

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 3/28/2017

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 13,508 (statewide) compared to 11,659 for the last reporting period. This is a 16% increase of 1,849 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$84,950,734 (statewide) compared to \$65,149,281 for the last reporting period. This is a 30% increase of \$19,801,453.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 10,653,101 (statewide) compared to 8,312,695 for the last reporting period. This is a 28% increase of 2,340,405 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 11,371,006 (statewide) compared to 8,838,516 for the last reporting period. This is a 29% increase of 2,532,490 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 9,350 (statewide) compared to 8,102 for the last reporting period. This is a 15% increase of 1,248 subclass members.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 3/28/2017

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 6,798 (statewide) compared to 5,909 for the last reporting period. This is a 15% increase of 889 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS increased to 51 from 48.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier increased to 48 from 46.

Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 3/28/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	7,960	27,116	56,662	52,625	74,931	67,635	76,892	49,632	-	-	-

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
8,097	8,189	7,826	7,668	7,517	6,452	2,565	871	235	-	-	-

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
3,477,366	4,302,615	4,126,196	3,820,889	3,242,974	2,320,366	601,431	125,261	7,009	-	-	-

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
\$ 14,436,335	\$ 16,255,359	\$ 14,725,383	\$ 13,844,520	\$ 11,892,504	\$ 9,066,388	\$ 3,480,307	\$ 1,141,428	\$ 108,509	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	4,636	4,998	4,868	4,673	4,469	3,644	1,256	243	39	-	-	-
IHBS	3,681	3,891	3,860	3,766	3,457	3,040	820	200	27	-	-	-

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	357	421	401	375	358	293	218	198	104	-	-	-
IHBS	481	548	544	531	454	401	384	358	105	-	-	-

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 3/28/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	283	1,004	1,108	1,264	2,147	1,685	2,229	1,947	-	-	-

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
242	229	241	225	241	204	106	46	14	-	-	-

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
51,995	64,327	74,943	68,523	73,221	36,101	12,846	5,512	125	-	-	-

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
\$ 384,967	\$ 418,852	\$ 377,088	\$ 371,111	\$ 363,049	\$ 230,889	\$ 116,512	\$ 52,517	\$ 5,217	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	108	113	118	108	117	75	42	16	^	-	-	-
IHBS	60	48	72	61	74	47	13	^	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	254	310	331	283	310	195	196	199	42	-	-	-
IHBS	409	610	499	622	499	457	354	211	-	-	-	-

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017

Report Run on 3/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	408	\$ 3,877,203	90,299	201,190	36,306	3,633	15,549	886,718	534	^	^	-	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	20	\$ 51,409	13,484	8,375	^	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	154	\$ 747,617	87,242	45,980	4,030	^	20,768	180,954	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	25	\$ 130,367	^	4,536	7,120	^	^	17,346	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	358	\$ 5,133,615	249,442	262,210	183,646	3,827	27,967	1,462,912	752	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	15	\$ 129,394	^	^	2,007	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	43	\$ 176,024	30,472	2,892	12,763	-	^	37,676	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	297	\$ 538,487	^	^	51,030	^	2,526	130,804	619	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 142,682	18,492	16,500	1,218	^	^	21,107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	131	\$ 1,814,328	229,497	53,829	50,957	4,560	33,470	304,798	^	-	^	^	^	^	-	-
13	Imperial*	101	\$ 580,072	39,265	1,789	1,581	^	18,080	78,458	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	197	\$ 1,199,778	38,362	19,434	19,632	7,193	20,169	269,509	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	29	\$ 88,961	8,025	5,017	4,269	^	^	20,389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	26	\$ 55,070	^	15,537	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,487	\$ 24,267,386	5,280,649	5,635,005	7,292	11,348	59,685	728,161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	127	\$ 307,759	-	12,229	40,953	^	^	87,431	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	49	\$ 385,309	^	28,727	17,238	^	^	38,655	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	19	\$ 98,826	^	^	^	^	^	14,991	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	99	\$ 239,481	53,160	51,520	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	127	\$ 809,132	77,459	39,821	9,603	^	^	98,576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	228	\$ 951,598	126,727	188,355	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	21	\$ 97,953	^	^	^	^	^	7,143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	35	\$ 230,258	5,119	10,313	20,980	-	^	54,862	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	774	\$ 3,844,705	91,198	175,905	133,915	21,183	64,864	935,111	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	77	\$ 258,607	28,880	28,761	1,798	-	^	23,722	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,369	\$ 3,423,759	473,834	393,648	157,938	3,947	66,361	801,020	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	448	\$ 2,251,632	269,842	332,900	420,540	1,659	72,533	908,729	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	1,003	\$ 5,966,501	849,815	651,976	115,217	11,897	45,670	1,386,392	^	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	1,142	\$ 5,687,350	300,354	758,813	16,751	2,928	72,047	487,117	369	56,730	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	179	\$ 5,332,565	679,217	229,058	26,298	-	^	140,441	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	294	\$ 1,131,219	63,610	88,035	39,205	6,515	14,732	252,296	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	188	\$ 1,443,945	319,277	114,600	8,975	^	14,327	138,607	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	113	\$ 1,158,102	44,183	13,673	24,275	^	9,651	131,031	^	-	^	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	189	\$ 1,409,106	138,778	108,041	24,892	6,005	16,605	200,861	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	577	\$ 4,211,691	865,509	648,056	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	69	\$ 681,596	169,431	37,715	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	68	\$ 468,743	12,463	46,437	17,361	^	5,855	91,370	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	21	\$ 99,969	8,431	4,822	1,991	^	^	10,354	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	147	\$ 1,276,601	96,173	82,227	11,542	-	4,173	179,229	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	149	\$ 348,108	122,015	67,241	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	139	\$ 1,096,646	170,444	81,860	26,288	4,696	7,000	251,871	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	37	\$ 6,006	-	3,095	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	13	\$ 13,221	-	-	^	-	-	5,560	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	99	\$ 615,202	49,879	44,952	43,088	2,627	11,943	107,133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	15	\$ 71,799	^	1,179	^	-	^	8,353	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	249	\$ 1,631,825	153,668	86,069	45,396	^	11,982	324,239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	42	\$ 187,336	18,139	27,483	^	^	^	31,271	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	38	\$ 166,233	-	8,880	5,458	^	3,947	23,445	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Statewide^^		13,508	\$ 84,950,734	11,371,006	10,653,101	1,601,190	103,808	640,801	10,911,980	3,231	58,870	15,696	^	224	65	^	503

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017

Report Run on 3/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	408	\$ 3,877,203	\$ 256,813	\$ 446,935	\$ 82,311	\$ 14,594	\$ 84,338	\$ 2,520,803	\$ 160,113	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	20	\$ 51,409	\$ 26,967	\$ 16,750	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
4	Butte*	154	\$ 747,617	\$ 171,799	\$ 85,393	\$ 7,541	^	\$ 90,409	\$ 382,390	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
5	Calaveras*	25	\$ 130,367	^	\$ 10,816	\$ 17,147	^	^	\$ 45,831	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*^																
7	Contra Costa*	358	\$ 5,133,615	\$ 683,692	\$ 486,647	\$ 350,805	\$ 16,431	\$ 130,031	\$ 3,337,867	\$ 81,420	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*	15	\$ 129,394	^	^	\$ 3,602	^	^	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	43	\$ 176,024	\$ 64,040	\$ 6,067	\$ 26,660	^	^	\$ 78,631	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	297	\$ 538,487	^	^	\$ 102,668	^	\$ 12,206	\$ 336,993	\$ 58,520	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 142,682	\$ 48,260	\$ 33,508	\$ 2,532	^	^	\$ 55,338	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	131	\$ 1,814,328	\$ 570,623	\$ 115,730	\$ 108,484	\$ 18,825	\$ 135,224	\$ 805,035	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
13	Imperial*	101	\$ 580,072	\$ 144,624	\$ 5,362	\$ 4,735	^	\$ 128,105	\$ 296,136	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	197	\$ 1,199,778	\$ 120,202	\$ 50,892	\$ 48,138	\$ 30,566	\$ 115,843	\$ 800,526	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	29	\$ 88,961	\$ 18,860	\$ 6,256	\$ 7,652	^	^	\$ 47,232	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	26	\$ 55,070	^	\$ 32,566	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	3,487	\$ 24,267,386	\$ 12,281,037	\$ 10,130,827	\$ 13,571	\$ 27,004	\$ 247,866	\$ 1,567,082	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	127	\$ 307,759	\$ -	\$ 21,643	\$ 79,859	^	^	\$ 195,243	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	49	\$ 385,309	^	\$ 117,141	\$ 41,626	^	^	\$ 180,210	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	19	\$ 98,826	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 59,081	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	99	\$ 239,481	\$ 127,640	\$ 111,841	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	127	\$ 809,132	\$ 296,028	\$ 96,313	\$ 25,688	^	^	\$ 367,855	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono^																
27	Monterey	228	\$ 951,598	\$ 443,440	\$ 508,158	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	21	\$ 97,953	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 37,740	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	35	\$ 230,258	\$ 13,380	\$ 20,847	\$ 42,089	^	^	\$ 140,852	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	774	\$ 3,844,705	\$ 135,632	\$ 347,348	\$ 321,896	\$ 103,707	\$ 300,226	\$ 2,613,669	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
31	Placer*	77	\$ 258,607	\$ 92,571	\$ 88,994	\$ 3,842	^	^	\$ 68,813	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																
33	Riverside*	1,369	\$ 3,423,759	\$ 732,555	\$ 563,853	\$ 337,045	\$ 13,164	\$ 336,800	\$ 1,439,217	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	448	\$ 2,251,632	\$ 334,399	\$ 305,476	\$ 367,518	\$ 3,996	\$ 176,473	\$ 1,063,771	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																
36	San Bernardino*	1,003	\$ 5,966,501	\$ 1,557,593	\$ 936,925	\$ 276,538	\$ 66,867	\$ 207,012	\$ 2,614,998	^	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^
37	San Diego*	1,142	\$ 5,687,350	\$ 812,980	\$ 1,598,635	\$ 32,968	\$ 11,638	\$ 366,909	\$ 1,300,978	\$ 37,235	\$ 1,352,529	^	^	^	^	^	^
38	San Francisco*	179	\$ 5,332,565	\$ 3,608,266	\$ 891,875	\$ 104,597	^	^	\$ 707,883	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	294	\$ 1,131,219	\$ 148,749	\$ 164,495	\$ 84,113	\$ 17,832	\$ 72,589	\$ 616,315	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	188	\$ 1,443,945	\$ 626,540	\$ 296,936	\$ 31,583	^	^	\$ 66,642	\$ 396,023	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	113	\$ 1,158,102	\$ 97,773	\$ 54,974	\$ 68,403	^	^	\$ 81,617	\$ 457,860	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
42	Santa Barbara*	189	\$ 1,409,106	\$ 427,493	\$ 255,972	\$ 56,865	\$ 26,629	\$ 118,274	\$ 521,244	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
43	Santa Clara*	577	\$ 4,211,691	\$ 2,660,929	\$ 1,550,763	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	69	\$ 681,596	\$ 550,116	\$ 131,480	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	68	\$ 468,743	\$ 32,938	\$ 123,523	\$ 44,156	^	^	\$ 21,182	\$ 242,242	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	21	\$ 99,969	\$ 34,126	\$ 13,677	\$ 6,053	^	^	\$ 42,114	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	147	\$ 1,276,601	\$ 251,326	\$ 238,438	\$ 36,111	\$ -	\$ 32,390	\$ 686,464	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	149	\$ 348,108	\$ 224,244	\$ 123,864	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	139	\$ 1,096,646	\$ 286,171	\$ 125,578	\$ 65,436	\$ 11,255	\$ 36,415	\$ 534,037	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	37	\$ 6,006	\$ -	\$ 6,006	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	13	\$ 13,221	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 12,507	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	99	\$ 615,202	\$ 125,417	\$ 86,456	\$ 84,145	\$ 9,787	\$ 51,563	\$ 257,834	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*	15	\$ 71,799	^	\$ 2,782	^	^	^	\$ 25,477	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	249	\$ 1,631,825	\$ 382,631	\$ 167,666	\$ 89,678	^	^	\$ 68,528	\$ 922,358	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	42	\$ 187,336	\$ 36,615	\$ 54,581	^	^	^	\$ 81,669	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	38	\$ 166,233	\$ -	\$ 26,995	\$ 16,592	^	^	\$ 28,510	\$ 92,147	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Statewide^^	13,508	\$ 84,950,734	\$ 28,676,269	\$ 20,513,381	\$ 3,019,379	\$ 426,053	\$ 3,042,348	\$ 26,036,935	\$ 419,123	\$ 1,398,040	\$ 744,229	^	\$ 80,709	\$ 97,238	^	\$ 467,020

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017

Report Run on 3/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	408	\$ 3,877,203	73	162	104	21	77	317	25	^	^	-	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	20	\$ 51,409	13	19	^	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	154	\$ 747,617	47	82	25	^	37	109	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	25	\$ 130,367	^	15	16	^	^	21	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	358	\$ 5,133,615	58	258	225	17	95	285	24	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	15	\$ 129,394	^	^	12	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	43	\$ 176,024	22	20	33	-	^	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	297	\$ 538,487	^	^	222	^	67	269	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 142,682	24	34	15	^	^	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	131	\$ 1,814,328	38	96	62	17	64	120	^	-	^	^	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	101	\$ 580,072	58	15	14	^	76	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	197	\$ 1,199,778	75	106	84	20	91	189	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	29	\$ 88,961	12	21	23	^	^	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	26	\$ 55,070	^	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,487	\$ 24,267,386	3,178	3,061	72	36	179	424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	127	\$ 307,759	-	24	89	^	^	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	49	\$ 385,309	^	37	30	^	^	39	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	19	\$ 98,826	^	^	^	^	^	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	99	\$ 239,481	42	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	127	\$ 809,132	40	52	46	^	^	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	228	\$ 951,598	144	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	21	\$ 97,953	^	^	^	^	^	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	35	\$ 230,258	15	23	32	-	^	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	774	\$ 3,844,705	103	230	358	79	210	728	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	77	\$ 258,607	42	66	14	-	^	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,369	\$ 3,423,759	348	805	312	20	392	879	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	448	\$ 2,251,632	178	312	331	19	185	369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	1,003	\$ 5,966,501	462	787	223	38	246	720	^	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	1,142	\$ 5,687,350	422	832	63	22	300	479	25	188	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	179	\$ 5,332,565	143	142	36	-	^	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	294	\$ 1,131,219	95	149	156	16	77	211	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	188	\$ 1,443,945	127	154	44	^	41	100	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	113	\$ 1,158,102	26	48	70	^	37	92	^	-	^	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	189	\$ 1,409,106	69	137	76	22	49	105	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	577	\$ 4,211,691	493	567	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	69	\$ 681,596	69	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	68	\$ 468,743	18	50	39	^	25	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	21	\$ 99,969	13	15	12	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	147	\$ 1,276,601	51	115	69	-	22	111	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	149	\$ 348,108	77	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	139	\$ 1,096,646	61	78	63	13	67	130	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	37	\$ 6,006	-	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	13	\$ 13,221	-	-	^	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	99	\$ 615,202	33	81	75	12	35	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	15	\$ 71,799	^	12	^	-	^	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	249	\$ 1,631,825	54	149	134	^	60	202	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	42	\$ 187,336	15	25	^	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	38	\$ 166,233	-	19	15	^	^	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	13,508	\$ 84,950,734	6,798	9,350	3,237	403	6,754	6,754	135	198	37	^	14	16	^	26

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
 - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
 - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals