

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 3/28/2017

## Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

## Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

## Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 17,175 (statewide) compared to 17,148 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 27 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$175,704,069 (statewide) compared to \$175,357,624 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of \$346,445.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 22,035,533 (statewide) compared to 21,984,431 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 51,102 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 24,069,420 (statewide) compared to 24,023,428 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 45,992 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 11,866 (statewide) compared to 11,835 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 31 subclass members.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 3/28/2017

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 8,975 (statewide) compared to 8,949 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 26 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 52.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

## Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

\* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

## Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2015. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2015, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at [MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov) or **916-650-6525** for any questions regarding this report.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 3/28/2017

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	8,337	27,336	47,645	78,046	63,297	59,933	79,436	73,028	90,210	100,978	100,659

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
8,445	8,118	7,925	7,867	7,715	7,841	7,884	7,974	8,348	8,210	8,220	8,125

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
3,550,791	3,326,297	3,660,002	4,083,519	3,505,133	3,531,472	3,740,171	4,176,113	4,409,457	4,113,382	4,371,906	3,636,712

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>1</sup> Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 15,330,570	\$ 14,136,309	\$ 14,422,895	\$ 15,201,674	\$ 13,344,088	\$ 14,242,579	\$ 14,336,837	\$ 14,978,164	\$ 16,702,677	\$ 14,863,639	\$ 14,502,379	\$ 13,642,259

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	4,558	4,394	4,369	4,365	4,222	4,392	4,489	4,538	4,649	4,602	4,555	4,518
IHBS	3,823	3,674	3,693	3,689	3,477	3,533	3,615	3,587	3,730	3,681	3,675	3,625

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	378	369	399	420	407	390	404	412	428	408	438	367
IHBS	457	444	497	581	491	494	513	618	624	584	626	525

<sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 3/28/2017

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	254	509	1,339	2,115	1,797	1,527	2,236	2,190	2,599	3,322	2,612

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
213	204	228	235	250	230	241	246	259	245	233	237

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
80,263	71,293	80,651	104,748	79,761	71,575	72,962	89,565	93,074	84,486	77,054	75,015

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>2</sup> Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 351,825	\$ 324,440	\$ 394,323	\$ 473,514	\$ 447,322	\$ 412,570	\$ 419,112	\$ 444,200	\$ 549,398	\$ 466,272	\$ 431,996	\$ 414,272

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	100	94	97	102	107	103	104	107	119	120	100	111
IHBS	61	64	68	68	69	59	62	67	79	77	69	64

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	246	368	394	478	360	380	348	454	397	380	435	325
IHBS	913	574	624	823	597	549	594	611	580	505	486	608

<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 3/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,917,980	271,821	460,321	229,576	14,354	71,655	3,763,912	2,028	^	^	-	^	^	^	706
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,010	51,657	31,870	^	^	2,822	12,527	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,738,707	226,652	104,102	9,723	4,363	52,705	410,687	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 136,153	^	7,661	11,896	^	^	24,846	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	20,369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,051,050	628,295	655,921	305,985	8,746	65,746	2,374,201	1,004	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	49	\$ 367,299	47,780	9,121	33,467	-	^	90,375	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	704	\$ 7,714,738	106,416	38,424	586,337	3,872	60,612	2,216,892	3,576	^	^	-	-	-	-	277
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	76,133	21,972	2,584	^	^	16,623	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,717,637	500,397	95,253	51,351	^	29,337	351,107	241	-	^	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	50,067	8,702	2,829	^	23,768	102,727	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,589,022	44,185	32,510	12,134	8,506	24,240	339,072	559	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 256,160	23,177	3,023	8,882	^	5,437	67,174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	43	\$ 81,679	^	18,164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,811	\$ 49,911,966	11,656,403	12,642,400	23,361	25,579	104,591	1,588,363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	-	12,950	55,452	^	1,700	114,512	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	54	\$ 972,527	51,684	78,713	39,205	^	5,535	90,321	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 95,539	^	^	^	^	^	11,866	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 406,917	111,903	90,896	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,176,936	33,823	52,404	17,442	^	2,250	177,976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,237,189	335,017	581,258	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	41	\$ 697,202	33,264	49,112	5,554	^	6,171	59,691	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,340	11,369	18,071	26,141	^	3,958	92,106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,133	\$ 6,217,447	165,844	270,839	207,162	32,285	111,744	1,514,472	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	59	\$ 580,069	20,541	31,172	14,931	^	4,701	136,026	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,962	\$ 8,309,938	1,103,497	868,750	387,114	7,558	129,699	2,479,637	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
34	Sacramento*	578	\$ 3,332,619	519,771	797,367	1,194,228	4,855	371,152	2,243,611	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	990	\$ 8,673,428	1,208,065	957,689	207,542	11,111	83,109	2,147,138	^	^	^	^	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	988	\$ 10,876,158	232,082	794,739	21,614	5,909	178,073	1,197,518	1,038	187,348	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 13,454,507	2,845,160	1,044,162	104,988	^	15,107	748,230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	356	\$ 2,324,805	133,043	155,320	82,822	11,563	40,708	477,692	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	167	\$ 3,182,196	736,574	197,290	20,181	5,714	34,482	378,281	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	115	\$ 1,662,213	102,106	44,771	24,218	^	17,713	200,190	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	195	\$ 2,107,025	123,811	200,454	39,723	10,428	48,429	467,394	-	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	966,917	726,095	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	113	\$ 1,404,149	275,364	70,490	18,206	^	^	142,749	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,178,343	31,090	109,278	36,433	^	19,842	242,623	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	8,087	7,890	^	-	^	18,570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	153	\$ 2,755,683	217,035	128,796	32,793	^	9,829	435,148	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	183	\$ 560,318	158,786	133,515	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	164	\$ 2,283,313	145,437	89,145	67,781	5,560	16,013	503,433	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	73	\$ 26,352	-	14,094	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	-	-	^	-	^	24,924	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,033,419	92,929	71,469	61,223	5,259	21,884	204,317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	7,102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	306	\$ 4,233,972	470,923	185,114	160,245	^	40,151	891,174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	119,133	102,853	^	-	17,997	163,786	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,281	-	^	20,078	^	10,291	45,105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>17,175</b>	<b>\$ 175,704,069</b>	<b>24,069,420</b>	<b>22,035,533</b>	<b>4,138,337</b>	<b>182,811</b>	<b>1,641,420</b>	<b>26,621,473</b>	<b>9,398</b>	<b>197,645</b>	<b>37,896</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>1,383</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 3/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,917,980	\$ 590,407	\$ 793,382	\$ 426,656	\$ 48,037	\$ 301,478	\$ 8,650,774	\$ 203,174	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 565,408
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,010	\$ 103,055	\$ 62,907	^	^	\$ 13,336	\$ 30,278	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,738,707	\$ 413,782	\$ 183,793	\$ 16,672	\$ 14,212	\$ 231,645	\$ 841,301	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 136,153	^	\$ 18,200	\$ 28,794	^	^	\$ 63,065	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 53,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,051,050	\$ 1,644,090	\$ 1,194,099	\$ 578,114	\$ 40,982	\$ 322,039	\$ 5,919,556	\$ 107,713	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*^																
9	El Dorado*	49	\$ 367,299	\$ 100,475	\$ 17,987	\$ 66,400	^	^	\$ 177,425	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	704	\$ 7,714,738	\$ 264,601	\$ 55,290	\$ 1,182,967	\$ 15,865	\$ 342,135	\$ 5,360,416	\$ 279,436	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 165,616
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	\$ 200,731	\$ 45,702	\$ 5,375	^	^	\$ 43,944	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,717,637	\$ 1,253,249	\$ 205,573	\$ 110,385	^	\$ 117,350	\$ 957,403	\$ 26,182	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	\$ 205,578	\$ 26,854	\$ 8,886	^	\$ 186,030	\$ 420,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,589,022	\$ 133,493	\$ 83,821	\$ 32,520	\$ 40,097	\$ 149,599	\$ 1,051,377	\$ 45,000	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16	Kings*	41	\$ 256,160	\$ 52,069	\$ 5,501	\$ 15,497	^	\$ 22,116	\$ 156,333	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	43	\$ 81,679	^	\$ 36,457	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen*^																
19	Los Angeles*	4,811	\$ 49,911,966	\$ 25,554,616	\$ 20,709,005	\$ 42,099	\$ 15,654	\$ 421,104	\$ 3,169,489	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	\$ -	\$ 23,702	\$ 105,715	^	\$ 6,953	\$ 254,341	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	54	\$ 972,527	\$ 131,541	\$ 265,645	\$ 97,528	^	\$ 58,742	\$ 397,471	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 95,539	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 45,448	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 406,917	\$ 236,448	\$ 170,469	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,176,936	\$ 139,820	\$ 195,238	\$ 64,361	^	\$ 12,697	\$ 746,929	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,237,189	\$ 1,012,639	\$ 1,224,549	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	41	\$ 697,202	\$ 102,798	\$ 239,123	\$ 29,587	^	\$ 41,376	\$ 241,078	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,340	\$ 29,162	\$ 36,415	\$ 51,161	^	\$ 19,077	\$ 230,290	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,133	\$ 6,217,447	\$ 303,447	\$ 517,952	\$ 491,828	\$ 168,193	\$ 524,926	\$ 4,179,963	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	59	\$ 580,069	\$ 59,679	\$ 92,980	\$ 36,007	^	\$ 20,511	\$ 368,820	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																
33	Riverside*	1,962	\$ 8,309,938	\$ 1,571,894	\$ 1,113,693	\$ 843,336	\$ 24,583	\$ 619,266	\$ 4,012,011	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
34	Sacramento*	578	\$ 3,332,619	\$ 422,443	\$ 468,526	\$ 580,534	\$ 2,900	\$ 249,546	\$ 1,608,670	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																
36	San Bernardino*	990	\$ 8,673,428	\$ 2,359,186	\$ 1,066,220	\$ 303,565	\$ 47,624	\$ 340,063	\$ 4,333,786	^	^	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^
37	San Diego*	988	\$ 10,876,158	\$ 612,506	\$ 1,650,252	\$ 39,431	\$ 24,237	\$ 873,217	\$ 3,075,302	\$ 58,356	\$ 4,284,988	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 13,454,507	\$ 8,804,167	\$ 2,372,410	\$ 196,945	^	\$ 89,174	\$ 1,991,647	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	356	\$ 2,324,805	\$ 315,738	\$ 282,476	\$ 165,638	\$ 31,949	\$ 224,619	\$ 1,178,148	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	167	\$ 3,182,196	\$ 1,465,804	\$ 458,701	\$ 67,766	\$ 13,257	\$ 159,058	\$ 969,104	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	115	\$ 1,662,213	\$ 249,517	\$ 109,728	\$ 72,353	^	\$ 132,146	\$ 679,424	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	195	\$ 2,107,025	\$ 270,450	\$ 401,994	\$ 69,709	\$ 32,925	\$ 224,353	\$ 1,101,031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	\$ 3,936,268	\$ 2,238,197	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	113	\$ 1,404,149	\$ 768,716	\$ 205,298	\$ 50,971	^	^	\$ 336,909	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,178,343	\$ 98,149	\$ 291,513	\$ 93,812	^	\$ 72,957	\$ 609,083	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	\$ 31,455	\$ 22,727	^	^	^	\$ 71,520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	153	\$ 2,755,683	\$ 544,325	\$ 356,916	\$ 95,385	^	\$ 80,993	\$ 1,532,439	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	183	\$ 560,318	\$ 329,454	\$ 230,864	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	164	\$ 2,283,313	\$ 335,605	\$ 181,616	\$ 187,287	\$ 22,647	\$ 91,718	\$ 1,282,973	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	73	\$ 26,352	\$ -	\$ 26,352	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 55,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,033,419	\$ 219,950	\$ 129,454	\$ 110,581	\$ 17,749	\$ 90,369	\$ 465,315	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 18,536	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	306	\$ 4,233,972	\$ 1,153,591	\$ 360,038	\$ 292,173	^	\$ 188,566	\$ 2,237,695	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	\$ 36,948	\$ 21,872	^	\$ -	\$ 14,230	\$ 91,265	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,281	^	^	\$ 58,307	^	\$ 72,182	\$ 170,958	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>17,175</b>	<b>\$ 175,704,069</b>	<b>\$ 56,379,139</b>	<b>\$ 38,249,539</b>	<b>\$ 6,643,535</b>	<b>\$ 646,247</b>	<b>\$ 6,359,635</b>	<b>\$ 59,257,437</b>	<b>\$ 814,167</b>	<b>\$ 4,490,191</b>	<b>\$ 1,544,352</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 233,300</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 1,000,679</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

### Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 3/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count	
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,917,980	109	268	297	47	164	508	51	^	^	-	^	^	^	22	
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,010	26	32	^	^	16	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,738,707	69	101	51	20	54	121	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 136,153	^	19	17	^	^	26	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,051,050	129	385	272	34	141	376	38	^	^	^	^	-	-	-	
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	El Dorado*	49	\$ 367,299	25	35	43	-	^	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10	Fresno*	704	\$ 7,714,738	150	132	528	24	286	608	93	^	^	-	-	-	-	23	
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	29	32	17	^	^	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,717,637	34	80	63	^	67	111	14	-	^	-	-	^	-	-	
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	115	41	27	^	96	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,589,022	82	122	71	25	102	184	17	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	
16	Kings*	41	\$ 256,160	19	18	34	^	14	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	Lake	43	\$ 81,679	^	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
19	Los Angeles*	4,811	\$ 49,911,966	4,417	4,286	126	50	189	527	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	-	14	124	^	12	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21	Marin*	54	\$ 972,527	16	37	47	^	14	49	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 95,539	^	^	^	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 406,917	65	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,176,936	21	50	58	^	12	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,237,189	207	257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
28	Napa*	41	\$ 697,202	14	20	23	^	19	38	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,340	17	33	43	^	16	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
30	Orange*	1,133	\$ 6,217,447	121	284	490	117	258	1,091	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-	
31	Placer*	59	\$ 580,069	26	49	37	^	15	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
33	Riverside*	1,962	\$ 8,309,938	508	1,205	503	44	572	1,531	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^	
34	Sacramento*	578	\$ 3,332,619	251	403	469	12	259	483	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
36	San Bernardino*	990	\$ 8,673,428	525	742	219	43	321	850	^	^	^	^	-	^	-	^	
37	San Diego*	988	\$ 10,876,158	270	666	97	42	447	752	65	272	^	-	^	^	-	^	
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 13,454,507	247	248	72	^	27	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	San Joaquin*	356	\$ 2,324,805	111	186	234	37	126	285	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	
40	San Luis Obispo*	167	\$ 3,182,196	120	148	72	18	63	139	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^	
41	San Mateo*	115	\$ 1,662,213	44	84	57	^	41	84	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	
42	Santa Barbara*	195	\$ 2,107,025	50	147	105	27	91	158	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-	
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	532	596	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
44	Santa Cruz*	113	\$ 1,404,149	101	82	41	^	^	64	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,178,343	30	67	59	^	46	72	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	15	18	^	-	^	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
48	Solano*	153	\$ 2,755,683	105	105	102	^	39	141	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	
49	Sonoma	183	\$ 560,318	106	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
50	Stanislaus*	164	\$ 2,283,313	68	83	94	19	89	153	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^	
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52	Tehama	73	\$ 26,352	-	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	-	-	^	-	^	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,033,419	57	120	103	19	49	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
56	Ventura*	306	\$ 4,233,972	131	224	182	^	63	234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	19	23	^	-	15	21	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,281	-	^	26	^	21	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>17,175</b>	<b>\$ 175,704,069</b>	<b>8,975</b>	<b>11,866</b>	<b>17,175</b>	<b>4,859</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>3,790</b>	<b>9,669</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>67</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

## Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

### Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

### Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

### Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
  - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
  - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:  
*"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."*
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals