

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2014/2015

Report run on 4/21/2016

## Overview

The federal court's jurisdiction over the Katie A. lawsuit formally ended on December 1, 2014. The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement outlined a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

## Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

## Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 16,022 (statewide), compared to 15,908 in the prior period. This is an increase of 114 members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$156,261,391 (statewide), compared to \$155,668,558 in the prior period. This is an increase of \$592,833.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 19,201,071 (statewide), compared to 19,137,336 in the prior period. This is an increase of 63,736 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 21,860,989 (statewide), compared to 21,784,153 in the prior period. This is an increase of 76,836 minute.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 10,283 (statewide), compared to 10,185 in the prior period. This is an increase of 98 subclass members.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 7,900 (statewide), compared to 7,856 in the prior period. This is an increase of 44 subclass members.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2014/2015

Report run on 4/21/2016

- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

## Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

\* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

## Notes Updated: March 29, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are low in July 2014. This low submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2014, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 23rd. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at [MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov) or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 4/21/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
1,123	7,303	39,528	47,355	44,418	63,335	68,882	36,646	98,296	77,051	73,041	104,109

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
7,315	7,382	7,335	7,254	6,971	7,125	7,189	7,286	7,753	7,805	7,632	7,905

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
3,412,757	3,343,927	3,489,339	3,569,068	2,696,360	3,049,852	3,402,480	3,344,329	3,851,688	3,736,571	3,354,546	3,811,142

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>1</sup> Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
\$ 13,042,521	\$ 12,176,755	\$ 13,118,749	\$ 14,026,437	\$ 11,042,900	\$ 11,856,203	\$ 12,798,014	\$ 12,452,975	\$ 14,668,683	\$ 14,252,302	\$ 13,208,036	\$ 13,617,813

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	3,570	3,678	3,863	3,960	3,770	3,896	4,057	4,028	4,151	4,171	4,048	4,255
IHBS	2,821	2,990	3,074	3,045	2,930	3,094	3,134	3,164	3,299	3,332	3,365	3,485

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	451	373	403	427	353	357	398	396	428	401	366	413
IHBS	622	645	614	596	448	510	544	528	602	596	523	567

<sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 4/21/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
68	307	830	1,175	880	1,484	1,368	1,024	2,278	2,141	2,009	2,389

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
227	205	204	210	198	208	205	203	210	203	218	185

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
47,451	44,777	45,279	62,082	51,416	81,265	83,948	77,692	87,486	77,210	112,464	76,378

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>2</sup> Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
\$ 378,908	\$ 352,525	\$ 384,505	\$ 387,662	\$ 335,552	\$ 381,235	\$ 433,481	\$ 387,904	\$ 410,653	\$ 400,866	\$ 416,561	\$ 320,399

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	62	57	67	72	77	85	95	86	89	82	98	81
IHBS	38	47	41	43	61	62	56	61	53	47	78	49

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	335	274	237	371	265	389	364	380	440	428	465	349
IHBS	702	620	716	822	509	778	881	738	912	897	858	982

<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

**Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015

Report Run on 4/21/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	485	\$ 8,299,699	233,382	283,572	303,674	9,282	50,696	2,393,286	598	^	^	-	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	25	\$ 174,070	42,994	23,786	10,815	^	2,523	10,241	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	208,765	92,235	11,594	9,261	41,970	430,198	283	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	23	\$ 76,559	^	9,412	12,737	^	^	11,323	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	24	\$ 124,959	^	^	4,195	-	^	37,469	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	369	\$ 8,894,111	510,904	429,057	325,389	10,061	78,397	1,984,110	1,515	^	^	-	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	93,300	5,305	51,661	-	^	127,844	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	639	\$ 6,424,129	849,345	302,398	428,077	5,042	55,727	1,956,609	897	-	^	-	-	-	-	138
11	Glenn*	26	\$ 260,943	48,584	27,852	9,111	^	^	37,636	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	67	\$ 1,321,477	^	125,340	18,976	^	^	11,752	325,535	^	-	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	14,420	3,234	780	-	4,095	14,391	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	26,101	37,142	4,683	5,530	29,661	434,857	128	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	51	\$ 214,770	34,594	3,023	5,744	^	5,468	47,244	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	25,436	33,129	9,022	-	-	7,064	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,977,575	9,982,275	11,301,825	5,962,810	6,599	97,050	6,431,492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664	^	^	56,780	^	2,650	135,806	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	51,590	56,106	35,948	-	^	92,746	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	108,109	94,108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	-	17,986	9,241	^	-	27,229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	499	\$ 1,963,655	950,428	587,621	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	62	\$ 366,319	^	^	9,280	^	3,395	69,671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	41,845	29,903	49,956	^	4,454	156,616	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	979	\$ 6,530,836	147,693	267,134	366,255	45,637	93,248	1,494,301	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,684	24,501	26,885	3,119	^	^	120,259	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,960	\$ 7,652,967	630,770	517,622	579,766	7,725	151,078	2,605,547	427	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 5,694,667	625,568	668,047	1,327,795	^	169,812	2,596,893	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	754	\$ 7,218,605	1,646,289	929,183	328,932	13,114	88,747	3,569,154	193	^	^	-	^	-	^	^
37	San Diego*	1,010	\$ 12,143,321	296,686	652,028	154,125	3,622	184,585	1,425,981	601	214,736	^	-	^	60	-	^
38	San Francisco*	352	\$ 12,500,586	2,359,208	926,863	632,667	-	28,965	2,354,509	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	118,914	186,615	156,080	12,163	45,465	486,695	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,448,270	697,497	187,266	61,649	4,190	25,066	458,612	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	119	\$ 2,179,410	89,517	70,537	33,143	^	19,453	340,773	^	^	^	^	-	^	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	191	\$ 2,354,645	83,380	198,757	158,121	10,417	55,115	874,638	-	^	^	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	372	\$ 3,060,377	626,665	462,152	343,083	-	-	354,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	102	\$ 1,492,957	307,755	108,390	51,686	^	^	226,816	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	97	\$ 1,131,392	16,243	89,379	42,101	^	20,645	224,438	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	19,026	18,891	12,054	-	^	41,639	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	104	\$ 1,140,632	177,886	110,303	13,202	-	3,690	101,131	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	^	^	73,790	5,090	19,826	740,704	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	33	\$ 6,173	-	3,415	3,415	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	54	\$ 173,862	-	-	1,961	-	^	72,131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	154	\$ 1,471,897	215,024	84,768	79,678	1,876	32,406	211,827	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	209	\$ 2,211,346	371,840	104,514	90,228	^	42,957	525,167	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 115,617	^	^	^	-	-	12,312	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41	\$ 482,074	^	^	16,959	^	40,940	12,432	63,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide**</b>	<b>16,022</b>	<b>\$ 156,261,391</b>	<b>21,860,989</b>	<b>19,201,071</b>	<b>11,890,516</b>	<b>169,186</b>	<b>1,412,379</b>	<b>33,735,333</b>	<b>5,471</b>	<b>229,846</b>	<b>47,220</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>1,189</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

\*\*\*\* Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

\*\*\*\*\* The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015

Report Run on 4/21/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	485	\$ 8,299,699	\$ 637,390	\$ 598,208	\$ 613,556	\$ 35,547	\$ 224,617	\$ 6,180,719	\$ 60,810	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	25	\$ 174,070	\$ 85,988	\$ 43,011	\$ 17,069	^	\$ 12,159	\$ 24,861	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	\$ 396,863	\$ 167,177	\$ 21,095	\$ 28,813	\$ 184,418	\$ 911,275	\$ 24,091	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	23	\$ 76,559	^	\$ 17,224	\$ 23,308	^	^	\$ 26,722	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	24	\$ 124,959	^	^	\$ 8,474	\$ -	^	\$ 97,495	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	369	\$ 8,894,111	\$ 1,608,424	\$ 888,527	\$ 661,597	\$ 46,857	\$ 387,317	\$ 5,361,407	\$ 152,904	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	\$ 193,747	\$ 11,264	\$ 108,111	-	^	\$ 261,997	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	639	\$ 6,424,129	\$ 2,121,109	\$ 590,175	\$ 848,446	\$ 25,481	\$ 264,813	\$ 4,847,064	\$ 34,308	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 81,763
11	Glenn*	26	\$ 260,943	\$ 128,748	\$ 57,621	\$ 18,951	^	^	\$ 85,502	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	67	\$ 1,321,477	^	\$ 264,470	\$ 37,979	^	\$ 49,662	\$ 827,369	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	\$ 61,285	\$ 10,640	\$ 2,566	\$ -	\$ 32,146	\$ 61,166	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	\$ 82,716	\$ 84,210	\$ 10,606	\$ 23,337	\$ 161,243	\$ 1,284,413	\$ 9,777	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	51	\$ 214,770	\$ 77,901	\$ 5,269	\$ 10,127	^	\$ 22,714	\$ 97,875	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	\$ 59,766	\$ 61,090	\$ 17,454	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,601	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,977,575	\$ 22,443,512	\$ 19,404,430	\$ 10,289,611	\$ 16,643	\$ 395,183	\$ 14,272,012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664	\$ -	^	\$ 110,691	^	\$ 10,428	\$ 294,789	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	\$ 134,710	\$ 178,607	\$ 105,394	\$ -	^	\$ 374,262	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	\$ 252,317	\$ 187,979	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	\$ -	\$ 65,465	\$ 33,326	^	\$ -	\$ 113,620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26	Mono**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
27	Monterey	499	\$ 1,963,655	\$ 783,839	\$ 1,179,816	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	62	\$ 366,319	^	^	\$ 49,109	^	\$ 21,522	\$ 243,225	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	\$ 105,865	\$ 59,592	\$ 99,602	^	\$ 20,781	\$ 401,621	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	979	\$ 6,530,836	\$ 326,882	\$ 595,475	\$ 869,906	\$ 245,874	\$ 430,300	\$ 4,325,803	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,684	\$ 64,842	\$ 74,981	\$ 9,071	^	^	\$ 322,369	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
33	Riverside*	1,960	\$ 7,652,967	\$ 960,071	\$ 703,521	\$ 1,129,626	\$ 22,592	\$ 657,910	\$ 4,205,945	\$ 28,916	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 5,694,667	\$ 739,403	\$ 649,718	\$ 1,259,777	^	\$ 361,490	\$ 3,035,592	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
36	San Bernardino*	754	\$ 7,218,605	\$ 1,664,120	\$ 681,904	\$ 306,176	\$ 44,868	\$ 256,755	\$ 4,388,110	\$ 15,585	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	1,010	\$ 12,143,321	\$ 808,708	\$ 1,368,334	\$ 320,967	\$ 14,643	\$ 932,453	\$ 3,768,590	\$ 33,555	\$ -	^	^	\$ 76,210	\$ -	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	352	\$ 12,500,586	\$ 7,038,871	\$ 2,053,949	\$ 1,387,625	^	\$ 185,631	\$ 7,097,174	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	\$ 289,460	\$ 316,213	\$ 279,530	\$ 36,326	\$ 199,578	\$ 1,133,639	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,448,270	\$ 1,399,132	\$ 496,612	\$ 179,062	\$ 10,485	\$ 114,110	\$ 1,231,597	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	119	\$ 2,179,410	\$ 225,893	\$ 196,793	\$ 83,395	^	\$ 141,063	\$ 1,093,553	^	^	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	191	\$ 2,354,645	\$ 149,534	\$ 362,090	\$ 236,112	\$ 29,593	\$ 240,794	\$ 1,535,444	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	372	\$ 3,060,377	\$ 1,952,259	\$ 1,108,118	\$ 822,195	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,106,101	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	102	\$ 1,492,957	\$ 727,172	\$ 224,626	\$ 111,391	^	^	\$ 483,109	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	97	\$ 1,131,392	\$ 48,094	\$ 218,897	\$ 98,797	^	\$ 76,666	\$ 605,508	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	\$ 72,112	\$ 55,509	\$ 35,445	\$ -	^	\$ 146,867	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	104	\$ 1,140,632	\$ 394,253	\$ 321,879	\$ 27,805	\$ -	\$ 20,063	\$ 311,683	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	^	^	\$ 173,169	\$ 19,928	\$ 100,517	\$ 1,276,977	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	33	\$ 6,173	\$ -	\$ 6,173	\$ 6,173	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	54	\$ 173,862	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,833	\$ -	^	\$ 154,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	154	\$ 1,471,897	\$ 514,491	\$ 157,127	\$ 143,903	\$ 6,600	\$ 135,233	\$ 517,263	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	209	\$ 2,211,346	\$ 632,035	\$ 164,832	\$ 134,651	^	\$ 159,213	\$ 1,120,148	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 115,617	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ 12,119	\$ 42,690	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41	\$ 482,074	\$ -	\$ 49,799	\$ 119,995	^	\$ 86,089	\$ 239,629	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Statewide**</b>		<b>16,022</b>	<b>\$ 156,261,391</b>	<b>\$ 47,576,114</b>	<b>\$ 33,791,116</b>	<b>\$ 20,851,635</b>	<b>\$ 686,887</b>	<b>\$ 5,998,858</b>	<b>\$ 74,060,030</b>	<b>\$ 432,943</b>	<b>\$ 5,216,220</b>	<b>\$ 1,670,273</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 62,409</b>	<b>\$ 155,611</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 657,473</b>

\*\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

\*\*\*\*\* Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

\*\*\*\*\* The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015

Report Run on 4/21/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	485	\$ 8,299,699	120	186	322	35	125	435	32	^	^	-	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	25	\$ 174,070	21	24	19	^	12	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	65	88	50	21	57	220	13	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	23	\$ 76,559	^	17	17	^	^	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	24	\$ 124,959	^	^	-	-	^	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	369	\$ 8,894,111	114	297	321	29	121	301	41	^	^	-	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	31	30	56	-	^	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	639	\$ 6,424,129	208	206	482	39	249	589	36	^	-	-	-	-	-	12
11	Glenn*	26	\$ 260,943	22	21	19	^	^	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	67	\$ 1,321,477	^	51	33	^	29	65	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	29	12	12	-	21	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	58	120	27	24	108	218	13	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	51	\$ 214,770	20	18	43	^	20	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	17	46	34	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,977,575	4,142	4,158	3,137	25	109	3,812	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664	-	^	135	^	20	207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	13	28	38	-	^	43	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	74	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	-	31	41	^	-	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	499	\$ 1,963,655	314	382	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	62	\$ 366,319	^	^	38	^	15	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	23	44	44	^	15	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	979	\$ 6,530,836	104	307	598	116	248	950	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,684	26	43	18	^	^	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,960	\$ 7,652,967	324	710	665	51	650	1,683	33	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 5,694,667	222	379	469	^	253	456	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	754	\$ 7,218,605	408	539	440	47	280	714	14	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	1,010	\$ 12,143,321	244	643	446	28	486	805	49	324	^	-	^	28	-	^
38	San Francisco*	352	\$ 12,500,586	274	272	281	^	46	332	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	91	178	394	38	110	337	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,448,270	97	130	137	13	56	151	-	-	^	-	^	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	119	\$ 2,179,410	43	77	74	^	52	99	^	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	191	\$ 2,354,645	49	161	156	26	82	154	-	^	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	372	\$ 3,060,377	313	363	282	-	-	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	102	\$ 1,492,957	84	71	75	^	^	79	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	97	\$ 1,131,392	34	80	77	^	50	83	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	25	40	38	-	^	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	104	\$ 1,140,632	44	70	46	-	22	81	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	^	^	77	22	77	126	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	33	\$ 6,173	-	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	54	\$ 173,862	-	-	16	-	^	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	154	\$ 1,471,897	67	113	114	13	59	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	209	\$ 2,211,346	115	129	108	^	54	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 115,617	^	^	^	-	16	18	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41	\$ 482,074	^	13	33	^	27	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide**</b>	<b>16,022</b>	<b>\$ 156,261,391</b>	<b>7,900</b>	<b>10,283</b>	<b>9,492</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>3,533</b>	<b>13,215</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>34</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

\*\* Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

\*\* The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

# Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

## Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

## Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

## Variables, Assumptions, and Limitations:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
  - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
  - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:  
*"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."*
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals