

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency Department of Health Care Services



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. GOVERNOR

September 30, 2015

CHDP Program Letter No.:15-04

To: ALL CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM DIRECTORS, DEPUTY DIRECTORS, STATE SYSTEMS OF CARE DIVISION (SCD) STAFF AND DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT COUNTY OPERATIONS STAFF

Subject: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2015-2016 ALLOCATIONS FOR THE HEALTH CARE PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE (HCPCFC)

The purpose of this CHDP Program Letter is to provide CHDP local programs with the allocation for the HCPCFC as it applies to FY 2015-2016. This is the state share of the Medi-Cal Title XIX funds. The State General funds are to be matched with Federal Title XIX funds to supplement the HCPCFC Administrative Budget and are based on the Federal Financial Participation (FFP) guidelines found in the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Plan and Fiscal Guidelines (PFG). The allocation is based on caseload data obtained from the California Department of Social Services for calendar year 2014. The county caseload proportion is applied toward the total State dollars available to determine the county allocation. See the enclosed funding allocation table.

Each local CHDP program is to carry out the HCPCFC program as set forth in the CMS PFG. The primary responsibility of the HCPCFC Public Health Nurses (PHNs) remains that of administrative case consultation. By providing these services the PHN administratively coordinates the health care needs of children in foster care, including their developmental, dental and mental health needs. The PHN supports adherence to the health assessment periodicity schedule specified in the CHDP Health Assessment Guidelines, ensures that identified health needs are monitored, and supports continuity of health care services. The PHN, Social Worker, and/or clerical support update the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System Health and Education Passport, including prescribed medications. The PHN/Social Worker/Probation Officer share medical information where appropriate. The PHN consults with physicians and other medical and non-medical professionals regarding the health and well-being of children in foster care and in coordinating appropriate medical treatment.

CHDP Program Letter No.: 15-04 Page 2 September 30, 2015

This Program Letter will serve as each local program's approved State General Fund Portion of the HCPCFC No County/City Match Administrative Budget. There will be no budget approval letters issued from the SCD/CMS. Each local program remains responsible for oversight and tracking its administrative and budget expenditures. As in previous years, local programs will only be reimbursed for No County/City Match expenditures up to their authorized budget allocations. Each local program is authorized to claim Title XIX Federal funds to match its local funds in order to perform Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) administrative activities specifically for children and youth in foster care. Please refer to the CMS PFG for submission of County/City Match Budgets.

Local programs should follow the CMS PFG for budget submissions to SCD. Budgets for the HCPCFC program are due 60 days from the date of the CHDP allocation letter (to follow). Local programs that have previously utilized budget approval letters to submit to the county's authorized personnel will be able to utilize the attached County Allocation notice as documentation and verification of the State General Funds allocated.

Local programs that have questions regarding staffing, personnel changes, duty statements, memoranda of understanding (MOU) and other budget preparation items should discuss these with the HCPCFC Program Consultant, Theresa Sanchez, or administrative staff prior to submitting their budgets. All local programs must adhere to the CMS PFG for determination of enhanced and non-enhanced designation of staff and their activities as well as provision for FFP determination. Documentation for those staff that qualify for enhanced FFP and/or work on more than one program must adhere to the CMS PFG (Section 8) time study requirements and guidelines.

All quarterly expenditure reports submitted for reimbursement must be based on accurate and auditable documentation. An audit file must be maintained by each county to support all quarterly expenditure reports, and shall include but not be limited to: time studies, when required and performed during at least one representative month of the quarter for each budgeted position for which FFP is claimed; documentation in support of travel and training costs; and other documents required to support the claimed expenditures. In addition, documentation of the methods used to claim internal and external overhead must also be maintained.

Acceptance of allocated funds constitutes an agreement that the receiving local agency will comply with all federal and state requirements pertaining to the HCPCFC Program and adhere to all applicable policies and procedures set forth by the Department of Health Care Services and SCD. Periodically the federal program responsible for

CHDP Program Letter No.: 15-04 Page 3 September 30, 2015

oversight of state expenditures for the administrative costs for the management of the Medicaid program will conduct programmatic audits.

Finding a federal audit exception and subsequent liability for repayment of federal Medicaid funds relating to the HCPCFC audit exception are the exclusive and sole responsibility of each program.

For questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Theresa Sanchez at (916) 323-8018 or via e-mail at <u>Theresa.Sanchez@dhcs.ca.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY LOUIS R. RICO

Louis R. Rico, Chief Systems of Care Division

Attachment

FY 2015/2016 CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION PROGRAM HEALTH CARE FOR CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE (HCPCFC) ALLOCATIONS

August 14, 2015

	August 14, 2013			
County	Total Allocation	General Fund Allocation	Federal Fund ** Allocation	
TOTAL	\$33,291,461	\$8,148,461	\$25,143,000	
1 Alameda	\$998,014	\$244,275	\$753,739	
2 Alpine	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3 Amador	\$34,120	\$8,351	\$25,769	
4 Butte	\$282,557	\$69,159	\$213,398	
5 Calaveras	\$84,234	\$20,617	\$63,617	
6 Colusa	\$20,792	\$5,089	\$15,703	
7 Contra Costa	\$657,879	\$161,023	\$496,855	
8 Del Norte 9 El Dorado	\$58,644 \$165,269	\$14,354 \$40,452	\$44,290 \$124,818	
10 Fresno	\$1,059,323	\$40,452 \$259,281	\$124,818	
11 Glenn	\$59,177	\$14,484	\$44,693	
12 Humboldt	\$158,339	\$38,755	\$119,584	
13 Imperial	\$207,386	\$50,760	\$156,626	
14 Inyo	\$13,328	\$3,262	\$10,066	
15 Kern	\$907,915	\$222,223	\$685,693	
16 Kings	\$248,437	\$60,808	\$187,629	
17 Lake	\$73,572	\$18,007	\$55,564	
18 Lassen	\$52,780	\$12,918	\$39,861	
19 Los Angeles	\$11,154,083	\$2,730,088	\$8,423,995	
20 Madera	\$172,733	\$42,278	\$130,455	
21 Marin	\$60,243	\$14,745	\$45,498	
22 Mariposa	\$10,663	\$2,610	\$8,053 \$114,752	
23 Mendocino 24 Merced	\$151,941 \$341,734	\$37,189		
25 Modoc	\$9,596	\$83,643 \$2,349	\$258,091 \$7,247	
26 Mono	\$8,170	\$2,000	\$6,170	
27 Monterey	\$232,443	\$56,893	\$175,550	
28 Napa	\$89,032	\$21,792	\$67,241	
29 Nevada	\$59,710	\$14,615	\$45,095	
30 Orange	\$1,283,237	\$314,087	\$969,150	
31 Placer	\$179,131	\$43,844	\$135,286	
32 Plumas	\$29,855	\$7,307	\$22,548	
33 Riverside	\$2,422,529	\$592,941	\$1,829,588	
34 Sacramento	\$1,509,816	\$369,544	\$1,140,271	
35 San Benito	\$46,382	\$11,353	\$35,030	
36 San Bernardino	\$2,384,677	\$583,677	\$1,801,000	
37 San Diego	\$1,978,967	\$484,375	\$1,494,593	
38 San Francisco	\$588,572	\$144,060	\$444,513	
39 San Joaquin 40 San Luis Obispo	\$867,398 \$208,986	\$212,305 \$51,152	\$655,092 \$157,834	
41 San Mateo	\$208,980	\$46,845	\$137,834	
42 Santa Barbara	\$317,211	\$77,641	\$239,570	
43 Santa Clara	\$770,902	\$188,687	\$582,215	
44 Santa Cruz	\$169,534	\$41,495	\$128,039	
45 Shasta	\$317,744	\$77,771	\$239,972	
46 Sierra	\$8,170	\$2,000	\$6,170	
47 Siskiyou	\$68,240	\$16,703	\$51,538	
48 Solano	\$227,645	\$55,719	\$171,926	
49 Sonoma	\$293,753	\$71,899	\$221,854	
50 Stanislaus	\$405,710	\$99,302	\$306,408	
51 Sutter	\$63,442	\$15,528	\$47,914	
52 Tehama	\$122,619	\$30,012	\$92,607	
53 Trinity	\$36,786	\$9,004 \$124,524	\$27,782 \$415,120	
54 Tulare	\$549,654 \$60,243	\$134,534 \$14,745	\$415,120 \$45,498	
55 Tuolumne 56 Ventura	\$60,243 \$509,669	\$14,745 \$124,747	\$45,498 \$384,922	
57 Yolo	\$173,799	\$42,539	\$131,260	
58 Yuba	\$103,960	\$25,445	\$78,514	
59 City of Berkeley	\$29,322	\$7,177	\$22,145	
Total	\$ 33,291,461	\$ 8,148,461	\$ 25,143,000	
	÷ 55,251,401	Ψ 0,170,701	÷ 20,140,000	

** The Federal Fund Allocation column is a maximum that assumes state funds used would be applied exclusively for PHN activities eligible to be matched at the enhanced rate of 75 percent (we recognize quarterly claims typically reflect activities that are claimed at the standard and enhanced FFP rates) . The maximum Federal Fund Allocation amount specified in this table is unrelated to the HCPCFC county match calculation.