



DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY
Director

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency
Department of Health Care Services



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

November 10, 2010

CCS Information Notice: 10-03

TO: CALIFORNIA CHILDREN'S SERVICES (CCS) ADMINISTRATORS AND
CHILDREN'S MEDICAL SERVICES (CMS) BRANCH STAFF

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2010-11 Allocations for California Children's Services (CCS)
County Administration and the CCS Medical Therapy Program (MTP)

Allocation Tables for State and federal funding of CCS County Administration and the CCS MTP for FY 2010-11 are enclosed. The allocations in these tables were developed by the CMS Branch in conjunction with the Budget Allocation Workgroup of the CCS Executive Committee. The methodology used to develop these allocations is provided in the attached discussion documents. While these allocations are final, a reallocation cycle before the end of the current Fiscal Year (FY) will be considered by the Budget Allocation Workgroup when more complete current year expenditure data becomes available.

Please note that the due date for CCS Plans and Budgets will be sixty days from the date of this Information Notice. Also, counties that have not yet submitted CCS FY 2010-11 Diagnostic, Treatment, Vended Therapy, and Dental Funding Certifications in accordance with CMS Information Notice No. 10-03, should do as soon as possible.

Original Signed by Louis R. Rico

Louis R. Rico, Chief
Systems of Care Division

Enclosures

November 10, 2010

FY 2010-11 CCS County Administration Allocations

Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 is the third fiscal year in which State and Federal funds for support of California Children's Services (CCS) County Administration have been capped by the amounts appropriated for these programs in the annual State Budget.

The methodology for the derivation of the individual county allocations was developed by the Children's Medical Services Branch in collaboration with a workgroup of the CCS Executive Committee. Prior to FY 2010-11 the allocation methodology was based on prior year actual reimbursements to the counties. For the FY 2010-11 allocations, a new methodology based on individual county baseline budget projections derived from individual county caseload metrics and CCS staffing standards was utilized to derive the individual county allocations. The basic allocation formula was as follows:

$$\text{County Allocation} = \frac{\text{Total Appropriation} \times \text{County Baseline Budget Projection}}{\text{Sum of All County Baseline Budget Projections}}$$

The methodology that was prescribed for the development of baseline budgets was disseminated to CCS Administrators by an email dated June 10, 2010. The intent of this methodology was to link county allocations, to the extent possible, to actual workload necessary to serve the county's CCS caseload and to link the funding to the programmatic composition of that caseload. In addition to discussion and refinement in of this methodology in the workgroup, this methodology was also reviewed and discussed at Regional CCS Administrator meetings by participating CCS Administrators. The resulting individual county allocations were distributed in draft dated August 19, 2010, to county CCS Administrators by email on August 24, 2010. These allocations are based on the proposed CCS County Administration FY 2010-11 appropriation proposed in the 2010 May Revision to the 2010 Governor's Budget and subsequently enacted by the 2010 Budget Act.

This allocation strategy resulted in some counties receiving allocations that were more than they could reasonably be expected to spend, and other counties receiving allocations which would not cover the State/federal share of their actual costs. Also, certain discrepancies in the caseload programmatic eligibility composition for some counties were identified. It was determined that a front end reallocation was indicated in order to:

1. Correct the caseload discrepancies.
2. Maximize the equity of the allocations.
3. Preclude significant unexpended balances in some county allocations at the end of the fiscal year.

The methodology and sequence of the front end reallocation is as follows:

1. Baseline = August 19, 2010, Draft Allocations.
2. Adjust Los Angeles County's budget projection to correct a case load counting discrepancy identified in April 2010 during the Los Angeles County conversion to CMS Net.
3. Develop revised baseline allocations.
4. For a county that received a baseline allocation that exceed 25 percent of the county's prior year actual expenditures, reduce the county's revised allocations so that they do not exceed 25 percent of prior year actual expenditures. (Note: The CCS-Only allocations of Yolo, Tulare, San Mateo, and Los Angeles Counties were exempted from this reduction to compensate for discrepancies in the CCS-only case counts for these counties. Additionally, the prior year expenditures for Lassen and Inyo Counties were adjusted to reflect four fiscal quarters of expenditures before the adjustment was applied)
5. Reallocate a portion of the baseline reduction to counties with a baseline allocation below prior year actual expenditures to bring them up to their prior year expenditure level or their current year expenditure projection.
6. Reallocate the remainder of the of the baseline reduction to all counties based on county budget projections using the basic allocation formula cited above. (Note: This yields bottom line net allocations for some counties which exceed 25 percent of prior year actual expenditures. This was necessary to avoid the complex sequence of adjustments necessary to precisely limit these counties to a 25 percent increase).

Fiscal Year 2010-11 CALIFORNIA CHILDRENS (CCS) Medical Therapy Program
(MTP) Allocation Methodology

CCS (MTP) Budget Estimate Development

- To ensure equitable distribution of funding, counties submitted budgets that included full staffing to support a baseline MTP budget.
- FY 10-11 MTP Budget estimates were built using the format of Section I through IV of the CCS Quarterly Report of Expenditures – Medical Therapy Program (MTP) – Part II. Summary Report of Therapy Expenditures.
- To ensure equitable distribution of funding, the total FTE identified per the determination below represented the maximum FTE that could be submitted on an individual county’s FY 10-11 MTP Budget estimate.
- Staffing was to be budgeted at actual salary step for filled positions and mid-step in the salary range for vacant positions.
- Total FTE (for MTP Administrative and Treatment needs), was to be determined based upon the MTP Staffing Determination Tool:
 - Instructions for use of the tool were available on the “Instructions” tab in the document.
 - Utilizing the “MTP Staffing Calculation” tab, the County-specific caseload and prescribed therapy information was entered to determine necessary MTP Administrative Positions and Treatment FTE’s.
 - Total FTE included AB3632 positions. AB3632 cost distribution was to be based upon county’s actual experience and need, not to exceed approved positions in a county’s most recent MTP Caseload Review.
- Budgets did not include costs for vendored therapy.
- Budgets did not include estimated “MTP Medi-Cal Offset.”

The resulting budget estimates were submitted to the CMS Branch.

Allocation Calculation

Individual county MTP allocations were calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{County Allocation} = \frac{\text{Appropriation} \times \text{County MTP Budget Projection}}{\text{Sum of All County Budget Projections}}$$

**CCS MEDICAL THERAPY PROGRAM
ALLOCATIONS
FY 2010/11**

November 9, 2010

(County Funds Excluded)

COUNTY		FY 10/11 ALLOCATION (STATE FUNDS ONLY)
	TOTALS	66,536,000
1	Alameda	3,110,582
2	Alpine - no MTU	0
3	Amador - no MTU	0
4	Butte	555,217
5	Calaveras	114,179
6	Colusa - no MTU	0
7	Contra Costa	3,358,647
8	Del Norte - no MTU	0
9	El Dorado	415,596
10	Fresno	2,176,625
11	Glenn	70,765
12	Humboldt	364,233
13	Imperial	655,292
14	Inyo*	28,943
15	Kern	1,269,330
16	Kings	91,219
17	Lake	134,043
18	Lassen - no MTU	0
19	Los Angeles	13,145,958
20	Madera	210,311
21	Marin	714,541
22	Mariposa - no MTU	0
23	Mendocino	99,768
24	Merced	505,273
25	Modoc *	6,752
26	Mono	22,285
27	Monterey	1,106,311
28	Napa	434,211
29	Nevada	151,566
30	Orange	6,317,495
31	Placer	412,718
32	Plumas - no MTU	0
33	Riverside	4,484,694
34	Sacramento	1,280,974
35	San Benito *	64,110
36	San Bernardino	4,043,379
37	San Diego	4,656,087
38	San Francisco	2,068,779
39	San Joaquin	971,485
40	San Luis Obispo	536,531
41	San Mateo	1,579,364
42	Santa Barbara	1,888,742
43	Santa Clara	3,461,348
44	Santa Cruz	454,080
45	Shasta	433,195
46	Sierra - no MTU	0
47	Siskiyou - no MTU	0
48	Solano	271,952
49	Sonoma	816,905
50	Stanislaus	744,422
51	Sutter	73,575
52	Tehama	92,322
53	Trinity - no MTU	0
54	Tulare	500,757
55	Tuolumne *	49,174
56	Ventura	2,357,658
57	Yolo	197,815
58	Yuba	36,788
	TOTALS:	66,536,000

* Based upon FY 09/10 expenditures .

CCS ADMIN ALLOCATION FY 2010/2011 November 9, 2010 (Excludes County Funds)		HF ADMIN ALLOCATION FY 2010/2011 November 9, 2010 (Excludes County Funds)			MEDI-CAL ADMIN BUDGET FY 2010/2011 November 9, 2010 (Excludes County Funds)		
COUNTY	FY 2010/11 TOTAL CCS ADMIN ALLOCATION	FY 2010/11 TOTAL HF ALLOCATION (GF/FF)	FY 2010/11 GF ONLY	FY 2010/11 FF (Title 21)	FY 2010/2011 TOTAL MEDI-CAL ALLOCATION (GF/FF)	FY 2010/11 GF	FY 2010/11 FF (Title 19)
TOTAL	11,127,000	22,502,000	4,773,000	17,729,000	139,109,000	56,328,499	82,780,501
1 Alameda	708,821	657,134	139,694	517,440	6,229,213	2,635,047	3,594,167
2 Alpine **	0	0	0	0	98	50	48
3 Amador	13,570	14,540	3,091	11,449	135,646	62,045	73,602
4 Butte	47,796	90,894	19,322	71,572	743,133	318,942	424,191
5 Calaveras	7,651	21,981	4,663	17,318	84,041	40,153	43,888
6 Colusa	10,776	51,461	10,914	40,547	87,467	43,459	44,007
7 Contra Costa	364,901	267,917	56,825	211,092	3,096,874	1,266,935	1,829,939
8 Del Norte	8,481	11,944	2,535	9,410	98,760	46,201	52,559
9 El Dorado	49,487	68,411	14,533	53,878	335,238	136,172	199,065
10 Fresno	372,490	465,362	98,703	366,658	5,615,103	2,302,042	3,313,062
11 Glenn	11,471	32,110	6,811	25,299	137,695	60,915	76,780
12 Humboldt	53,045	99,699	21,146	78,553	638,707	292,113	346,594
13 Imperial	61,803	39,193	8,313	30,880	337,333	158,406	178,927
14 Inyo	10,529	8,666	1,838	6,828	69,137	27,337	41,799
15 Kern	338,857	677,367	143,672	533,695	5,375,643	2,264,772	3,110,871
16 Kings	24,074	36,229	7,684	28,545	286,893	119,826	167,067
17 Lake	7,795	37,464	7,964	29,500	242,009	101,155	140,855
18 Lassen	4,396	7,123	1,511	5,612	102,100	46,313	55,787
19 Los Angeles	2,379,532	6,153,208	1,305,132	4,848,076	36,536,522	13,208,541	23,327,980
20 Madera	18,170	22,317	4,733	17,584	177,911	80,023	97,888
21 Marin	164,957	102,387	21,717	80,670	573,271	251,005	322,266
22 Mariposa **	4,345	3,914	831	3,082	21,120	10,645	10,474
23 Mendocino	37,751	93,191	19,810	73,381	760,593	323,749	436,843
24 Merced	49,916	196,653	41,711	154,942	1,133,625	439,791	693,833
25 Modoc	7,879	13,774	2,922	10,852	99,266	44,555	54,711
26 Mono	26,028	51,041	10,851	40,190	179,364	83,171	96,194
27 Monterey	141,338	558,382	118,701	439,681	2,770,769	1,204,928	1,565,841
28 Napa	57,781	96,976	20,570	76,407	573,208	237,964	335,243
29 Nevada	18,683	58,503	12,408	46,095	184,878	80,917	103,961
30 Orange	884,634	2,644,833	562,240	2,082,594	9,319,812	3,959,731	5,360,081
31 Placer	72,047	186,118	39,565	146,552	962,334	380,594	581,740
32 Plumas **	3,018	9,706	2,059	7,647	77,930	33,954	43,976
33 Riverside	680,990	1,745,851	370,301	1,375,550	7,553,198	3,209,297	4,343,901
34 Sacramento	221,491	579,752	123,244	456,508	5,975,179	2,390,556	3,584,624
35 San Benito **	16,615	39,482	8,374	31,107	104,514	51,233	53,281
36 San Bernardino	544,495	1,096,320	232,531	863,788	8,089,256	3,480,815	4,608,441
37 San Diego	868,034	1,686,001	357,595	1,328,406	7,685,071	3,370,068	4,315,003
38 San Francisco	266,512	388,782	82,462	306,320	2,854,470	1,194,213	1,660,257
39 San Joaquin	258,826	465,350	98,702	366,647	3,603,586	1,538,481	2,065,106
40 San Luis Obispo	119,531	137,925	26,228	111,697	828,814	348,683	480,131
41 San Mateo	273,911	364,054	77,218	286,836	2,939,459	1,130,303	1,809,156
42 Santa Barbara	182,581	364,816	77,553	287,263	2,235,027	1,013,566	1,221,462
43 Santa Clara	704,985	588,653	124,851	463,803	5,137,835	1,998,522	3,139,314
44 Santa Cruz	94,843	228,525	48,580	179,945	1,747,052	780,493	966,560
45 Shasta	63,083	169,209	35,970	133,239	916,991	410,308	506,683
46 Sierra	420	0	0	0	10,328	4,865	5,463
47 Siskiyou	14,666	13,153	2,790	10,363	144,524	55,515	89,009
48 Solano	55,186	89,810	19,065	70,745	1,344,360	510,025	834,335
49 Sonoma	233,093	479,137	101,855	377,281	1,905,263	847,499	1,057,763
50 Stanislaus	237,076	270,073	57,282	212,791	2,189,705	922,954	1,266,751
51 Sutter	15,788	76,338	16,191	60,147	257,840	114,054	143,786
52 Tehama	8,259	19,815	4,203	15,611	183,307	90,502	92,805
53 Trinity	3,291	6,780	1,439	5,341	51,372	21,688	29,684
54 Tulare	82,336	221,621	47,006	174,615	2,763,833	1,157,653	1,606,180
55 Tuolumne	9,867	18,484	3,920	14,564	120,407	50,086	70,321
56 Ventura	165,834	544,467	115,743	428,724	2,596,967	1,012,692	1,584,274
57 Yolo	32,890	93,055	19,782	73,274	712,396	296,795	415,601
58 Yuba	10,374	36,050	7,646	28,405	172,555	66,183	106,371
TOTALS :	11,127,000	22,502,000	4,773,000	17,729,000	139,109,000	56,328,499	82,780,501

* Based upon 4Q FY 08/09, 1,2,3Q FY 09/10 expenditures as no budget received.

** Based upon Feb Budget