## Performance Outcomes System Reports Report run on August 3, 2016

#### Background

Three reports will be created during each new reporting period. The reports that will be produced are as follows: statewide aggregate data; population-based county groups; and county-specific data. These reports help meet the intent of the Legislature, as stated in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 14707.5, to develop a performance outcomes system for Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) mental health services that will improve outcomes at the individual, program, and system levels and inform fiscal decision-making related to the purchase of services. This reporting effort is part of the implementation of a performance outcomes system for Medi- Cal Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) for children and youth.

Since 2012 DHCS has worked with several groups of stakeholders to create a structure for reporting, to develop the Performance Measurement Paradigm, and to develop indicators and measures. The Performance Outcomes System will be used to evaluate the domains of access, engagement, service appropriateness to need, service effectiveness, linkages, cost effectiveness and satisfaction. Further information on the Performance Measures System implementation is available on the DHCS website. Documents posted include the relevant legislation, plans submitted to the Legislature, and handouts for meetings with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee back to the first meeting in 2012. To obtain this information go to: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/default.aspx

#### Purpose and Overview

These county-specific reports provide updated information on the initial indicators that were developed for the Performance Outcomes System and reported on at the statewide aggregate level in February 2015; they help establish a foundation for on-going reporting. DHCS plans to move to annual reporting of this data for the Performance Outcomes System.

The first series of charts and tables focus on the demographics of children and youth under 21 who are receiving SMHS based on approved claims for Medi-Cal eligible beneficiaries. Specifically, this includes demographics tables of this population by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Two types of penetration information are provided. Both penetration rates tables are also broken out by demographic characteristics. Utilization of services data are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service, in time increments. The snapshot table provides a pointin-time view of children/youth arriving, exiting, and continuing services over a two-year period. The time to step down table provides a view over the past four years of the time to stepdown services following inpatient discharge.

Where possible, the reports provide trend information by displaying information for Fiscal Years (FY) 11/12, 12/13, 13/14, and 14/15.

Utilization of services reports are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service in time increments. The snapshot report provides a point-in-time view of children arriving, exiting and continuing services over a two-year period. The final report provides a view over the past four years of the time to step-down services (i.e., time to next contact after an inpatient discharge). *Note:* The time to step-down report has a change in methodology from the first report produced in February 2015. In the initial report only outpatient services provided at least one day after the inpatient discharge were included in the calculations. On subsequent reports, any outpatient service that occurs on or after the inpatient discharge is included in the analysis.

#### Definitions

Population - Beneficiaries with approved services adjudicated through the Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claiming system that were:

• Age 20 or younger during the approved date of service on the claim; or

• Age 21 during the approved date of the service on the claim and a birth date on or after January 1st of the Fiscal Year.

#### Data Sources -

• Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal II (SD/MC II) claims with dates of service in FY 11/12 through FY 14/15.

• Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) data from the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) FY 11/12 through FY14/15.

# Performance Outcomes System Reports

Report run on August 3, 2016

#### Additional Information

The **Measures Catalog** is the companion document for these reports and provides the methodology and definitions for the measures. Each measure is defined and the numerator and denominator used to develop the metrics are provided with relevant notes and additional references. The Measures Catalog may be found at: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx

#### Note on Privacy:

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 42 rules protect most individually identifiable health information in any form or medium, medium, whether electronic, on paper, or oral. DHCS has strict rules in place to protect the identification of individuals in public reports. A "Public Aggregate Reporting – DHCS Business Reports" process has been established to maintain confidentiality of client Personal Information. The Performance Outcomes System complies with Federal and State privacy laws. Thus, the POS must appropriately and accurately de-identify data for public reporting. Due to privacy concerns, some cells in this report may have been suppressed to comply with state and federal rules. When necessary, this data is represented as follows: 1) Data that is missing is indicated as "-" 2) Data that has been suppressed due to privacy concerns is indicated as "^".

#### **Report Interpretation**

\*County-specific findings may be interpreted alongside the POS statewide and population-based report findings.

\*The *penetration rates* reported here were calculated using a different methodology than that used by the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). The differences in methodology make comparison between the POS penetration rates and the EQRO penetration rates not appropriate or useful. The POS methodology for calculating penetrationrates was selected because it is easier to compute, more straightforward to interpret, and is in use by other states and counties. For the POS, the penetration rate is calculated by taking the total number of youth who received X number of SMHS (1 or 5 for POS) in a FY and dividing that by the total number of Medi-Cal eligible youth for that FY. This methodology results in lower penetration rates as compared to the EQRO rates, but it does so across the board so that all counties and the state will be similarly impacted.

\*The *snapshot* report provides a point-in-time look at children and youth's movement through the SMHS system. The report uses five general categories to classify if a youth is entering, exiting, continuing services, or a combination of these categories (e.g., arriving and exiting). As of now, this report only classifies youth and their service usage for FY 12/13 and FY13/14. Eventually the snapshot data will be used along with measures of service effectiveness to identify whether youth are improving as a result of receiving services from the time they first arrived in the system to when they exit the system. This methodology was adapted from the California Mental Health and Substance Use System Needs Assessment (2012). More information on the original methodology can be found here: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx


\*The psychiatric emergency services/hospital data reported on in the *time to step-down services* report relies solely on claims data from Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II. In the future this report will incorporate other outpatient and inpatient Medi-Cal SMHS' billed through the Managed Care healthcare delivery systems. Currently, the number of days is capped at 365 days (to mitigate the impact of extreme statistical anomalies) when calculating the mean and max for time between discharge and step down service. This methodology will be updated in the next reporting cycle. "Additionally, county specific and population-based reports are based off of the county of the hospital the patient is discharged from and whom has been attributed the time to next service in days used in the calculations for this indicator."

Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

# Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year

SFY	Unique Count Receiving SMHS*	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change	Unique Count of Medi-Cal Eligibles	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change
FY 11-12	9,117		300,548	
FY 12-13	10,414	14.2%	364,092	21.1%
FY 13-14	11,741	12.7%	409,803	12.6%
FY 14-15	12,415	5.7%	439,747	7.3%
Compound Annual Growth Rate SFY**		10.8%		13.5%

Riverside County as of August 3, 2016




\*SMHS = Specialty Mental Health Services. See Measures Catalog for more detailed information.

\*\*SFY = State Fiscal Year which is July 1 through June 30.


#### Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Riverside County as of August 3, 2016

Fiscal Year	Alaskan Native or American Indian Count	Alaskan Native or American Indian %	Asian or Pacific Islander Count	Asian or Pacific Islander %	Black Count	Black %	Hispanic Count	Hispanic %	White Count	White %	Other Count	Other %	Unknown Count	Unknown %
FY 11-12	57	0.6%	82	0.9%	1,160	12.7%	4,155	45.6%	2,883	31.6%	23	0.3%	757	8.3%
FY 12-13	49	0.5%	77	0.7%	1,217	11.7%	5,053	48.5%	3,016	29.0%	57	0.5%	945	9.1%
FY 13-14	43	0.4%	116	1.0%	1,279	10.9%	5,897	50.2%	3,201	27.3%	66	0.6%	1,139	9.7%
FY 14-15	49	0.4%	134	1.1%	1,398	11.3%	6,273	50.5%	3,365	27.1%	36	0.3%	1,160	9.3%




## Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Riverside County as of August 3, 2016

Fiscal Year	Children 0-5 Count	Children 0-5 %	Children 6-11 Count	Children 6-11 %	Children 12-17 Count	Children 12-17 %	Youth 18-20 Count	Youth 18-20 %
FY 11-12	746	8.2%	3,013	33.0%	4,277	46.9%	1,081	11.9%
FY 12-13	754	7.2%	3,462	33.2%	4,877	46.8%	1,321	12.7%
FY 13-14	739	6.3%	3,881	33.1%	5,604	47.7%	1,517	12.9%
FY 14-15	935	7.5%	3,959	31.9%	5,702	45.9%	1,819	14.7%




## Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Riverside County as of August 3, 2016

Fiscal Year	Female Count	Female %	Male Count	Male %
FY 11-12	3,823	41.9%	5,294	58.1%
FY 12-13	4,396	42.2%	6,018	57.8%
FY 13-14	5,180	44.1%	6,561	55.9%
FY 14-15	5,658	45.6%	6,757	54.4%




#### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\* Riverside County as of August 3, 2016

		FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15	
	Children and Youth with 1 or more SMHS		Penetration Rate	Children and Youth with 1 or more	Certified Eligible Children and	Penetration Rate	Children and Youth with 1 or more SMHS	Certified Eligible Children and	Penetration Rate	Children and Youth with 1 or more SMHS	Certified Eligible Children and	Penetration Rate
	Visits	Youth	2.00/	SMHS Visits	Youth	2.00/	Visits	Youth	2.00/	Visits	Youth	
All	9,117	300,548	3.0%	10,414	364,092	2.9%	11,741	409,803	2.9%	12,415	439,747	2.8%
Children 0-5	746	115,618	0.6%	754	123,828	0.6%	739	127,725	0.6%	935	130,590	0.7%
Children 6-11	3,013	82,320	3.7%	3,462	108,275	3.2%	3,881	122,313	3.2%	3,959	128,701	3.1%
Children 12-17	4,277	71,001	6.0%	4,877	94,261	5.2%	5,604	108,612	5.2%	5,702	114,950	5.0%
Youth 18-20	1,081	31,609	3.4%	1,321	37,728	3.5%	1,517	51,153	3.0%	1,819	65,506	2.8%
Alaskan Native or American Indian	57	716	8.0%	49	769	6.4%	43	890	4.8%	49	1,016	4.8%
Asian or Pacific Islander	82	6,895	1.2%	77	9,498	0.8%	116	12,295	0.9%	134	13,758	1.0%
Black	1,160	23,904	4.9%	1,217	25,514	4.8%	1,279	27,096	4.7%	1,398	28,867	4.8%
Hispanic	4,155	194,359	2.1%	5,053	233,301	2.2%	5,897	254,393	2.3%	6,273	268,772	2.3%
White	2,883	46,507	6.2%	3,016	54,409	5.5%	3,201	62,857	5.1%	3,365	67,764	5.0%
Other	23	1,390	1.7%	57	2,324	2.5%	66	3,055	2.2%	36	2,719	1.3%
Unknown	757	26,777	2.8%	945	38,277	2.5%	1,139	49,217	2.3%	1,160	56,851	2.0%
Female	3,823	149,328	2.6%	4,396	180,532	2.4%	5,180	203,085	2.6%	5,658	217,498	2.6%
Male	5,294	151,220	3.5%	6,018	183,560	3.3%	6,561	206,718	3.2%	6,757	222,249	3.0%



\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Children and Youth that have received at least one SMHS in the Fiscal Year.


#### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\* Riverside County as of August 3, 2016



\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Children and Youth that have received at least one SMHS in the Fiscal Year.

### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth with Five or More SMHS Visits\*\* Riverside County as of August 3, 2016


		FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15	
	Children and Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Children and Youth	Penetration Rate	Children and Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Children and Youth	Penetration Rate	Children and Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Children and Youth	Penetration Rate	Children and Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Children and Youth	Penetration Rate
All	5,890	300,548	2.0%	6,918	364,092	1.9%	8,000	409,803	2.0%	8,452	439,747	1.9%
Children 0-5	469	115,618	0.4%	476	123,828	0.4%	446	127,725	0.3%	510	130,590	0.4%
Children 6-11	1,921	82,320	2.3%	2,350	108,275	2.2%	2,749	122,313	2.2%	2,747	128,701	2.1%
Children 12-17	2,898	71,001	4.1%	3,302	94,261	3.5%	3,894	108,612	3.6%	4,070	114,950	3.5%
Youth 18-20	602	31,609	1.9%	790	37,728	2.1%	911	51,153	1.8%	1,125	65,506	1.7%
Alaskan Native or American Indian	37	716	5.2%	37	769	4.8%	29	890	3.3%	28	1,016	2.8%
Asian or Pacific Islander	48	6,895	0.7%	50	9,498	0.5%	69	12,295	0.6%	90	13,758	0.7%
Black	742	23,904	3.1%	776	25,514	3.0%	857	27,096	3.2%	923	28,867	3.2%
Hispanic	2,620	194,359	1.3%	3,316	233,301	1.4%	3,992	254,393	1.6%	4,259	268,772	1.6%
White	1,881	46,507	4.0%	2,071	54,409	3.8%	2,210	62,857	3.5%	2,300	67,764	3.4%
Other	12	1,390	0.9%	31	2,324	1.3%	47	3,055	1.5%	25	2,719	0.9%
Unknown	550	26,777	2.1%	637	38,277	1.7%	796	49,217	1.6%	827	56,851	1.5%
Female	2,399	149,328	1.6%	2,894	180,532	1.6%	3,464	203,085	1.7%	3,786	217,498	1.7%
Male	3,491	151,220	2.3%	4,024	183,560	2.2%	4,536	206,718	2.2%	4,666	222,249	2.1%



\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Children and Youth that have received at least five SMHS in the Fiscal Year.

#### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth with Five or More SMHS Visits\*\*

Riverside County as of August 3, 2016





\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Children and Youth that have received at least five SMHS in the Fiscal Year.


# Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Children and Youth Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\*

							-		Riverside County as	of August 3, 2016							
Fiscal Year	OMC Total pproved	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Full Day Treatment Intensive (Hours)	Full Day Rehabilitation (Hours)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Fee for Service Inpatient (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)		Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
FY 11-12	\$ 2,973.47	0	0	561	875	9,268	195	111	10	441	132	4	14	0	8	62	26
FY 12-13	\$ 2,988.83	0	0	616	884	10,512	202	119	12	448	246	4	10	0	9	78	5
FY 13-14	\$ 2,956.09	1,493	737	534	867	10,378	225	122	14	520	0	4	17	0	10	70	5
FY 14-15	\$ 3,286.44	1,665	667	634	866	7,971	252	132	16	722	350	4	41	5	9	226	4
MEAN	\$ 3,051.21	1,579	702	586	873	9,532	218	121	13	533	243	4	21	5	9	109	10


Total Approved Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year









Case Management/Brokerage Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary





Medication Support Services Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary




Mental Health Services Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year








#### Therapeutic Behavioral Services Minutes Per Unique Beneficiary




#### Crisis Stabilization Hours Per Unique Beneficiary By Service Fiscal Year



\*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

#### Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Children and Youth Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\* Riverside County as of August 3, 2016




Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year. ^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy. Page 12 of 14

# Snapshot Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS Arriving, Exiting, and with Service Continuance by Fiscal Year Riverside County as of August 3, 2016

Category	Description (Please refer to the Measures Catalog for more detailed descriptions on all Performance Outcomes System measures.)
Arrivals	Children/Youth that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months of their first date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Service Continuance	Children/Youth receiving continuous services with no breaks in service greater than 90 days for a period of at least 2 years (>= 2 YR) or a period of 1 to 2 years (< 2 YR).
Exiting	Children/Youth that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months after their last date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Arriving & Exiting	A distinct category in which children/youth met both the criteria for Arrivals and Exiting above for the fiscal year.
Service Continuance &	
Exiting	A distinct category in which Children/Youth had at least 2 years of Service Continuance going into the Fiscal Year and then Exited within the same Fiscal Year.

Service Fiscal Year	Arrivals Count	Arrivals %	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) Count	Continuance	Service Continuance (<2 YR) Count		Exiting Count	Exiting %	Arriving & Exiting Count	Arriving & Exiting %		Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) and Exiting %	Total Count	Total %
FY 12-13	2,774	26.6%	648	6.2%	856	8.2%	1,817	17.5%	4,111	39.5%	206	2.0%	10,412	100%
FY 13-14	3,008	25.6%	756	6.4%	1,033	8.8%	2,311	19.7%	4,429	37.7%	210	1.8%	11,747	100%
FY 14-15	2,888	23.3%	930	7.5%	1,193	9.6%	2,435	19.6%	4,705	37.9%	269	2.2%	12,420	100%

Fiscal Year 12-13 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution



# Fiscal Year 13-14 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution

Fiscal Year 14-15 Arrivals, Service

**Continuance, & Exits Distribution** 

## Time to Step Down Report: Children and Youth Stepping Down in SMHS Services Post Inpatient Discharge County as of August 3, 2016

Service FY	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Minimum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Maximum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Mean Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days)	Median Time t Next Contact Po Inpatient Discharge (Days)
Y 11-12	0	0.0%		0.0%	0					-		
Y 12-13	0		0	0.0%	0		0			0		
/ 13-14	0	01070	0		0	0.070	0					
Y 14-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	
10 9			vice in Days		ΛΝ		ΗΔ	RT	Service in I	•		
	ROC FY 10-11		CED					NDI			R D	<b>E</b> 13-14

were moved to a community-based program or beneficiaries that were incarcerated.