Diego	Mason Hotel	9/28/2012	17	16	16	0	\$5,856,942	\$1,180,727	\$1,532,758	\$2,713,485
	San Diego	Parkview San Marcos	8/28/2014	84	14	14	0	\$32,334,708	\$1,637,804	\$129,185	\$1,766,989
***	San Diego	Paseo at COMM22	12/31/2014	130	13	0	0	\$54,886,650	\$1,462,318	\$0	\$1,462,318
	San Diego	Tavarua Senior Apartments	3/25/2013	50	10	10	0	\$15,889,450	\$1,081,600	\$55,035	\$1,136,635
***	San Francisco	1100 Ocean Ave Apartments	2/20/2015	71	6	6	0	\$34,573,805	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000
	San Francisco	KELLY CULLEN COMMUNITY	12/13/2012	174	17	17	17	\$89,967,222	\$1,700,000	\$189,258	\$1,889,258
	San Francisco	POLK SENIOR HOUSING APARTMENTS	3/11/2009	110	10	0	10	\$33,093,610	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
	San Francisco	Rene Cazenave Apartments	12/16/2013	120	10	0	10	\$42,670,680	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
	San Francisco	Richardson Apartments	8/30/2011	120	12	12	0	\$35,435,520	\$1,200,000	\$190,750	\$1,390,750
	San Francisco	Veterans Commons	2/20/2013	76	8	0	8	\$29,605,648	\$800,000	\$0	\$800,000
	San Luis Obispo	Nipomo Street Studios	10/4/2013	8	8	8	0	\$1,968,512	\$1,943,508	\$23,312	\$1,966,820
	San Mateo	CEDAR STREET APTS	5/10/2012	15	5	0	0	\$6,122,655	\$524,150	\$0	\$524,150
	San Mateo	Delaware Family Housing	11/4/2013	60	10	0	0	\$23,777,760	\$1,081,600	\$0	\$1,081,600
	San Mateo	El Camino Family Housing I	10/19/2012	62	10	0	10	\$28,344,106	\$1,081,600	\$0	\$1,081,600

Attachment E
MHSAs Housing Program
Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied
As of March 31, 2015

Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period = ***	County	Project	Certificate of Occupancy Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Funds Disbursed	MHSA Operating Subsidy (COSR) Disbursed	Total MHSA Funds Disbursed
	San Mateo	El Camino Family Housing II	10/19/2012	47	10	0	10	\$19,657,703	\$1,081,600	\$0	\$1,081,600
	Santa Barbara	GARDEN ST APTS	11/24/2009	51	10	3	7	\$21,926,124	\$1,000,000	\$200,000	\$1,200,000
	Santa Barbara	HOMEBASE ON G APARTMENTS	5/4/2010	39	13	0	0	\$9,557,457	\$1,210,840	\$305,019	\$1,515,859
	Santa Clara	ARCHER STUDIOS	2/6/2012	42	6	6	0	\$16,069,158	\$600,000	\$85,527	\$685,527
	Santa Clara	Bella Terra	8/21/2013	40	5	0	0	\$13,642,080	\$566,135	\$0	\$566,135
	Santa Clara	BELOVIDA SENIOR HOUSING APARTMENTS	10/14/2009	28	3	3	0	\$11,453,568	\$300,000	\$50,773	\$350,773
	Santa Clara	FAIR OAKS PLAZA	10/20/2011	124	18	0	18	\$37,929,616	\$1,872,000	\$0	\$1,872,000
	Santa Clara	Fourth Street Apartments	8/28/2012	100	6	6	0	\$44,663,000	\$666,621	\$38,921	\$705,542
	Santa Clara	KINGS CROSSING	3/20/2012	94	10	10	0	\$43,300,912	\$1,200,000	\$98,318	\$1,298,318
	Santa Clara	Peacock Commons	5/9/2012	28	7	7	0	\$8,731,912	\$757,120	\$0	\$757,120
	Santa Clara	Sobrato Gilroy Apartments	7/12/2013	26	17	17	0	\$8,091,018	\$1,839,599	\$129,639	\$1,969,238
	Santa Cruz	Aptos Blue	1/10/2014	40	5	5	0	\$23,239,880	\$500,000	\$44,489	\$544,489
	Santa Cruz	BAY AVENUE SENIOR APTS	4/21/2010	109	5	5	0	\$30,904,988	\$425,000	\$194,257	\$619,257
	Solano	HERITAGE COMMONS	7/18/2013	60	7	7	0	\$14,091,420	\$908,100	\$38,016	\$946,116
	Solano	Signature @ Fairfield (South Pace)	1/19/2012	93	10	10	0	\$21,463,098	\$1,200,000	\$50,604	\$1,250,604
	Sonoma	FIFE CREEK COMMONS	6/14/2012	48	8	8	0	\$20,794,128	\$1,000,000	\$130,956	\$1,130,956
	Sonoma	McMinn Avenue Shared	8/27/2012	8	8	8	0	\$957,192	\$437,000	\$0	\$437,000
	Sonoma	VIDA NUEVA APARTMENTS	2/20/2009	24	6	6	0	\$9,076,632	\$600,000	\$215,634	\$815,634
	Sonoma	WINDSOR REDWOODS	10/10/2011	65	8	0	0	\$25,294,425	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
	Stanislaus	Bennett Place	8/25/2014	19	18	18	0	\$5,082,880	\$2,189,970	\$0	\$2,189,970
	Tulare	East Tulare Apartments	12/12/2010	22	22	22	0	\$1,540,000	\$1,540,000	\$36,418	\$1,576,418
	Tuolumne	Tuolumne County	8/28/2012	6	6	0	0	\$500,004	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
	Ventura	D Street Apartments	8/12/2011	8	7	7	0	\$2,007,688	\$666,390	\$172,861	\$839,251

Attachment E
MHSAs Housing Program
Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied
As of March 31, 2015

Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period = ***	County	Project	Certificate of Occupancy Date	Total Units	MHSA Units	MHSA Units with Subsidy (COSR)	MHSA Units w/ other Subsidy	Total Cost of Development	MHSA Capital Loan Funds Disbursed	MHSA Operating Subsidy (COSR) Disbursed	Total MHSA Funds Disbursed
	Ventura	Hillcrest Villas	5/3/2013	60	15	15	0	\$26,035,380	\$1,297,920	\$73,037	\$1,370,957
	Ventura	LA RAHADA	10/30/2009	8	8	8	0	\$2,150,992	\$786,653	\$264,411	\$1,051,064
	Ventura	PASEO DE LUZ APTS	4/13/2012	25	24	24	0	\$9,682,675	\$2,496,000	\$31,449	\$2,527,449
	Ventura	Peppertree	9/14/2014	12	11	11	0	\$2,876,868	\$223,937	\$0	\$223,937
	Yuba	Heather Glenn	6/24/2013	10	10	10	0	\$550,000	\$545,000	\$8,520	\$553,520
			-	<u>7,075</u>	<u>1,860</u>	<u>1,215</u>	<u>248</u>	<u>\$2,233,326,114</u>	<u>\$192,002,225</u>	<u>\$20,073,756</u>	<u>\$212,075,981</u>
				371	53	35	15	147,793,835	6,254,452	0	6,254,452

"All Housing Ready for Occupancy / Occupied:" = 131

"Housing Ready for Occupancy During the Report Period:" = 5

X INFORMATION

TAB SECTION C

_____ ACTION REQUIRED

DATE OF MEETING

10/15/15

MATERIAL
PREPARED BY: Orrock

DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 09/09/15

AGENDA ITEM:	CSI 2015 Work Plan: review and update
ENCLOSURES:	Updated 2015 Work Plan
OTHER MATERIAL RELATED TO ITEM:	

ISSUE:

The CSI Committee asked for an updated 2015 Work Plan at the 09-01-15 meeting. This updated work plan reflects the progress made to this point. This Work Plan was originally approved at the April Quarterly Meeting, and progress is being made on all items. Some of the timelines for items #2 and #3 have been changed.

CMHPC
Continuous System Improvement Committee
2015 Draft Work Plan: UPDATE

Goal #1: Complete Data Notebook	Target Audience
<i>WIC 5772 ...In conjunction with other statewide and local mental health organizations assist in the coordination of training and information to local mental health boards as needed to ensure that they can effectively carry out their duties...</i>	Local Mental Health Boards Mental Health Stakeholders

Objectives	Action Steps	Timeline	Leads
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fulfill obligation of WIC 5772 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Receiving input on Data Notebook format • Compiling/Analyzing Input • Background work researching potential themes and topics for DN 2016 • Drafting Statewide Report • Draft Report complete • CMHPC approval; final edits; disseminate to stakeholders and target audience 	<p>Sept/October 2015: Final receipt of completed reports from local advisory boards and counties.</p> <p>December 2015: First draft for CSI review and approval</p> <p>Send to planning council members for final input</p> <p>January 2016: Final draft</p> <p>February 2016: Release</p>	<p>Linda Dickerson</p> <p>Susan Wilson</p>

CMHPC
Continuous System Improvement Committee

Goal #2: Identify best practices and make recommendations for mental health treatment in Juvenile Justice facilities.	Target Audience Stakeholders: County Behavioral Health agencies, families, Probation Departments, advocates
---	---

Objectives	Action Steps	Timeline	Leads
Gather Information on model programs and best practices Identify Best Practices Issue Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organize presentations by County and private agencies implementing prevention and intervention programs for juveniles in 2 – 3 areas or regions • Research recent studies on juvenile mental health issues, including trauma informed programs • Presentations by model programs for youth in juvenile justice programs. • Draft report for CSI Committee review Include planning council review • Draft report complete; CMHPC approval; final edits • Final approval; disseminate to stakeholders 	November 2015 Nov/Dec 2015 January 2016 March 2016 Meeting TBD May 2016 June 2016	Lorraine Flores Susan Wilson Tom Orrock

CMHPC
Continuous System Improvement Committee

<p>Goal #3: Identify best practices and make recommendations for mental health programs for homeless adults and youth</p>	<p>Target Audience: County Behavioral Health agencies, Department of Health Care Services: Mental Health Services Division Governor, Legislature</p>
---	--

Objectives	Action Steps	Timeline	Leads
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issue recommendations to Governor, County Behavioral Health Departments and DHCS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Are homeless persons receiving the services they need?</i> ➤ <i>What changes can be made to make services more effective?</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research best practice, programs implemented in other areas • Presentations of model programs serving homeless adults and youth • Draft report for CSI Committee review, solicit input from council members • Draft report complete; CMHPC approval; final edits; disseminate to stakeholders 	<p>September/October 2015</p> <p>October 2015 quarterly Meeting</p> <p>November/December 2015</p> <p>January quarterly meeting, 2016</p>	<p>Lorraine Flores</p> <p>Tom Orrock</p>

CMHPC
Continuous System Improvement Committee

<p>Goal #4</p> <p>To fulfill the CMHPC mandate of WIC 5772:</p> <p><u>WIC 5772</u> <i>To review and approve the performance outcome measures.</i></p>	<p>Target Audience</p> <p>MHSA Oversight and Accountability Commission CA Mental Health Planning Council members County Behavioral Health agencies MH Boards/Commissions</p> <p>MH Stakeholders statewide</p>
---	---

Objectives	Action Steps	Timeline	Leads
<p>Research</p> <p>Provide feedback</p> <p>Adoption of CMHPC recommendations for updates</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee members to become well versed on Performance Indicators (outcome measures) through participation in work group and task force presentations. • Participation in Joint (OAC/CMHPC) Task Force on Performance Indicators • Develop updated Performance Indicators and report to planning council and CSI Committee • Report to CMHPC at Full Council meeting 	<p>January 2015- ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing 2015</p> <p>Fall/Winter 2015 - 16</p> <p>October Quarterly Meeting</p>	<p>Renay Bradley Linda Dickerson</p> <p>MH Indicators Task Force/work group members: Lorraine Flores Karen Hart Raja Mitry Walter Shwe Susan Morris Wilson</p>

CMHPC
Continuous System Improvement Committee

Goal #5 TBD	Target Audience TBD
-----------------------	-------------------------------

Objectives	Action Steps	Timeline	Leads
TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD