# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Report run on 6/17/2016

#### Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

### **Purpose of Report**

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

### **Report Highlights**

- ► The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 16,088 (statewide) compared to 16,022 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 66 subclass members.
- ► Total approved amount to date is \$156,607,843 (statewide) compared to \$346,452 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of \$346,452.
- ► The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 19,230,262 (statewide) compared to 19,201,071 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 29,191 minutes.
- ► The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 21,918,285 (statewide) compared to 21,860,989 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 57,296 minutes.
- ► The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 10,322 (statewide) compared to 10,283 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 39 subclass members.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2014/2015

### Report run on 6/17/2016

- ► The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 7,931 (statewide) compared to 7,900 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 31 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

#### **Definitions**

- Approved Service Claims: The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services: The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*: The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- \* Please see Page 72 of the MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual for more information on SMHS procedures.

### Notes Updated: August 18, 2014


- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

### SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 6/17/2016




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


<sup>\*</sup>Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

### SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 6/17/2016





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

## SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 6/17/2016

# Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
1,123	7,303	39,528	47,355	44,418	63,335	68,882	36,646	98,296	77,050	73,038	104,104

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
7,315	7,382	7,335	7,255	6,971	7,127	7,194	7,287	7,755	7,838	7,685	8,028

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
3,412,757	3,343,927	3,489,339	3,569,068	2,696,360	3,049,852	3,402,480	3,345,037	3,853,669	3,749,876	3,372,693	3,863,487

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>1</sup> Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
\$ 13,042,521	\$ 12,176,755	\$ 13,118,749	\$ 14,026,437	\$ 11,042,900	\$ 11,856,187	\$ 12,799,149	\$ 12,452,975	\$ 14,668,199	\$ 14,295,356	\$ 13,279,185	\$ 13,849,430

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	3,570	3,678	3,863	3,960	3,770	3,897	4,057	4,028	4,151	4,195	4,083	4,315
IHBS	2,821	2,990	3,074	3,045	2,930	3,094	3,134	3,164	3,299	3,346	3,377	3,568

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	451	373	403	427	353	357	398	396	428	400	365	411
IHBS	622	645	614	596	448	510	544	528	603	596	524	564


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC) by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 6/17/2016



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 6/17/2016





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
Report Run on 6/17/2016

# Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jı	ıl-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
	68	307	830	1,175	880	1,484	1,368	1,024	2,278	2,141	2,009	2,389

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
227	205	204	210	198	208	205	203	210	203	219	186

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
47,451	44,777	45,279	62,082	51,416	81,265	83,948	77,692	87,486	77,210	112,955	76,393

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>2</sup> Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-	14	Aug-	14	Sep-	14	Oct-	14	Nov-	-14	Dec-	14	Jan-	-15	Feb-	15	Mar	-15	Apr-:	15	May	-15	Jun-1	15
\$	378,908	\$	352,525	\$	384,505	\$	387,662	\$	335,552	\$	381,235	\$	433,645	\$	387,904	\$	410,653	\$	401,156	\$	417,644	\$	320,531

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	62	57	67	72	77	85	95	86	89	82	99	81
IHBS	38	47	41	43	61	62	56	61	53	47	79	49

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	335	274	237	371	265	389	364	380	440	428	463	349
IHBS	702	620	716	822	509	778	881	738	912	897	850	982

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

#### Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015 Report Run on 6/17/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
_	Alameda*	487	\$ 8,299,699	233,382	283,572	303,674	9,282	50,696	2,393,662	598	Х	Α	-	, A	, A	Х	X
3	Alpine Amador*	25	\$ 174.070	42.994	23.786	10.815	^	2.523	10.241		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	208,765	92,235	11,594	9,261	41,970	430,198	283	Λ	-		-		-	-
5	Calaveras*	23		۸	9,412	12,737	۸ ۸	۸ ۸	11,323		-		-	-		-	-
6	Colusa*	24		۸	۸	4,195	-	۸	37,469		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	369		510,904	429,057	325,389	10,061	78,397	1,984,110	1,515	٨	٨	-	۸	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^																
9	El Dorado*	68		93,300	5,305	51,661	-	٨	127,844		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	640		849,345	302,398	428,224	5,193	55,727	1,956,815	917	-	۸	-	-	-	-	138
11	Glenn*	26		48,584	27,852	9,111	^	۸	37,636		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	67		^ 44.430	125,340	18,976	^	12,267	325,535		-	-	-	-	۸	-	-
13 14	Imperial* Inyo*^	30	\$ 167,569	14,420	3,234	780	-	4,095	14,391	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	26,101	37,142	4,683	5,530	29,661	434.857	128	۸	٨	-			-	٨
16	Kings*	51		34,594	3,023	5,744	^	5,468	47,244		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	25,436	33,129	9,022	-	-	7,064		-		-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^			, in the second	•	,			<u> </u>								
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,981,334	9,983,182	11,303,192	5,963,738	6,599	97,050	6,432,259		-	-	-	-		-	-
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664	-	۸	56,780	۸	2,650	135,806	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	51,590	56,106	35,948	-	۸	92,746	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*^	00	ć 440.20 <del>7</del>	100 100	04400												
23	Mendocino Merced*	90	\$ 440,297 \$ 213,929	108,109	94,108 17.986	- 9,241	^	-	27,229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	76	Ş 213,323		17,560	5,241		-	21,223		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	500	\$ 1,964,456	950,569	587,845	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	62		۸	۸	9,280	۸	3,448	69,671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	41,845	29,903	49,956	۸	4,454	156,616	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	979		147,693	267,261	366,770	45,637	93,613	1,494,403		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,756	26,011	27,118	3,152	۸	۸	122,312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^ Riverside*	2,013	\$ 7,948,682	675,839	541,431	581,087	8,048	151,515	2,730,363	427		٨	_				
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 7,948,882	625,568	668,112	1,327,975	۸ 8,048	169,812	2,730,363		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	307	3,034,003	023,300	000,112	1,327,373		103,012	2,337,472		_	-					
36	San Bernardino*	755	\$ 7,222,423	1,646,289	929,183	330,662	13,114	88,837	3,569,314	193	٨	٨	-	-	۸	-	٨
37	San Diego*	1,011	\$ 12,147,370	296,686	652,140	154,125	3,622	184,585	1,425,981	601	214,736	۸	-	۸	63	-	٨
38	San Francisco*	354	\$ 12,511,349	2,363,485	926,896	633,258	۸	29,020	2,363,272		٨	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	118,914	186,615	156,080	12,163	45,465	486,695	۸	-	۸	-	۸	-	-	٨
40	San Luis Obispo*	166		697,497	187,266	61,649	4,190	25,066	458,612	-	-	۸	^	-	-	-	٨
41	San Mateo*	119 191	\$ 2,179,605 \$ 2,354,645	89,517 83,380	70,537 198,757	33,237 158,121	10,417	19,453 55,115	340,773 874,638	٨	۸	۸		-	^	-	-
42	Santa Barbara* Santa Clara*	372		626,665	198,757	158,121 343.083	10,417	55,115	874,638 354.800	-	, A	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	102		307,755	108,390	51,686	^	^	226,816		-	Α	-	-	-	-	
45	Shasta*	97		16,243	89,379	42,101	^	20,645	224,438		^	٨	-	-	-	-	
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -			,_01	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	19,026	18,891	12,054	-	۸	41,639		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	105	\$ 1,140,632	177,886	110,398	13,202	-	3,690	101,131	٨	۸	٨	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	۸	۸	73,790	5,090	19,913	740,789	-	۸	٨	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	33 54		-	3,415	3,415	-	-	- 72 121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53 54	Trinity* Tulare*	155		215,024	85,016	1,961 79,678	1,876	32,406	72,131 212,156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolomne*^	155	1,473,000	213,024	63,010	79,078	1,070	32,400	212,130		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	212	\$ 2,227,107	375,057	106,501	91,324	۸	42,957	537,441	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26		^	^	^	-	12,312	67,890	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41		۸	16,959	40,940	۸	12,432	63,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	16,088	\$ 156,607,843	21,918,285	19,230,262	11,897,151	169,661	1,413,981	33,885,845	5,491	229,846	47,232	۸	216	120	۸	1,189

<sup>\*</sup>Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiories in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

<sup>^</sup> Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

<sup>^^</sup> The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

#### Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015 Report Run on 6/17/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	487	\$ 8,299,699	\$ 637,390	\$ 598,208	\$ 613,556	\$ 35,547	\$ 224,617	\$ 6,180,719	\$ 60,810	٨	\$ -	\$ -	^	۸	^	
3	Alpine Amador*	- 25	\$ - \$ 174.070	\$ 85.988	Ψ	\$ 17.069	\$ -	\$ 12.159	\$ 24.861	\$ -	\$ -	, Y	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	\$ 396,863			\$ 28,813	\$ 12,139	\$ 911,275		ς -	Υ	\$ -		\$ -	•	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	23		ν 390,803	\$ 17,224		Λ 20,013	۸ ۱۵4,416	\$ 26,722		¢ .		\$ -	\$ .	\$ -		\$ -
6	Colusa*	24		۸	۸ ۱۲٫۷۷۷	\$ 8.474	\$ -	۸	\$ 97,495	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	•	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	369		\$ 1,608,424	\$ 888.527	\$ 661,597	\$ 46,857	\$ 387,317		\$ 152,904	۸	۸	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*^		7 0,00 1,111	÷ = =,===,==	7 000,021	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,,,,,,,	7 55.752	7 0,000,000	Ţ			*		*	<b>-</b>	7
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	\$ 193,747	\$ 11,264	\$ 108,111	\$ -	۸	\$ 261,997	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	640	\$ 6,427,204	\$ 2,121,109	\$ 590,175	\$ 848,730	\$ 26,381	\$ 264,813	\$ 4,847,064	\$ 36,199	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 81,763
11	Glenn*	26		\$ 128,748		\$ 18,951	۸	۸	\$ 85,502	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
12	Humboldt*	67		۸	\$ 264,470	\$ 37,979	۸	\$ 49,662		۸	\$ -	т	, v	\$ -	٨	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	\$ 61,285	\$ 10,640	\$ 2,566	\$ -	\$ 32,146	\$ 61,166	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^	263	A	6	ć 212:5	A 10.555	¢ 22.2==	A 151 2 15	ć	ć 27		۸	<b>^</b>	ć	<b>A</b>	<u> </u>	
15	Kern*	233 51	\$ 1,760,364	\$ 82,716 \$ 77,901		\$ 10,606 \$ 10,127	\$ 23,337	\$ 161,243	\$ 1,284,413 \$ 97,875	\$ 9,777	۸	^	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16 17	Kings* Lake	47	\$ 214,770 \$ 120,856	\$ 77,901		\$ 10,127	ć	\$ 22,714	\$ 97,875	÷ -	÷ -	\$ -	÷ -	÷ -	÷ -	э - ¢	\$ -
18	Lake Lassen*^	4/	J 12U,85b	3 33,766	3 01,090	17,454	· -	,	J 10,0U1	· -	- ب	· -	, -	, -	,	٠ -	- ب
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,981,334	\$ 22,444,333	\$ 19,406,351	\$ 10,290,650	\$ 16,643	\$ 395,183	\$ 14,273,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664		Λ	\$ 110,691	۸ مروز	\$ 10,428	\$ 294,789	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	T	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	\$ 134,710	\$ 178,607	\$ 105,394	\$ -	۸ ۸	\$ 374,262	٨	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
22	Mariposa*^			, , ,	-,	,			, , ,		'					•	
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	\$ 252,317	\$ 187,979	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	\$ -	\$ 65,465	\$ 33,326	٨	\$ -	\$ 113,620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	500	\$ 1,964,456	\$ 784,237	\$ 1,180,219	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	62		**		\$ 49,109	۸	\$ 21,861	\$ 243,225	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	т	\$ -		\$ -
29 30	Nevada* Orange*	54 979	\$ 683,177 \$ 6,534,832	\$ 105,865 \$ 326,882	\$ 59,592 \$ 595,384	\$ 99,602 \$ 871,188	\$ 245,874	\$ 20,781 \$ 432,797	\$ 401,621 \$ 4,326,180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ - \$ -
31	Placer*	102	\$ 6,534,832			\$ 9,071	\$ 245,874 ^	\$ 432,797 ^	\$ 4,326,180	ć	ċ -	ċ -	\$ -	\$ -	ė -	ċ -	ċ -
32	Plumas*^	102	3 493,730	φ 04,642	<i>γ</i> /4,361	۶ 5,071			3 322,442	٠ -	- -	- -	, -	- -	· -	<u>-</u>	ş -
33	Riverside*	2,013	\$ 7,948,682	\$ 1,029,677	\$ 731,702	\$ 1,131,367	\$ 23,287	\$ 659,694	\$ 4,406,759	\$ 28,916	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 5,694,803	\$ 739,403	\$ 649,819	\$ 1,259,453	۸ م	\$ 361,490	\$ 3,035,934		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, , , , , , ,	, , , , , , ,		,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		T	T					
36	San Bernardino*	755	\$ 7,222,423	\$ 1,664,120	\$ 681,904	\$ 309,555	\$ 44,868	\$ 256,755	\$ 4,388,548	\$ 15,585	٨	۸	\$ -	\$ -	٨	\$ -	٨
37	San Diego*	1,011	\$ 12,147,370	\$ 808,708		\$ 320,967	\$ 14,643		\$ 3,768,590	\$ 33,555	\$ 4,893,803	۸	\$ -	۸	\$ 80,021	\$ -	۸
38	San Francisco*	354	\$ 12,511,349			\$ 1,388,081	۸	\$ 185,599	\$ 7,103,828	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020				\$ 36,326			۸	\$ -	۸	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	۸
40	San Luis Obispo*	166					\$ 10,485			\$ -	\$ -	۸	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	٨
41	San Mateo*	119 191	\$ 2,179,605 \$ 2,354,645			\$ 83,591 \$ 236,112	\$ 29,593	\$ 141,063 \$ 240,794		۸	^	^	۸	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara* Santa Clara*	372				\$ 236,112	\$ 29,593	\$ 240,794	\$ 1,535,444	\$ -	Ċ	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -	\$ -		\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	102		\$ 1,952,259		\$ 822,195	۸ -	۸ -	\$ 1,106,101	۸ -	\$ -	۰ ۸	\$ -	ς -	ς -	7	\$ -
45	Shasta*	97		\$ 47,535			٨	\$ 76,666	\$ 604,884		۸ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	•	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	т	\$ -		\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	\$ 72,112	т	\$ 35,445	\$ -	۸	\$ 146,867	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	105	\$ 1,140,632	\$ 394,253			\$ -	\$ 20,063		٨	٨	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	•	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	^	۸	\$ 173,169	\$ 19,928	\$ 100,517	\$ 1,276,977	\$ -	۸	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Y	\$ -
51	Sutter***	-	Υ	\$ -	Y	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	т	\$ -
52	Tehama	33		\$ -	\$ 6,173		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	•	\$ -
53	Trinity*	54		T	\$ -	\$ 2,833	\$ -	٨	\$ 154,600	\$ -	\$ -	т	т	\$ -	\$ -	т	\$ -
54	Tulare*	155	\$ 1,473,008	\$ 514,491	\$ 157,491	\$ 143,903	\$ 6,600	\$ 135,233	\$ 518,011	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55 56	Tuolomne*^ Ventura*	262	\$ 2,227,107	\$ 639,294	¢ 165.305	\$ 136,358	٨	\$ 159,213	\$ 1,126,381	ė	ė	\$ -	ė	ć	ė	ė	ė
56	Ventura* Yolo*	212 26			\$ 165,395	\$ 136,358 ^	ć	\$ 159,213		÷ -	÷ -	ς -	Ģ -	\$ -	ė -	ş -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41		^	\$ 49,799	\$ 119,995	۸ -	\$ 86,089	\$ 239,629	ς -	\$ -	ς -	\$ -	\$	\$ -		\$ -
	tatewide^^	16,088		\$ 47,666,332			\$ 688,344			\$ 434,834	\$ 5,216,220	\$ 1,670,678	- د	\$ 62,409	\$ 159,421	^	\$ 657,473
	······································	10,000	y 130,007,043	77,000,332	7 33,024,130	P 20,001,330	y 000,344	9 0,003,440	7 7,210,322	7 737,034	3,210,220	7 1,070,076		02,403	7 133,421	-	9 037,473

<sup>\*</sup>Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

<sup>^</sup> Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

<sup>^^</sup> The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

#### Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015 Report Run on 6/17/2016

#	County Name  Alameda*	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members 487	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
2	Alpine	- 467	\$ 6,299,099	- 120	180	322	35	- 125		- 32		~	-				~
3	Amador*	25	\$ 174,070	21		19	^	12	- 18	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	65		50	21	57	220	13	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	23		۸	17			۸	22	- 13		-	-	-		_	
6	Colusa*	24		۸	٨	14		۸	21			_	-			_	
7	Contra Costa*	369		114	297	321	29	121	301	41	٨	٨	_	۸		_	_
8	Del Norte*^	303	0,031,111	111	237	321	23	12.1	301	12							
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	31	30	56	-	۸	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	640		208	206	482	40	249	589	37	-	٨	-		-	-	12
11	Glenn*	26		22		19	۸	۸	26	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	67		٨	51	33	۸	30	65	٨	-	-	-	-	۸	-	-
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	29	12	12	-	21	29	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	58		27	24	108	218	13	۸	۸	-		-	-	۸
16	Kings*	51		20		43	۸	20	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	17	46	34	-	•	12	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
18 19	Lassen*^ Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,981,334	4,142	4,158	3,137	25	109	3,812			-					
20	Madera*	216	\$ 44,981,334	4,142	۸,158	135	Λ 25	20	207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	13		38		Λ	43	^	-	-	_		-	_	
22	Mariposa*^	45	700,730	13	28	38			43		_	_	_		_		
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	74	83						-	-	-			-	-
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	-	31	41	۸		67	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
25	Modoc*^		-,														
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	500	\$ 1,964,456	315	383	-		-			-	-	-		-	-	-
28	Napa*	62		۸	۸	38	۸	15	59	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	23		44	^	15	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	979		104		598	116		950	٨	-	-	-		-	-	-
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,756	26	43	18	۸	۸	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32 33	Plumas*^	2.042	\$ 7,948,682	354	746	668	55	CEO	1,743	33		٨					
34	Riverside* Sacramento*	2,013 507	\$ 7,948,682	222	379	469	۸ 55	653 253	456	33	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	307	3,034,603	222	3/3	409		233	430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	755	\$ 7,222,423	408	539	443	47	280	714	14	٨	٨	-		۸	_	٨
37	San Diego*	1,011	\$ 12,147,370	244		446	28		805	49	324	٨	-	۸	30	-	٨
38	San Francisco*	354	\$ 12,511,349	274		283	۸	47	332	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	91		394	38	110	337	٨	-	٨	-	۸	-	-	٨
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,448,270	97	130	137	13	56	151		-	٨	۸		-	_	٨
41	San Mateo*	119	\$ 2,179,605	43			۸	52	99	٨	۸	٨	۸		۸	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	191		49		156	26	82	154	-	۸	-	-	۸	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	372		313			-	-	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	102		84			۸	۸	79	۸	-	^	-		-	-	-
45	Shasta*	97	\$ 1,130,209	34	80	77	۸	50	83	-	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	-
46 47	Sierra** Siskiyou*	- 60	\$ 291,630	25	40	- 38	-	^	- 54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou* Solano*	105	\$ 291,630	44		38 46		22	54 81	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	103	\$ 1,140,032	-		- 40	-	-	- 01				-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	۸	۸	77	22	77	126		۸	۸	-		-	-	_
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	33	\$ 6,173	-	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	54		-	-	16	-	۸	53		-	-	-		-	-	-
54	Tulare*	155		67	113	114	13	59	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolomne*^			_				_		_							_
56	Ventura*	212	\$ 2,227,107	115	129	108	۸	54	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26		۸	۸	۸		16	18	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41		۸	13	33	۸	27	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	16,088	\$ 156,607,843	7,931	10,322	9,500	626	3,538	13,282	266	349	78	۸	14	39	۸	34

 $<sup>\</sup>hbox{\it *Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier}.$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

<sup>^</sup> Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

<sup>^^</sup> The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

### **Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions**

#### Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

### Methodology:

- 1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
- 2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

### Variables, Assumptions, and Limitations:

- 1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
- 2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
- **3.** While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
- **4.** Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
- · These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
- · The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
- "ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
- **5.** Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.