

California Mental Health Planning Council

Advocacy Committee – REVISED 9.2.2016
Wednesday, September 14, 2016

1000 “G’ Street
4th Floor
Sacramento, Ca 95814
Conference Call Capability Available

Dial 1-866-742-8921 participant code 5900167
11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

Time	Topic	Presenter or Facilitator	Tab
11:00 am	Welcome and Introductions	Darlene Prettyman, Chairperson	
11:05	Agenda Review	Darlene Prettyman	
11:10	Work Plan: Draft and County Survey	Darlene Prettyman and All	A
11:25	Committee: Charter and Policy Platform drafts	Darlene Prettyman and All	B
11:40	Legislative and Regulatory Updates related to Mental Health may be discussed, including but not limited to: Leg Active List, 2016 Ballot Measures	Darlene Prettyman and All	C
11:55	Public Comment	Darlene Prettyman and All	
12:00 pm	Adjourn	Darlene Prettyman	

The scheduled times on the agenda are estimates and subject to change.

Committee Officers:

Chairperson: Darlene Prettyman
Chair Elect: Maya Petties
Members: Barbara Mitchell, Daphne Shaw, Monica Wilson, Arden Tucker, Steve Leoni, Adam Nelson, Carmen Lee, Amy Eargle
Staff: Dorinda Wiseman

If reasonable accommodations are required, please contact Chamenique Williams at (916) 323-4501 not less than five (5) working days prior to the meeting date.

A TAB SECTION

DATE OF MEETING 09/14/2016

MATERIAL
PREPARED BY: Wiseman

DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 08/29//2016

AGENDA ITEM:	Work Plan
ENCLOSURES:	Committee Work Plan and County Survey drafts

BACKGROUND/DESCRIPTION:

The Committee will review the progress of the work plan.

The Committee has interest in obtaining information on the Adult Residential Care Facility beds. The survey-tool is an option to obtain this information.

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE WORK PLAN

2015-2017

1. Goal Statement:	Relation to PC Mandate:	Description of Work/Action Steps (Timeframes):
<p>Report on logistical, fiscal and/or programmatic efforts being made to transition people out of IMDs. If none, what challenges are experienced in doing so.</p>	<p>Support Council focus on Alternatives to Locked Facilities. Federal Public Law (PL) 102-321- Monitor, review and evaluate annually, the allocation and adequacy of mental health services within the State. Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5772(a) & (c).</p>	<p>~IMD data will be provided by DHCS, possibly April 2016; ~Staff will attempt to obtain data on the impact of board and care closures.</p>
<p>Target Audience: DHCS, Legislators, Stakeholders, Local Mental Health Boards</p> <p>Expected Outcomes: Acquisition of data (qualitative and quantitative) to illustrate the difficulty in placing individuals in an appropriate level of care following care in an IMD.</p> <p>End Product: A report to be distributed to the PC and released to the public.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Intentionally Blank</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Intentionally Blank</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE WORK PLAN

2015-2017

2. Goal Statement:	Relation to PC Mandate:	Description of Work/Action Steps (Timeframes):
<p>Look into closures of Residential Care Facilities in California, qualitative and quantitative data.</p>	<p>Federal Public Law (PL) 102-321- Monitor, review and evaluate annually, the allocation and adequacy of mental health services within the State.</p> <p>Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5772(2) To review, assess, and make recommendations regarding all components of California's mental health system, and to report as necessary to the Legislature, the State Department of Health Care Services, local boards, and local programs, and (5) To advise the Legislature, the State Department of Health Care Services, and county boards on mental health issues and the policies and priorities that this state should be pursuing in developing its mental health system.</p>	<p>~Obtain data on the Levels of Care Statistics on closures, length of stay, flow of transition for individuals utilizing RCFs;</p> <p>~Provide recommendations for statewide changes (e.g. Prohibition of Centralized medication Storage, etc.)</p> <p>~Identify why people are in the various levels of care and the flow through them.</p> <p>~Research the financial viability of the models.</p> <p>~Research any alternative or innovative housing options.</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE WORK PLAN

2015-2017

<p>Target Audience: Legislators, DHCS, Stakeholders and Local Mental Health Boards.</p> <p>Expected Outcomes: To illustrate the severe lack of available placement options for individuals needing out- of-home residential care.</p> <p>End Product: A draft report will be submitted to the PC in October 2016</p>	<p>Intentionally Blank</p>	<p>Intentionally Blank</p>
---	----------------------------	----------------------------

DRAFT

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE WORK PLAN

2015-2017

3. Goal Statement:	Relation to PC Mandate:	Description of Work/Action Steps (Timeframes):
<p>Follow-up Report on the implementation of AB 109, Criminal Justice Realignment, amongst Los Angeles, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and Stanislaus Counties.</p>	<p>To assess and report on the Effect of Realignment, per Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5772(k). Support Council focus on Alternatives to Locked Facilities. Federal Public Law (PL) 102-321- Monitor, review and evaluate annually, the allocation and adequacy of mental health services within the State.</p>	<p>~Obtain information from the original four counties' progress made, since the 2012 report was released. ~Work collaboratively with DHCS, COMIO, BSCC and other policy/research entities vested in the AB 109 community.</p>
<p>Target Audience: Stakeholders, Legislators, DHCS and Local Mental Health Boards.</p> <p>Expected Outcomes: To illustrate the improvement(s) in collaboration between county systems since the implementation of AB 109.</p> <p>End Product: A report will be released to the Planning Council October 2016.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Intentionally Blank</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Intentionally Blank</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE WORK PLAN

2015-2017

4. Goal Statement:	Relation to PC Mandate:	Description of Work/Action Steps (Timeframes):
<p>Report on Children and Youth (2016-2017) involved in the Juvenile Justice System.</p>	<p>Support Council focus on Children/Youth. Federal Public Law (PL) 102-321- Monitor, review and evaluate annually, the allocation and adequacy of mental health services within the State. Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5772 (b) Focus on Children and Youth with the Juvenile Justice System.</p>	<p>~Obtain data on Children and Youth receiving MH/SUD Treatment within the State (Jan.2017); ~Obtain data on Children and Youth placed Out-of-Home (Jan. 2017); ~Obtain data on Children and Youth placed Out-of-State (Jan. 2017); ~Demographics on the treatment availability (Jan. 2017); ~Data on Outcome Measures (Jan. 2017)</p>
<p>Target Audience: Legislators, CDSS, CDCR, BSCC, Stakeholders and Local Mental Health Boards.</p> <p>Expected Outcomes: To encourage/seek further investigation of the acuity levels of persons with Mental Health illnesses chronically involved with the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>End Product: A report released to the Planning Council and shared with the Public January 2018.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Intentionally Blank</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Intentionally Blank</p>



Adult Residential Care Facility (Board and Care) Questionnaire

The California Mental Health Planning Council (Council) is under federal and state mandate to advocate on behalf of adults with severe mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance and their families. Our majority consumer and family member Council is also statutorily required to advise the Legislature on mental health issues, policies and priorities in California. The Council has long recognized an existing disparity in mental health access, culturally-relevant treatment and the nexus with physical health. The Council advocates for mental health services that will address the issues of access with the attention and intensity they deserve for true recovery and overall wellness to be attained and retained.

The Council is seeking to get a better picture of the access to adult residential care (board and care) beds in your county. The Council would greatly appreciate a response from your county on this matter. The survey consists of six (6) questions.

1. Today, how many adult residential care (board and care) **beds** are available for people with serious psychiatric disabilities, who can pay the Social Security Income (SSI) rate in your county?
Please provide the number: _____
2. Do you pay a PATCH or Supplemental Payment for residential care (board and care) beds? No _____ Yes _____
If Yes, how many beds? Please provide the number: _____
What is the range of the PATCH or Supplemental Payment provided? Please provide the range amounts: _____
3. Based upon the number of residential care (board and care) beds in your county, how many more beds do you need to meet the need?
Please provide the amount: _____
4. Are you placing individuals out-of-county for adult residential care (board and care)?
No _____ Yes _____
If Yes, why?
Please place an "X" next to the response(s) that best describe your County's situation:
 - Not enough residential care beds in the County of any kind. _____
 - Not enough residential care beds that will accept clients with medical needs/incontinence, etc. _____
 - Not enough beds that will accept clients with serious mental health needs. _____

- Not enough beds for individuals over 60 years of age. _____
- Not enough beds for people with criminal justice involvement. _____
- List any other reason(s) not listed above, why individuals are placed out-of-county for residential care. _____

5. Have you lost Residential Care beds? No _____ Yes _____
- a. If yes, how many have you lost within the last two (2) years? _____
- b. If you lost beds, why?
- i. Residential care homes closing due to licensing issues. _____
 - ii. Aging out of providers. _____
 - iii. Poor property conditions. _____
 - iv. Inability to maintain the property taxes. _____
 - v. Not financially viable. _____
 - vi. Other: _____

6. Please provide any anecdotal perspective on the residential care (board and care) bed access in your county.

B **TAB SECTION**

DATE OF MEETING 09/14/2016

**MATERIAL
PREPARED BY:** Wiseman

**DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 08/29/2016**

AGENDA ITEM:	Committee Charter and Policy Platform
ENCLOSURES:	Committee Charter draft and 2016 Policy Platform

BACKGROUND/DESCRIPTION:

The Committee will review the draft charter.

The Committee will begin drafting the Policy Platform for 2017.

**CMHPC
ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
CHARTER 2017 or CHARTER 2016-2018**

Purpose: The purpose of the Advocacy Committee is to address public issues affecting the effectiveness of mental health programs and quality of life for persons living with mental illness. This includes increasing public mental health awareness through press and media, partnering with local consumer advocacy agencies for access and improved quality of care, and responding to proposed legislation, rule-making, and budget bills based on the CMHPC Policy Platform.

Mandate: WIC 5772. The California Mental Health Planning Council shall have the powers and authority necessary to carry out the duties imposed upon it by this chapter, including, but not limited to, the following:

- (a) To advocate for effective, quality mental health programs.
- (e) To advise the Legislature, the State Department of Health Care Services, and county boards on mental health issues and the policies and priorities that this state should be pursuing in developing its mental health system.
- (j) To advise the Director of Health Care Services on the development of the state mental health plan and the system of priorities contained in that plan.
- (k) To assess periodically the effect of realignment of mental health services and any other important changes in the state's mental health system, and to report its findings to the Legislature, the State Department of Health Care Services, local programs, and local boards, as appropriate.
- (l) To suggest rules, regulations, and standards for the administration of this division.

Guiding Principles: All advocacy efforts and proposed legislation shall be reviewed to ensure that the following best practices and principles are included.

- Cultural Competence**
- Full Accessibility across the life span**
- Wellness & Recovery**
- Community Collaboration**
- Consumer & Family member driven or influenced**
- Integrated Services**

Commented [WU1]: "Dorinda - under the guiding principles of the Charter - shouldn't we say something about housing? That is a subject of discussion at almost every meeting. I think it should be a principle that our people have Adequate, Affordable Housing and that should be something our committee is working for." Darlene Prettyman 06/29/2016

OBJECTIVES:

1. Review and respond to pending legislation, proposed code language, regulatory, and judicial actions that potentially diminish or adversely affect Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) programs and/or compromises the state mental health plan.
2. Inform a mental health system that incorporates public and private resources to offer community-based services that embrace recovery and wellness, are strength-based, culturally competent, and cost-effective.
3. Develop talking points to use for education and commentary on mental health issues in the media.
4. Respond to and partner with Consumer agencies and family member organizations to support their activities when appropriate.

**CMHPC
ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
CHARTER 2017 or CHARTER 2016-2018**

Roles and Responsibilities:

Regular attendance of Committee members is expected in order for the Committee to function effectively. If a Committee has difficulty achieving a quorum due to the continued absence of a Committee member, the Committee chairperson will discuss with the member the reasons for his or her absence. If the problem persists, the Committee chair can request that the Executive Committee remove the member from the Committee.

Members are expected to serve as advocates for the Committee’s charge, and as such, could include, but are not limited to:

- Attend community meetings
- Speak - when authorized - at relevant conferences and summits when requested by the Committee or the Planning Council
- Participate in the development of products such as white papers, opinion papers, reports and other documents
- Distribute the Committee’s white papers, reports and opinion papers to their represented communities and organizations
- Assist in identifying speakers for presentations

Materials will be distributed as far in advance as possible in order to allow time for review before the meetings. Members are expected to come prepared in order to ensure effective meeting outcomes.

Membership:

Name
Darlene Prettyman, Chairperson
Maya Petties, Chairperson-Elect
Adam Nelson
Amy Eargle
Arden Tucker
Barbara Mitchell
Carmen Lee
Daphne Shaw
Monica Wilson
Steve Leoni
Staff: Dorinda Wiseman 916.650.6870 Dorinda.Wiseman@cmhpc.ca.gov

**CMHPC
ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
CHARTER 2017 or CHARTER 2016-2018**

General Principles of Collaboration:

The following general operating principles are proposed to guide the Committee's deliberations:

- The Committee's mission will be best achieved by relationships among the members characterized by mutual trust, responsiveness, flexibility, and open communication.
- It is the responsibility of all members to work toward the Committee's common goals.
- To that end, members will:
 - Commit to expending the time, energy and organizational resources necessary to carry out the Committee's mission
 - Be prepared to listen intently to the concerns of others and identify the interests represented
 - Ask questions and seek clarification to ensure they fully understand other's interests, concerns and comments
 - Regard disagreements as problems to be solved rather than battles to be won
 - Be prepared to "think outside the box" and develop creative solutions to address the many interests that will be raised throughout the Committee's deliberations

Commented [WD(2)]: Is this the mission of the Council or the Committee? Please advise if this is the Committee's mission and the whereabouts of the language.

Commented [WD(3)]: See comment above.

Decision Making:

The Committee will work to find common ground on issues and strive to seek consensus on all key issues. Every effort will be made to reach consensus, and opposing views will be explained. In situations where there are strongly divergent views, members may choose to present multiple recommendations on the same topic. If the Committee is unable to reach consensus on key issues, decisions will be made by majority vote. Minority views will be included in the meeting highlights.

Meeting Protocols:

The Committee's decisions and activities will be captured in a highlights document, briefly summarizing the discussion and outlining key outcomes during the meeting. Viewpoints will be recorded, but not be attributed to a specific member. The meeting highlights will be distributed to the Committee within one month following the meeting. Members will review and approve the previous meeting's highlights at the beginning of the following meeting.

Media Inquiries:

In the event the Committee is contacted by the press, the Chairperson will refer the request to the CMHPC's Executive Officer.

CALIFORNIA MENTAL HEALTH PLANNING COUNCIL

POLICY PLATFORM

January 2016 - Revised

The California Mental Health Planning Council has federal and state mandates/duties to review State Plans, advocate for individuals with serious mental illness, children with severe emotional disturbance and other individuals with mental illnesses or emotional problems and to monitor the mental health services within the State.

The statements below are the Council's guiding principles.

1. Support proposals that embody the principles of the *Mental Health Master Plan*.
2. Support policies that reduce and eliminate stigma and discrimination.
3. Support proposals that address the human resources problem in the public mental health system with specific emphasis on increasing cultural diversity and promoting the employment of consumers and family members.
4. Support proposals that augment mental health funding, consistent with the principles of least restrictive care and adequate access, and oppose any cuts.
5. Support legislation that safeguards mental health insurance parity and ensures quality mental health services in health care reform
6. Support expanding affordable housing and affordable supportive housing.
7. Actively advocate for the development of housing subsidies and resources so that housing is affordable to people living on SSI.
8. Support expanding employment options for people with psychiatric disabilities, particularly processes that lead to certification and more professional status and establish stable career paths.
9. Support proposals to lower costs by eliminating duplicative, unnecessary, or ineffective regulatory or licensing mechanisms of programs or facilities.
10. Support initiatives that reduce the use of seclusion and restraint.
11. Support adequate funding for evaluation of mental health services.
12. Support initiatives that maintain or improve access to mental health services, particularly to unserved, underserved populations, and maintain or improve quality of services.
13. Oppose bills related to "NIMBYism" and restrictions on housing and siting facilities for providing mental health services.
14. Support initiatives that provide comprehensive health care and improved quality of life for people living with mental illness, and oppose any elimination of health benefits for low income beneficiaries, and advocate for reinstatement of benefits that have been eliminated.
15. Oppose legislation that adversely affects the principles and practices of the Mental Health Services Act.
16. Support policy that enhances the quality of the stakeholder process, improves the participation of consumers and family members, and fully represents the racial/cultural demography of the targeted population.

17. Support policies that require the coordination of data and evaluation processes at all levels of mental health services.
18. Support policies that promote appropriate services to be delivered in the least restrictive setting possible.
19. Support policies or legislation that promote the mission, training and resources for local behavioral health boards and commissions.
20. Support policies/initiatives that promote the integration of mental health, substance use disorders and physical health care services.

The policies below are issues of interest to the Council.

1. Support proposals that advocate for blended funding for programs serving clients with co-occurring disorders that include mental illness.
2. Support proposals that advocate for providing more services in the criminal and juvenile justice systems for persons with serious mental illnesses and/or children, adolescents, and transition-aged youth with serious emotional disturbances, including clients with co-occurring disorders.
3. Support proposals that specify or ensure that the mental health services provided to AB109 populations are paid for with AB 109 funding.
4. Support the modification or expansion of curricula for non-mental health professionals to acquire competency in understanding basic mental health issues and perspectives of direct consumers and family members.
5. Promote the definition of outreach to mean “patient, persistent, and non-threatening contact” when used in context of engaging hard to reach populations.
6. Support policies, legislation or statewide initiatives that ensure the integrity of processes at the local behavioral health boards and commissions.
7. Support the modification or expansion of curricula for Mental Health professionals to fully encompass the concepts of recovery, resiliency, cultural competence, cultural humility, and perspectives of consumers, family members and members of cultural communities.

C TAB SECTION

DATE OF MEETING 09/14/2016

MATERIAL
PREPARED BY: Wiseman

DATE MATERIAL
PREPARED 09/02/2016

AGENDA ITEM:	Legislative and Regulatory Updates
ENCLOSURES:	Legislation Active List and 2016 Ballot Measures

BACKGROUND/DESCRIPTION:

Review and/or discussion of legislative or regulatory issues/items.

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE - ACTIVE LEGISLATIVE BILLS

Each bill has a hyperlink to [legislation information](#). This tool will hopefully help with discussions to formulate the Council's position.

Bill	Support	Support w/Amendments	Oppose	Oppose unless Amended	Neutral	Action Taken	Comment(s)
AB 741 (Williams)						Watch	Mental Health: Community Care Facilities Enrolled 8.31.16
AB 847 (Mullin)	X					Support letter sent March 2016	Mental Health: Community-based services 4/11/16 Chaptered
AB 1300 (Ridley-Thomas)							Mental Health: Involuntary Commitment Last amended 6.21.16
AB 1644 (Bonta) ?							School-based early mental health intervention and prevention services Last amended 8.1.16, in Senate Appropriations
AB 1764 (Waldron)							5/27/16-Dead
AB 1884 (Harper)	X					Support letter sent March 2016	Mental Health Awareness License plates; removal from Suspense file; 5/27/16-Dead
AB 1962 (Dodd)							Criminal proceedings: mental competence Enrolled 8.25.16
AB 1967 (Gaines)			X			Opposition letter sent 4/29/16	Local planning: prohibition: mental health facility NIMBYISM; 5/6/16 A-DEAD
AB 2005 (Ridley-Thomas)						Watch	Juveniles: out-of-state placement Enrolled 8.25.16
AB 2017 (McCarty)			X			Verbal opposition provided at hearing	College Mental Health Services Program

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE - ACTIVE LEGISLATIVE BILLS

Each bill has a hyperlink to [legislation information](#). This tool will hopefully help with discussions to formulate the Council's position.

Bill	Support	Support w/Amendments	Oppose	Oppose unless Amended	Neutral	Action Taken	Comment(s)
						on 6/22/16; Opposition letters sent 6/24/16	Enrolled 8.31.16 (without MHSA Funds in statute language)
AB 2262 (Levine)							5/27/16 A-Dead
AB 2390 (Brown)							Juveniles: honorable discharge: release from penalties 6/14/16 in cmte; Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
AB 2442 (Holden)							Density bonuses Enrolled 8.30.16
AB 2604 (Thurmond)							4/22/16 A-Dead
AB 2695 (Oberholte)							5/27/16 A-Dead
AB 2743 (Eggman)						Watch	Psychiatric bed registry Suspense File; 5/27/16 A-Dead
SB 614 (Leno)	X						Originally Peer Certification; resurrected as a gut-and-amend; Criminal procedure: legal assistance: ability to pay Last amended 8.18.16
SB 876 (Liu)			X			4/22/16: S-Dead	4/22/16 S-Dead
SB 1004 (Hill)							Young adults: deferred entry of judgment pilot program Enrolled 8.29.16
SB 1273 (Moorlach)						Watch	Crisis stabilization units: funding

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE - ACTIVE LEGISLATIVE BILLS

Each bill has a hyperlink to [legislation information](#). This tool will hopefully help with discussions to formulate the Council's position.

Bill	Support	Support w/Amend ments	Oppose	Oppose unless Amended	Neutral	Action Taken	Comment(s)
							Last amended 6.30.16

Notes:

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
			The information contained in this table was obtained from the CA Secretary of State website link.
Prop. 51	06/30/2016	School Bonds. Funding for K-12 School and Community College Facilities. Initiative Statutory Amendment.	<p>Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds: \$3 billion for new construction and \$3 billion for modernization of K-12 public school facilities; \$1 billion for charter schools and vocational education facilities; and \$2 billion for California Community Colleges facilities. Bars amendment to existing authority to levy developer fees to fund school facilities, until new construction bond proceeds are spent or December 31, 2020, whichever is earlier. Bars amendment to existing State Allocation Board process for allocating school construction funding, as to these bonds. Appropriates money from the General Fund to pay off bonds. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: State General Fund costs of \$17.6 billion to pay off principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on bonds over a period of 35 years. Annual payments would average \$500 million. Annual payments would be relatively low in the initial and final few years and somewhat higher in the intervening years. Click link to full text - Prop. 51.</p>
Prop. 52	08.01.2014	State Fees on Hospitals. Federal Medi-Cal Matching Funds. Initiative Statutory and Constitutional Amendment.	<p>Increases required vote to two-thirds for the Legislature to amend a certain existing law that imposes fees on hospitals (for purpose of obtaining federal Medi-Cal matching funds) and that directs those fees and federal matching funds to hospital-provided Medi-Cal health care services, to uncompensated care provided by hospitals to uninsured patients, and to children's health coverage. Eliminates law's ending date. Declares that law's fee proceeds shall not be considered revenues for purposes of applying state spending limit or determining</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
			<p>required education funding. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: State savings from increased revenues that offset state costs for children's health coverage of around \$500 million beginning in 2016-17 (half-year savings) to over \$1 billion annually by 2019-20, likely growing between 5 percent to 10 percent annually thereafter. Increased revenues to support state and local public hospitals of around \$90 million beginning in 2016-17 (half-year) to \$250 million annually by 2019-20, likely growing between 5 percent to 10 percent annually thereafter. Click to link for full text - Prop. 52.</p>
Prop. 53	06/30/2016	Revenue Bonds. Statewide Voter Approval. Initiative Constitutional Amendment.	<p>Requires statewide voter approval before any revenue bonds can be issued or sold by the state for projects that are financed, owned, operated, or managed by the state or any joint agency created by or including the state, if the bond amount exceeds \$2 billion. Prohibits dividing projects into multiple separate projects to avoid statewide voter approval requirement. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: The fiscal effect on state and local governments is unknown and would vary by project. It would depend on (1) the outcome of projects brought before voters, (2) the extent to which the state relied on alternative approaches to the projects or alternative financing methods for affected projects, and (3) whether those methods have higher or lower costs than revenue bonds. Click link to full text - Prop. 53.</p>
Prop. 54	06/30/2016	Legislature. Legislation and Proceedings. Initiative Constitutional	<p>Prohibits Legislature from passing any bill unless it has been in print and published on the Internet for at least 72 hours before the vote, except in cases of public emergency. Requires the Legislature to make audiovisual recordings of all its proceedings, except closed session</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
		Amendment and Statute.	proceedings, and post them on the Internet. Authorizes any person to record legislative proceedings by audio or video means, except closed session proceedings. Allows recordings of legislative proceedings to be used for any legitimate purpose, without payment of any fee to the State. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Increased costs to state government of potentially \$1 million to \$2 million initially and about \$1 million annually for making additional legislative proceedings available in audiovisual form on the Internet. Click link for full text - Prop. 54.
Prop. 55	06/30/2016	Tax Extension to Fund Education and Healthcare. Initiative Constitutional Amendment.	Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000 (for single filers; over \$500,000 for joint filers; over \$340,000 for heads of household). Allocates these tax revenues 89% to K-12 schools and 11% to California Community Colleges. Allocates up to \$2 billion per year in certain years for healthcare programs. Bars use of education revenues for administrative costs, but provides local school governing boards discretion to decide, in open meetings and subject to annual audit, how revenues are to be spent. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Increased state revenues annually from 2019 through 2030—likely in the \$5 billion to \$11 billion range initially—with amounts varying based on stock market and economic trends. Increased revenues would be allocated under constitutional formulas to schools and community colleges, budget reserves and debt payments, and health programs, with remaining funds available for these or other state purposes. Click link for full text - Prop 55.

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
Prop. 56	06/30/2016	Cigarette Tax to Fund Healthcare, Tobacco Use Prevention, Research, and Law Enforcement. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.	Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Allocates revenues primarily to increase funding for existing healthcare programs; also for tobacco use prevention/control programs, tobacco-related disease research and law enforcement, University of California physician training, dental disease prevention programs, and administration. Excludes these revenues from Proposition 98 funding requirements. If tax causes decreased tobacco consumption, transfers tax revenues to offset decreases to existing tobacco-funded programs and sales tax revenues. Requires biennial audit. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Net increase in excise tax revenues in the range of \$1.1 billion to \$1.6 billion annually by 2017-18, with revenues decreasing slightly in subsequent years. The majority of funds would be used for payments to health care providers. The remaining funds would be used for a variety of specified purposes, including tobacco-related prevention and cessation programs, law enforcement programs, medical research on tobacco-related diseases, and early childhood development programs. Click link for full text - Prop 56.
Prop. 57	06/30/2016	Criminal Sentences. Juvenile Criminal Proceedings and Sentencing. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.	Allows parole consideration for persons convicted of nonviolent felonies upon completion of full prison term for primary offense, as defined. Authorizes Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to award sentence credits for rehabilitation, good behavior, or educational achievements. Requires Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to adopt regulations to implement new parole and sentence credit provisions and certify they enhance public safety. Provides juvenile court judges shall make determination, upon prosecutor motion, whether juveniles age 14 and older should be prosecuted and sentenced as adults. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
NOVEMBER 8, 2016
STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
			<p>Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Net state savings that could range from the tens of millions of dollars to the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually primarily due to a reduction in the prison population from additional paroles granted and credits earned. Net county costs that could range from the millions to tens of millions of dollars annually, declining to a few million dollars after initial implementation of the measure. Click link for full text - Prop. 57.</p>
Prop. 58		English Language Education	SB 1174 (Chapter 753, Statutes of 2014). Click link for full text - Prop. 58
Prop. 59		Campaign Finance: Voter Instruction	SB 254 (Chapter 20, Statutes of 2016). Click link for full text - Prop. 59.
Prop. 60	06/30/2016	Adult Films. Condoms. Health Requirements. Initiative Statute.	<p>Requires performers in adult films to use condoms during filming of sexual intercourse. Requires producers of adult films to pay for performer vaccinations, testing, and medical examinations related to sexually transmitted infections. Requires producers to obtain state health license at beginning of filming and to post condom requirement at film sites. Imposes liability on producers for violations, on certain distributors, on performers if they have a financial interest in the violating film, and on talent agents who knowingly refer performers to noncomplying producers. Permits state, performers, or any state resident to enforce violations. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Potentially reduced state and local tax revenue of millions or tens of millions of dollars per year. Likely state costs of a few million dollars annually to administer the law. Possible ongoing net costs or savings for state and local health and human services programs. Click link for full text - Prop. 60.</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
Prop. 61	06/30/2016	State Prescription Drug Purchases. Pricing Standards. Initiative Statute.	Prohibits state agencies from paying more for a prescription drug than the lowest price paid for the same drug by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs. Applies to any program where the state is the ultimate payer for a drug, even if the state does not purchase the drug directly. Exempts certain purchases of prescription drugs funded through Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: It is the opinion of the Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance that the measure, if adopted, may result in a substantial net change in state or local finances. Click link for full text - Prop. 61.
Prop. 62	6/30/2016	Death Penalty. Initiative Statute.	Repeals death penalty as maximum punishment for persons found guilty of murder and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to persons already sentenced to death. States that persons found guilty of murder and sentenced to life without possibility of parole must work while in prison as prescribed by the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Increases to 60% the portion of wages earned by persons sentenced to life without the possibility of parole that may be applied to any victim restitution fines or orders against them. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Net reduction in state and local government costs of potentially around \$150 million annually within a few years due to the elimination of the death penalty. Click link for full text - Prop. 62.
Prop. 63	06/30/2016	Firearms. Ammunition Sales. Initiative Statute.	Prohibits possession of large-capacity ammunition magazines, and requires their disposal by sale to dealer, destruction, or removal from state. Requires most individuals to pass background check and obtain Department of Justice authorization to purchase ammunition. Requires most ammunition sales be made through licensed ammunition vendors and reported to Department of Justice. Requires lost or stolen firearms and ammunition be reported to law enforcement. Prohibits persons

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
			<p>convicted of stealing a firearm from possessing firearms. Establishes new procedures for enforcing laws prohibiting firearm possession by felons and violent criminals. Requires Department of Justice to provide information about prohibited persons to federal National Instant Criminal Background Check System. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Increased state costs in the tens of millions of dollars annually related to regulating ammunition sales, likely offset by various regulatory fees authorized by the measure. Increase in court and law enforcement costs, not likely to exceed the tens of millions of dollars annually, related to removing firearms from prohibited persons as part of court sentencing proceedings. These costs could be offset to some extent by fees authorized by the measure. Potential increase in state and local correctional costs, not likely to exceed the low millions of dollars annually, related to new and increased penalties. Click here for full text - Prop. 63.</p>
Prop. 64	06/30/2016	Marijuana Legalization. Initiative Statute.	<p>Legalizes marijuana and hemp under state law. Designates state agencies to license and regulate marijuana industry. Imposes state excise tax on retail sales of marijuana equal to 15% of sales price, and state cultivation taxes on marijuana of \$9.25 per ounce of flowers and \$2.75 per ounce of leaves. Exempts medical marijuana from some taxation. Establishes packaging, labeling, advertising, and marketing standards and restrictions for marijuana products. Allows local regulation and taxation of marijuana. Prohibits marketing and advertising marijuana to minors. Authorizes resentencing and destruction of records for prior marijuana convictions. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Net reduced costs ranging from tens of millions of dollars to potentially exceeding \$100 million annually</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
			<p>to state and local governments related to enforcing certain marijuana-related offenses, handling the related criminal cases in the court system, and incarcerating and supervising certain marijuana offenders. Net additional state and local tax revenues potentially ranging from the high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually related to the production and sale of marijuana. Most of these funds would be required to be spent for specific purposes such as substance use disorder education, prevention, and treatment. Click for link to full text - Prop. 64.</p>
Prop. 65	06/30/2016	Carry-Out Bags. Charges. Initiative Statute.	<p>Redirects money collected by grocery and certain other retail stores through sale of carry-out bags, whenever any state law bans free distribution of a particular kind of carry-out bag and mandates the sale of any other kind of carry-out bag. Requires stores to deposit bag sale proceeds into a special fund administered by the Wildlife Conservation Board to support specified categories of environmental projects. Provides for Board to develop regulations implementing law. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: If voters uphold the state's current carryout bag law, redirected revenues from retailers to the state, potentially in the several tens of millions of dollars annually. Revenues would be used for grants for certain environmental and natural resources purposes. If voters reject the state's current carryout bag law, likely minor fiscal effects. Click for link to full text - Prop. 65.</p>
Prop. 66	06/30/2016	Death Penalty. Procedures. Initiative Statute.	<p>Changes procedures governing state court appeals and petitions challenging death penalty convictions and sentences. Designates superior court for initial petitions and limits successive petitions. Imposes time limits on state court death penalty review. Requires appointed attorneys who take noncapital appeals to accept death</p>

ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Number	Qualified Date	Title	Description
			penalty appeals. Exempts prison officials from existing regulation process for developing execution methods. Authorizes death row inmate transfers among California state prisons. States death row inmates must work and pay victim restitution. States other voter approved measures related to death penalty are null and void if this measure receives more affirmative votes. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Increased state costs that could be in the tens of millions of dollars annually for several years related to direct appeals and habeas corpus proceedings, with the fiscal impact on such costs being unknown in the longer run. Potential state correctional savings that could be in the tens of millions of dollars annually. Click for link to text - Prop. 66.
Prop. 67	02/24/2015	Referendum to Overturn Ban on Single-Use Plastic Bags.	If signed by the required number of registered voters and timely filed with the Secretary of State, this petition will place on the statewide ballot a challenge to a state law previously approved by the Legislature and the Governor. The challenged law must then be approved by a majority of voters at the next statewide election to go into effect. The law prohibits grocery and certain other retail stores from providing single-use bags but permits sale of recycled paper bags and reusable bags. Click for link to full text - Prop. 67.