

Individual-Level Data

MARCH 2016 - RENEWALS DATA
(3/1/16- 3/31/16)

All SAWS (C-IV, CalWIN, LEADER,LRS)

COUNTY NUMBER	COUNTY NAME	SAWS	DUE	PROCESSED ¹	% PROCESSED	NOT PROCESSED ²	PROCESSED, RESULTING IN CONTINUED MC ¹	% PROCESSED, RESULTING IN CONTINUED MC
1	Alameda	CW	21,790	20,795	95.4%	995	17,982	86.5%
2	Alpine	CIV	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	Amador	CIV	179	161	89.9%	18	139	86.3%
4	Butte	CIV	1,545	1,096	70.9%	449	1,002	91.4%
5	Calaveras	CIV	294	172	58.5%	122	156	90.7%
6	Colusa	CIV	122	55	45.1%	67	55	100.0%
7	Contra Costa	CW	12,561	11,524	91.7%	1,037	9,527	82.7%
8	Del Norte	CIV	206	170	82.5%	36	142	83.5%
9	El Dorado	CIV	1,018	832	81.7%	186	716	86.1%
10	Fresno	CW	20,354	20,272	99.6%	82	18,760	92.5%
11	Glenn	CIV	243	198	81.5%	45	181	91.4%
12	Humboldt	CIV	1,113	731	65.7%	382	706	96.6%
13	Imperial	CIV	1,720	1,561	90.8%	159	1,255	80.4%
14	Inyo	CIV	*	*	96.0%	*	*	95.8%
15	Kern	CIV	7,241	5,083	70.2%	2,158	4,852	95.5%
16	Kings	CIV	865	549	63.5%	316	533	97.1%
17	Lake	CIV	580	374	64.5%	206	353	94.4%
18	Lassen	CIV	128	95	74.2%	33	75	78.9%
19	Los Angeles	LDR/LRS	171,793	135,994	79.2%	35,799	81,275	59.8%
20	Madera	CIV	1,043	911	87.3%	132	741	81.3%
21	Marin	CIV	801	444	55.4%	357	425	95.7%
22	Mariposa	CIV	76	63	82.9%	13	54	85.7%
23	Mendocino	CIV	609	335	55.0%	274	315	94.0%
24	Merced	CIV	2,452	1,747	71.2%	705	1,693	96.9%
25	Modoc	CIV	71	53	74.6%	18	48	90.6%
26	Mono	CIV	101	76	75.2%	25	44	57.9%
27	Monterey	CIV	2,752	1,666	60.5%	1,086	1,613	96.8%

Individual-Level Data

MARCH 2016 - RENEWALS DATA
(3/1/16- 3/31/16)

All SAWS (C-IV, CalWIN, LEADER,LRS)

COUNTY NUMBER	COUNTY NAME	SAWS	DUE	PROCESSED ¹	% PROCESSED	NOT PROCESSED ²	PROCESSED, RESULTING IN CONTINUED MC ¹	% PROCESSED, RESULTING IN CONTINUED MC
28	Napa	CIV	683	464	67.9%	219	372	80.2%
29	Nevada	CIV	412	289	70.1%	123	260	90.0%
30	Orange	CW	39,921	39,423	98.8%	498	34,093	86.5%
31	Placer	CW	3,444	3,148	91.4%	296	2,550	81.0%
32	Plumas	CIV	153	108	70.6%	45	97	89.8%
33	Riverside	CIV	13,906	10,569	76.0%	3,337	8,623	81.6%
34	Sacramento	CW	26,868	26,248	97.7%	620	22,748	86.7%
35	San Benito	CIV	343	207	60.3%	136	204	98.6%
36	San Bernardino	CIV	16,754	12,853	76.7%	3,901	11,157	86.8%
37	San Diego	CW	47,639	47,440	99.6%	199	40,650	85.7%
38	San Francisco	CW	9,874	9,792	99.2%	82	8,425	86.0%
39	San Joaquin	CIV	6,133	5,905	96.3%	228	4,829	81.8%
40	San Luis Obispo	CW	3,357	3,278	97.6%	79	2,873	87.6%
41	San Mateo	CW	6,676	5,876	88.0%	800	4,925	83.8%
42	Santa Barbara	CW	5,338	4,939	92.5%	399	4,330	87.7%
43	Santa Clara	CW	20,476	20,304	99.2%	172	17,535	86.4%
44	Santa Cruz	CW	3,287	3,133	95.3%	154	2,632	84.0%
45	Shasta	CIV	998	611	61.2%	387	589	96.4%
46	Sierra	CIV	*	*	84.6%	*	*	90.9%
47	Siskiyou	CIV	320	168	52.5%	152	161	95.8%
48	Solano	CW	5,828	5,530	94.9%	298	4,678	84.6%
49	Sonoma	CW	5,698	5,077	89.1%	621	4,508	88.8%
50	Stanislaus	CIV	3,705	2,171	58.6%	1,534	2,088	96.2%
51	Sutter	CIV	993	619	62.3%	374	601	97.1%
52	Tehama	CIV	537	418	77.8%	119	358	85.6%
53	Trinity	CIV	134	65	48.5%	69	62	95.4%
54	Tulare	CW	8,715	8,649	99.2%	66	7,966	92.1%

Individual-Level Data

MARCH 2016 - RENEWALS DATA
(3/1/16- 3/31/16)

All SAWS (C-IV, CalWIN, LEADER,LRS)

COUNTY NUMBER	COUNTY NAME	SAWS	DUE	PROCESSED ¹	% PROCESSED	NOT PROCESSED ²	PROCESSED, RESULTING IN CONTINUED MC ¹	% PROCESSED, RESULTING IN CONTINUED MC
55	Tuolumne	CIV	296	182	61.5%	114	173	95.1%
56	Ventura	CW	11,759	11,250	95.7%	509	9,898	88.0%
57	Yolo	CW	3,155	3,136	99.4%	19	2,830	90.2%
58	Yuba	CIV	511	423	82.8%	88	357	84.4%
		TOTALS	497,660	437,315	87.9%	60,345	343,293	78.5%
		AVERAGE			77.7%			86.8%

CW	256,740	249,814	97.3%	6,926	216,910	86.8%
C-IV	69,127	51,507	74.5%	17,620	45,108	87.6%
LDR/LRS	171,793	135,994	79.2%	35,799	81,275	59.8%

**RENEWALS DATA - INDIVIDUAL LEVEL
MARCH 2016**

FOOTNOTES AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The data table represents Medi-Cal Renewals due for the month of March, by county. This data, reported by SAWS, captures processing activities performed up to and including the month in which the Medi-Cal renewal is due. The numbers reflected are individual-level counts, not case. The counts represent Medi-Cal Renewals that were fully Processed¹, which resulted in an eligibility determination; as well as cases that were Not Processed² by the end of the renewal Due month, which indicates processing activity and/or system resolution continued beyond the renewal month.

(See Footnotes below for further detail.)

¹ "Processed" reflects cases for which all necessary data entries were made and the case was coded correctly in the system to reflect a fully processed renewal, including cases that transition to Covered CA. "Processed, Resulting in Continued Medi-Cal" reflects only those cases that remained Medi-Cal eligible. The difference between these 2 columns includes Discontinuances and/or whole cases that transitioned to Covered CA coverage.

² "Not Processed" reflects cases where necessary data entries were not complete and/or the case was unable to be coded correctly in the system to reflect a fully processed renewal. This includes cases where the county has worked on the case but is unable to complete the renewal process or complete the renewal process so that it is reflected in SAWS as a completed renewal. Reasons include system errors; manual workarounds, that resulted in cases showing as not processed; administrative verification needed to override federal hub verification results, as appropriate; or renewals processed manually. In some cases, it may be due to incomplete data being entered into the system and cases pending Long Term Negative Action functionality. Additionally, this number reflects counties' ongoing work with beneficiaries who are making good faith efforts in providing what is needed to complete their renewal.

Additional Information:

The variation between counties within the "Processed" data/counts can be attributed to:

- 1) Different county business processes and staffing levels have resulted in different outcomes
- 2) Due to delays in implementing 2015 renewals, counties did not have the full calendar year of 2015 to process 2015 renewals
- 3) Different counties prioritized renewals vs. new applications, manual workarounds and resolution of problem cases resulting from systems issues differently

NOTE:

March 2016 Medi-Cal Renewals data do not include Pre-ACA renewals.

The universe of cases reflected on this report includes Medi-Cal cases that are case-managed by the counties and do include cases that are linked to cash assistance such as