

County	Number of Skilled Nursing Facilities	Number of Licensed Beds*	Occupancy Rate	County ADPPR**
Alameda	65	5,022	89%	\$257.50
Alpine	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Amador	1	199	55%	\$212.13
Butte	12	1,189	82%	\$223.93
Calaveras	1	99	92%	\$204.76
Colusa	1	99	81%	\$181.60
Contra Costa	32	2,941	87%	\$267.06
Del Norte	1	99	72%	\$170.13
El Dorado	3	266	86%	\$250.29
Fresno	32	3,053	91%	\$208.55
Glenn	1	76	94%	\$203.28
Humboldt	5	449	72%	\$212.89
Imperial	3	253	85%	\$155.82
Inyo	1	99	80%	\$223.28
Kern	15	1,787	80%	\$272.89
Kings	3	322	81%	\$194.16
Lake	3	288	77%	\$211.06
Lassen	1	96	77%	\$198.86
Los Angeles	362	37,365	89%	\$202.31
Madera	4	392	91%	\$201.54
Marin	12	912	80%	\$256.94
Mariposa	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Mendocino	4	274	80%	\$206.24
Merced	10	690	91%	\$239.83
Modoc	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Monterey	14	987	86%	\$200.77
Mono	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Napa	5	417	88%	\$229.91
Nevada	4	392	84%	\$217.23
Orange	69	7,892	85%	\$245.79
Placer	10	1,119	85%	\$235.95
Plumas	1	57	85%	\$199.13
Riverside	48	4,431	88%	\$214.19
Sacramento	36	3,768	89%	\$225.54
San Benito	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
San Bernardino	47	4,670	85%	\$198.60
San Diego	82	8,378	89%	\$232.25
San Francisco	17	1,278	91%	\$270.43
San Joaquin	26	2,700	89%	\$234.45
San Luis Obispo	9	861	83%	\$191.72
San Mateo	15	1,347	84%	\$253.14
Santa Barbara	14	1,160	82%	\$281.06
Santa Clara	53	5,311	90%	\$276.84
Santa Cruz	9	853	77%	\$231.36
Shasta	7	864	84%	\$208.36
Sierra	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Siskiyou	1	59	92%	\$194.03
Solano	10	883	86%	\$213.59
Sonoma	20	1,613	87%	\$259.29
Stanislaus	17	1,739	89%	\$230.70
Sutter	4	387	86%	\$255.95
Tehama	2	113	92%	\$179.61
Trinity	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Tulare	13	1,350	87%	\$193.96
Tuolumne	1	120	97%	\$238.61
Ventura	18	1,694	82%	\$225.55
Yolo	8	771	87%	\$218.92
Yuba	1	86	93%	\$166.61

* Excluded beds in suspense

**The Average Daily Private Pay rate for nursing facility care rate is obtained from the Long-Term care Annual Data Profile reports of the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development. The data reported lags two years. For this reason, the rates posted in this table have been adjusted to reflect the current rate. This rate is arrived at by multiplying the rate in the report by 5.5% (the 2006 - 2010) 5 year - average yearly increase) for each of the lag years.