

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 9/7/2016

## Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

## Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

## Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 16,501 (statewide) compared to 15,414 for the last reporting period. This is a 7% increase of 1,087 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$163,460,171 (statewide) compared to \$22,554,352 for the last reporting period. This is a 16% increase of \$22,554,352.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 20,770,930 (statewide) compared to 17,510,131 for the last reporting period. This is a 19% increase of 3,260,799 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 22,367,828 (statewide) compared to 18,768,308 for the last reporting period. This is a 19% increase of 3,599,520 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 11,413 (statewide) compared to 10,453 for the last reporting period. This is a 9% increase of 960 subclass members.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 9/7/2016

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 8,600 (statewide) compared to 7,795 for the last reporting period. This is a 10% increase of 805 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 52.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

## Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

\* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

## Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2015. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2015, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at [MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov) or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 9/7/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	8,339	27,353	47,672	78,105	63,406	59,990	79,802	73,182	90,703	101,224	100,905

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
8,433	8,101	7,912	7,792	7,602	7,619	7,445	7,513	7,790	7,567	7,080	6,096

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
3,544,394	3,319,778	3,639,682	4,037,279	3,456,292	3,478,549	3,646,822	4,030,760	4,216,113	3,848,683	3,786,906	2,133,500

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>1</sup> Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 15,329,297	\$ 14,140,344	\$ 14,381,461	\$ 15,068,893	\$ 13,195,644	\$ 13,922,964	\$ 13,525,201	\$ 13,963,160	\$ 15,400,710	\$ 13,405,054	\$ 12,182,263	\$ 8,945,182

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	4,546	4,380	4,332	4,298	4,158	4,339	4,406	4,423	4,490	4,376	3,981	3,278
IHBS	3,814	3,668	3,674	3,634	3,421	3,473	3,536	3,461	3,585	3,489	3,191	2,583

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	378	370	400	422	407	390	402	410	425	404	438	319
IHBS	458	444	497	583	493	494	510	617	619	574	619	406

<sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 9/7/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	254	509	1,339	2,115	1,797	1,527	2,240	2,193	2,605	3,326	2,615

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
212	203	227	234	249	227	234	235	244	228	200	166

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
79,780	70,386	79,763	104,565	78,497	71,243	72,550	84,180	87,685	79,613	68,053	39,972

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>2</sup> Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 351,005	\$ 321,848	\$ 391,890	\$ 472,725	\$ 445,539	\$ 410,524	\$ 406,219	\$ 416,514	\$ 508,592	\$ 408,658	\$ 334,053	\$ 239,367

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	99	90	94	102	106	101	103	100	109	108	83	73
IHBS	61	64	66	68	68	58	61	62	70	73	57	39

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	245	374	402	478	353	385	350	466	413	399	473	305
IHBS	910	574	637	820	603	558	599	606	609	500	506	454

<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 9/7/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,896,181	271,464	459,290	229,336	14,324	70,878	3,751,824	1,668	^	^	-	^	^	^	702
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	28	\$ 132,416	33,979	19,141	^	^	1,816	7,070	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	155	\$ 1,611,948	203,793	96,128	8,512	3,837	47,362	367,511	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	30	\$ 100,299	^	7,291	11,621	^	^	15,616	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	20,369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	438	\$ 10,015,495	613,901	650,271	303,201	8,746	62,914	2,358,085	992	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	46,910	8,743	32,762	-	^	85,760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	535	\$ 3,668,030	102,725	32,856	258,641	^	23,544	1,021,829	1,433	^	^	-	-	-	-	162
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,571	76,133	21,972	2,584	^	^	16,583	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	102	\$ 2,297,326	419,762	89,116	42,601	^	22,363	302,027	^	-	-	-	-	^	-	-
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 854,494	49,206	8,702	2,749	^	23,753	102,542	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	197	\$ 1,510,413	40,798	31,854	11,786	6,854	23,235	324,298	559	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 205,795	22,157	3,023	8,733	^	5,227	46,574	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	42	\$ 79,935	^	18,011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,601	\$ 47,370,483	10,907,899	11,833,748	22,496	25,329	101,010	1,535,367	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 390,322	-	12,950	55,008	^	1,700	113,823	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	52	\$ 820,161	44,238	66,366	32,458	^	4,639	78,469	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	19	\$ 90,220	^	^	^	^	^	10,996	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 406,177	111,276	90,345	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	148	\$ 1,171,210	33,823	52,219	17,357	^	2,250	175,739	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	331	\$ 2,222,488	334,238	571,248	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	36	\$ 507,321	27,826	36,719	4,416	^	4,427	41,858	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 370,393	10,620	17,858	26,141	^	3,958	91,634	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,127	\$ 6,203,304	165,256	267,377	207,331	32,380	111,373	1,505,291	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	48	\$ 405,203	7,050	15,259	13,090	^	4,382	107,199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,907	\$ 7,696,433	970,473	794,454	376,273	7,292	126,070	2,322,077	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
34	Sacramento*	573	\$ 3,319,202	517,355	797,321	1,191,352	4,855	370,120	2,233,934	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	973	\$ 8,485,167	1,134,792	944,714	206,051	10,839	80,055	2,127,253	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	980	\$ 10,765,840	225,689	774,797	21,614	5,909	177,445	1,176,188	1,038	187,348	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	289	\$ 12,310,851	2,598,666	947,272	91,040	^	13,535	666,624	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	325	\$ 1,960,333	117,433	130,835	72,985	11,142	35,375	399,478	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	146	\$ 2,785,090	639,194	170,746	16,816	5,172	29,404	318,083	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,640,443	99,731	44,425	24,045	^	17,458	195,507	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	185	\$ 1,998,751	117,715	183,766	38,578	9,387	45,722	450,109	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	610	\$ 6,169,530	963,851	720,339	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	106	\$ 1,297,214	236,833	62,947	18,181	^	^	141,383	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	77	\$ 1,137,082	29,702	107,423	35,457	^	19,452	230,718	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	8,087	7,890	^	-	^	18,570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	149	\$ 2,338,841	157,294	108,254	25,968	^	8,533	372,874	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	166	\$ 468,286	141,857	115,010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	150	\$ 1,822,192	92,930	65,702	56,668	3,794	12,506	432,622	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	58	\$ 17,521	-	9,430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	31	\$ 55,750	-	-	^	-	^	23,585	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 998,430	86,857	68,997	59,710	4,893	21,087	195,722	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	7,102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	299	\$ 4,213,428	469,663	182,759	159,270	^	39,170	881,449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	43	\$ 133,116	119,133	102,567	^	-	16,928	151,289	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,448	-	^	20,078	^	10,273	45,105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,501</b>	<b>\$ 163,460,171</b>	<b>22,367,828</b>	<b>20,770,930</b>	<b>3,718,768</b>	<b>171,830</b>	<b>1,547,364</b>	<b>24,495,049</b>	<b>6,832</b>	<b>196,685</b>	<b>33,342</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>1,249</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 9/7/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,896,181	\$ 589,543	\$ 791,416	\$ 430,800	\$ 48,431	\$ 299,047	\$ 8,671,869	\$ 163,995	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 562,415
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	28	\$ 132,416	\$ 67,698	\$ 37,755	^	^	\$ 8,754	\$ 16,932	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	155	\$ 1,611,948	\$ 388,987	\$ 175,732	\$ 15,402	\$ 12,647	\$ 207,928	\$ 783,853	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	30	\$ 100,299	^	\$ 17,412	\$ 28,238	^	^	\$ 40,416	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 53,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	438	\$ 10,015,495	\$ 1,640,739	\$ 1,189,043	\$ 574,029	\$ 41,484	\$ 312,055	\$ 5,907,103	\$ 106,583	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*^																
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	\$ 98,605	\$ 17,175	\$ 64,886	\$ -	^	\$ 168,216	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	535	\$ 3,668,030	\$ 255,007	\$ 44,111	\$ 521,721	^	\$ 136,268	\$ 2,450,216	\$ 132,122	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 96,216
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,571	\$ 200,731	\$ 45,702	\$ 5,375	^	^	\$ 43,838	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	102	\$ 2,297,326	\$ 1,060,587	\$ 192,716	\$ 91,448	^	\$ 93,675	\$ 824,559	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 854,494	\$ 201,951	\$ 26,854	\$ 8,886	^	\$ 185,909	\$ 421,045	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	197	\$ 1,510,413	\$ 122,750	\$ 82,676	\$ 31,772	\$ 30,152	\$ 142,429	\$ 1,002,520	\$ 45,000	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16	Kings*	41	\$ 205,795	\$ 49,672	\$ 5,501	\$ 15,497	^	\$ 21,732	\$ 108,748	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	42	\$ 79,935	^	\$ 36,148	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen*^																
19	Los Angeles*	4,601	\$ 47,370,483	\$ 24,214,809	\$ 19,587,603	\$ 41,152	\$ 15,651	\$ 409,082	\$ 3,102,186	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	168	\$ 390,322	\$ -	\$ 23,702	\$ 104,849	^	\$ 6,953	\$ 252,798	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	52	\$ 820,161	\$ 112,853	\$ 216,113	\$ 80,638	^	\$ 48,608	\$ 340,349	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	19	\$ 90,220	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 42,011	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 406,177	\$ 235,951	\$ 170,227	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	148	\$ 1,171,210	\$ 140,339	\$ 195,022	\$ 64,044	^	\$ 12,697	\$ 742,509	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	331	\$ 2,222,488	\$ 1,013,402	\$ 1,209,086	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	36	\$ 507,321	\$ 87,090	\$ 183,093	\$ 23,327	^	\$ 30,172	\$ 175,201	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 370,393	\$ 27,208	\$ 36,072	\$ 51,666	^	\$ 19,077	\$ 235,136	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,127	\$ 6,203,304	\$ 308,252	\$ 514,242	\$ 493,192	\$ 168,387	\$ 525,739	\$ 4,162,355	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	48	\$ 405,203	\$ 20,052	\$ 45,866	\$ 31,565	^	\$ 18,991	\$ 286,656	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																
33	Riverside*	1,907	\$ 7,696,433	\$ 1,363,213	\$ 1,022,107	\$ 820,904	\$ 23,801	\$ 601,660	\$ 3,739,594	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
34	Sacramento*	573	\$ 3,319,202	\$ 419,147	\$ 471,094	\$ 580,501	\$ 2,900	\$ 246,492	\$ 1,599,069	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																
36	San Bernardino*	973	\$ 8,485,167	\$ 2,220,058	\$ 1,049,129	\$ 303,789	\$ 45,685	\$ 327,118	\$ 4,316,404	^	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^
37	San Diego*	980	\$ 10,765,840	\$ 605,900	\$ 1,612,644	\$ 39,431	\$ 24,237	\$ 872,815	\$ 3,021,393	\$ 58,356	\$ 4,285,263	^	^	^	^	^	^
38	San Francisco*	289	\$ 12,310,851	\$ 8,068,393	\$ 2,180,909	\$ 174,203	^	\$ 82,867	\$ 1,804,316	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	325	\$ 1,960,333	\$ 279,038	\$ 236,396	\$ 144,462	\$ 30,705	\$ 194,856	\$ 973,741	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	146	\$ 2,785,090	\$ 1,272,016	\$ 403,904	\$ 60,181	\$ 12,124	\$ 147,778	\$ 843,684	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,640,443	\$ 244,509	\$ 109,342	\$ 71,492	^	\$ 130,878	\$ 665,178	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	185	\$ 1,998,751	\$ 255,039	\$ 366,982	\$ 68,211	\$ 31,977	\$ 212,237	\$ 1,057,743	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	610	\$ 6,169,530	\$ 3,937,448	\$ 2,232,081	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	106	\$ 1,297,214	\$ 685,134	\$ 185,356	\$ 50,890	^	^	\$ 333,579	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	77	\$ 1,137,082	\$ 95,208	\$ 286,561	\$ 91,970	^	\$ 71,741	\$ 579,402	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	\$ 31,455	\$ 22,727	^	^	^	\$ 71,520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	149	\$ 2,338,841	\$ 396,730	\$ 311,915	\$ 79,964	^	\$ 69,847	\$ 1,360,592	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	166	\$ 468,286	\$ 282,512	\$ 185,774	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	150	\$ 1,822,192	\$ 216,218	\$ 134,322	\$ 156,806	\$ 16,799	\$ 70,392	\$ 1,080,395	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	58	\$ 17,521	\$ -	\$ 17,521	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	31	\$ 55,750	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 52,975	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 998,430	\$ 210,171	\$ 125,686	\$ 108,742	\$ 16,329	\$ 86,713	\$ 450,789	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 18,536	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Yuba*	299	\$ 4,213,428	\$ 1,152,418	\$ 355,912	\$ 291,575	^	\$ 186,301	\$ 2,225,314	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	43	\$ 133,116	\$ 36,948	\$ 21,311	^	^	\$ 8,890	\$ 57,913	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,448	\$ -	^	\$ 58,307	^	\$ 72,054	\$ 171,254	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,501</b>	<b>\$ 163,460,171</b>	<b>\$ 52,894,119</b>	<b>\$ 36,229,641</b>	<b>\$ 5,802,129</b>	<b>\$ 603,342</b>	<b>\$ 5,904,452</b>	<b>\$ 54,326,648</b>	<b>\$ 620,922</b>	<b>\$ 4,470,657</b>	<b>\$ 1,382,522</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 225,679</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 914,211</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

### Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 9/7/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,896,181	109	268	296	47	164	508	51	^	^	-	^	^	^	22
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	28	\$ 132,416	20	25	^	^	12	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	155	\$ 1,611,948	67	100	49	18	54	119	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	30	\$ 100,299	^	19	16	^	^	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	438	\$ 10,015,495	128	381	267	34	137	372	37	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	25	35	43	-	^	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	535	\$ 3,668,030	144	108	362	^	184	442	47	^	^	-	-	-	-	15
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,571	29	32	17	^	^	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	102	\$ 2,297,326	31	75	54	^	53	99	^	-	-	-	-	^	-	-
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 854,494	115	41	27	^	96	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	197	\$ 1,510,413	82	122	68	24	100	183	17	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 205,795	19	18	34	^	13	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	42	\$ 79,935	^	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,601	\$ 47,370,483	4,202	4,093	121	49	184	511	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 390,322	-	14	124	^	12	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	52	\$ 820,161	15	34	47	^	13	47	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	19	\$ 90,220	^	^	^	^	^	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 406,177	65	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	148	\$ 1,171,210	21	50	58	^	12	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	331	\$ 2,222,488	207	256	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	36	\$ 507,321	14	19	21	^	15	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 370,393	17	33	43	^	16	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,127	\$ 6,203,304	120	280	490	118	258	1,085	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	48	\$ 405,203	15	28	35	^	14	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,907	\$ 7,696,433	482	1,154	484	42	564	1,495	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
34	Sacramento*	573	\$ 3,319,202	248	400	464	12	258	479	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	973	\$ 8,485,167	506	729	219	42	316	839	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	980	\$ 10,765,840	259	655	97	42	445	747	65	272	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	289	\$ 12,310,851	237	240	70	^	26	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	325	\$ 1,960,333	102	175	216	36	120	258	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	146	\$ 2,785,090	106	130	66	16	61	130	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,640,443	42	84	57	^	40	82	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	185	\$ 1,998,751	49	139	100	24	88	150	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	610	\$ 6,169,530	532	595	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	106	\$ 1,297,214	93	79	41	^	^	64	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	77	\$ 1,137,082	30	67	57	^	46	71	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	15	18	^	-	^	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	149	\$ 2,338,841	50	96	96	^	35	135	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	166	\$ 468,286	98	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	150	\$ 1,822,192	57	69	85	18	75	135	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	58	\$ 17,521	-	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	31	\$ 55,750	-	-	^	-	^	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 998,430	56	120	103	18	49	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	299	\$ 4,213,428	129	216	181	^	63	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	43	\$ 133,116	19	22	^	-	15	19	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,448	-	^	26	^	21	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,501</b>	<b>\$ 163,460,171</b>	<b>8,600</b>	<b>11,413</b>	<b>4,501</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>3,602</b>	<b>9,300</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>55</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

## Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

### Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

### Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

### Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
  - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
  - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:  
*"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."*
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals