

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES

FISCAL YEAR 2020/2021

MEDI-CAL SPECIALTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES TRIENNIAL REVIEW

OF THE LASSEN COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH PLAN

CHART REVIEW FINDINGS REPORT

Review Dates: 11/8/2021 to 11/9/2021

Chart Review – Non-Hospital Services

The medical records of five 5 adult and five 5 child/adolescent Medi-Cal beneficiaries receiving Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) were reviewed for compliance with state and federal regulations; adherence to the terms of the contract between the Lassen County Mental Health Plan (MHP) and the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS); and for consistency with the MHP's own documentation standards and policies and procedures regarding medical records documentation. The process included a review of 227 claims submitted for the months of July, August and September of **2020**.

Contents

Assessment	3	
Medication Consent	6	
Progress Notes	13	
Provision of ICC Services and IHBS for Children and Youth	19	

Assessment

FINDING 8.2.1.:

Assessments were not completed in accordance with regulatory and contractual requirements, specifically:

1) One or more assessments were not completed within the initial timeliness and update frequency requirements specified in the MHP's written documentation standards.

Per the MHP's Clinical Assessment and Reassessments policy (policy number BH 18-06), "Initial assessment will be completed no later than 7 days from the appointment" and "Reassessments are completed at least annually, and may be completed more frequently, as needed." Due to the premature ending of the virtual onsite review, the MHP staff were unavailable to provide an explanation for the late initial and updated assessments listed below.

The following are specific findings from the chart sample:

Late Initial Assessments

Line number ¹. The episode opening date (EOD) was ². The initial assessment was signed and completed on ³.

Line number ⁴. The EOD was ⁵. The initial assessment was signed and completed on ⁶.

Line number ⁷. The EOD was ⁸. The initial assessment was signed and completed on ⁹.

Late Updated Assessments

Line number ¹⁰. The assessment MHP provided for the initial virtual review was the Lassen County Behavioral Health Annual Re-Assessment dated ¹¹. Based on MHP policy the current re-assessment should be dated on or by ¹². In response

¹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

³ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

to requests for an updated assessment MHP submitted the previously reviewed ¹³ Annual Re-Assessment.

Line number ¹⁴. The assessment MHP provided was dated ¹⁵. Based on MHP policy the current assessment should be dated on or by ¹⁶. In response to requests for an updated assessment MHP submitted a California Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths-50 (CANS-50) assessment dated ¹⁷. However, MHP's Clinical Assessment and Reassessments policy lists a number of "required components" of the initial clinical assessment and reassessment which are not inherent to the CANS-50 assessment. The CANS-50 is a single tool and not a "comprehensive" assessment as delineated by MHP policy.

Two significant required components of reassessments, as listed in MHP policy, which are absent from the CANS-50 are "A complete diagnosis, including any changes" and "Determination of current need for Intensive Care Coordination (ICC) and Intensive Home-Based Services (IHBS)."

Further, MHP policy "has determined that only Licensed Practitioners of the Healing Arts (LPHAs) may conduct assessments and assign a diagnosis," whereas anyone certified by the Praed Foundation including, "health and mental health providers, child welfare case workers, probation officers, and family advocates"¹⁸ may complete a CANS-50 assessment.

Line number ¹⁹. The most recent Assessment update provided by the MHP was dated ²⁰. In response to requests for an updated assessment, MHP submitted a CANS-50 assessment dated ²¹. However, as mentioned under Line ²², the CANS-50 is not a comprehensive assessment, as required by MHP policy.

Line number ²³. The assessment MHP provided was dated ²⁴. In response to requests for an updated assessment, MHP submitted a CANS-50 assessment dated ²⁵.

¹³ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁷ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁸ Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths Standard CANS Comprehensive 3.0 Ages 6 through 20, 2021, p. 5.

¹⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

²⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

²¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

²² Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

²³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

²⁴ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

²⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

Line number ²⁶**.** The assessment MHP provided was dated ²⁷. The current assessment should be dated on or by ²⁸. In response to requests for an updated assessment, MHP submitted a CANS-50 assessment dated ²⁹.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.2.1:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that:

- 1) Describes how the MHP will ensure that assessments are completed in accordance with the initial timeliness and update frequency requirements specified in the MHP's written documentation standards.
- 2) Planned Specialty Mental Health Services are not claimed in the absence of an assessment that substantiates those services.

FINDING 8.2.2:

One or more of the assessments reviewed did not address all of the required elements specified in the MHP Contract. Specifically:

- a) Medications, including medication for medical conditions, and documentation of adverse reactions: Line number ³⁰. There is no documentation of medications, as required by MHP policy, within the assessment dated ³¹.
- b) A Mental Status Examination: **Line number** ³². All items under the Mental Status Exam heading are blank on the assessment dated ³³.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.2.2:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that every assessment addresses all of the required elements specified in the MHP Contract with the Department.

FINDING 8.2.3:

One or more of the assessments reviewed did not include the signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent) that includes the person's professional degree, licensure, job title, or the date the documentation was entered into the medical record. Specifically:

²⁶ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

²⁷ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

²⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

²⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

³⁰ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

³¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

³² Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

³³ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

- The signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent)
 - Line numbers ³⁴.
- The type of professional degree, licensure, or job title of person providing the service:
 - Line numbers ³⁵.
- The date the documentation was entered in the medical record:
 - Line numbers ³⁶.

In response to follow-up questions concerning the provider's missing signature, title, and date, the MHP provided evidence of the required elements recorded within their EHR as well as the following written response; "This was discussed and demonstrated during our Zoom Meeting. The Signature is not on the Assessment itself but is available in another portion of the EHR."

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.2.3:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that all documentation includes:

- 1) The signature (or electronic equivalent) with the professional degree, licensure or title of the person providing the service.
- 2) The signature of the qualified person (or electronic equivalent) with the professional degree, licensure or title of the person providing the service.
- 3) The date the signature was completed and the document was entered into the medical record.

Medication Consent

FINDING 8.3.1:

The provider did not obtain and retain a current written medication consent form signed by the beneficiary agreeing to the administration of each prescribed psychiatric medication, and there was no documentation in the medical record of a written explanation regarding the beneficiary's refusal or unavailability to sign the medication consent:

Line number ³⁷: Although there was a written medication consent form in the medical record, there was no specific medication consent form for Risperidone.

³⁴ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

³⁵ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

³⁶ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

³⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

The MHP was given the opportunity to locate the medication consent in question and submitted documentation, in a ³⁸ psychiatry progress note, of the beneficiary expressing interest in Risperidone; however, this occurred prior to the onset of COVID-19 and Executive Order N-55-20, dated 4/23/20, which waived the requirement for client signatures on psychiatric medication consents.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.3.1:

The MHP shall submit a CAP to address actions it will implement to ensure that a written medication consent form is obtained and retained for each medication prescribed and administered under the direction of the MHP.

FINDING 8.3.2:

Written medication consents did not contain all of the required elements specified in the MHP Contract with the Department. The following required elements were not documented on the medication consent form, and/or documented to have been reviewed with the beneficiary, and/or provided in accompanying written materials to the beneficiary:

Duration of taking the medication: Every prescription for **Line numbers** ³⁹ had a duration of "ongoing," which does not describe a specific increment of time.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.3.2:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that every medication consent process addresses all of the required elements specified in the MHP Contract with the Department.

Client Plans FINDING 8.4.2a:

The medical record did not include services that were sufficient to adequately "achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished". Specifically:

• Line number ⁴⁰: The current Client Plan did not contain services sufficient to reasonably achieve the purpose and goals documented on the Plan.

Following the virtual onsite review, the MHP submitted upon request evidence of an ICC/IHBS determination completed on ⁴¹, indicating the "child would

³⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

³⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴⁰ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

benefit from receiving medically necessary ICC and/or IHBS service;" however, ICC/IHBS services were not included on the current Client Plan, also dated ⁴², nor was there evidence that those services were provided at any time during the chart review period.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.4.2a:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that all Client Plans and actual services provided include interventions sufficient to reasonably attain the purpose and goals documented on the Plan.

FINDING 8.4.2b:

Services claimed and documented on the beneficiary's progress notes were not sufficient and consistent in amount, duration or scope with those documented on the beneficiary's current Client Plan. Specifically:

- Line number ⁴³. Interventions documented on the ⁴⁴ Client Plan included Individual Therapy, Individual Rehabilitation, Case Management, and Socialization Group up to once per week, Collateral and Group Therapy up to twice per week, and Medication Support Services to be determined by medication support staff. However, an assessment on ⁴⁵ was the only service provided in July and crisis intervention on ⁴⁶ was the only service provided in August.
- Line number ⁴⁷. Interventions documented on the ⁴⁸ Client Plan included Individual Therapy and Case Management up to once per week, Group Therapy and Collateral up to twice per week, and Medication Support to be determined by medication support staff. During the month of September the only service provided was Medication Support on ⁴⁹.
- Line number ⁵⁰. Interventions documented on the ⁵¹ Client Plan included Individual Therapy once per week and Individual Rehabilitation, Collateral, and Case Management up to twice per week. The only service provided in July

⁴² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴⁴ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁴⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵⁰ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

was a single Collateral session, dated ⁵², and no services were provided in September.

During the meeting with the MHP, additional information was requested, however, the MHP did not provide evidence that the proposed services for Line numbers ⁵³ were being provided at the planned frequency.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.4.2b:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that services are provided in the amount, duration, and scope as specified in the Individualized Client Plan for each beneficiary.

FINDING 8.4.3a:

One or more client plans was not updated at least annually and/or when there were significant changes in the beneficiary's condition. Specifically:

- Line number ⁵⁴: There was a <u>lapse</u> between the prior and current Client Plans. However, this occurred outside of the audit review period.
 - **Line number ⁵⁵.** The prior Client Plan expired on ⁵⁶; the current Client Plan was completed on ⁵⁷.
 - **Line number** ⁵⁸. The prior Client Plan expired on ⁵⁹; the current Client Plan was completed on ⁶⁰.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.4.3a:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that Client Plans are updated at least on an annual basis, as required by the MHP Contract with the Department, and within the timelines and frequency specified in the MHP's written documentation standards.

FINDING 8.4.4:

Client Plans did not include all of the required elements identified in the MHP Contract. Specifically:

⁵² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵⁴ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵⁵ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵⁷ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵⁸ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁵⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

- One or more goal/treatment objectives was not specific, observable, and/or quantifiable and related to the beneficiary's mental health needs and identified functional impairments.
 - Line number ⁶¹. The beneficiary, diagnosed with a severe and persistent mental illness (SPMI), had the following treatment objectives, "[Client] will maintain his happiness at a 5 or higher 7 out of 7 days per week," and "[Client] will stop and think before he makes a financial decision 100% of the time. These treatment objectives lack specificity in addressing the identified functional impairments, despite the use of numerical rating for the occurrence of happiness and cognitive control.
- One or more proposed interventions did not include an expected frequency or frequency range that was specific enough.
 - Line numbers ⁶². For each of the preceding line numbers the expected frequency for medication support services was documented as "to be determined by" the treating psychiatrist or medication support staff.

The MHP responded during the virtual onsite review and in writing, "This was discussed during our Zoom meeting, our Therapists feel it is out of their scope to determine the frequency of Medication Support Services and leave that up to the Psychiatrist to determine." The MHP further explained during the virtual onsite review that staff psychiatrists may enter this information in their progress notes. The MHP was given the opportunity to locate additional documentation, but did not provide evidence of psychiatry progress notes documenting the planned frequency of services.

- One or more client plan was not consistent with the qualifying diagnosis.
 - Line number ⁶³. "Attention Deficit Disorder Combined Presentation" (ADHD) was the primary, and only, diagnosis recorded on the ⁶⁴ Client Assessment, based on several identified symptoms meeting DSM criteria. Two Client Plans completed on ⁶⁵ and ⁶⁶ reflected the ADHD diagnosis.

However, the ADHD diagnosis was incongruent with the explanation for medical necessity in the concluding paragraph of the 2019 assessment which states, "This writer is making an initial diagnosis of Attention Deficit Disorder Combined Presentation F90.2. Client meets medical necessity in that he becomes aggressive and has meltdowns, and lacks social interaction skills." The concluding paragraph in the 2019 assessment

⁶² Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶⁴ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶¹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

appeared to address symptoms of conduct disorder, oppositional and defiant behavior, and "sexualized behavior," as well as concerns for harm to self or others, which was also documented in the Client Assessment but not diagnosed.

Consistent with the aforementioned statement meeting medical necessity, the 2019 and 2020 Client Plan goals and objectives focused on anger management, conflict resolution, and emotional dysregulation, and not on ADHD symptomology.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.4.4:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that:

- 1) Client plan goals/treatment objectives are specific, observable and/or quantifiable and relate to the beneficiary's documented mental health needs and functional impairments as a result of the mental health diagnosis.
- 2) Mental health interventions proposed on client plans indicate both an expected frequency and duration for each intervention.
- 3) Client plans are consistent with the qualifying diagnosis.

FINDING 8.4.7:

There was no documentation of the beneficiary's or legal representative's degree of participation in and agreement with the Client Plan, and there was no written explanation of the beneficiary's refusal or unavailability to sign the Plan, if a signature was required by the MHP Contract with the Department and/or by the MHP's written documentation standards:

• Line number ⁶⁷: Although the signature requirement for client plans have been temporarily waived during the COVID-19 public health emergency, DHCS's May 20, 2020 guidelines for behavioral health programs during COVID-19 stipulated, "If a signature cannot be obtained, for any reason, the reason for the missing signature should be documented in the client record."⁶⁸

For Line number ⁶⁹, the beneficiary's signature was not present on the Client Plan, dated ⁷⁰, and there was no supporting documentation within the medical record of the beneficiary's participation in and agreement with the Client Plan.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.4.7:

⁶⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁶⁸ Department of Health Care Services, Behavioral Health Information Notice No: 20-009, p. 18

⁶⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that each beneficiary's participation in and agreement with all client plans are obtained and documented.

FINDING 8.4.11:

Line numbers ⁷¹: There was no documentation on the current Client Plan that the beneficiary or legal guardian was offered a copy of the Client Plan.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.4.11:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will:

- 1) Ensure that there is documentation on the Client Plan substantiating that the beneficiary was offered a copy of the Client Plan.
- 2) Submit evidence that the MHP has an established process to document that each beneficiary is offered a copy of their current Client Plan.

FINDING 8.4.12:

One or more Client Plan did not include the signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent) and the date the documentation was entered into the medical record. Specifically:

- 1) **Line numbers** ⁷²: Missing Provider's completion date on the Initial Client Plan (date provider completed the Plan).
- 2) **Line numbers** ⁷³: Missing Provider's completion date on the Update Client Plan (date provider completed the Plan).
- 3) **Line numbers** ⁷⁴: Missing Provider's signature on the Initial Client Plan (or electronic equivalent).
- 4) **Line numbers** ⁷⁵: Missing Provider's signature on the Update Client Plan (or electronic equivalent).

In response to several questions regarding the lack of provider signatures on client plans, MHP staff demonstrated that they have an internal process of finalizing assessments, but currently have no capability for that signature to be displayed either in the EHR or on a printed copy of the assessment.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.4.12:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that all documentation includes:

⁷¹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷² Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷⁴ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷⁵ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

- 1) The date of service.
- 2) The provider signature (or electronic equivalent) with the professional degree, licensure, or job title.
- 3) The date the provider completed the document and entered it into the medical record, as evidenced by a signature date (or electronic equivalent).

Progress Notes

FINDING 8.5.2:

Progress notes did not include all required elements specified in the MHP Contract, and/or were not in accordance with the MHP's written documentation standards. Specifically:

- Line numbers ⁷⁶. One or more progress notes were not completed within the MHP's written timeliness standard of within the same day of service and no later than 48 hours after provision of service if unavoidable circumstances necessitate a late entry. 54 (19 percent) of all progress notes reviewed were completed late (81% compliance).
- Line numbers ⁷⁷. Progress note "Completion Timeliness" could not be determined because the provider signed but did not date the note. Therefore, the note was considered late.16 (1 percent) of all progress notes reviewed did not include provider signature completion date or electronic equivalent (99% compliance).
- Line numbers ⁷⁸. One or more progress notes did not match its corresponding claim in terms of amount of time to provide services: The service time documented on the Progress Note was less than the time claimed, or the service time was entirely missing on the Progress Note. **RR8b3, refer to Recoupment Summary for details.**
 - o Documented time on progress notes did not match corresponding claims.
 - Line number ⁷⁹. The documented time on one progress note did not match the corresponding claim.
 - The ⁸⁰ claim for assessment services was for ⁸¹ minutes.
 However, both the ⁸² Annual Re-Assessment document and

⁷⁶ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷⁸ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁷⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸¹ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

the corresponding ⁸³ Assessment progress note documented ⁸⁴ total minutes.

- Line number ⁸⁵. The documented time on three progress notes did not match their corresponding claims.
 - The ⁸⁶ claim for crisis intervention services was for ⁸⁷ minutes. However, the ⁸⁸ crisis intervention progress note, signed and completed on ⁸⁹, documented ⁹⁰ total elapsed minutes.
 - The ⁹¹ claim for plan development services was for ⁹² minutes. However, the ⁹³ treatment planning progress note documented ⁹⁴ total minutes.
 - The ⁹⁵ claim for individual rehabilitation services was for ⁹⁶ minutes. However, the ⁹⁷ individual rehabilitation progress note documented a total time of ⁹⁸ minutes.
- Line number ⁹⁹. The documented time on three progress notes did not match their corresponding claims.
 - The ¹⁰⁰ claim for case management services was for ¹⁰¹ minutes. However, the ¹⁰² case management progress note, signed and completed on ¹⁰³, documented ¹⁰⁴ minutes.

⁸³ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸⁴ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸⁵ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸⁷ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁸⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹⁰ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

 ⁹² Minute(s) removed for confidentiality
 ⁹³ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹⁴ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹⁶ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹⁷ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹⁸ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

⁹⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰¹ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰³ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰⁴ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

- The ¹⁰⁵ claim for medication support services was for ¹⁰⁶ minutes. However, the ¹⁰⁷ nursing note documented ¹⁰⁸ total minutes.
- The ¹⁰⁹ claim for case management services was for ¹¹⁰ minutes. However, the ¹¹¹ case management progress note, signed and completed on ¹¹², documented the time of service from ¹¹³ to ¹¹⁴ and ¹¹⁵ minutes of note time for ¹¹⁶ total minutes.

0

- MHP provided the following written response for the Lines ¹¹⁷, "These items were addressed in our Zoom Meeting. Our Fiscal department has looked into these items and is unsure about what happened when the billings crossed into the state system as in our systems the minutes billed matched the service activity." No further documentation was provided to clarify the discrepancy in the units of time submitted with the claims versus the units of time recorded on progress notes.
- The psychiatry progress notes for **Line numbers** ¹¹⁸ had no recorded unit of time on the progress notes.
 - Line number ¹¹⁹: psychiatry notes dated ¹²⁰
 - Line number ¹²¹: psychiatry notes dated ¹²²
 - Line number ¹²³: psychiatry note dated ¹²⁴

¹⁰⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰⁶ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰⁷ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰⁸ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁰⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹⁰ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹³ Time removed for confidentiality ¹¹⁴ Time removed for confidentiality

¹¹⁴ Time removed for confidentiality

¹¹⁵ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality ¹¹⁶ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹⁸ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹¹⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²¹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²⁴ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

- Line number ¹²⁵: psychiatry notes dated ¹²⁶
- Line number ¹²⁷: psychiatry notes dated ¹²⁸
- Line number ¹²⁹: psychiatry notes dated ¹³⁰
- Line number ¹³¹: psychiatry notes dated ¹³²
- Line number ¹³³: ¹³⁴
- MHP Progress Notes Policy No. 18-15 Revision 2, states progress notes are to document duration of service. In response to the missing units of time the MHP has submitted screen shots of their EHR depicting a "Client Chart" tab and the heading "Activity History" which has recorded the date, start and ending times of provided Medication Support services, and a staff number.

While the date and times listed correspond with the claims, it could not be ascertained from submitted documentation if the staff number on the submitted screen shot belongs to the treating psychiatrists who completed the progress notes.

- Line numbers ¹³⁵. One or more progress note was missing the provider's signature (or electronic equivalent).
 - In response to questions regarding the lack of this specific provider's signature on progress notes, MHP staff acknowledged that they currently do not have the capability for the provider's signature to be displayed either in the EHR or on a printed copy of the progress note.
- Line numbers ¹³⁶. One or more progress note was missing the provider's professional degree, licensure or job title.30 (13 percent) of all progress notes reviewed did not include the provider's professional degree, licensure or job title (87% compliance).
 - In response to questions regarding the specific provider's professional degree, licensure or job title, the MHP acknowledged that the provider's

¹²⁵ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹²⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³¹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³² Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³⁴ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³⁵ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³⁶ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

actual credentials are not present on the documents themselves, or accessible within the EHR.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.5.2:

- 1) The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that the MHP has written documentation standards for progress notes, including timeliness and frequency, as required by the MHP Contract with the Department.
- 2) The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that progress notes document:
 - Timely completion and relevant aspects of client care, as specified in the MHP Contract with the Department and by the MHP's written documentation standards.
 - Date the progress note was completed and entered into the medical record in order to determine completion timeliness, as specified in the MHP Contract with the Department.
 - The provider's/providers' professional degree, licensure or job title.
 - The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that both service dates and times recorded on progress notes match their corresponding claims.

FINDING 8.5.3:

Documentation of services provided to, or on behalf of, a beneficiary by one or more persons at one point in time did not include all required components. Specifically:

 Line number ¹³⁷. A claim for one Group Psychotherapy session was not properly apportioned to all group participants. The ¹³⁸ Group Therapy note for Line ¹³⁹ has a documented duration of ¹⁴⁰ minutes and a corresponding claim for ¹⁴¹ minutes. However, three group members are present. The claim for the beneficiary should be for ¹⁴² minutes. RR12, refer to Recoupment Summary for details.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.5.3:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will ensure that progress notes contain accurate and complete documentation of claimed service activities, that

¹³⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹³⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴⁰ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴¹ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴² Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

the documentation is consistent with services claimed, and that services are not claimed when billing criteria are not met.

FINDING 8.5.4:

Progress notes were not documented according to the frequency requirements specified in the MHP Contract. Specifically:

- Line numbers ¹⁴³: There was no progress note in the medical record for the services claimed. **RR8a, refer to Recoupment Summary for details**.
 - Line ¹⁴⁴. There was no progress note for a mental health service (service function 30) provided on ¹⁴⁵ for ¹⁴⁶ minutes. The MHP submitted a progress note for case management (service function 1) for ¹⁴⁷ minutes for that date.
 - Line ¹⁴⁸. There was no progress note for a mental health service (service function 30) provided on ¹⁴⁹ for ¹⁵⁰ minutes. The MHP submitted a progress note for case management (service function 1) for ¹⁵¹ minutes for that date.
 - Line ¹⁵². There were no progress notes provided for two targeted case management claims, both dated ¹⁵³, for ¹⁵⁴ minutes and ¹⁵⁵ minutes respectively. In response to a questions sent following the virtual onsite review, the MHP responded, "During our Zoom call the date of service that was discussed for this item was ¹⁵⁶ (note: this is the incorrect date) so a screen shot of our service activity for this date was uploaded to MoveIT." The date of these services was ¹⁵⁷ not ¹⁵⁸. The screen shot erroneously

¹⁴³ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴⁴ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴⁶ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴⁷ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴⁸ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁴⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵⁰ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵¹ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵² Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵³ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵⁴ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵⁵ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵⁷ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁵⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

demonstrated a service provided on ¹⁵⁹ for ¹⁶⁰ minutes, and did not address the two claims dated ¹⁶¹ for ¹⁶² minutes and ¹⁶³ minutes.

The MHP was given the opportunity to locate the document(s) in question but did not provide written evidence of the document(s) in the medical record.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.5.4:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how the MHP will:

- 1) Ensure that all Specialty Mental Health Services claimed are:
 - a) Documented in the medical record.
 - b) Actually provided to the beneficiary.
 - c) Claimed for the correct service modality billing code, and units of time.
- 2) Ensure that all progress notes:
 - a) Describe the type of service or service activity, the date of service and the amount of time to provide the service, as specified in the MHP Contract with the Department.

Provision of ICC Services and IHBS for Children and Youth

FINDING 8.6.1:

- The medical record associated with the following Line number(s) did not contain evidence that the beneficiary received an individualized determination of eligibility and need for ICC services and IHBS, and that if appropriate, such services were included in their Client Plan:
 - Line number ¹⁶⁴. The Medical Necessity Determination and Recommendation for Level of Service dated ¹⁶⁵ documented that the beneficiary was "Placed out of home by CPS or Probation" indicating the beneficiary met eligibility criteria for ICC services and IHBS; however, these services were not included in the ¹⁶⁶ Client Plan.

The MHP responded to requests for additional evidence by stating that the evidence of determination for Line ¹⁶⁷ was "Uploaded to MoveIT;" however, the uploaded "Lassen County ICC & IHBS Eligibility" form was signed as completed

¹⁵⁹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶⁰ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶² Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶³ Minute(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶⁴ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶⁵ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶⁶ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶⁷ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

on ¹⁶⁸, which is well after the review period of July 1, 2020 through September 30, 2020.

• Line number ¹⁶⁹. The beneficiary, diagnosed with SPMI, was assessed as having a probability of significant deterioration in five out of five important areas of life functioning on the ¹⁷⁰ Medical Necessity Determination and Recommendation for Level of Service form indicating the beneficiary met eligibility criteria for ICC services and IHBS. In addition, the beneficiary was hospitalized at Restpadd early in the review period, from July 10th through the 13th, indicating a potential need for ICC and IHBS evaluation; however, these services were not included in the ¹⁷¹ Client Plan.

The MHP responded to requests for additional evidence by stating the evidence of determination for Line ¹⁷² was "Uploaded to MoveIT;" however, no such document was found among the uploaded files following the virtual onsite review.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN 8.6.1:

The MHP shall submit a CAP that describes how it will ensure that each beneficiary under age 22 who is authorized to receive Specialty Mental Health Services also receives an individualized determination of eligibility and need for ICC Service and IHBS prior to or during the development of the beneficiary's Initial Client Plan.

¹⁶⁸ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁶⁹ Line number(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁷⁰ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁷¹ Date(s) removed for confidentiality

¹⁷² Line number(s) removed for confidentiality