

Assembly Bill No. 97

CHAPTER 3

An act to amend Sections 12693.43, 12693.60, 12693.615, and 12693.65 of the Insurance Code, and to amend Sections 4474.5, 14007.9, 14091.3, 14105.31, 14105.33, 14105.332, 14105.34, 14126.033, 14132, and 14154 of, to amend and repeal Sections 14105.191 and 14134.1 of, to amend, repeal, and add Section 14134 of, to add Sections 14105.07, 14105.192, 14105.451, 14126.036, 14131.05, and 14131.07 to, and to add Article 6 (commencing with Section 14589) to Chapter 8.7 of Part 3 of Division 9 of, the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to health care services, making an appropriation therefor, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

SEC. 98. Section 14126.033 of the Welfare and Institutions Code is amended to read:

14126.033. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

⁹⁴

— 33 — Ch. 3

- (1) Costs within the Medi-Cal program continue to grow due to the rising cost of providing health care throughout the state and also due to increases in enrollment, which are more pronounced during difficult economic times.
 - (2) In order to minimize the need for drastically cutting enrollment standards or benefits during times of economic crisis, it is crucial to find areas within the program where reimbursement levels are higher than required under the standard provided in Section 1902(a)(30)(A) of the federal Social Security Act and can be reduced in accordance with federal law.
 - (3) The Medi-Cal program delivers its services and benefits to Medi-Cal beneficiaries through a wide variety of health care providers, some of which deliver care via managed care or other contract models while others do so through fee-for-service arrangements.
 - (4) The setting of rates within the Medi-Cal program is complex and is subject to close supervision by the United States Department of Health and Human Services.
 - (5) As the single state agency for Medicaid in California, the State Department of Health Care Services has unique expertise that can inform decisions that set or adjust reimbursement methodologies and levels consistent with the requirements of federal law.
- (b) Therefore, it is the intent of the Legislature for the department to analyze and identify where reimbursement levels can be reduced consistent with the standard provided in Section 1902(a)(30)(A) of the federal Social Security Act and also consistent with federal and state law and policies, including any exemptions contained in the act that added this section, provided that the reductions in reimbursement shall not exceed 10 percent on an aggregate basis for all providers, services, and products.
- (c) This article, including Section 14126.031, shall be funded as follows:
- (1) General Fund moneys appropriated for purposes of this article pursuant to Section 6 of the act adding this section shall be used for increasing rates, except as provided in Section 14126.031, for freestanding skilled nursing facilities, and shall be consistent with the approved methodology required to be submitted to the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services pursuant to Article 7.6 (commencing with Section 1324.20) of Chapter 2 of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code.

(2) (A) Notwithstanding Section 14126.023, for the 2005–06 rate year, the maximum annual increase in the weighted average Medi-Cal rate required for purposes of this article shall not exceed 8 percent of the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate for the 2004–05 rate year as adjusted for the change in the cost to the facility to comply with the nursing facility quality assurance fee for the 2005–06 rate year, as required under subdivision (b) of Section 1324.21 of the Health and Safety Code, plus the total projected Medi-Cal cost to the facility of complying with new state or federal mandates.

(B) Beginning with the 2006–07 rate year, the maximum annual increase in the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate required for purposes of this article shall not exceed 5 percent of the weighted average Medi-Cal

94

Ch. 3 — 34 —

reimbursement rate for the prior fiscal year, as adjusted for the projected cost of complying with new state or federal mandates.

(C) Beginning with the 2007–08 rate year and continuing through the 2008–09 rate year, the maximum annual increase in the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate required for purposes of this article shall not exceed 5.5 percent of the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate for the prior fiscal year, as adjusted for the projected cost of complying with new state or federal mandates.

(D) For the 2009–10 rate year, the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate required for purposes of this article shall not be increased with respect to the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate for the 2008–09 rate year, as adjusted for the projected cost of complying with new state or federal mandates.

(3) (A) For the 2010–11 rate year, if the increase in the federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) pursuant to the federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) (Public Law 111-5) is extended for the entire 2010–11 rate year, the maximum annual increase in the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate for the purposes of this article shall not exceed 3.93 percent, or 3.14 percent, if the increase in the FMAP pursuant to ARRA is not extended for that period of time, plus the projected cost of complying with new state or federal mandates. If the increase in the FMAP pursuant to ARRA is extended at a different rate, or for a different time period, the rate adjustment for facilities shall be adjusted accordingly.

(B) The weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate increase specified in subparagraph (A) shall be adjusted by the department for the following reasons:

(i) If the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services does not approve exemption changes to the facilities subject to the quality assurance fee.

(ii) If the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services does not approve any proposed modification to the methodology for calculation of the quality assurance fee.

(iii) To ensure that the state does not incur any additional General Fund expenses to pay for the 2010–11 weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate increase.

(C) If the maximum annual increase in the weighted average Medi-Cal rate is reduced pursuant to subparagraph (B), the department shall recalculate and publish the final maximum annual increase in the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate.

(4) (A) Subject to the following provisions, for the 2011–12 rate year, the maximum annual increase in the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate for the purpose of this article shall not exceed 2.4 percent, plus the projected cost of complying with new state or federal mandates.

(B) The weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate increase specified in subparagraph (A) shall be adjusted by the department for the following reasons:

94

— 35 — Ch. 3

(i) For the 2011–12 rate year, the department shall set aside 1 percent of the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate, from which the department shall transfer the General Fund portion into the Skilled Nursing Facility Quality and Accountability Special Fund, to be used for the supplemental rate pool.

(ii) If the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services does not approve exemption changes to the facilities subject to the quality assurance fee.

(iii) If the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services does not approve any proposed modification to the methodology for calculation of the quality assurance fee.

(iv) To ensure that the state does not incur any additional General Fund expenses to pay for the 2011–12 weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate increase.

(C) The department may recalculate and publish the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate increase for the 2011–12 rate year if the difference in the projected quality assurance fee collections from the 2011–12 rate year, compared to the projected quality assurance fee collections for the 2010–11 rate year, would result in any additional General Fund expense to pay for the 2011–12 rate year weighted average reimbursement rate increase.

(5) To the extent that rates are projected to exceed the adjusted limits calculated pursuant to subparagraphs (A) to (D), inclusive, of paragraph (2) and, as applicable, paragraphs (3) and (4), the department shall adjust each skilled nursing facility's projected rate for the applicable rate year by an equal percentage.

(6) (A) (i) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), payments resulting from the application of paragraphs (3) and (4), the provisions of paragraph (5), and all other applicable adjustments and limits as required by this section, shall be reduced by 10 percent for dates of service on and after June 1, 2011.

(ii) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the director may adjust the percentage reductions specified in clause (i), as long as the resulting reductions, in the aggregate, total no more than 10 percent.

(iii) The adjustments authorized under this subparagraph shall be implemented only if the director determines that the payments resulting from the adjustments comply with paragraph (7).

(B) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the 1 percent set aside of the weighted average Medi-Cal reimbursement rate as required by clause (i) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4) shall be exempt from the payment reduction required by this paragraph.

(C) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, payments to skilled nursing facilities pursuant to subdivision (m) of Section 14126.022 shall be

exempt from the payment reduction required by this paragraph.

(D) Payments to facilities owned or operated by the state shall be exempt from the payment reduction required by this paragraph.

94

Ch. 3 — 36 —

(7) (A) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the payment reductions and adjustments required by paragraph (6) shall be implemented only if the director determines that the payments that result from the application of paragraph (6) will comply with applicable federal Medicaid requirements and that federal financial participation will be available.

(B) In determining whether federal financial participation is available, the director shall determine whether the payments comply with applicable federal Medicaid requirements, including those set forth in Section 1396a(a)(30)(A) of Title 42 of the United States Code.

(C) To the extent that the director determines that the payments do not comply with applicable federal Medicaid requirements or that federal financial participation is not available with respect to any payment that is reduced pursuant to this section, the director retains the discretion to not implement the particular payment reduction or adjustment and may adjust the payment as necessary to comply with federal Medicaid requirements.

(8) For managed care health plans that contract with the department pursuant to this chapter and Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 14200), except for contracts with the Senior Care Action Network and AIDS Healthcare Foundation, and to the extent that these services are provided through any of those contracts, payments shall be reduced by the actuarial equivalent amount of the reduced provider reimbursements specified in paragraph (6) pursuant to contract amendments or change orders effective on July 1, 2011, or thereafter.

(9) The director shall seek any necessary federal approvals for the implementation of this section. This section shall not be implemented until federal approval is obtained. When federal approval is obtained, the payments resulting from the application of paragraph (6) shall be implemented retroactively to June 1, 2011, or on any other date or dates as may be applicable.

(d) The rate methodology shall cease to be implemented after July 31, 2012.

(e) (1) It is the intent of the Legislature that the implementation of this article result in individual access to appropriate long-term care services, quality resident care, decent wages and benefits for nursing home workers, a stable workforce, provider compliance with all applicable state and federal requirements, and administrative efficiency.

(2) Not later than December 1, 2006, the Bureau of State Audits shall conduct an accountability evaluation of the department's progress toward implementing a facility-specific reimbursement system, including a review of data to ensure that the new system is appropriately reimbursing facilities within specified cost categories and a review of the fiscal impact of the new system on the General Fund.

(3) Not later than January 1, 2007, to the extent information is available for the three years immediately preceding the implementation of this article, the department shall provide baseline information in a report to the Legislature on all of the following:

94

— 37 — Ch. 3

(A) The number and percent of freestanding skilled nursing facilities

that complied with minimum staffing requirements.

(B) The staffing levels prior to the implementation of this article.

(C) The staffing retention rates prior to the implementation of this article.

(D) The numbers and percentage of freestanding skilled nursing facilities with findings of immediate jeopardy, substandard quality of care, or actual harm, as determined by the certification survey of each freestanding skilled nursing facility conducted prior to the implementation of this article.

(E) The number of freestanding skilled nursing facilities that received state citations and the number and class of citations issued during calendar year 2004.

(F) The average wage and benefits for employees prior to the implementation of this article.

(4) Not later than January 1, 2009, the department shall provide a report to the Legislature that does both of the following:

(A) Compares the information required in paragraph (2) to that same information two years after the implementation of this article.

(B) Reports on the extent to which residents who had expressed a preference to return to the community, as provided in Section 1418.81 of the Health and Safety Code, were able to return to the community.

(5) The department may contract for the reports required under this subdivision.