

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2014/2015

Report run on 11/1/2016

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 16,111 (statewide) compared to 16,111 for the last reporting period.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$156,025,829 (statewide) compared to \$156,026,917 for the last reporting period. This is a decrease of -\$1,088.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 19,245,216 (statewide) compared to 19,245,216 for the last reporting period.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 21,930,310 (statewide) compared to 21,929,994 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 316 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 10,338 (statewide) compared to 10,338 for the last reporting period.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 7,939 (statewide) compared to 7,939 for the last reporting period.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2014/2015

Report run on 11/1/2016

Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.





Notes Updated: August 18, 2014

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or **916-650-6525** for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 11/1/2016




¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 11/1/2016

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month²



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month²



¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 11/1/2016

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
1,123	7,303	39,524	47,343	44,407	63,319	68,841	36,630	98,236	77,046	72,982	104,002

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
7,318	7,388	7,339	7,265	6,976	7,135	7,217	7,324	7,775	7,850	7,696	8,068

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
3,412,816	3,343,927	3,489,339	3,569,069	2,696,360	3,049,927	3,402,554	3,345,860	3,855,201	3,751,248	3,387,573	3,871,652

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
\$ 13,041,808	\$ 12,175,651	\$ 13,113,557	\$ 14,020,283	\$ 11,037,724	\$ 11,849,850	\$ 12,790,644	\$ 12,445,342	\$ 14,635,917	\$ 14,228,736	\$ 13,208,062	\$ 13,478,255

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	3,571	3,678	3,865	3,962	3,771	3,898	4,061	4,037	4,155	4,197	4,088	4,336
IHBS	2,821	2,990	3,075	3,045	2,930	3,095	3,137	3,183	3,307	3,346	3,377	3,577

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	451	373	402	427	353	357	397	396	428	400	367	410
IHBS	622	645	614	596	448	510	543	525	601	596	526	564

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 11/1/2016



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 11/1/2016

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month³



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month³



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

⁴ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
Report Run on 11/1/2016

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
68	307	830	1,175	880	1,484	1,368	1,024	2,276	2,141	2,008	2,387

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
228	206	205	211	198	208	206	203	211	203	219	187

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
47,451	44,777	45,279	62,082	51,416	81,340	83,948	77,692	87,486	77,210	113,445	77,052

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
\$ 378,908	\$ 352,525	\$ 384,505	\$ 387,662	\$ 335,552	\$ 381,235	\$ 433,299	\$ 387,473	\$ 410,579	\$ 401,021	\$ 417,111	\$ 319,080

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	62	57	67	72	77	85	95	86	89	82	99	83
IHBS	38	47	41	43	61	62	56	61	53	47	79	50

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
ICC	335	274	237	371	265	390	364	380	440	428	465	347
IHBS	702	620	716	822	509	778	881	738	912	897	853	965

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015

Report Run on 11/1/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	488	\$ 8,310,739	233,382	283,572	181,104	9,339	51,214	2,272,644	610	^	^	-	^	^	^	434
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	25	\$ 174,070	42,994	23,786	^	^	2,523	9,963	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	208,765	92,235	11,594	9,261	41,970	431,852	283	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	23	\$ 76,559	^	9,412	11,799	^	^	11,323	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	24	\$ 124,959	^	^	4,135	-	^	37,469	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	369	\$ 8,876,929	510,904	429,057	225,609	10,061	78,382	1,908,545	1,556	^	^	-	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	93,300	5,305	51,661	-	^	127,844	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	640	\$ 6,429,731	849,345	302,398	278,694	5,240	57,113	1,110,911	957	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	26	\$ 260,943	48,584	27,852	1,577	^	^	28,741	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	67	\$ 1,321,477	^	125,340	18,946	^	12,267	325,535	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	14,420	3,234	780	-	4,095	14,336	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	26,101	37,142	4,683	5,530	29,661	434,857	128	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	51	\$ 214,770	34,594	3,023	5,744	^	5,468	47,244	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	25,436	33,129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,974,585	9,984,273	11,304,163	17,505	6,599	97,284	1,200,295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664	-	^	56,660	^	2,650	135,806	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	51,590	56,106	17,418	-	^	61,569	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	108,109	94,108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	-	17,986	-	9,081	^	27,229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	500	\$ 1,964,456	950,569	587,845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	62	\$ 368,710	^	^	7,897	^	3,448	69,176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	41,845	29,903	43,529	^	4,454	154,273	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	979	\$ 6,534,832	147,693	267,261	282,758	45,637	93,613	1,452,287	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,756	26,642	27,118	3,152	^	^	122,312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	2,031	\$ 7,415,044	685,780	555,066	508,589	8,048	152,375	2,723,928	427	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 5,694,803	625,568	668,112	1,082,147	^	169,812	2,495,984	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	758	\$ 7,183,727	1,646,289	929,317	139,419	13,114	88,709	3,250,710	193	^	^	-	^	^	^	^
37	San Diego*	1,011	\$ 12,145,467	296,686	652,140	18,977	3,622	184,585	1,402,200	601	214,736	^	-	^	^	^	^
38	San Francisco*	355	\$ 12,513,959	2,363,846	927,092	88,548	^	29,020	946,212	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	118,914	186,615	118,588	12,163	45,465	472,640	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,448,270	697,497	187,266	35,953	4,190	25,066	417,785	-	^	^	^	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	119	\$ 2,179,605	89,517	70,537	33,944	^	19,453	340,773	^	^	^	^	-	^	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	191	\$ 2,354,645	83,380	198,757	96,876	10,417	55,115	816,275	-	^	-	^	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	372	\$ 3,060,355	626,665	462,170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	102	\$ 1,492,957	307,755	108,390	23,966	^	^	214,315	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	97	\$ 1,130,209	16,243	89,379	41,971	^	20,645	224,361	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	19,026	18,891	5,482	-	^	41,579	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	105	\$ 1,140,632	177,886	110,398	13,202	-	3,690	101,131	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	^	^	73,790	5,090	19,913	740,789	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	33	\$ 6,173	-	3,415	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	54	\$ 173,862	-	-	1,961	-	^	72,131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	155	\$ 1,473,008	215,024	85,016	78,647	1,876	32,406	211,907	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne**^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	212	\$ 2,227,107	375,057	106,501	91,324	^	42,957	537,441	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 117,612	^	^	^	-	12,312	67,890	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41	\$ 482,074	^	16,959	33,907	^	12,432	63,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	16,111	\$ 156,025,829	21,930,310	19,245,216	3,736,247	169,764	1,416,835	25,169,767	5,584	229,846	47,928	^	216	120	^	1,196

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015

Report Run on 11/1/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	488	\$ 8,310,739	\$ 637,390	\$ 598,208	\$ 354,922	\$ 35,547	\$ 224,617	\$ 5,843,862	\$ 61,471	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 329,734
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	25	\$ 174,070	\$ 85,988	\$ 43,011	^	^	\$ 12,159	\$ 24,307	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	\$ 396,863	\$ 167,177	\$ 21,095	\$ 28,813	\$ 184,418	\$ 911,275	\$ 24,091	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	23	\$ 76,559	^	\$ 17,224	\$ 21,592	^	^	\$ 26,722	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	24	\$ 124,959	^	^	\$ 8,353	\$ -	^	\$ 97,495	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	369	\$ 8,876,929	\$ 1,608,424	\$ 881,989	\$ 450,864	\$ 46,857	\$ 382,647	\$ 5,115,321	\$ 152,904	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	\$ 193,747	\$ 11,264	\$ 108,111	\$ -	^	\$ 261,997	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	640	\$ 6,429,731	\$ 2,121,109	\$ 590,175	\$ 548,140	\$ 26,381	\$ 264,813	\$ 2,728,617	\$ 37,299	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
11	Glenn*	26	\$ 260,943	\$ 128,748	\$ 57,621	\$ 3,281	^	^	\$ 61,930	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	67	\$ 1,321,477	^	\$ 264,470	\$ 37,916	^	\$ 49,662	\$ 827,369	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	\$ 61,285	\$ 10,640	\$ 2,566	\$ -	\$ 32,146	\$ 60,932	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	\$ 82,716	\$ 84,210	\$ 10,606	\$ 23,337	\$ 161,243	\$ 1,284,413	\$ 9,777	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	51	\$ 214,770	\$ 77,901	\$ 5,269	\$ 10,127	^	\$ 22,714	\$ 97,875	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	\$ 59,766	\$ 61,090	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,974,585	\$ 22,442,409	\$ 19,399,032	\$ 28,152	\$ 16,643	\$ 396,320	\$ 2,692,028	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664	\$ -	^	\$ 110,457	^	\$ 10,428	\$ 294,789	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	\$ 134,710	\$ 178,607	\$ 49,139	\$ -	^	\$ 292,854	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	\$ 252,317	\$ 187,979	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	\$ -	\$ 65,465	\$ 32,743	^	\$ -	\$ 113,620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26	Mono**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
27	Monterey	500	\$ 1,964,456	\$ 784,237	\$ 1,180,219	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	62	\$ 368,710	^	^	\$ 42,804	^	\$ 21,861	\$ 240,997	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	\$ 105,865	\$ 59,592	\$ 86,908	^	\$ 20,781	\$ 395,743	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	979	\$ 6,534,832	\$ 326,882	\$ 595,384	\$ 698,526	\$ 245,874	\$ 432,797	\$ 4,220,022	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,756	\$ 64,842	\$ 74,981	\$ 9,071	^	^	\$ 322,442	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
33	Riverside*	2,031	\$ 7,415,044	\$ 936,418	\$ 665,845	\$ 1,026,119	\$ 23,179	\$ 653,370	\$ 3,993,139	\$ 28,916	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 5,694,803	\$ 739,403	\$ 649,819	\$ 1,028,854	^	\$ 361,490	\$ 2,914,334	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
36	San Bernardino*	758	\$ 7,183,727	\$ 1,656,962	\$ 678,364	\$ 167,098	\$ 44,868	\$ 255,462	\$ 4,110,537	\$ 15,585	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^
37	San Diego*	1,011	\$ 12,145,467	\$ 808,708	\$ 1,368,226	\$ 37,641	\$ 14,643	\$ 932,453	\$ 3,702,113	\$ 33,555	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^
38	San Francisco*	355	\$ 12,513,959	\$ 7,050,696	\$ 2,054,865	\$ 212,755	^	\$ 185,599	\$ 2,983,143	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	\$ 289,460	\$ 316,213	\$ 222,264	\$ 36,326	\$ 199,578	\$ 1,106,233	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,448,270	\$ 1,399,132	\$ 496,612	\$ 111,954	\$ 10,485	\$ 114,110	\$ 1,148,591	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	119	\$ 2,179,605	\$ 225,893	\$ 196,793	\$ 83,591	^	\$ 141,063	\$ 1,093,553	^	^	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	191	\$ 2,354,645	\$ 149,534	\$ 362,090	\$ 129,542	\$ 29,593	\$ 240,794	\$ 1,433,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	372	\$ 3,060,355	\$ 1,952,259	\$ 1,108,096	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	102	\$ 1,492,957	\$ 727,172	\$ 224,626	\$ 50,781	^	^	\$ 446,375	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	97	\$ 1,130,209	\$ 47,535	\$ 218,897	\$ 98,469	^	\$ 76,666	\$ 604,622	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	\$ 72,112	\$ 55,509	\$ 15,864	\$ -	^	\$ 146,640	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	105	\$ 1,140,632	\$ 394,253	\$ 321,879	\$ 27,805	\$ -	\$ 20,063	\$ 311,683	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	^	^	\$ 173,169	\$ 19,928	\$ 100,517	\$ 1,276,977	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	33	\$ 6,173	\$ -	\$ 6,173	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	54	\$ 173,862	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,833	^	^	\$ 154,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	155	\$ 1,473,008	\$ 514,491	\$ 157,491	\$ 141,821	\$ 6,600	\$ 135,233	\$ 517,372	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	212	\$ 2,227,107	\$ 639,294	\$ 165,395	\$ 136,358	^	\$ 159,213	\$ 1,126,381	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 117,612	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ 12,119	\$ 42,690	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41	\$ 482,074	^	\$ 49,799	\$ 99,319	^	\$ 86,089	\$ 239,629	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Statewide**	16,111	\$ 156,025,829	\$ 47,565,905	\$ 33,741,353	\$ 6,421,965	\$ 688,237	\$ 5,992,296	\$ 53,392,491	\$ 436,596	\$ 5,216,220	\$ 1,640,921	^	\$ 62,409	\$ 159,421	^	\$ 661,769

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

** The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2014 - June 2015

Report Run on 11/1/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	488	\$ 8,310,739	120	186	210	36	126	383	33	^	^	-	^	^	^	12
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	25	\$ 174,070	21	24	^	^	12	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	233	\$ 1,761,292	65	88	50	21	57	220	13	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	23	\$ 76,559	^	17	16	^	^	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	24	\$ 124,959	^	^	14	-	^	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	369	\$ 8,876,929	114	297	196	29	121	284	41	^	^	-	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	68	\$ 576,734	31	30	56	-	^	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	640	\$ 6,429,731	208	206	457	40	249	581	37	^	-	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	26	\$ 260,943	22	21	15	^	^	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	67	\$ 1,321,477	^	51	33	^	30	65	^	-	-	-	-	^	-	-
13	Imperial*	30	\$ 167,569	29	12	12	-	21	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	233	\$ 1,760,364	58	120	27	24	108	218	13	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	51	\$ 214,770	20	18	43	^	20	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	47	\$ 120,856	17	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,628	\$ 44,974,585	4,142	4,158	80	25	109	283	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	216	\$ 425,664	-	^	135	^	20	207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	45	\$ 700,750	13	28	37	-	^	42	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	90	\$ 440,297	74	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	78	\$ 213,929	-	31	41	^	-	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	500	\$ 1,964,456	315	383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	62	\$ 368,710	^	^	37	^	15	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	54	\$ 683,177	23	44	44	^	15	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	979	\$ 6,534,832	104	307	512	116	248	939	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	102	\$ 493,756	26	43	18	^	^	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	2,031	\$ 7,415,044	361	761	573	55	653	1,736	33	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	507	\$ 5,694,803	222	379	437	^	253	442	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	758	\$ 7,183,727	408	539	171	47	280	706	14	^	^	-	^	-	^	^
37	San Diego*	1,011	\$ 12,145,467	244	643	120	28	486	803	49	324	^	-	^	-	^	^
38	San Francisco*	355	\$ 12,513,959	275	274	87	^	47	152	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	439	\$ 2,293,020	91	178	338	38	110	330	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,448,270	97	130	104	13	56	149	-	^	^	^	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	119	\$ 2,179,605	43	77	74	^	52	99	^	^	^	^	-	^	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	191	\$ 2,354,645	49	161	117	26	82	153	-	^	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	372	\$ 3,060,355	313	363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	102	\$ 1,492,957	84	71	47	^	^	68	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	97	\$ 1,130,209	34	80	76	^	50	83	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 291,630	25	40	32	-	^	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	105	\$ 1,140,632	44	71	46	-	22	81	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	135	\$ 1,778,778	^	^	77	22	77	126	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	33	\$ 6,173	-	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	54	\$ 173,862	-	-	16	-	^	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	155	\$ 1,473,008	67	113	114	13	59	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	212	\$ 2,227,107	115	129	108	^	54	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	26	\$ 117,612	^	^	^	-	16	18	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	41	\$ 482,074	^	13	33	^	27	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	16,111	\$ 156,025,829	7,939	10,338	4,643	627	7,939	9,186	267	349	79	^	14	39	^	35

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Variables, Assumptions, and Limitations:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
 - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
 - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals