



DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY
Director

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency
Department of Health Care Services



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

August 5, 2009

CHDP Program Letter No.: 09-08

To: ALL CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM DIRECTORS, DEPUTY DIRECTORS, STATE CHILDREN'S MEDICAL SERVICES (CMS) BRANCH STAFF AND REGIONAL OFFICE STAFF

Subject: Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-2010 Allocations for the CHDP Program

The purpose of this Child Health and Disability Prevention Program Letter is to provide CHDP local programs with the FY 2009-2010 State General Fund (GF) allocations for carrying out CHDP Program requirements as set forth in Health and Safety Code, Article 6, Section 124025 et seq. and Article 4.7, Section 14148.3 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. To conduct administrative activities of the program from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010 each local CHDP program is authorized to spend up to the amount allocated from State GF and matching Medi-Cal Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) allocation for each funding source within the CHDP administrative budget. Please see attached funding allocation tables which include the CHDP State-only, GF, EPSDT, and Title XIX funds.

Each local CHDP program is authorized to claim Title XIX Federal funds to match its own local funds in order to perform EPSDT administrative activities in the CHDP Program and, if applicable, Title XIX Federal funds to match funds to perform EPSDT administrative activities specifically for children and youth in foster care. Please refer to the CMS Plan and Fiscal Guidelines (PFG) for submission of county/city match budgets. It is anticipated that the revised PFG will be posted later in August.

This Program Letter will serve as each local program's approved State GF portion of the CHDP No County/City Match administrative budget and enable each local program to use this letter to develop its budgets. **There will be no budget approval letters issued from the CMS Branch.** Each local program remains responsible for overseeing and tracking its administrative budget expenditures. As in previous years, local programs will only be reimbursed for No County/City Match expenditures up to their authorized budget allocations.

CHDP Program Letter No.: 09-08

Page 2

August 5, 2009

Local programs should follow the CMS PFG for budget submissions to the CMS Branch and Regional Offices. Budgets for the CHDP program are due 60 days from the date of this Program Letter. Local programs must complete, sign, and submit the CHDP Certification Statement as required in the CMS PFG. Local programs that have previously utilized budget approval letters to submit to the county's authorized personnel will be able to utilize the attached County Allocation notice as documentation and verification of the State GF allocated.

Local programs that have questions regarding staffing, personnel changes, duty statements, Memoranda of Understanding, and other budget preparation items should discuss these with their Regional Office Nurse Consultant or administrative staff prior to submitting the budgets. All local programs must adhere to the CMS PFG for determination of enhanced and non-enhanced designation of staff and their activities as well as the provision for Federal Financial Participation (FFP) determination. Documentation for those staff that qualify for enhanced FFP and/or work on more than one program must adhere to the CMS PFG (Section 8) for time study requirements and guidelines.

All quarterly expenditure reports submitted for reimbursement must be based on accurate and auditable documentation. An audit file must be maintained by each county to support all quarterly expenditure reports and shall include, but not be limited to: time studies, when required and performed during at least one representative month of the quarter for each budgeted position for which FFP is claimed; documentation in support of training and travel costs; and other documents as required to support the claimed expenditures. In addition, documentation of the methods to claim internal and external overhead must be also maintained.

Acceptance of allocated funds constitutes an agreement that the receiving local agency will comply with all federal and state requirements pertaining to the CHDP Program and adhere to all applicable policies and procedures set forth by the Department of Health Care Services and the CMS Branch. Periodically, the federal program responsible for oversight of state expenditures for the administrative costs for the management of the Medicaid program will conduct programmatic audits. Finding of a federal audit exception and subsequent liability for repayment of federal Medicaid funds relating to the CHDP program audit exception, are the exclusive and sole responsibility of each local program.

Original Signed by Harvey Fry for Luis R. Rico

Luis R. Rico, Acting Chief
Children's Medical Services Branch

Attachments (Funding Allocation Tables)

CHDP/EPST CASE MANAGEMENT ALLOCATION
FY 2009/2010
GENERAL FUND AND FEDERAL FUND
(EXCLUDES COUNTY FUNDS)

Co/ City No.	CHDP County/ City	CHDP/EPST FY 2009/2010 BASE BUDGET (Excludes County Funds)	GENERAL FUND	FEDERAL FUND (Title XIX)
	TOTAL	33,718,000	11,871,250	21,846,750
1	Alameda	989,101	350,345	638,755
2	Alpine	56,569	22,138	34,431
3	Amador	124,794	49,733	75,058
59	Berkeley	272,314	83,467	188,852
4	Butte	426,840	158,094	268,742
5	Calaveras	110,951	46,318	64,630
6	Colusa	120,638	49,670	70,965
7	Contra Costa	807,737	221,156	586,607
8	Del Norte	142,301	48,374	93,928
9	El Dorado	259,940	104,214	155,721
10	Fresno	1,124,299	408,604	715,690
11	Glenn	175,316	67,969	107,344
12	Humboldt	424,928	142,196	282,736
13	Imperial	422,436	158,148	264,283
14	Inyo	86,335	30,806	55,528
15	Kern	1,065,478	393,900	671,570
16	Kings	382,841	142,081	240,757
17	Lake	238,878	92,761	146,113
18	Lassen	93,973	34,413	59,560
62	Long Beach	590,405	227,467	362,929
19	Los Angeles	5,669,616	1,631,993	4,037,778
20	Madera	363,743	141,103	222,634
21	Marin	279,102	105,929	173,170
22	Mariposa	79,747	28,750	50,997
23	Mendocino	267,616	108,453	159,157
24	Merced	673,240	239,536	433,703
25	Modoc	139,073	48,076	90,997
26	Mono	71,316	28,391	42,924
27	Monterey	644,605	203,647	440,968
28	Napa	178,974	69,849	109,122
29	Nevada	173,448	69,009	104,436
30	Orange	1,931,255	711,887	1,219,355
63	Pasadena	330,363	110,083	220,282
31	Placer	311,921	126,302	185,612
32	Plumas	192,482	63,936	128,548
33	Riverside	1,087,035	435,378	651,635
34	Sacramento	1,204,124	413,921	790,207
35	San Benito	220,242	87,465	132,773
36	San Bernardino	1,511,474	555,818	955,645
37	San Diego	1,358,569	565,497	793,034
38	San Francisco	696,994	252,852	444,139
39	San Joaquin	865,143	274,876	590,280
40	San Luis Obispo	327,111	125,444	201,663
41	San Mateo	582,021	195,750	386,275
42	Santa Barbara	594,597	243,168	351,415

**CHDP/EPST CASE MANAGEMENT ALLOCATION
 FY 2009/2010
 GENERAL FUND AND FEDERAL FUND
 (EXCLUDES COUNTY FUNDS)**

Co/ City No.	CHDP County/ City	CHDP/EPST FY 2009/2010 BASE BUDGET (Excludes County Funds)	GENERAL FUND	FEDERAL FUND (Title XIX)
	TOTAL	33,718,000	11,871,250	21,846,750
43	Santa Clara	1,067,656	332,885	734,790
44	Santa Cruz	377,300	139,772	237,525
45	Shasta	329,987	124,065	205,918
46	Sierra	85,364	28,070	57,296
47	Siskiyou	91,801	34,302	57,498
48	Solano	391,932	139,874	252,057
49	Sonoma	473,429	177,198	296,227
50	Stanislaus	701,183	251,220	449,962
51	Sutter	226,079	105,082	120,986
52	Tehama	206,400	88,790	117,603
53	Trinity	93,459	38,212	55,245
54	Tulare	633,850	233,824	400,022
55	Tuolumne	184,985	67,769	117,215
56	Ventura	803,023	298,333	504,683
57	Yolo	268,216	101,316	166,897
58	Yuba	113,450	41,573	71,876
	Total	33,718,000	11,871,250	21,846,750

**FY 2009/2010 CHDP ADMIN
GF ALLOCATION ONLY**

Co/ City No.	CHDP County/City	FY 2009/2010 CHDP-Only GF Allocation
	TOTAL	244,000
1	Alameda	6,138
2	Alpine	0
3	Amador	401
59	Berkeley	576
4	Butte	2,070
5	Calaveras	505
6	Colusa	393
7	Contra Costa	2,880
8	Del Norte	268
9	El Dorado	876
10	Fresno	7,815
11	Glenn	400
12	Humbolt	1,854
13	Imperial	1,936
14	Inyo	254
15	Kern	8,403
16	Kings	1,843
17	Lake	736
18	Lassen	359
62	Long Beach	3,496
19	Los Angeles	77,074
20	Madera	1,748
21	Marin	987
22	Mariposa	134
23	Mendocino	1,233
24	Merced	3,341
25	Modoc	237
26	Mono	98
27	Monterey	2,508
28	Napa	583
29	Nevada	500
30	Orange	16,038
63	Pasadena	1,080
31	Placer	1,241
32	Plumas	451
33	Riverside	10,427
34	Sacramento	8,244
35	San Benito	521
36	San Bernardino	14,165
37	San Diego	15,108
38	San Francisco	3,302
39	San Joaquin	4,115
40	San Luis Obispo	1,555
41	San Mateo	3,074
42	Santa Barbara	3,693
43	Santa Clara	5,769
44	Santa Cruz	1,618
45	Shasta	1,421
46	Sierra	76

**FY 2009/2010 CHDP ADMIN
GF ALLOCATION ONLY**

Co/ City No.	CHDP County/City	FY 2009/2010 CHDP-Only GF Allocation
	TOTAL	244,000
47	Siskiyou	652
48	Solano	1,609
49	Sonoma	2,598
50	Stanislaus	4,479
51	Sutter	904
52	Tehama	650
53	Trinity	219
54	Tulare	4,116
55	Tuolumne	381
56	Ventura	5,068
57	Yolo	982
58	Yuba	798
	Total	244,000