Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date August, 2017

Background

This report measures the effectiveness of adult specialty mental health services. It models reports developed to measure Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) mental health services as mandated by Welfare and Institutions Code Section 14707.5. The intent of these reports is to improve outcomes at the individual, program, and system levels and inform fiscal decision-making related to the purchase of services.

Since 2012 DHCS has worked with several groups of stakeholders to create a structure for reporting, to develop a Performance Measurement Paradigm, and to design indicators and measures. The Performance Outcomes System will be used to evaluate the domains of access, engagement, service appropriateness to need, service effectiveness, linkages, cost effectiveness and satisfaction. Further information on the Performance Measures System implementation is available on the DHCS website. Documents posted include the relevant legislation, plans submitted to the Legislature, and handouts for meetings with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee back to the first meeting in 2012. To obtain this information go to: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/default.aspx.

Overview

Three reports will be provided: statewide aggregate data; population-based county groups; and county-specific data. These aggregate reports provide adult information on the initial indicators that were developed for the Performance Outcomes System. DHCS plans to move to annual reporting of these data for the Performance Outcomes System.

The first series of charts and tables focus on the demographics of adults 21* and older who are receiving SMHS based on approved claims for Medi-Cal eligible beneficiaries. Specifically, this includes demographics tables of this population by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Utilization of services reports are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service in time increments. Two types of penetration information are provided; both penetration rate tables are also broken out by demographic characteristics. The snapshot table provides a point-in-time view of adults arriving, exiting, and continuing services over a two-year period. The time-to-step-down table provides a view over the past four years of the time to stepdown services following inpatient discharge.

Where possible, the reports provide trend information by displaying information for Fiscal Years (FY) 12/13, 13/14, 14/15, and 15/16.

Definitions

*Population - Beneficiaries with approved services adjudicated through the Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claiming system that were:
Age 21 or older during the approved date of service on the claim.

Data Sources -

Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal II (SD/MC II) claims with dates of service in FY 12/13 through FY 15/16.
Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) data from the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) FY 12/13 through 15/16.

Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date August, 2017

Additional Information

The **Measures Catalog** is the companion document for these reports and provides the methodology and definitions for the measures. Each measure is defined and the numerator and denominator used to develop the metrics are provided with relevant notes and additional references. The Measures Catalog may be found at: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog_Sept15Reporting_Final_1.11.15.pdf

Note on Privacy:

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 42 rules protect most individually identifiable health information in any form or medium; whether electronic, on paper, or oral. DHCS has strict rules in place to protect the identification of individuals in public reports. A "Public Aggregate Reporting – DHCS Business Reports" process has been established to maintain confidentiality of client Personal Information. The Performance Outcomes System complies with Federal and State privacy laws. Thus, the POS must appropriately and accurately de-identify data for public reporting. Due to privacy concerns, some cells in this report may have been suppressed to comply with state and federal rules. When necessary, these data are represented as follows: 1) Data that are missing is indicated as "-" 2) Data that have been suppressed due to privacy concerns is indicated as "^".

Report Highlights

*County-specific findings may be interpreted alongside the POS statewide and population-based report findings.

*The **penetration** rates reported here were calculated using a different methodology than that used by the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). The differences in methodology makes comparison between the POS penetration rates and the EQRO penetration rates not appropriate nor useful. The POS methodology for calculating penetration rates was selected because it is easier to compute, more straightforward to interpret, and is in use by other states and counties. For the POS, the penetration rate is calculated by taking the total number of adults who received a number of SMHS (1 or 5 for POS) in a FY and dividing that by the total number of Medi-Cal eligible adults for that FY. This methodology results in lower penetration rates as compared to the EQRO rates, but it does so across the board so that all counties and the state will be similarly impacted.

*The **snapshot** report provides a point-in-time look at adults' movement through the SMHS system. The report uses five general categories to classify if an adult is entering, exiting, continuing services, or a combination of these categories (e.g., arriving and exiting). As of now, this report only classifies adults and their service usage for FY 12/13 through FY15/16. Eventually the snapshot data will be used along with measures of service effectiveness to identify whether adults are improving as a result of receiving services from the time they first arrived in the system to when they exit the system. This methodology was adapted from the California Mental Health and Substance Use System Needs Assessment (2012). More information on the original methodology can be found here: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx


*The psychiatric emergency services/hospital data measured in the **time to step-down services** report relies solely on claims data from Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II. Currently, the number of days is capped at 365 days (to mitigate the impact of extreme statistical anomalies) when calculating the mean and max for time between discharge and step down service. This methodology will be updated in the next reporting cycle. Additionally, county specific and population-based reports are based on the county of the hospital from which the patient is discharged and receives step-down services.

Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year

| SFY | Unique Count Receiving SMHS* | Year-Over-Year Percentage Change | Unique Count of Medi-Cal Eligibles | Year-Over-Year Percentage Change |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| FY 12-13 | 184 | | 1,800 | |
| FY 13-14 | 201 | 9.2% | 2,778 | 54.3% |
| FY 14-15 | 255 | 26.9% | 3,337 | 20.1% |
| FY 15-16 | 258 | 1.2% | 3,519 | 5.5% |
| Compound Annual Growth Rate SFY** | | 11.9% | | 25.0% |

Mariposa County as of August, 2017



*SMHS = Specialty Mental Health Services. See Measures Catalog for more detailed information.

**SFY = State Fiscal Year which is July 1 through June 30.

Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Mariposa County as of August, 2017


| Fiscal Year | Alaskan Native or American Indian Count | Alaskan Native or American Indian % | Asian or Pacific Islander Count | Asian or Pacific Islander % | Black Count | Black % | Hispanic Count | Hispanic % | White Count | White % | Other Count | Other % | Unknown Count | Unknown % |
|----------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Y 12-13 | ٨ | ٨ | 0 | 0.0% | ٨ | ۸ | 11 | 6.0% | 153 | 83.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 13 | 7.1% |
| Y 13-14 | ۸ | ۸ | 0 | 0.0% | ٨ | ^ | ۸ | ^ | 173 | 86.1% | ۸ | ^ | 12 | 6.0% |
| Y 14-15 | ^ | ۸ | ^ | ^ | ۸ | ^ | 17 | 6.7% | 205 | 80.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 20 | 7.8% |
| Y 15-16 | ^ | ۸ | ^ | ^ | 0 | 0.0% | 19 | 7.4% | 209 | 81.0% | ۸ | ^ | 18 | 7.0% |
| | | Fiscal ۱ 0% ^ ^{0%} م | /ear 12-13 R | Ala | askan Native or A | merican | | | - | ocal Year 13 | -14 Race Dis | Alaskan Nati | ve or American | |
| | | ^{7%} 6 | % | As | lian ian or Pacific Isla | nder | | | 6% | ^ ^ | | Indian Asian or Paci | ific Islander | |
| | | | | Bla | ick spanic | | | | | | | Black Hispanic | | |
| | | | | | White | | | | | | | White | | |
| | | 83% | | Ot | her | | | | 86% | , D | | Other | | |
| | | | | Un | known | | | | | | | Unknown | | |
| | | Fiscal Y | CH /ear 14-15 R | | NOT PF | RODUC | ED DU | E TO S | | | ZES. -16 Race Dis | stribution | | |
| | (| 0% ^ 8% ^ . | ^ 7% | Inc | askan Native or A lian ian or Pacific Isla | | | | ^ 7% | ^ 0% ^ 7% | | Alaskan Nativ Indian Asian or Paci | ve or American fic Islander | |
| | | | | Bla | ick | | | | | | | Black | | |
| | | | | | spanic | | | | | | | Hispanic | | |
| ⊦ү 13-14 с | laims are estima | ted to be 95% c | omplete as of Ja | | | | | | | | | White | | |
| | | 80% | | | her known | | | | 81% | | | Other Unknown | | |

Please note: This report uses the Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System to obtain race/ethnicity data. CDSS uses Child Welfare Services/Case Management System to obtain race/ethnicity data. For more information, please refer to the Measures Catalog. ^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year


Mariposa County as of August, 2017

| Fiscal Year | Adults 21-44 Count | Adults 21-44 % | Adults 45-64 Count | Adults 45-64 % | Adults 65+ Count | Adults 65+ % |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|
| FY 12-13 | 96 | 52.2% | 77 | 41.8% | 11 | 6.0% |
| FY 13-14 | 93 | 46.3% | 92 | 45.8% | 16 | 8.0% |
| FY 14-15 | 116 | 45.5% | 125 | 49.0% | 14 | 5.5% |
| FY 15-16 | 121 | 46.9% | 116 | 45.0% | 21 | 8.1% |




Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Mariposa County as of August, 2017

| Fiscal Year | Female Count | Female % | Male Count | Male % |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|--------|
| FY 12-13 | 132 | 71.7% | 52 | 28.3% |
| FY 13-14 | 132 | 65.7% | 69 | 34.3% |
| FY 14-15 | 159 | 62.4% | 96 | 37.6% |
| FY 15-16 | 155 | 60.1% | 103 | 39.9% |



Penetration Rates* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit** Mariposa County as of August, 2017

| | | FY 12-13 | | | FY 13-14 | | | FY 14-15 | | | FY 15-16 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Adults and | Certified | | Adults and | Certified | | Adults and | Certified | | Adults and | Certified | |
| | Older Adults | Eligible | Penetration | Older Adults | Eligible Adults | Penetration | Older Adults | Eligible | Penetration | Older Adults | Eligible Adults | Penetration |
| | with 1 or | Adults and | Rate | with 1 or | and Older | Rate | with 1 or more | Adults and | Rate | with 1 or more | and Older | Rate |
| | more SMHS | Older Adults | | more SMHS | Adults | | SMHS Visits | Older Adults | | SMHS Visits | Adults | |
| All | 184 | 1,800 | 10.2% | 201 | 2,778 | 7.2% | 255 | 3,337 | 7.6% | 258 | 3,519 | 7.3% |
| Adults 21-44 | 96 | 854 | 11.2% | 93 | 1,241 | 7.5% | 116 | 1,524 | 7.6% | 121 | 1,636 | 7.4% |
| Adults 45-64 | 77 | 576 | 13.4% | 92 | 1,171 | 7.9% | 125 | 1,395 | 9.0% | 116 | 1,462 | 7.9% |
| Adults 65+ | 11 | 370 | 3.0% | 16 | 366 | 4.4% | 14 | 418 | 3.3% | 21 | 421 | 5.0% |
| Alaskan Native or American Indian | ^ | 54 | ٨ | ۸ | 86 | ^ | ۸ | 104 | ٨ | ۸ | 107 | ^ |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 11 | 0.0% | 0 | 21 | 0.0% | ۸ | 33 | ۸ | ۸ | 47 | ^ |
| Black | ^ | 14 | ۸ | ۸ | 21 | ^ | ۸ | 19 | ۸ | 0 | 21 | 0.0% |
| Hispanic | 11 | 111 | 9.9% | ۸ | 214 | ^ | 17 | 268 | 6.3% | 19 | 321 | 5.9% |
| White | 153 | 1,496 | 10.2% | 173 | 2,262 | 7.6% | 205 | 2,670 | 7.7% | 209 | 2,768 | 7.6% |
| Other | 0 | 17 | 0.0% | ^ | 24 | ^ | 0 | 39 | 0.0% | ۸ | 31 | ۸ |
| Unknown | 13 | 97 | 13.4% | 12 | 150 | 8.0% | 20 | 204 | 9.8% | 18 | 224 | 8.0% |
| Female | 132 | 1,085 | 12.2% | 132 | 1,493 | 8.8% | 159 | 1,763 | 9.0% | 155 | 1,837 | 8.4% |
| Male | 52 | 715 | 7.3% | 69 | 1,285 | 5.4% | 96 | 1,574 | 6.1% | 103 | 1,682 | 6.1% |




*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. **Adults and Older Adults at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

Page 7 of 14

Penetration Rates* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit**

Mariposa County as of August, 2017




*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. **Adults and Older Adults at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year. ^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Page 8 of 14

Penetration Rates* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits** Mariposa County as of August, 2017

| | | FY 12-13 | | | FY 13-14 | | | FY 14-15 | | | FY 15-16 | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| | Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits | Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults | Penetration Rate | Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits | Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults | Penetration Rate | Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits | Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults | Penetration Rate | Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits | Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults | Penetration Rate |
| All | 127 | 1,800 | 7.1% | 134 | 2,778 | 4.8% | 180 | 3,337 | 5.4% | 169 | 3,519 | 4.8% |
| Adults 21-44 | ^ | 854 | ۸ | ^ | 1,241 | ۸ | 78 | 1,524 | 5.1% | 68 | 1,636 | 4.2% |
| Adults 45-64 | 62 | 576 | 10.8% | 62 | 1,171 | 5.3% | 91 | 1,395 | 6.5% | 86 | 1,462 | 5.9% |
| Adults 65+ | ۸ | 370 | ۸ | ^ | 366 | ۸ | 11 | 418 | 2.6% | 15 | 421 | 3.6% |
| Alaskan Native or American Indian | ^ | 54 | ۸ | ۸ | 86 | ۸ | ^ | 104 | ۸ | ^ | 107 | ٨ |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 11 | 0.0% | 0 | 21 | 0.0% | 0 | 33 | 0.0% | 0 | 47 | 0.0% |
| Black | ۸ | 14 | ۸ | ^ | 21 | ۸ | 0 | 19 | 0.0% | 0 | 21 | 0.0% |
| Hispanic | ۸ | 111 | ٨ | ^ | 214 | ۸ | ^ | 268 | ^ | 12 | 321 | 3.7% |
| White | 104 | 1,496 | 7.0% | 110 | 2,262 | 4.9% | 149 | 2,670 | 5.6% | 135 | 2,768 | 4.9% |
| Other | 0 | 17 | 0.0% | ^ | 24 | ۸ | 0 | 39 | 0.0% | ^ | 31 | ^ |
| Unknown | 11 | 97 | 11.3% | ^ | 150 | ۸ | 15 | 204 | 7.4% | 13 | 224 | 5.8% |
| Female | 92 | 1,085 | 8.5% | 86 | 1,493 | 5.8% | 115 | 1,763 | 6.5% | 109 | 1,837 | 5.9% |
| Male | 35 | 715 | 4.9% | 48 | 1,285 | 3.7% | 65 | 1,574 | 4.1% | 60 | 1,682 | 3.6% |




*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

**Adults and Older Adultsthat have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Penetration Rates* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits**

Mariposa County as of August, 2017




*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. **Adults and Older Adultsthat have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.


^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


Utilization Report*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year*


| Mariposa County as of | August, 2017 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
|-----------------------|--------------|

| Fiscal Yea | ar S | 5DMC Total Approved | Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes) | Mental Health Services (Minutes) | Medication Support Services (Minutes) | Crisis Intervention (Minutes) | Crisis Stabilization (Hours) | Full Day Treatment Intensive (Hours) | Full Day Rehabilitation (Hours) | Hospital Inpatient (Days) | Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days) | Fee for Service Inpatient (Days) | Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days) | Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days) | |
|------------|------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| FY 12-13 | ¢, | \$ 5,379.96 | 658 | 1,168 | 416 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| FY 13-14 | ¢, | \$ 4,532.27 | 480 | 879 | 190 | 166 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| FY 14-15 | ć | \$ 4,608.34 | 414 | 791 | 248 | 289 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| FY 15-16 | ç | \$ 5,606.30 | 657 | 889 | 254 | 399 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| MEAN | \$ | \$ 5,031.72 | 552 | 932 | 277 | 271 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 6 |












Medication Support Services Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year



Full Day Treatment Intensive Hours Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year



Crisis Intervention Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year




Full Day Rehabilitation Hours Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year

0

FY 14-15

(n =)





Hospital Inpatient Days Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year

0

FY 14-15

(n =)

0

FY 13-14

(n =)

0

FY 15-16

(n =)

1

1

1

1

1

0

0

0

0

0

FY 15-16

(n =)

0

FY 12-13

(n =)

*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

0

FY 13-14


(n =)

FY 12-13

(n =)

Utilization Report*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year*

Mariposa County as of August, 2017



*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

0.0

FY 13-14

(n =)

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

0.0

FY 14-15

(n =)

1

FY 12-13

(n = ^)

0.0

FY 15-16

(n =)

 $\ensuremath{^{\mbox{\scriptsize has}}}$ been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

0.0

FY 12-13

(n =)

0

Page 12 of 14

FY 14-15

(n = ^)

FY 15-16

(n = ^)

FY 13-14

(n = ^)

Snapshot Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS Arriving, Exiting, and with Service Continuance by Fiscal Year Mariposa County as of August, 2017

| Category | Description (Please refer to the Measures Catalog for more detailed descriptions on all Performance Outcomes System measures.) |
|-----------------------|--|
| Arrivals | Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months of their first date of service in the Fiscal Year. |
| Service Continuance | Adults receiving continuous services with no breaks in service greater than 90 days for a period of at least 2 years (>= 2 YR) or a period of 1 to 2 years (< 2 YR). |
| Exiting | Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months after their last date of service in the Fiscal Year. |
| Arriving & Exiting | A distinct category in which Adults met both the criteria for Arrivals and Exiting above for the fiscal year. |
| Service Continuance & | |
| Exiting | A distinct category in which Adults had at least 2 years of Service Continuance going into the Fiscal Year and then Exited within the same Fiscal Year. |

| Service Fiscal Year | Arrivals Count | Arrivals % | Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) Count | Continuance | Service Continuance (<2 YR) Count | | Exiting Count | Exiting % | Arriving & Exiting Count | Arriving & | Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) & Exiting Count | (>= 2 YR) and | Total Count | Total % |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|-------------|---|---|------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|--|---------------|----------------|---------|
| FY 12-13 | 24 | 13.0% | 38 | 20.7% | ^ | ٨ | 35 | 19.0% | 55 | 29.9% | ^ | ^ | 184 | 100% |
| FY 13-14 | 48 | 23.9% | 35 | 17.4% | ^ | ۸ | 23 | 11.4% | 63 | 31.3% | ^ | ^ | 201 | 100% |
| FY 14-15 | 50 | 19.6% | 39 | 15.3% | ^ | ۸ | 32 | 12.5% | 96 | 37.6% | ۸ | ۸ | 255 | 100% |
| FY 15-16 | 59 | 22.9% | 41 | 15.9% | ^ | ۸ | 44 | 17.1% | 82 | 31.8% | ^ | ^ | 258 | 100% |
| | | | | | | | | . 1 . 1 | | Ficcal V | oor 15 16 Ar | rivala | | |

| Servi | Year 12-13 Arrivals, ce Continuance, & its Distribution | Fiscal Year 13-14 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution | Fiscal Year 14-15 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution | Fiscal Year 15-16 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution | Arrivals |
|-------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | ۸ | Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) |
| | ^ 13% | | | | Service Continuance (< 2 YR) |
| 30% | 21% | CHARTS NOT PRODU | 38% | CELL SIZES. | Exiting |
| | | 17% | 15% | 16% | Arriving & Exiting |
| | 19% | 11% | 13% | 17% ^ | Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) & Exiting |

Time to Step Down Report: Adults Stepping Down in SMHS Services Post Inpatient Discharge*

Mariposa County as of August, 2017

| Service FY | Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge | Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge | Retween 8 and 30 | Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down Between 8 and 30 Days | Count of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge | Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge | Count of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down* | Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down* | Minimum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down | Maximum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down | Mean Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days) | Median Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days) |
|---|--|---|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| FY 12-13 | ٨ | ^ | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | ^ | ^ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| FY 13-14 | ٨ | ^ | 0 | 0.0% | ^ | ^ | ^ | ^ | 0 | 4 | 2.0 | 2 |
| FY 14-15 | ۸ | ^ | ^ | ۸ | ۸ | ^ | ^ | ^ | 0 | 307 | | |
| FY 14-15 | ۸ | ^ | ^ | ٨ | ۸ | ^ | ^ | ^ | 0 | 106 | 25.4 | 7 |
| Median Time Between Inpatient Discharge and Step Down Mean Time Between Inpatient Discharge and Step Down Service in Days Service in Days | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 15 | | | | 17 | | 70 60 50 | | | | 59.0 | | |
| 10 | | | | | 7 | 40 30 20 | | | | | 2 | 25.4 |
| 5 | 0 | 2 | | | | 10 0 | 0.0 | | 2.0 | | | |
| | FY 12-13 | FY 13-14 | CH/ Percentage o | f Discharges | s by Time Be | tween Inpat | TO SMAL ient Dischar | ge and Step | | FY 14-15 | FY | 14-15 |
| 100% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 80% | | ^ | | | ٨ | | | ^ | | | ۸ | |
| 60% | | ^ | | | ^ ^ | | | ۸ | | | ۸ ۸ | |
| | | | | | | | | ^ | | | | |
| 40% 20% | | ٨ | | | ٨ | | | ۸ | | | ۸ | |
| 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (^ Unique E | Y 12-13 Beneficiaries with Itient Discharges) | | (^ Unique | FY 13-14 Beneficiaries with atient Discharges) | | (11 Uniqu | FY 14-15 le Beneficiaries with npatient Discharges) | | | FY 15-16 ue Beneficiaries with npatient Discharges) | |

* **No Step Down** is defined as no Medi-Cal eligible service was claimed through Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal after a claimed inpatient service was billed with a discharge date. This category may include data currently unavailable to DHCS, such as beneficiaries that were moved to a community-based program or beneficiaries that were incarcerated.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.