

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 12/23/2016

## Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

## Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

## Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 16,971 (statewide) compared to 16,913 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 58 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$172,519,102 (statewide) compared to \$171,684,843 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of \$834,259.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 21,784,951 (statewide) compared to 21,656,797 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 128,154 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 23,789,791 (statewide) compared to 23,621,314 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 168,477 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 11,740 (statewide) compared to 11,702 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 38 subclass members.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 12/23/2016

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 8,873 (statewide) compared to 8,845 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 28 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 52.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

## Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

\* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

## Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2015. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2015, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at [MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov) or **916-650-6525** for any questions regarding this report.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 12/23/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	8,337	27,338	47,646	78,073	63,314	59,950	79,523	73,045	90,430	101,034	100,703

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
8,441	8,111	7,920	7,855	7,694	7,829	7,852	7,925	8,296	7,828	7,768	7,596

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
3,550,605	3,325,592	3,660,204	4,079,848	3,494,983	3,522,990	3,706,090	4,116,291	4,341,204	4,037,313	4,257,856	3,481,768

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>1</sup> Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 15,333,710	\$ 14,135,523	\$ 14,427,570	\$ 15,200,626	\$ 13,344,302	\$ 14,218,086	\$ 14,279,902	\$ 14,858,207	\$ 16,485,696	\$ 14,017,058	\$ 13,427,825	\$ 12,790,596

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	4,558	4,393	4,368	4,360	4,212	4,386	4,463	4,495	4,608	4,543	4,474	4,365
IHBS	3,822	3,674	3,693	3,686	3,470	3,526	3,592	3,542	3,682	3,634	3,590	3,510

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	378	369	399	420	406	390	403	411	426	407	434	361
IHBS	458	444	497	582	491	494	511	617	621	579	625	523

<sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 12/23/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	254	509	1,339	2,115	1,797	1,527	2,240	2,190	2,599	3,322	2,612

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
212	204	227	235	249	229	240	243	257	239	223	224

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
80,263	71,293	80,651	104,748	78,602	71,477	72,843	86,520	91,589	83,834	75,731	71,647

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>2</sup> Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 353,135	\$ 324,440	\$ 394,323	\$ 473,514	\$ 447,322	\$ 412,570	\$ 418,821	\$ 436,067	\$ 543,126	\$ 445,552	\$ 394,529	\$ 390,491

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	100	94	97	102	106	102	104	105	118	119	97	104
IHBS	61	64	68	68	68	59	61	64	75	77	69	62

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	246	368	394	478	354	383	348	460	395	378	442	323
IHBS	913	574	624	823	603	549	601	597	600	505	476	614

<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

**Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 12/23/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,902,789	271,464	459,456	229,356	14,354	71,507	3,756,765	2,028	^	^	-	^	^	^	703
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,010	51,657	31,870	^	^	2,822	12,527	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,716,066	223,431	102,488	9,392	4,363	52,510	406,125	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 119,352	^	7,661	11,896	^	^	22,358	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	20,369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,100,978	624,560	655,921	305,937	8,746	65,466	2,374,066	1,004	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	46,910	8,743	32,762	-	^	85,760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	607	\$ 5,856,427	103,498	34,582	422,396	2,729	42,645	1,664,241	2,796	^	^	-	-	-	-	263
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	76,133	21,972	2,584	^	^	16,623	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,714,820	500,397	95,253	51,351	^	29,039	350,968	221	-	^	-	-	^	-	-
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	50,067	8,702	2,829	^	23,768	102,727	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,594,777	44,185	32,510	12,134	8,506	24,240	338,901	559	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 215,607	23,177	3,023	8,842	^	5,437	49,806	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	43	\$ 81,679	^	18,164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,764	\$ 49,306,607	11,491,119	12,455,253	22,701	25,579	103,239	1,568,947	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	-	12,950	55,452	^	1,700	114,512	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	54	\$ 971,854	51,684	78,713	39,068	^	5,535	90,100	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 92,512	^	^	^	^	^	11,576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 408,709	111,903	90,896	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,180,298	33,823	52,404	17,442	^	2,250	177,976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,234,057	334,408	575,592	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	41	\$ 603,367	31,577	43,825	5,505	^	5,832	48,953	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,750	11,369	18,071	26,141	^	3,958	92,106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,130	\$ 6,211,052	165,671	270,395	207,000	32,285	111,694	1,512,441	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	54	\$ 550,516	19,151	28,131	14,555	^	4,586	130,882	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,940	\$ 8,231,985	1,093,008	862,623	383,851	7,558	129,129	2,454,346	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
34	Sacramento*	576	\$ 3,330,302	518,845	796,685	1,194,032	4,855	371,152	2,242,353	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	980	\$ 8,499,060	1,138,815	950,799	207,542	11,111	82,990	2,143,929	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	986	\$ 10,796,424	225,460	778,835	21,614	5,909	177,658	1,189,408	1,038	187,348	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 13,449,038	2,845,352	1,044,162	104,936	^	15,107	747,216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	355	\$ 2,236,661	132,883	155,114	81,968	11,547	39,168	453,621	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,182,012	736,574	197,290	20,132	5,714	34,347	378,281	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	115	\$ 1,655,610	101,832	44,771	24,218	^	17,713	199,385	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	195	\$ 2,088,170	123,622	195,990	39,693	10,428	47,819	465,541	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	966,917	726,095	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	112	\$ 1,390,698	273,044	70,105	18,181	^	^	141,383	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,177,965	30,937	109,278	36,433	^	19,842	242,480	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	8,087	7,890	^	-	-	18,570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	152	\$ 2,735,005	212,163	126,629	32,447	^	9,669	434,328	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	182	\$ 559,161	157,404	132,870	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	164	\$ 2,271,169	145,437	89,024	65,721	5,560	15,722	502,508	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	68	\$ 23,335	-	12,540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	-	-	^	-	^	24,924	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,023,786	91,248	70,808	60,776	5,259	21,884	201,197	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	7,102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	301	\$ 4,214,386	469,663	182,759	159,270	^	39,170	881,759	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	119,133	102,853	^	-	17,997	163,786	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,153	-	^	20,078	^	10,273	45,105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,971</b>	<b>\$ 172,519,102</b>	<b>23,789,791</b>	<b>21,784,951</b>	<b>3,962,892</b>	<b>181,652</b>	<b>1,615,582</b>	<b>25,912,958</b>	<b>8,598</b>	<b>197,645</b>	<b>36,138</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>1,365</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 12/23/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,902,789	\$ 589,543	\$ 791,756	\$ 426,756	\$ 48,037	\$ 300,729	\$ 8,643,211	\$ 203,174	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 560,919
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,010	\$ 103,055	\$ 62,907	^	^	\$ 13,336	\$ 30,278	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,716,066	\$ 406,116	\$ 180,823	\$ 16,063	\$ 14,212	\$ 230,787	\$ 830,762	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 119,352	^	\$ 18,200	\$ 28,794	^	^	\$ 56,580	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 53,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,100,978	\$ 1,674,078	\$ 1,195,763	\$ 578,067	\$ 40,982	\$ 321,529	\$ 5,938,389	\$ 107,713	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*^																
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	\$ 98,605	\$ 17,175	\$ 64,886	\$ -	^	\$ 168,216	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	607	\$ 5,856,427	\$ 257,025	\$ 47,597	\$ 854,129	\$ 11,428	\$ 242,101	\$ 4,013,340	\$ 228,284	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 157,198
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	\$ 200,731	\$ 45,702	\$ 5,375	^	^	\$ 43,944	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,714,820	\$ 1,253,249	\$ 205,573	\$ 110,385	^	\$ 117,273	\$ 957,014	\$ 23,833	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	\$ 205,578	\$ 26,854	\$ 8,886	^	\$ 186,030	\$ 420,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,594,777	\$ 133,493	\$ 84,528	\$ 32,614	\$ 40,097	\$ 149,599	\$ 1,056,331	\$ 45,000	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16	Kings*	41	\$ 215,607	\$ 52,069	\$ 5,501	\$ 15,497	^	\$ 22,116	\$ 115,780	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	43	\$ 81,679	^	\$ 36,457	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen*^																
19	Los Angeles*	4,764	\$ 49,306,607	\$ 25,243,738	\$ 20,426,955	\$ 41,475	\$ 15,654	\$ 417,518	\$ 3,161,267	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	\$ -	\$ 23,702	\$ 105,715	^	\$ 6,953	\$ 254,341	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	54	\$ 971,854	\$ 131,541	\$ 265,645	\$ 97,528	^	^	\$ 58,742	\$ 396,799	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 92,512	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 44,302	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 408,709	\$ 237,355	\$ 171,354	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,180,298	\$ 140,339	\$ 195,712	\$ 64,361	^	\$ 12,697	\$ 749,297	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,234,057	\$ 1,014,382	\$ 1,219,675	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	41	\$ 603,367	\$ 98,395	\$ 212,438	\$ 29,316	^	\$ 39,360	\$ 210,578	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,750	\$ 29,162	\$ 36,504	\$ 51,234	^	\$ 19,077	\$ 230,538	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,130	\$ 6,211,052	\$ 303,286	\$ 517,373	\$ 491,430	\$ 168,193	\$ 524,942	\$ 4,174,691	^	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	54	\$ 550,516	\$ 55,417	\$ 83,909	\$ 35,038	^	\$ 19,964	\$ 354,115	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																
33	Riverside*	1,940	\$ 8,231,985	\$ 1,555,633	\$ 1,106,167	\$ 836,626	\$ 24,583	\$ 616,963	\$ 3,966,859	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
34	Sacramento*	576	\$ 3,330,302	\$ 421,149	\$ 468,442	\$ 580,536	\$ 2,900	\$ 249,601	\$ 1,607,675	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																
36	San Bernardino*	980	\$ 8,499,060	\$ 2,200,483	\$ 1,055,111	\$ 303,670	\$ 47,624	\$ 340,913	\$ 4,328,276	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	986	\$ 10,796,424	\$ 594,427	\$ 1,616,827	\$ 39,431	\$ 24,237	\$ 871,362	\$ 3,054,007	\$ 58,356	\$ 4,284,988	^	^	^	^	^	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 13,449,038	\$ 8,805,386	\$ 2,372,410	\$ 196,696	^	\$ 89,174	\$ 1,985,208	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	355	\$ 2,236,661	\$ 315,518	\$ 282,078	\$ 164,128	\$ 31,887	\$ 217,525	\$ 1,116,090	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,182,012	\$ 1,465,804	\$ 458,701	\$ 67,581	\$ 13,257	\$ 159,058	\$ 969,104	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	115	\$ 1,655,610	\$ 248,534	\$ 109,728	\$ 72,353	^	\$ 132,146	\$ 673,805	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	195	\$ 2,088,170	\$ 269,871	\$ 391,408	\$ 69,638	\$ 32,925	\$ 221,845	\$ 1,095,920	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	\$ 3,936,268	\$ 2,238,197	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	112	\$ 1,390,698	\$ 759,923	\$ 204,051	\$ 50,890	^	^	\$ 333,579	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,177,965	\$ 98,149	\$ 291,513	\$ 93,812	^	\$ 72,957	\$ 608,705	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	\$ 31,455	\$ 22,727	^	^	^	\$ 71,520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	152	\$ 2,735,005	\$ 533,791	\$ 351,104	\$ 93,936	^	\$ 79,311	\$ 1,531,237	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	182	\$ 559,161	\$ 328,642	\$ 230,519	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	164	\$ 2,271,169	\$ 335,605	\$ 181,371	\$ 181,375	\$ 22,647	\$ 90,315	\$ 1,281,460	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	68	\$ 23,335	\$ -	\$ 23,335	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 55,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,023,786	\$ 218,669	\$ 128,345	\$ 110,152	\$ 17,749	\$ 90,369	\$ 458,502	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 18,536	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	301	\$ 4,214,386	\$ 1,152,418	\$ 355,912	\$ 291,575	^	\$ 186,301	\$ 2,226,272	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	\$ 36,948	\$ 21,872	^	^	\$ 14,230	\$ 91,265	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,153	^	^	\$ 58,307	^	^	\$ 72,054	\$ 170,958	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,971</b>	<b>\$ 172,519,102</b>	<b>\$ 55,857,124</b>	<b>\$ 37,837,551</b>	<b>\$ 6,291,974</b>	<b>\$ 641,747</b>	<b>\$ 6,231,684</b>	<b>\$ 57,624,673</b>	<b>\$ 760,665</b>	<b>\$ 4,490,191</b>	<b>\$ 1,482,497</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 228,220</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 986,927</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

### Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 12/23/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,902,789	109	268	296	47	164	508	51	^	^	-	^	^	^	22
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,010	26	32	^	^	16	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,716,066	68	100	50	20	54	121	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 119,352	^	19	17	^	^	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,100,978	128	385	271	34	141	376	38	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	25	35	43	-	^	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	607	\$ 5,856,427	144	112	438	19	237	511	73	^	^	-	-	-	-	22
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	29	32	17	^	^	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,714,820	34	80	63	^	67	111	13	-	^	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	115	41	27	^	96	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,594,777	82	122	71	25	102	184	17	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 215,607	19	18	34	^	14	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	43	\$ 81,679	^	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,764	\$ 49,306,607	4,371	4,236	123	50	186	523	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	-	14	124	^	12	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	54	\$ 971,854	16	37	47	^	14	49	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 92,512	^	^	^	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 408,709	65	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,180,298	21	50	58	^	12	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,234,057	207	257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	41	\$ 603,367	14	20	23	^	18	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,750	17	33	43	^	16	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,130	\$ 6,211,052	121	282	489	117	258	1,089	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	54	\$ 550,516	25	44	37	^	15	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,940	\$ 8,231,985	496	1,192	498	44	569	1,511	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
34	Sacramento*	576	\$ 3,330,302	250	402	469	12	259	482	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	980	\$ 8,499,060	508	731	219	43	319	848	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	986	\$ 10,796,424	259	658	97	42	446	752	65	272	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 13,449,038	247	248	72	^	27	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	355	\$ 2,236,661	110	185	233	37	124	285	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,182,012	120	148	71	18	63	139	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	115	\$ 1,655,610	44	84	57	^	41	83	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	195	\$ 2,088,170	50	147	105	27	90	157	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	532	596	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	112	\$ 1,390,698	100	82	41	^	^	64	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,177,965	30	67	59	^	46	72	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	15	18	^	-	^	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	152	\$ 2,735,005	104	104	101	^	38	141	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	182	\$ 559,161	105	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	164	\$ 2,271,169	68	83	94	19	89	153	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	68	\$ 23,335	-	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	-	-	^	-	^	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,023,786	57	120	103	19	49	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	301	\$ 4,214,386	129	216	181	^	63	233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	19	23	^	-	15	21	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,153	-	^	26	^	21	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,971</b>	<b>\$ 172,519,102</b>	<b>8,873</b>	<b>11,740</b>	<b>4,751</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>3,726</b>	<b>9,537</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>66</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

## Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

### Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

### Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

### Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
  - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
  - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:  
*"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."*
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals