## Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date August, 2017

### Background

This report measures the effectiveness of adult specialty mental health services. It models reports developed to measure Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) mental health services as mandated by Welfare and Institutions Code Section 14707.5. The intent of these reports is to improve outcomes at the individual, program, and system levels and inform fiscal decision-making related to the purchase of services.

Since 2012 DHCS has worked with several groups of stakeholders to create a structure for reporting, to develop a Performance Measurement Paradigm, and to design indicators and measures. The Performance Outcomes System will be used to evaluate the domains of access, engagement, service appropriateness to need, service effectiveness, linkages, cost effectiveness and satisfaction. Further information on the Performance Measures System implementation is available on the DHCS website. Documents posted include the relevant legislation, plans submitted to the Legislature, and handouts for meetings with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee back to the first meeting in 2012. To obtain this information go to: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/default.aspx.

#### Overview

Three reports will be provided: statewide aggregate data; population-based county groups; and county-specific data. These aggregate reports provide adult information on the initial indicators that were developed for the Performance Outcomes System. DHCS plans to move to annual reporting of these data for the Performance Outcomes System.

The first series of charts and tables focus on the demographics of adults 21\* and older who are receiving SMHS based on approved claims for Medi-Cal eligible beneficiaries. Specifically, this includes demographics tables of this population by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Utilization of services reports are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service in time increments. Two types of penetration information are provided; both penetration rate tables are also broken out by demographic characteristics. The snapshot table provides a point-in-time view of adults arriving, exiting, and continuing services over a two-year period. The time-to-step-down table provides a view over the past four years of the time to stepdown services following inpatient discharge.

Where possible, the reports provide trend information by displaying information for Fiscal Years (FY) 12/13, 13/14, 14/15, and 15/16.

### Definitions

\*Population - Beneficiaries with approved services adjudicated through the Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claiming system that were:
 Age 21 or older during the approved date of service on the claim.

### Data Sources -

Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal II (SD/MC II) claims with dates of service in FY 12/13 through FY 15/16.
 Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) data from the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) FY 12/13 through 15/16.

## Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date August, 2017

### **Additional Information**

The **Measures Catalog** is the companion document for these reports and provides the methodology and definitions for the measures. Each measure is defined and the numerator and denominator used to develop the metrics are provided with relevant notes and additional references. The Measures Catalog may be found at: <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog\_Sept15Reporting\_Final\_1.11.15.pdf">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog\_Sept15Reporting\_Final\_1.11.15.pdf</a>

### Note on Privacy:

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 42 rules protect most individually identifiable health information in any form or medium; whether electronic, on paper, or oral. DHCS has strict rules in place to protect the identification of individuals in public reports. A "Public Aggregate Reporting – DHCS Business Reports" process has been established to maintain confidentiality of client Personal Information. The Performance Outcomes System complies with Federal and State privacy laws. Thus, the POS must appropriately and accurately de-identify data for public reporting. Due to privacy concerns, some cells in this report may have been suppressed to comply with state and federal rules. When necessary, these data are represented as follows: 1) Data that are missing is indicated as "-" 2) Data that have been suppressed due to privacy concerns is indicated as "^".

### **Report Highlights**

\*County-specific findings may be interpreted alongside the POS statewide and population-based report findings.

\*The **penetration** rates reported here were calculated using a different methodology than that used by the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). The differences in methodology makes comparison between the POS penetration rates and the EQRO penetration rates not appropriate nor useful. The POS methodology for calculating penetration rates was selected because it is easier to compute, more straightforward to interpret, and is in use by other states and counties. For the POS, the penetration rate is calculated by taking the total number of adults who received a number of SMHS (1 or 5 for POS) in a FY and dividing that by the total number of Medi-Cal eligible adults for that FY. This methodology results in lower penetration rates as compared to the EQRO rates, but it does so across the board so that all counties and the state will be similarly impacted.


\*The **snapshot** report provides a point-in-time look at adults' movement through the SMHS system. The report uses five general categories to classify if an adult is entering, exiting, continuing services, or a combination of these categories (e.g., arriving and exiting). As of now, this report only classifies adults and their service usage for FY 12/13 through FY15/16. Eventually the snapshot data will be used along with measures of service effectiveness to identify whether adults are improving as a result of receiving services from the time they first arrived in the system to when they exit the system. This methodology was adapted from the California Mental Health and Substance Use System Needs Assessment (2012). More information on the original methodology can be found here: <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx</a>

\*The psychiatric emergency services/hospital data measured in the **time to step-down services** report relies solely on claims data from Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II. Currently, the number of days is capped at 365 days (to mitigate the impact of extreme statistical anomalies) when calculating the mean and max for time between discharge and step down service. This methodology will be updated in the next reporting cycle. Additionally, county specific and population-based reports are based on the county of the hospital from which the patient is discharged and receives step-down services.

Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

SFY	Unique Count Receiving SMHS*	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change	Unique Count of Medi-Cal Eligibles	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change
FY 12-13	1,309		17,499	
FY 13-14	1,522	16.3%	27,781	58.8%
FY 14-15	1,926	26.5%	35,606	28.2%
FY 15-16	2,030	5.4%	38,848	9.1%
Compound Annual Growth Rate SFY**		15.7%		30.5%

San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017



\*SMHS = Specialty Mental Health Services. See Measures Catalog for more detailed information.

\*\*SFY = State Fiscal Year which is July 1 through June 30.


San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017

FY 13-14       ^       ^       25       1.6%       39       2.6%       136       8.9%       1,011       66.4%       ^       ^       185       12.2         FY 14-15       17       0.9%       36       1.9%       54       2.8%       168       8.7%       1,285       66.7%       164       8.5%       202       10.5	Fiscal Year	Alaskan Native or American Indian Count	Alaskan Native or American Indian %	Asian or Pacific Islander Count	Asian or Pacific Islander %	Black Count	Black %	Hispanic Count	Hispanic %	White Count	White %	Other Count	Other %	Unknown Count	Unknown %	
FY 14-15       17       0.9%       36       1.9%       54       2.8%       168       8.7%       1.285       66.7%       164       8.5%       202       10.5         FY 15-16       20       1.0%       32       1.6%       50       2.5%       192       9.5%       1,351       66.6%       173       8.5%       212       10.4         Fiscal Year 12-13 Race Distribution       Fiscal Year 12-13 Race Distribution         1% 2% 3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian         1% 2% 3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian       Asian or Pacific Islander       12% 9%       Alaskan or Pacific Islander       12% 9%       Alaskan or Pacific Islander       Alaskan Valive or American Indian       Asian or Pacific Islander       12% 9%       Black       N       N       Alaskan Valive or American Indian       Molec       Wite       Wite       Wite       Wite       White       White	FY 12-13	12	0.9%	19	1.5%	37	2.8%	99	7.6%	908	69.4%	85	6.5%	149	11.4%	
FY 15-16       20       1.0%       32       1.6%       50       2.5%       192       9.5%       1,351       66.6%       173       8.5%       212       10.4         Fiscal Year 12-13 Race Distribution         Fiscal Year 12-13 Race Distribution         1%       2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander       ^2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander       ^2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander       ^2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander       ^2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander       Maskan Native or American Indian Indian Asian or Pacific Islander       Maskan Native or American Indian Ind	FY 13-14	۸	۸	25	1.6%		2.6%	136	8.9%	1,011	66.4%	^	^	185	12.2%	
Fiscal Year 12-13 Race Distribution       Fiscal Year 13-14 Race Distribution         1%       2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian       ^ 2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian         1%       2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian       ^ 2%       3%       Alaskan Native or American Indian         1%       8%       Asian or Pacific Islander       12%       9%       Asian or Pacific Islander         7%       Black       ^       Black       Black       Black         Hispanic       Hispanic       Hispanic       White       White         69%       Other       66%       Other         10%       0ther       0ther       0ther         69%       0ther       0ther       0ther	FY 14-15														10.5%	
1% 2% 3% Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander ^2% 3% Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander   11% 8% Black 12% 9% Black   7% 8 Black Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander Black Black   7% 9% Black Mite Black   69% 0ther 0ther Other   1000 1000 66% Unknown	FY 15-16	20	1.0%	32	1.6%	50	2.5%	192	9.5%	1,351	66.6%	173	8.5%	212	10.4%	
		7'	1% 2% 11%	3% 8%	Ala Inc Asi Bla His Wł Otl	iskan Native or A lian an or Pacific Isla ck panic hite her known	nder		<b>Γ ΤΟ S</b>	12%	^ <sub>2%</sub> 3% 9% 66%		Alaskan Nati Indian Asian or Paci Black Hispanic White Other			
		9	11%	3% 9%	Inc Asi Bla	lian an or Pacific Isla ck			1% <sub>2%</sub> 3% 10% 9%				Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander Black Hispanic			
Indian 11% Asian or Pacific Islander 10% Asian or Pacific Islander 9% Black 9% Black Black	*FY 13-14 cl	laims are estima			nuary 1, 2015 <sub>W</sub>	<i>1, 2015</i> <sub>White</sub>							White Other			
Indian Asian or Pacific Islander Indian Asian or Pacific Islander   9% Black   9% Black   Hispanic Hispanic   *FY 13-14 claims are estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015 White   Other Other			67%	2	Un	known					67%		Unknown			

Please note: This report uses the Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System to obtain race/ethnicity data. CDSS uses Child Welfare Services/Case Management System to obtain race/ethnicity data. For more information, please refer to the Measures Catalog. ^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017

Fiscal Year	Adults 21-44 Count	Adults 21-44 %	Adults 45-64 Count	Adults 45-64 %	Adults 65+ Count	Adults 65+ %
FY 12-13	640	48.9%	600	45.8%	69	5.3%
FY 13-14	753	49.5%	682	44.8%	87	5.7%
FY 14-15	1,009	52.4%	808	42.0%	109	5.7%
FY 15-16	1,087	53.5%	829	40.8%	114	5.6%



San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017


Fiscal Year	Female Count	Female %	Male Count	Male %
FY 12-13	776	59.3%	533	40.7%
FY 13-14	864	56.8%	658	43.2%
FY 14-15	1,067	55.4%	859	44.6%
FY 15-16	1,119	55.1%	911	44.9%



### Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\* San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017

		FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15			FY 15-16	
	Adults and	Certified		Adults and	Certified		Adults and	Certified		Adults and	Certified	
	Older Adults	Eligible	Penetration	Older Adults	Eligible Adults	Penetration	Older Adults	Eligible	Penetration	Older Adults	Eligible Adults	Penetration
	with 1 or	Adults and	Rate	with 1 or	and Older	Rate	with 1 or more	Adults and	Rate	with 1 or more	and Older	Rate
	more SMHS	<b>Older Adults</b>		more SMHS	Adults		SMHS Visits	Older Adults		SMHS Visits	Adults	
All	1,309	17,499	7.5%	1,522	27,781	5.5%	1,926	35,606	5.4%	2,030	38,848	5.2%
Adults 21-44	640	8,415	7.6%	753	13,972	5.4%	1,009	19,022	5.3%	1,087	21,198	5.1%
Adults 45-64	600	5,384	11.1%	682	9,994	6.8%	808	12,488	6.5%	829	13,201	6.3%
Adults 65+	69	3,700	1.9%	87	3,815	2.3%	109	4,096	2.7%	114	4,449	2.6%
Alaskan Native or American Indian	12	138	8.7%	^	228	^	17	288	5.9%	20	285	7.0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	19	583	3.3%	25	1,104	2.3%	36	1,521	2.4%	32	1,675	1.9%
Black	37	304	12.2%	39	435	9.0%	54	547	9.9%	50	549	9.1%
Hispanic	99	3,402	2.9%	136	4,898	2.8%	168	6,289	2.7%	192	7,043	2.7%
White	908	10,715	8.5%	1,011	16,943	6.0%	1,285	21,342	6.0%	1,351	22,874	5.9%
Other	85	1,154	7.4%	^	2,432	^	164	3,479	4.7%	173	4,064	4.3%
Unknown	149	1,203	12.4%	185	1,741	10.6%	202	2,140	9.4%	212	2,358	9.0%
Female	776	10,927	7.1%	864	15,848	5.5%	1,067	19,512	5.5%	1,119	21,050	5.3%
Male	533	6,572	8.1%	658	11,933	5.5%	859	16,094	5.3%	911	17,798	5.1%

Penetration Rates by Age




\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Adults and Older Adults at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

Page 7 of 14

## Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\*

San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017




\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Adults and Older Adults at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits\*\* San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017

		FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15			FY 15-16	
	Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults and Older Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults and Older Adults	Penetration Rate
All	886	17,499	5.1%	908	27,781	3.3%	1,186	35,606	3.3%	1,226	38,848	3.2%
Adults 21-44	399	8,415	4.7%	441	13,972	3.2%	585	19,022	3.1%	613	21,198	2.9%
Adults 45-64	441	5,384	8.2%	420	9,994	4.2%	533	12,488	4.3%	544	13,201	4.1%
Adults 65+	46	3,700	1.2%	47	3,815	1.2%	68	4,096	1.7%	69	4,449	1.6%
Alaskan Native or American Indian	^	138	٨	۸	228	۸	12	288	4.2%	13	285	4.6%
Asian or Pacific Islander	12	583	2.1%	16	1,104	1.4%	21	1,521	1.4%	15	1,675	0.9%
Black	27	304	8.9%	31	435	7.1%	30	547	5.5%	26	549	4.7%
Hispanic	76	3,402	2.2%	87	4,898	1.8%	105	6,289	1.7%	118	7,043	1.7%
White	608	10,715	5.7%	595	16,943	3.5%	792	21,342	3.7%	804	22,874	3.5%
Other	^	1,154	۸	^	2,432	۸	96	3,479	2.8%	95	4,064	2.3%
Unknown	107	1,203	8.9%	110	1,741	6.3%	130	2,140	6.1%	155	2,358	6.6%
Female	519	10,927	4.7%	513	15,848	3.2%	664	19,512	3.4%	683	21,050	3.2%
Male	367	6,572	5.6%	395	11,933	3.3%	522	16,094	3.2%	543	17,798	3.1%




\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Adults and Older Adultsthat have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

Page 9 of 14

### Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits\*\*

San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017



\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Adults and Older Adultsthat have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


### Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\*


San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017

Fiscal Year	· si	DMC Total Approved	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Full Day Treatment Intensive (Hours)	Full Day Rehabilitation (Hours)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Fee for Service Inpatient (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	
FY 12-13	\$	4,558.59	253	755	287	208	21	0	0	23	0	4	9	77	5
FY 13-14	\$	4,326.18	233	786	247	237	15	18	0	6	0	18	10	128	5
FY 14-15	\$	4,117.15	202	660	284	256	20	0	0	5	3	6	12	138	4
FY 15-16	\$	3,925.35	236	720	318	206	20	0	0	6	5	9	19	131	3
MEAN	\$	4,231.82	231	730	284	227	19	18	0	10	4	9	13	118	4


Total Approved Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year









Medication Support Services Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year




Full Day Treatment Intensive Hours Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year



Crisis Intervention Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year



Crisis Stabilization Hours Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year



Full Day Rehabilitation Hours Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year


0

FY 14-15

(n = )

FY 15-16

(n = )



FY 13-14

(n = 14)

FY 14-15

(n = ^)

FY 15-16

(n = 19)

FY 12-13

(n = ^)

\*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

0


FY 13-14


(n = )

FY 12-13

(n = )

#### Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\* San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017





\*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


Page 12 of 14


# Snapshot Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS Arriving, Exiting, and with Service Continuance by Fiscal Year San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017


Category	Description (Please refer to the Measures Catalog for more detailed descriptions on all Performance Outcomes System measures.)
Arrivals	Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months of their first date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Service Continuance	Adults receiving continuous services with no breaks in service greater than 90 days for a period of at least 2 years (>= 2 YR) or a period of 1 to 2 years (< 2 YR).
Exiting	Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months after their last date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Arriving & Exiting	A distinct category in which Adults met both the criteria for Arrivals and Exiting above for the fiscal year.
Service Continuance &	
Exiting	A distinct category in which Adults had at least 2 years of Service Continuance going into the Fiscal Year and then Exited within the same Fiscal Year.


Service Fiscal Year	Arrivals Count	Arrivals %	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) Count		Service Continuance (<2 YR) Count		Exiting Count	Exiting %	Arriving & Exiting Count	Arriving & Exiting %		Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) and Exiting %	Total Count	Total %
FY 12-13	240	18.3%	196	15.0%	174	13.3%	232	17.7%	439	33.5%	28	2.1%	1,309	100%
FY 13-14	381	25.0%	193	12.7%	183	12.0%	212	13.9%	531	34.9%	22	1.4%	1,522	100%
FY 14-15	395	20.5%	184	9.6%	257	13.3%	272	14.1%	784	40.7%	34	1.8%	1,926	100%
FY 15-16	366	18.0%	245	12.1%	250	12.3%	310	15.3%	836	41.2%	23	1.1%	2,030	100%












14%




13%

10%







41%

# Time to Step Down Report: Adults Stepping Down in SMHS Services Post Inpatient Discharge\*

San Luis Obispo County as of August, 2017

Service FY	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down Between 8 and 30 Days	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down Between 8 and 30 Days	Count of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Minimum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Maximum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Mean Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days)	Median Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days)
FY 12-13	٨	^	0	0.0%	^	^	^	۸	0	160	43.3	52
FY 13-14	۸	^	۸	۸	^	^	^	۸	0	203	36.8	5
FY 14-15	٨	^	۸	۸	^	^	^	۸	0	151	23.4	1
FY 14-15	۸	۸	۸	۸	^	^	۸	۸	1	343	66.4	18
	Median Time		npatient Disc rvice in Days	-	tep Down		Mean T	ime Betwee	n Inpatient I Service in I	-	d Step Dow	n
60	52		-			70				•	e	56.4
50	52					60						
						50	43.3					
40						40	13.5		36.8			
30						30				23.4		
20					18	20				25.4		
10		5		4		10						
0				1		0						
	FY 12-13	FY 13-14					TO SMAL ient Dischar		ZES. Down Servie	FY 14-15	FY	14-15
				Y	Within 7 Days Wi	thin 8 - 30 Days 3	1 Days + No Step	Down				
100%		٨			٨							
80%		~			٨			٨			^	
60%		^			^			^			۸	
40%		^									۸	
20%		^			^			^			۸	
0%												
	( ^ Unique E	Y 12-13 Beneficiaries with atient Discharges )		( ^ Unique	FY 13-14 Beneficiaries with patient Discharges )		· · ·	FY 14-15 ue Beneficiaries with npatient Discharges )			FY 15-16 ue Beneficiaries with npatient Discharges )	

\* **No Step Down** is defined as no Medi-Cal eligible service was claimed through Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal after a claimed inpatient service was billed with a discharge date. This category may include data currently unavailable to DHCS, such as beneficiaries that were moved to a community-based program or beneficiaries that were incarcerated.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.