Document B: Readability and Suitability Checklist for Written Health Education Materials Title of Material: Main Topic: Developed by: Target Audience: Date Brochure: Developed Adapted: Date Brochure: Revised Reviewed: Poster Format of Material: Flyer Brochure Booklet Other: This material is exempt from review and does not require the Checklist. (See Document B) Yes, describe why: Somewhat Not A. CONTENT REQUIREMENTS Met² Met³ NA 1. Non-clinical content is accurate and up-to-date: 2. Number of concepts/messages is limited to 2-3 per page: 3. Sentences are simple: 4. Technical terms are defined: 5. Material is written in an active voice: 6. Meets 6th grade reading level requirement: Reading Level: Method used: Flesh Reading Fry Gunning Fog SMOG Other: 7. Material does not use the word "free" in reference to cost sharing: 8. Focuses on specific actions (behaviors): **B. LAYOUT REQUIREMENTS** 1. Blocks of text are in Serif font and are ≥ 12 point for general audiences or \geq 14 point for seniors or persons with impaired vision: 2. All capital letters are used only for headings, subheadings, and content emphasis, and when grammatically correct: 3. There is adequate white space (\sim 30%): 4. The layout guides the reader with headings, bullets/numbers, font formatting and size:

ALL PLAN LETTER 18-016

July 2018

¹ A provision is *met* when 85-100% of the material being assessed meets the expected standards or specific criteria.

² A provision is **somewhat met** when 65-84% of the material being assessed meets the expected standards or specific criteria.

³ A provision is *not met* when 0-64% of the material being assessed meets the expected standards or specific criteria.

Document B: Readability and Suitability Checklist for Written Health Education Materials Somewhat Not Met Met Met NA 5. Main points, phone numbers, and program names are emphasized using bold, boxes, or increased font size: 6. There is appropriate contrast between the print and background colors: C. VISUAL REQUIREMENTS 1. Visuals are relevant to the accompanying text: 2. Visuals are simple and uncluttered: 3. People or equivalent visual presentation of people and activities are representative of the intended audience: D. CULTURAL APPROPRIATENESS REQUIREMENTS 1. Visuals are culturally appropriate for the intended audience (material is not offensive, does not reinforce stereotypes, and is inclusive in representation): 2. Content is culturally appropriate for the intended audience (provides culturally meaningful information such as "how to" advice and examples): 3. Topic-specific cultural relevance is reflected where applicable (such as food and exercise habits of the intended audience): 4. Materials created by the MCP are available in alternative formats upon request: **E. FIELD-TESTING** MCP qualified health educator determines if field-testing is needed for this material. 1. Was this material field-tested? Yes Month/Year material was field-tested: No, Explain why: 2. Type of field-testing conducted: Focus Groups Individual Member Interviews Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Review Other: 3. Total # of participants in focus groups, interviews, or CAC: 4. Description of participants (ethnicity, language spoken, disabilities, etc.): 5. Summary of field-testing results:

ALL PLAN LETTER 18-016 July 2018

Document B: Readability and Suitability Checklist for Written Health Education Materials

F. MEDICAL CONTENT REVIEW				
MCP qualified health educator determines if material requires clinical review to verify medical accuracy. If not required, check N/A.	Met	Somewhat Met	Not Met	NA
1. Clinical content is medically accurate:				
2. Clinical content is up-to-date:				
3. Primary Medical Content Reviewer or Medical Review Committee:				
Physician NP/PA/RN Pharmacist Other:				
G. TRANSLATED/NON-ENGLISH MATERIALS				
Keep translation documentation on file for auditing purposes. This material is available in the following languages (in addition to English):				
Arabic Armenian Chinese Farsi Hmong Khr	ner	☐ Kor	ean	
Russia Spanish Tagalog Vietnamese Other (specify):				
Translation was reviewed by at least one other person who knows the language, besides the translator: Yes No				
H. QUALIFIED HEALTH EDUCATOR'S SIGNATURE Material must be reviewed for readability and suitability standards at least every 5 years.				
☐ Initial Review ☐ Subsequent (5-Year) Review				
Material Review and Certification				
Approved: If any provisions are marked "somewhat met" and/or "not met", justify why the document was approved. (e.g. there was just 1 "not met" provision and one "somewhat met" provision, and all the other provisions were met; there is little information on the topic, and it sufficiently captures the main points needed on the topic, despite an 11-point font, etc.):				
Not Approved				
My signature below certifies that this material was reviewed, as stated, and if approved, meets DHCS criteria.				
Print Name: Title:				
Signature: Date:				
DHCS Health Education Consultant (For MCPs without a qualified health educator):				
Print Name:				
Signature: Date:				

ALL PLAN LETTER 18-016

July 2018