

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 2/21/2017

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 11,659 (statewide) compared to 10,857 for the last reporting period. This is a 7% increase of 802 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$65,149,281 (statewide) compared to \$53,136,200 for the last reporting period. This is a 23% increase of \$12,013,081.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 8,312,695 (statewide) compared to 7,578,885 for the last reporting period. This is a 10% increase of 733,810 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 8,838,516 (statewide) compared to 8,140,398 for the last reporting period. This is a 9% increase of 698,118 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 8,102 (statewide) compared to 7,524 for the last reporting period. This is a 8% increase of 578 subclass members.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 2/21/2017

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 5,909 (statewide) compared to 5,596 for the last reporting period. This is a 6% increase of 313 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS increased to 48 from 45.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier increased to 46 from 45.

Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 2/21/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	7,962	27,135	56,676	52,637	74,946	67,640	35,826	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
7,525	7,866	7,569	6,932	5,731	2,062	499	111	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
3,390,677	4,175,959	3,708,903	3,233,917	2,140,044	462,797	36,328	2,586	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
\$ 13,293,867	\$ 15,379,351	\$ 13,628,629	\$ 11,242,901	\$ 8,208,993	\$ 2,762,873	\$ 597,244	\$ 35,423	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	4,478	4,811	4,618	4,174	3,150	995	110	19	-	-	-	-
IHBS	3,610	3,798	3,661	3,326	2,556	687	61	^	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	359	424	375	371	318	216	197	79	-	-	-	-
IHBS	480	547	521	492	428	347	213	90	-	-	-	-

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 2/21/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	283	1,005	1,110	1,265	2,147	1,686	1,131	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
220	211	229	194	184	86	27	^	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
49,822	58,828	67,406	48,294	46,222	9,802	1,653	280	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
\$ 326,173	\$ 370,205	\$ 355,183	\$ 239,386	\$ 240,030	\$ 79,861	\$ 20,772	\$ 2,885	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	102	104	103	91	80	25	^	^	-	-	-	-
IHBS	58	43	65	46	56	14	^	^	-	-	-	-

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	253	323	351	278	265	125	252	-	-	-	-	-
IHBS	414	586	480	499	447	476	195	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017

Report Run on 2/21/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	198	\$ 1,339,403	24,120	68,217	9,487	2,035	6,224	306,802	^	^	^	-	^	-	-	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	146	\$ 607,745	69,111	37,545	3,031	^	18,417	147,003	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	25	\$ 119,728	^	3,557	6,385	^	^	15,660	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	358	\$ 5,133,615	249,442	262,210	183,646	3,827	27,967	1,462,912	752	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	14	\$ 103,234	^	^	1,804	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	41	\$ 131,451	21,901	2,307	9,154	^	^	29,604	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Glenn*	30	\$ 110,107	14,911	11,994	^	^	^	16,158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	120	\$ 1,426,067	197,906	31,592	37,878	4,315	25,631	231,564	^	-	^	^	-	^	-	-
13	Imperial*	95	\$ 531,460	37,926	1,744	1,526	^	16,810	70,587	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	169	\$ 824,048	23,890	14,095	13,094	3,929	12,164	156,396	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	29	\$ 74,025	^	3,613	3,986	-	^	17,481	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	24	\$ 25,427	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,137	\$ 19,300,919	4,266,909	4,483,611	6,290	10,422	50,817	625,944	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	104	\$ 226,592	-	9,105	29,036	^	^	66,312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	40	\$ 206,142	^	14,251	10,577	^	^	21,857	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	18	\$ 80,349	^	^	^	^	^	12,631	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	88	\$ 169,839	39,063	35,489	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	112	\$ 716,629	66,055	35,348	7,472	^	^	81,733	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	197	\$ 743,624	103,190	136,878	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	33	\$ 165,919	^	6,794	15,044	-	^	40,624	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	734	\$ 3,274,946	76,424	144,217	114,039	16,328	55,250	805,813	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	51	\$ 95,428	7,235	10,653	^	-	^	11,895	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,227	\$ 2,599,662	333,501	312,245	127,661	3,359	54,723	576,671	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	422	\$ 1,870,863	223,627	287,486	370,665	1,312	61,869	798,341	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	849	\$ 4,088,668	567,232	458,602	89,587	9,114	34,594	1,013,411	^	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	989	\$ 4,388,830	216,393	568,668	11,352	2,103	57,588	378,876	319	46,338	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	173	\$ 4,191,513	493,920	170,592	23,883	-	^	124,616	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	268	\$ 971,706	53,484	76,079	35,760	6,298	13,487	218,083	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 1,140,869	246,301	91,542	6,799	^	11,399	112,634	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	108	\$ 930,448	28,198	11,255	20,349	^	7,859	104,314	^	-	^	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	163	\$ 903,909	85,788	81,976	17,983	4,740	11,547	160,754	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	556	\$ 3,859,395	791,950	593,627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	57	\$ 435,855	114,802	22,899	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	68	\$ 455,165	12,342	46,437	16,744	^	5,760	86,248	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	21	\$ 79,732	7,077	3,739	1,573	-	^	7,786	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	131	\$ 922,941	51,070	54,198	9,059	-	3,055	132,363	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	137	\$ 276,125	90,937	47,994	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	127	\$ 636,082	123,512	53,362	16,680	^	4,377	163,320	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	13	\$ 13,221	-	-	^	-	-	5,560	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	91	\$ 478,307	37,211	33,751	33,035	^	9,189	87,682	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	15	\$ 63,537	^	^	^	-	-	7,520	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	218	\$ 1,096,798	64,953	55,290	34,737	^	9,082	242,536	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 97,607	^	6,968	^	-	^	17,801	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	33	\$ 124,745	-	4,889	4,449	^	3,228	18,084	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Statewide^^		11,659	\$ 65,149,281	8,838,516	8,312,695	1,281,577	82,865	515,750	8,402,420	1,823	48,090	13,014	^	^	^	-	382

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017

Report Run on 2/21/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	198	\$ 1,339,403	\$ 69,489	\$ 152,198	\$ 23,081	\$ 7,894	\$ 34,525	\$ 891,171	^	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	146	\$ 607,745	\$ 134,288	\$ 69,736	\$ 5,696	^	\$ 80,067	\$ 307,872	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	25	\$ 119,728	^	\$ 8,515	\$ 15,361	^	^	\$ 41,723	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*^																
7	Contra Costa*	358	\$ 5,133,615	\$ 683,692	\$ 486,647	\$ 350,805	\$ 16,431	\$ 130,031	\$ 3,337,867	\$ 81,420	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*	14	\$ 103,234	^	^	\$ 3,238	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	41	\$ 131,451	\$ 45,612	\$ 4,810	\$ 18,899	\$ -	^	\$ 61,504	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	Glenn*	30	\$ 110,107	\$ 39,170	\$ 24,136	^	^	^	\$ 42,224	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	120	\$ 1,426,067	\$ 493,057	\$ 67,923	\$ 80,591	\$ 17,813	\$ 102,675	\$ 611,731	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	95	\$ 531,460	\$ 139,027	\$ 5,216	\$ 4,558	^	\$ 118,313	\$ 263,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	169	\$ 824,048	\$ 83,100	\$ 35,108	\$ 34,010	\$ 21,706	\$ 81,533	\$ 540,203	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	29	\$ 74,025	^	\$ 4,709	\$ 7,136	\$ -	^	\$ 40,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	24	\$ 25,427	^	\$ 18,091	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	3,137	\$ 19,300,919	\$ 9,806,691	\$ 7,932,755	\$ 11,658	\$ 24,411	\$ 207,758	\$ 1,317,646	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	104	\$ 226,592	\$ -	\$ 15,551	\$ 56,620	^	^	\$ 147,937	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	40	\$ 206,142	^	\$ 59,065	\$ 24,905	^	^	\$ 97,731	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	18	\$ 80,349	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 49,893	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	88	\$ 169,839	\$ 93,263	\$ 76,575	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	112	\$ 716,629	\$ 266,847	\$ 86,821	\$ 21,471	^	^	\$ 321,483	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono^																
27	Monterey	197	\$ 743,624	\$ 365,172	\$ 378,453	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*^																
29	Nevada*	33	\$ 165,919	^	\$ 13,724	\$ 30,071	\$ -	^	\$ 105,262	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	734	\$ 3,274,946	\$ 111,504	\$ 285,913	\$ 274,635	\$ 78,091	\$ 257,702	\$ 2,246,278	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	51	\$ 95,428	\$ 23,168	\$ 32,578	^	^	^	\$ 34,873	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																
33	Riverside*	1,227	\$ 2,599,662	\$ 515,899	\$ 455,305	\$ 270,858	\$ 11,181	\$ 279,772	\$ 1,066,444	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	422	\$ 1,870,863	\$ 271,820	\$ 251,055	\$ 309,771	\$ 2,836	\$ 144,696	\$ 890,685	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																
36	San Bernardino*	849	\$ 4,088,668	\$ 989,755	\$ 623,898	\$ 203,288	\$ 51,565	\$ 152,198	\$ 1,816,995	^	^	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	989	\$ 4,388,830	\$ 579,569	\$ 1,190,396	\$ 21,998	\$ 8,222	\$ 293,095	\$ 1,008,499	\$ 32,152	\$ 1,105,048	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	173	\$ 4,191,513	\$ 2,754,960	\$ 688,776	\$ 95,430	^	^	\$ 633,719	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	268	\$ 971,706	\$ 122,206	\$ 140,497	\$ 76,641	\$ 17,198	\$ 65,322	\$ 522,717	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 1,140,869	\$ 481,779	\$ 240,085	\$ 23,725	^	^	\$ 52,439	\$ 323,993	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	108	\$ 930,448	\$ 71,013	\$ 44,194	\$ 57,323	^	^	\$ 65,518	\$ 362,608	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	163	\$ 903,909	\$ 214,565	\$ 172,846	\$ 36,633	\$ 18,631	\$ 78,595	\$ 380,303	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	556	\$ 3,859,395	\$ 2,438,301	\$ 1,421,094	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	57	\$ 435,855	\$ 358,223	\$ 77,633	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	68	\$ 455,165	\$ 32,668	\$ 123,523	\$ 43,043	^	^	\$ 20,707	\$ 230,523	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	21	\$ 79,732	\$ 28,534	\$ 11,208	\$ 4,782	^	^	\$ 32,152	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	131	\$ 922,941	\$ 133,604	\$ 170,788	\$ 28,404	\$ 25,019	\$ 540,694	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	137	\$ 276,125	\$ 179,069	\$ 97,055	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	127	\$ 636,082	\$ 169,310	\$ 65,334	\$ 40,363	^	^	\$ 21,644	\$ 305,989	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	13	\$ 13,221	\$ -	^	^	^	^	\$ 12,507	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	91	\$ 478,307	\$ 92,777	\$ 64,526	\$ 64,283	^	^	\$ 39,521	\$ 209,367	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*	15	\$ 63,537	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 22,936	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Yuba*	218	\$ 1,096,798	\$ 162,785	\$ 111,284	\$ 69,245	^	^	\$ 53,000	\$ 699,520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 97,607	^	\$ 14,221	^	^	^	\$ 45,476	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	33	\$ 124,745	\$ -	\$ 14,863	\$ 13,525	^	^	\$ 23,290	\$ 71,078	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Statewide^^	11,659	\$ 65,149,281	\$ 22,191,063	\$ 15,762,598	\$ 2,343,886	\$ 335,308	\$ 2,421,710	\$ 19,696,897	\$ 181,545	\$ 1,142,758	\$ 617,735	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ 356,799

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017

Report Run on 2/21/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	198	\$ 1,339,403	31	59	53	13	37	159	^	^	^	-	^	-	-	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	146	\$ 607,745	40	75	20	^	37	104	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	25	\$ 119,728	^	14	16	^	^	20	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	358	\$ 5,133,615	58	258	225	17	95	285	24	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	14	\$ 103,234	^	^	12	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	41	\$ 131,451	19	17	32	-	^	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Glenn*	30	\$ 110,107	21	26	^	^	^	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	120	\$ 1,426,067	36	83	57	15	60	112	^	-	^	^	-	^	-	-
13	Imperial*	95	\$ 531,460	53	15	13	^	73	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	169	\$ 824,048	61	93	71	14	79	165	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	29	\$ 74,025	^	18	23	-	^	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	24	\$ 25,427	^	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,137	\$ 19,300,919	2,853	2,765	66	34	162	389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	104	\$ 226,592	-	21	73	^	^	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	40	\$ 206,142	^	28	26	^	^	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	18	\$ 80,349	^	^	^	^	^	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	88	\$ 169,839	38	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	112	\$ 716,629	37	52	42	^	^	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	197	\$ 743,624	121	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	33	\$ 165,919	^	20	28	-	^	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	734	\$ 3,274,946	89	201	335	63	195	691	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	51	\$ 95,428	22	42	^	-	^	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,227	\$ 2,599,662	301	701	289	16	348	728	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	422	\$ 1,870,863	163	284	312	16	172	349	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	849	\$ 4,088,668	361	629	189	32	207	631	^	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	989	\$ 4,388,830	349	695	55	17	280	434	23	177	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	173	\$ 4,191,513	138	135	34	-	^	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	268	\$ 971,706	83	133	148	14	71	200	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 1,140,869	109	136	38	^	40	93	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	108	\$ 930,448	22	47	63	^	36	88	^	-	^	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	163	\$ 903,909	48	106	64	20	45	97	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	556	\$ 3,859,395	466	546	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	57	\$ 435,855	56	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	68	\$ 455,165	18	50	39	^	25	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	21	\$ 79,732	12	13	12	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	131	\$ 922,941	36	99	58	-	20	104	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	137	\$ 276,125	66	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	127	\$ 636,082	53	64	49	^	60	118	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	13	\$ 13,221	-	-	^	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	91	\$ 478,307	29	77	72	^	34	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	15	\$ 63,537	^	^	^	-	^	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	218	\$ 1,096,798	37	115	115	^	50	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 97,607	^	13	^	-	^	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	33	\$ 124,745	-	14	13	^	12	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	11,659	\$ 65,149,281	5,909	8,102	2,690	330	5,909	2,229	84	185	33	^	^	^	-	15

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
 - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
 - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals