

## Contents

Cover Sheet.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Evaluation Criteria .....	4
Instructions .....	7
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 1: Delivery System Infrastructure .....	9
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 2: ECM Provider Capacity Building .....	16
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 3: Community Supports Provider Capacity Building & Take-Up .....	30
Submission 2-B Measures .....	37

## Cover Sheet

### *Response Required to this Section*

This document outlines instructions for completing the Payment 2 Progress Report submissions.

When submitting Payment 2 responses, managed care plans (MCPs) should include: (1) the MCP name; and (2) the county to which this Gap Assessment Progress Report applies in the header of their submission (header should repeat across all pages except Page 1). MCPs should also include a Cover Sheet with tables as shown below.

MCPs that operate in multiple counties will need to submit a separate Progress Report for each county in which they operate.

1. Details of Progress Report	
<b>MCP Name</b>	Inland Empire Health Plan
<b>MCP County</b>	Riverside
<b>Is County a Former Whole Person Care (WPC) Pilots or Health Homes Program (HHP) County?</b>	Yes
<b>Program Year (PY) / Calendar Year (CY)</b>	Program Year 1 / Calendar Year 2022 Payment 2 (Submission 2-A and Submission 2-B)
<b>Reporting Periods</b>	Submission 2-A: January 1, 2022 – June 30, 2022 Submission 2-B: July 1, 2022 – December 31, 2022

2. Primary Point of Contact for This Gap Assessment Progress Report	
<b>First and Last Name</b>	
<b>Title/Position</b>	
<b>Phone</b>	
<b>Email</b>	

*End of Section*

## Introduction

The CalAIM Incentive Payment Program (IPP) is intended to support the implementation and expansion of Enhanced Care Management (ECM) and Community Supports by incentivizing MCPs, in accordance with 42 CFR Section 438.6(b), to drive MCP delivery system investment in provider capacity and delivery system infrastructure; bridge current silos across physical and behavioral health care service delivery; reduce health disparities and promote health equity; achieve improvements in quality performance; and encourage take-up of Community Supports.

### IPP Payment 1

To qualify for Payment 1 of the IPP, MCPs submitted the Needs Assessment and the Gap-Filling Plan in January 2022. The Needs Assessment was intended to provide a “point in time” understanding of ECM and Community Supports infrastructure and provider capacity prior to launch. The Gap-Filling Plan—which MCPs were to develop in conjunction with local partners—outlines MCPs’ approaches to addressing gaps identified in the Needs Assessment.

DHCS issued Payment 1 to MCPs in April 2022 on an interim basis, based on DHCS’ review and acceptance of Submission 1. MCPs must demonstrate progress on activities outlined in their Gap-Filling Plan to fully earn Payment 1. MCPs were evaluated based on the set of measures they submitted as part of their Needs Assessment and Gap-Filling Plan to determine acceptance of Submission 1. Points for each measure were either credited in full, or not credited, unless the measure is noted as having a tiered evaluation approach. For the measures that are evaluated using a tiered approach, MCPs received the specified number of points within each tier for having completed the activity associated with each tier, as detailed in the Measure Set and Reporting Template. All other measures do not use a tiered approach and MCPs received either full or no credit for the measures. For counties where ECM and Community Supports have gone live as of June 30, 2022, Payment 2 measures that determine whether Payment 1 is fully earned are outlined in the Progress Report.<sup>1</sup> Please refer to the IPP [All Plan Letter](#) (APL) and IPP [FAQ](#) for more information.

---

<sup>1</sup> Applies only to counties where ECM and Community Supports have gone live as of June 30, 2022. For counties where ECM and Community Supports will not have gone live until July 1, 2022, performance improvement must be shown during the July – December 2022 reporting period.

## IPP Payment 2

For Payment 2 and beyond, MCPs will submit Progress Reports to demonstrate their progress against the Gap-Filling Plans that were developed for Payment 1 submissions. All measures in the Progress Reports build on the requirements contained in the Needs Assessment, Gap-Filling Plan, and associated APL and are referenced, where appropriate, throughout. Before beginning work on the Progress Reports, MCPs should review these documents to ensure complete and robust responses.

*End of Section*

## Evaluation Criteria

### Measure Criteria

Payment to MCPs is based on the successful completion of reporting and performance against measures in the Progress Report. The Progress Report materials indicate performance targets and point allocations for each measure. MCPs may earn no, partial, or all points on measures, as indicated.

Each measure in the Progress Report is assigned to one of the following Program Priority Areas, with a fourth Quality Program Priority Area that is allocated between Priority Areas 2-3:

1. Delivery System Infrastructure;
2. ECM Provider Capacity Building; and
3. Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up

### Points Structure

MCPs can earn a maximum of 1,000 points for Submission 2-A. If an MCP achieves only a subset of these points, it will earn a partial payment. A total of 700 points is assigned between the mandatory and optional<sup>2</sup> measures in Program Priority Areas 1-3. MCPs may allocate the remaining 300 points across Program Priority Areas 1-3; points from this discretionary allocation are earned proportionately based on performance.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> MCPs are required to report on a minimum number of optional measures.

<sup>3</sup> For example, if an MCP allocates 100 points to Priority Area 1 and earns 90% of the Priority Area 1 points, it will earn 90 of those 100 discretionary points.

(Added Spring 2023) MCPs will earn Payment 2 based on their total points achieved across Submission 2-A and Submission 2-B. MCPs can earn a maximum of 120 points for Submission 2-B. If an MCP achieves only a subset of these points, it will earn a partial payment. A total of 120 points is assigned to mandatory measures in Program Priority Areas 1-3.

**MCPs must indicate their discretionary allocation distribution in their submission response for Submission 2-A (does not need to be in table format). Allocations for this submission do not need to align with allocation ratios in other IPP submissions.**

Priority Area	Mandatory Measures	Optional Quality Measures (Priority Area #4)	Discretionary Allocations
<b>1. Delivery System Infrastructure</b>	Up to <b><u>200</u></b> points	<i>None</i>	<i>100</i>
<b>2. Enhanced Care Management (ECM) Provider Capacity Building</b>	Up to <b><u>170</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>30</u></b> points	<i>100</i>
<b>3. Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up</b>	Up to <b><u>250</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>50</u></b> points	<i>100</i>
<b>Category Totals</b>	Up to <b><u>620</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>80</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>300</u></b> points
<b>TOTAL</b>	Up to <b><u>1,000</u></b> points		

DHCS may, at its sole discretion, consider granting exceptions in limited cases where the MCP makes a compelling request to DHCS to allocate more than 30% to the MCP's selected Program Priority Area (i.e., by allocating dollars from another priority area). MCPs requesting to allocate more than 300 points must respond to the following prompt; otherwise, leave blank:

**(OPTIONAL) Describe preferred allocation methodology, including how many points would be allocated to each measure (where different from above), and reason for requesting an allocation different from that above. (100 word limit)**

*End of Section*

## Instructions

MCPs must submit the Submission 2-A Gap-Filling Progress Report to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) by **Thursday, September 1, 2022**.

Please reach out to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) if you have any questions. (Added Spring 2023) MCPs must submit the Submission 2-B Progress Report to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) by March 15, 2023 for the measurement period beginning July 1, 2022 and ending December 31, 2022.

## Progress Report Format

The Progress Report consists of two documents: the Narrative Report (as outlined in this Word document) and an accompanying Quantitative Reporting Template (Excel document). The Narrative Report includes Appendices A-B, which contain additional information, instructions, and references that are applicable to the Submission 2-A Progress Report.

For Submission 2-A, MCPs are required to submit responses to the measures noted as mandatory and for a minimum number of optional<sup>4</sup> measures. **MCPs are permitted and encouraged to work closely with providers and other local partners on these measures and any ongoing strategic planning.**

Sections that require MCPs to submit a response are indicated under each header in this document with the phrase *"Response Required to This Section."* No response is required from MCPs to any other sections.

For Submission 2-B, all measures are mandatory and MCPs are required to submit responses to all measures.

## Narrative Responses

In response to the narrative measure prompts, MCPs should describe activities conducted during the measurement period of January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 for Submission 2-A, and during the measurement period of July 1, 2022 through December

---

<sup>4</sup> Refer to Appendix B for more information on responding to mandatory and optional measures.

31, 2022 for Submission 2-B (regardless of when the Gap-Filling Plan was submitted to and finalized with DHCS).

For several measures, attachments and supplemental information are required (e.g., meeting agendas). MCPs should include these attachments via email submissions.

For several measures, there are multipart narrative prompts within the measure. MCPs are required to respond to all parts of the question for their response to be considered complete.

For several measures, there are alternative narrative prompts within the measure to account for variances among counties (e.g., counties with and without recognized Tribes). MCPs must respond only to the question that is applicable to the county for which the Progress Report is being completed.

## Quantitative Responses

MCPs must submit responses for quantitative measures within the accompanying Quantitative Reporting Template (Excel document). MCPs should read the Instructions tab, follow the prompts in the reporting template, and enter responses accordingly.

For several measures, MCPs may need to use publicly available data sources and complete their own calculations to respond to some measure prompts. Examples of data sources that may be helpful in MCP responses include:

Source	Description	Link
California Department of Finance	Demographic data by county	<a href="https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/">https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/</a>
California Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency	Homeless Data Integration System (HDIS), which provides data on homelessness by county	<a href="https://bcsh.ca.gov/calich/hdis.html">https://bcsh.ca.gov/calich/hdis.html</a>

*End of Section*



## Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 1: Delivery System Infrastructure

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2.1.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory*

*40 Points Total*

*20 Points for the Quantitative Response*

*20 Points for the Narrative Response*

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

#### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with HIE capabilities to electronically store, manage, and securely exchange health information and other clinical documents with other care team members (i.e., with other providers outside of the ECM's practice, clinic or care setting). (100 word limit)

IEHP has met with several new providers and other non-traditional providers to increase the number of contracted providers, who also have the capability of electronically storing, managing, editing updating, and exchanging care plan information and clinical documents with other care team members. IEHP has added 11 additional care teams to its ECM Provider network since January 1, 2022 and all of them have the capability to electronically store, manage, and exchange care plan information and clinical documents via IEHP's care management platform (Care Director). Additionally, nine of IEHP's ten Riverside County ECM Providers are on the local HIE (Manifest MedEx).

## 2.1.2 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points Total  
20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response*

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. (100 word limit)

IEHP has met with several new providers and other non-traditional providers, including community-based organizations to increase the number of contracted providers, who also have access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. IEHP has added 11 additional care teams to its ECM Provider network since January 1, 2022 and all of them have access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan via the IEHP required web-based care management platform (Care Director).

## 2.1.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points Total  
20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response*

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with the information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS. (100 word limit)

IEHP has met with several new providers and other non-traditional providers to increase the number of contracted providers. IEHP has successfully updated the IEHP Provider Portal to allow for all ECM and Community Support (CS) Providers to submit claims and encounters as needed to meet the minimum reporting and regulatory requirements for ECM and CS. Currently 100% of ECM and CS Providers have the ability to submit a claim or invoice to IEHP, and have access to a system that can process and send a claim/encounter to IEHP, allowing IEHP to submit compliant encounter data to DHCS.

## 2.1.4 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Number and percentage point increase in contracted Community Supports providers for those Community Supports offered by the MCP starting January 1, 2022, or July 1, 2022, with access to closed-loop referral systems.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.1.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number and percentage point increase in behavioral health providers with contracts in place to provide ECM that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.1.6 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe progress against Gap-Filling Plan regarding identification of underserved populations and the ECM providers to which they are assigned. Response should provide detail regarding which populations are underserved, the methodology used to identify them, the approach to assigning these members to an ECM provider, and any other relevant information regarding MCP activities completed and investments made. (100 word limit)

It is critical that ECM services be delivered to eligible health plan Members in a way that supports health equity. IEHP has identified and recognized homeless individuals as a significant underserved population eligible for ECM and has created a robust algorithm inclusive of several health plan data sources for identifying them. Although the primary approach for assignment to ECM Provider is based upon where the Member's PCP is located, IEHP has contracted with multiple providers who specialize in outreach, engaging and serving this population, including beginning the initial onboarding of a street medicine provider to target this specific population.

## 2.1.7 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to enhance and develop needed ECM and Community Supports infrastructure and, if applicable, leverage existing Whole Person Care (WPC) capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support infrastructure building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for ECM and Community Supports. (100 word limit)

IEHP leveraged existing Riverside County WPC infrastructure to successfully transition to ECM, including being trained on new ECM requirements. IEHP has provided funding incentives to Riverside County through the CalAIM IPP to hire additional CHW's and expand its capacity to engage new eligible Members into ECM. IEHP also requires CHWs as part of its standard care team model and furthermore, IEHP funds CHWs in community-based organizations to help with Community Supports outreach and education. Additionally, IEHP has collaborated with both Molina Healthcare and Kaiser health plans on the development of an infrastructure that supports Community Supports services.

## 2.1.8 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe any progress to build physical plant (e.g., sobering centers) or other physical infrastructure to support the launch and continued growth of ECM and Community Supports benefits. This response should provide detail regarding how the MCP has contributed to this infrastructure building, including but not limited to: financial contributions, participation in planning committees, partnerships with other MCPs and other community entities engaged in infrastructure building, etc. Please exclude technology-related infrastructure (e.g., IT systems) from this response. (100 word limit)

IEHP routinely meets with Riverside County ECM Providers to build and enhance its partnership using a combination of regular meetings (educational/operational). IEHP also has a contract with Riverside County that includes funding to help with their sobering center. IEHP has regular outreach meetings with community partners and joint operation meetings with the hospitals and IPAs to discuss ECM and Community Supports to ensure that their high-risk Members are being referred for their needs. Additionally, IEHP continues to engage with local CBOs to discuss network needs (such as sobering centers) and expansion of services. This includes currently contracted CBOs.

### 2.1.9 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

#### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the Delivery System Infrastructure portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

#### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with other local partners within the county. Response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

With respect to delivery system infrastructure, and specifically related to ECM Provider capabilities, IEHP worked extensively with our IT vendor (Allscripts) to make system enhancements and adjustments to our web-based care management platform (Care Director) to be inclusive and compliant with ECM requirements. IEHP subsequently trained its entire ECM Provider network on new system requirements to ensure the infrastructure build met the needs of Providers and was in compliance with DHCS standards. Additionally, IEHP has successfully completed Phase 1 work with two large

ECM Providers on a bi-directional integration project between Care Director and a large EHR platform (EPIC).

*End of Section*

## Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 2: ECM Provider Capacity Building

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2.2.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted ECM care team full time employees (FTEs).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.2 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Reporting on racial and ethnic demographics of ECM care team FTEs for each Program Year 1 Populations of Focus relative to the racial and ethnic demographics of the beneficiaries in the Program Year 1 Populations of Focus.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number of Members receiving ECM.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*



## 2.2.4 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of Members across Program Year 1 Populations of Focus receiving ECM. Break out of Members across Program Year 1 Populations of Focus receiving ECM by race, ethnicity, and primary language.

ECM Populations of Focus for Year 1 / Calendar Year 2022 include:

- Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness
- High Utilizer Adults
- Adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) / Substance Use Disorder (SUD)
- Adults and Children/Youth Transitioning from Incarceration (WPC Pilot counties only)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points*

### Narrative Response Only

Narrative responses should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit per question below)

1. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to increase ECM provider capacity and MCP oversight capacity.
2. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to address ECM workforce, training, TA needs in county, including specific cultural competency needs by county.
3. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to support ECM provider workforce recruiting and hiring of necessary staff to build capacity.

4. List the MCP training and TA programs that have been provided to ECM providers, including details on when and where the trainings were held, how many people attended, and any organizations involved in the planning of training efforts. *NOTE: MCPs may submit relevant meeting minutes and attendee lists, training materials, and other attachments in lieu of a narrative response to Sub-Part 4.*

1. CalAIM Incentive Payment Program funding is being used to support the expansion of ECM Provider capacity and delivery system infrastructure. This includes ramp-up funding to new Providers and incentive funding to achieve milestones to facilitate successful ECM participation. During Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 2022, IEHP expanded to 6 additional Model 1 care teams and is currently in contract negotiations with an additional four (4) potential ECM providers, including a street medicine provider to target the ECM eligible homeless population, and ECM Providers with a hybrid virtual model that will pilot in rural areas.

2. IEHP continues to provide scheduled and on-demand training/technical assistance in topics that cover diverse clinical subjects which include focused curricula on health equity and cultural competency. All ECM providers (including all newly onboarded providers) receive training on new system requirements to ensure the infrastructure build meets the needs of Providers and is in compliance with DHCS standards. IEHP continuously assesses for new and ongoing training needs via participant feedback captured through practice coaching meetings, post-webinar discussions, leadership roundtables, bootcamps, and other relevant learning sessions.

3. For all newly onboarded ECM Providers, IEHP provides ramp-up funding for a 6-month period of time to assist the Provider with supporting the cost of recruiting and hiring a care team, (Nurse Care Manager, Behavioral Health Care Manager, Care Coordinator, and Community Health Worker) and developing infrastructure that will achieve the goals of ECM. IEHP will continue to support the expansion of the ECM Provider workforce by identifying those Providers with ECM eligible Populations of Focus and actively engaging them to become contracted ECM Providers.

4. IEHP has adapted the centralized Health Homes Program training program to align with ECM requirements. This adapted training is administered to clinic based, and IEHP regional ECM care teams (including WPC teams transitioning to ECM). The training program centers on a practice coaching model that is supplemented with regular webinars, learning sessions, leadership roundtables, and discipline-specific training calls. The training curriculum includes a broad range of

topics including core services, ECM procedures, and cultural competency. The trainings have been included in the attachments.

## 2.2.6 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Narrative responses should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners to achieve the below activities. Note that the strategic partnership requirements may be fulfilled through active and meaningful participation in the PATH Collaborative Planning Initiative—MCPs leveraging this must include details regarding any memoranda of understanding (MOUs), activities, and financial investments to support the PATH Collaborative Planning Initiative. (100 word limit per question below)

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding the establishment and maintenance of strategic partnerships with MCPs in the county and other organizations (*see narrative measure 1.2.6, sub-question 2*).
2. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding addressing health disparities through strategic partnerships (*see narrative measure 1.2.6, sub-question 3*).

### **AND**

Submission of (1) MOUs or other collaborative agreements and (2) quarterly meeting agendas and notes (including a list of which organizations attended).

1. IEHP continues to establish new and build on existing strategic partnerships with Providers in the Inland Empire. Many of these organizations are non-traditional Providers and require robust training and infrastructure support to participate in ECM. IEHP has engaged with at least six additional prospective ECM Providers using a combination of regular meetings (educational/operational) with the goal of maintaining and expanding Providers, CBOs, and other organizational types to provide ECM services. IEHP has met with Metropolitan Family Medical Clinics, UCR Health, Illumination Foundation, Healthcare in Action, MedZed, TruEvolution, Savas, and Pair Team in efforts to expand its ECM Provider Network.

2. IEHP has identified and recognized homeless individuals as a significantly underserved population eligible for ECM and thus has created a robust algorithm inclusive of several health plan data sources for identifying those that are experiencing homelessness. IEHP has contracted with multiple Providers that specialize in outreach, engaging, and serving this population, including the onboarding of a street medicine provider to specifically target those experiencing homelessness. Through IEHP's strategic partnership with Healthcare in Action, IEHP will have a street medicine team available to target specific areas of high-volume homelessness to help combat and address the health disparities of the homeless population.

## 2.2.7 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### Narrative Response & Materials Submission

MCPs must complete a narrative response for either of the prompt options below (and associated sub-components), as applicable. MCPs must also submit the required documents, as described below. (100 word limit)

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding collaborative work with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county to develop and support the provision of ECM services for members of Tribes in the county (*see narrative measure 1.2.7, sub-questions 2-3*). This response should include details on (1) concrete actions taken and investments made to demonstrate progress, (2) what issues have been identified in meetings facilitated to date, and (3) upcoming plans for meetings and other activities:
  - a. Working with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county on provider capacity.
  - b. Providing ECM services for members of Tribes in the county.

**OR**

1. For MCPs operating in counties *without* recognized Tribes, describe the approach taken to supporting culturally competent ECM services for members who receive Tribal services, including efforts to contract with Tribal providers in surrounding counties.

**AND**

Submission of MOUs or other collaborative agreements and associated agendas and/or meeting notes.

IEHP met with Riverside San Bernardino County Indian Health, Inc. (RSBCIHI) on 6/15/22 (and most recently on 8/11/22) to gauge interest and feasibility of joining IEHP's ECM Provider network. RSBCIHI has experienced staffing limitations due to COVID-19, however there is interest in starting the process for joining IEHP's ECM network. IEHP sent several program documents to RSBCIHI including information on IEHP's ECM web-based care management platform and a contract template to review IEHP's ECM requirements. As of 8/11/22, IEHP is pursuing contract negotiations with RSBCIHI to become an IEHP ECM Provider. Meeting minutes are attached from both meetings with RSBCIHI.

### 2.2.8 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to support ECM capacity expansion and, if applicable, leverage existing Whole Person Care (WPC) capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support ECM capacity building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue capacity and infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for ECM. (100 word limit)

IEHP has collaborated with Molina Healthcare on the transition to ECM since June of 2021, meeting bi-weekly to plan out the transition of HHP and WPC to ECM. IEHP leveraged existing Riverside County WPC infrastructure to successfully transition to ECM. All Riverside County WPC teams successfully transitioned to ECM, including being trained on ECM program requirements and the use of the care management platform (Care Director). IEHP has provided funding incentives to Riverside County through the CalAIM IPP to continue to build their capacity (including funding to hire additional CHWs as part of the care teams' model of care).

## 2.2.9 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### Quantitative Response

Baseline data for individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately<sup>5</sup> experiencing homelessness and who meet the Population of Focus definition: “people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions.”

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the steps taken to reach individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness and who meet the Population of Focus definition: “people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions.” Response should include details on what barriers have been identified in reaching these populations as well as concrete steps taken/investments made to address these barriers, including partnerships with local partners. (100 word limit)

IEHP has leveraged relationships built with community partners in IEHP’s Housing Program to identify and refer Members who may qualify for ECM to IEHP’s ECM Provider network. IEHP is also actively pursuing agreements with a street medicine provider (Healthcare In Action and UCR Hulen Place) to help target individuals who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness. Through IEHP’s Community Resource Centers and other forums, IEHP provides its community partners and providers with ECM brochures and other educational materials to help inform them of the benefits of ECM and how it can assist with their physical and behavioral health needs.

---

<sup>5</sup> MCPs must determine which racial/ethnic groups disproportionately experience homelessness in the county, not just identify which racial/ethnic groups have the highest counts of homelessness. This will require some basic calculations using publicly available data.

## 2.2.10 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response

Baseline data for individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who disproportionately<sup>6</sup> meet the Population of Focus definition (“individuals transitioning from incarceration who have significant complex physical or behavioral health needs requiring immediate transition of services to the community”) and who have been successfully outreached to and engaged by an ECM provider.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the steps taken to reach individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing transitions from incarceration settings and meet the Population of Focus definition: “individuals transitioning from incarceration who have significant complex physical or behavioral health needs requiring immediate transition of services to the community.” Response should include details on what barriers have been identified in reaching these populations and concrete steps taken/investments made to address these barriers, including partnerships with other community entities. (100 word limit)

Members transitioning from incarceration are identified in partnership with Riverside University Health System (RUHS) and the county probation and parole offices. Managed Care Plans in Riverside County are not alerted to inmate release. IEHP works with RUHS and local probation and parole offices to continue screening newly released individuals and to refer those individuals to Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) for Medi-Cal as needed. Further, IEHP will work with the same teams to develop standard protocols to identify individuals who have been recently released, are on probation, and who are likely to be eligible for both Medi-Cal and ECM.

---

<sup>6</sup> MCPs must determine which racial/ethnic groups disproportionately experience homelessness in the county, not just identify which racial/ethnic groups have the highest incarceration figures. This may require basic calculations using publicly available data or data obtained from county partners.

## 2.2.11 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted behavioral health full-time employees (FTEs)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.12 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Narrative Response Only

Has the MCP hired a full-time Health Equity Officer by July 1, 2022, who has the necessary qualifications or training at the time of hire or within 1 year of hire to meet the requirements of the position, as outlined in the MCP Procurement?

Reply "YES" with the date of hire if this measure has been met.

### **OR**

If this measure has not been met, reply "NO" with a description of concrete actions the MCP is taking to hire a full-time Health Equity Officer, including at a minimum (a) date when the job was posted, (b) how many candidates have been interviewed, and (c) how many job offers have been made to date. (100 word limit)

No. IEHP plans to hire a Chief Health Equity Officer by 2023.



## 2.2.13 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Plan 30-Day Readmissions (PCR)

For beneficiaries who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and between ages 18-64, the number of acute inpatient stays during the reporting period that were followed by an unplanned acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days and the predicted probability of an acute readmission. Data is reported in the following categories:

- Count of Observed 30-Day Readmissions
- Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.14 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Ambulatory Care—Emergency Department Visits (AMB)

Rate of emergency department (ED) visits per 1,000 beneficiary months for beneficiaries who are in the ECM Populations of Focus.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.15 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Depression Screening and Follow-Up for Adolescents and Adults (DSF)

The percentage of beneficiaries 12 years of age and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and who were screened for clinical depression using a standardized tool and, if screened positive, who received follow-up care.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.16 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Utilization of the PHQ-9 to Monitor Depression Symptoms for Adolescents and Adults (DMS)

The percentage of members 12 years of age and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus with a diagnosis of depression and who had an outpatient encounter with a PHQ-9 score present in their record in the same assessment period as the encounter.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.17 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (FUM)

Percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for beneficiaries age 18 and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and have a principal diagnosis of mental illness or intentional self-harm and who had a follow-up visit for mental illness. Two rates are reported:

- Percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days)
- Percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.18 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (FUA)

Percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for beneficiaries age 18 and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and have a principal diagnosis of alcohol or other drug (AOD) abuse or dependence and who had a follow-up visit for AOD abuse or dependence. Two rates are reported:

- Percentage of ED visits for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days)
- Percentage of ED visits for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.19 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Controlling High Blood Pressure (CBP)

Percentage of beneficiaries ages 18 to 85 who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled (< 140/90 mm Hg) during the reporting period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.20 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (APM)

Percentage of children and adolescents ages 1 to 17 who are enrolled in the ECM Populations of Focus and who had two or more antipsychotic prescriptions and had metabolic testing. Three rates are reported:

- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received blood glucose testing
- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received cholesterol testing
- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received both blood glucose and cholesterol testing

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.21 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the ECM Provider Capacity Building portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with other local partners within the county. Response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

IEHP shared its approach and strategies to addressing gaps identified in the needs assessment specifically related to delivery system infrastructure and provider capacity with its ECM network providers. By way of this, IEHP developed and communicated a series of incentive funding milestones with its ECM network providers tied to the same measures in the incentive payment program gap filling plan. Providers who achieve milestones are eligible to earn incentive dollars as a mechanism to help improve their own care team and clinic/organization infrastructure, and improve provider capacity building strategies as they implement, ramp-up and stabilize their ECM participation.

*End of Section*

## Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 3: Community Supports Provider Capacity Building & Take-Up

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2.3.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of and percentage of eligible Members receiving Community Supports and number of unique Community Supports received by Members.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.2 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of contracted Community Supports providers.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Narrative should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners within the county to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit for each question)

1. Describe steps taken to reduce gaps or limitations in Community Supports coverage across the county.
2. Describe steps taken to increase number and/or reach of Community Supports offered in January 2022 or July 2022.

1. IEHP has contracted with Riverside County to provide Sobering Center services. IEHP will continue to work with Riverside County including partnering with local Community Based Organizations therein to provide Sobering Center services to Riverside County residents.

2. IEHP is currently accepting proposals from Riverside County entities that can provide Community Transition services to Riverside County residents. IEHP will continue to work with our county entities and partners on identifying gaps within the network for Community Supports and utilize incentive funds for staff development in an effort to maintain capacity within both counties. This may include but is not limited to the addition of social workers, health navigators and/or housing personnel.

### 2.3.4 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Narrative should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners within the county to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit for each question)

1. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to increase Community Supports provider capacity and MCP oversight capability from January through June 2022.
2. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to address Community Supports workforce, training, and TA needs in region/county, including specific cultural competency needs by region/county.
3. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to support Community Supports workforce recruiting and hiring.
4. Please list the MCP training and TA programs that have been provided to Community Supports providers, including details on when and where the trainings were held, how many people attended, and any organizations involved in the

planning. *NOTE: MCPs may submit relevant meeting minutes and attendee lists, training materials, and other attachments in lieu of a narrative response to Sub-Part 4.*

1. IEHP has incentivized county entities and local partners to join the Community Supports provider network by distributing incentive payment program funds upon execution of an agreement and the ability to accept referrals. IEHP has also given provider portal access to those contracted entities in an effort to assist with their ability to submit claims electronically.
2. IEHP conducts a robust on-boarding training for Community Supports providers and staff, which includes, but is not limited to: claims submission, referrals, member eligibility, etc. IEHP also leads trainings on Community Supports services for community partners such as local faith-based organizations, Department of Aging, and Inland Empire Disabilities Collaborative.
3. IEHP has encouraged contracted Community Supports providers to evaluate their needs for additional staff based on the volume of referrals received for services covered. Incentive funding has been distributed in the hopes that providers can add to their workforce.
4. Virtual Teams Meetings were held with each contracted Community Supports provider at the time of contract execution. These meetings include leadership and staff and are not limited to a specific number of attendees.

### 2.3.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### Narrative Response Only

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding collaborative work with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county to develop and support the provision of Community Supports for members of Tribes in the county (*see narrative measure 1.3.6, sub-questions 2-3*). This response should include details on concrete actions taken/investments made to demonstrate progress made in the below activities. (100 word limit)
  - a. Working with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county on provider capacity.



b. Providing Community Supports for members of Tribes in the county.

**OR**

1. For MCPs operating in counties *without* recognized Tribes, describe the approach taken to supporting culturally competent Community Supports for members who receive Tribal services, including efforts to contract with Tribal providers in surrounding counties. (100 word limit)

IEHP met with Riverside San Bernardino County Indian Health, Inc. (RSBCIHI) on 6/15/22 to gauge interest and feasibility of joining IEHP's Community Support network. RSBCIHI shared that the Patient Advocates refer Members to Community Supports. IEHP sent several program documents to RSBCIHI including information on IEHP's Community Supports marketing materials. IEHP continues to monitor for gaps in access for eligible tribal beneficiaries. IEHP will continue collaborating with tribal providers to determine the need to develop provider capacity. Currently, the health plan is contracted with 16 unique Providers for Community Supports services, all of which our tribal beneficiaries have access to.

### 2.3.6 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to support Community Supports capacity expansion and, if applicable, leverage existing WPC capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support Community Supports capacity building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue capacity and infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for Community Supports. (100 word limit)

IEHP has standing meetings with Kaiser and Molina to collaborate on Community Supports implementation and sustainment of services which allow us to share experiences, best practices, etc. IEHP has leveraged the community health workers already working with IEHP Members to increase the volume of Community Support referrals. IEHP currently funds

CHWs based in key Community Based Organizations that work with specific subpopulations (LGBTQ, African American, Asian Pacific Islander, Muslim), and they are trained on CalAIM services. IEHP is also partnering with local educational institutions to develop CHW certification programs, and CalAIM services will be included as part of their training.

### 2.3.7 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Percentage of enrollees receiving Community Supports by race, ethnicity, and primary language, relative to the demographics in the underlying enrollee population.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.8 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Asthma Medication Ratio (AMR)

The percentage of beneficiaries ages 5 to 64 who are receiving Community Supports and who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the reporting period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.9 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

The number of individuals who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus ("people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions") who were housed for more than 6 consecutive months.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.10 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**

Controlling High Blood Pressure (CBP)

Percentage of beneficiaries who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus ("people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions") 18-85 years of age who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled (< 140/90 mm Hg).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.11 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**

Comprehensive Diabetes Care (CDC)

Percentage of beneficiaries who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus: "people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions," 18-75 years of age with diabetes who had hemoglobin A1c > 9.0%.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.3.12 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with local partners within the county. This response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

IEHP collaborated with a number of community organizations throughout the Inland Empire, including both county entities and local health plans Molina & Kaiser. Perspective providers were given an assessment for completion at which time we would meet to discuss plans to move forward, which included available capacity. Please see attached zip file title 2.3.12 for list of organizations and meeting documentation.

*End of Section*

## Submission 2-B Measures *(Added Spring 2023)*

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2B.1.1 Measure Description

10 Points

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

#### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-direction Health Information Exchange (HIE). *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

IEHP has developed a comprehensive survey to determine the number of ECM providers that have, 1) signed the statewide CalHHS Data Sharing Agreement and 2) attested to having a signed participation agreement with a health information exchange organization or, attested to having an active Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) Application Program Interface (API) in place or, attested to using their HER system to engage in bi-direction HIE.

Based on the responses to the above study, IEHP has determined the number of IEHP Providers that have not met both data sharing elements and are continuing to consider various strategies to increase the number of ECM Providers to sign both the CalHHS Data Sharing Agreement and participation agreement with a health information exchange organization.

IEHP encourages participating Providers to connect their electronic health record (EHR) systems to the regional Health Information Exchange (Manifest MedEx). The goal is to increase PCP connections to Manifest MedEx with an aim to improve patient care and coordination.

Currently, IEHP network Providers can earn an additional PMPM (per member per month) dollars for meeting process measure goals related to the Manifest MedEx Connectivity measure embedded in IEHP's 2023 PCP Global Quality P4P Program. To achieve the Manifest MedEx (MX) Connectivity P4P measure, PCP's must, 1) Sign a participation agreement with MX and 2) Establish data connections with MX that include routine reporting of CCSAs.

IEHP currently uses the MX data for several programs and projects. The biggest effort within the CalAIM space is used to notify ECM care teams and IEHP Care Management Department of admissions for inpatient and ER in real time. ECM care teams use this information to see the Members at bedside in the hospitals and engage/enroll into ECM, which has been a good driver to boost enrollment efforts.

Manifest Medex will be assisting IEHP in deploying targeted outreach to those ECM Providers with a gap on either MX's Participation Agreement or the statewide CalHHS Data Sharing Agreement front to try to get them signed across the board. To-date MX has done general outreach to all HIE participants about signing the CalHHS Data Sharing Agreement, and in collaboration with IEHP, will be performing a more targeted approach for ECM Providers.

## 2B.1.2 Measure Description

20 Points

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

As a condition of contracting to become an IEHP ECM provider, prospective providers must agree to document care for IEHP ECM patients using Care Director, which is a web-based care management platform that is certified and capable of generating and managing a care plan. Thus, through standard recruitment and contracting processes, the number of IEHP ECM providers with access to said technology increases. The volume of providers that have access to the web-based care management platform (Care Director) should continue to increase as additional IEHP ECM providers join the IEHP ECM Provider network.

### 2B.1.3 Measure Description

20 Points

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

#### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with the information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS. *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

IEHP has met with several new providers and other non-traditional providers to increase the number of contracted ECM providers since the beginning of 2022. During the transition period from HHP to ECM, IEHP successfully updated the IEHP Provider Portal to allow for all existing and new ECM and Community Support (CS) Providers to submit claims and encounters as needed to meet the minimum reporting and regulatory requirements for ECM and CS. Currently 100% of ECM and CS Providers have the ability to submit a claim or invoice to IEHP and have access to a system that can process and send a claim/encounter to IEHP, allowing IEHP to submit compliant encounter data to DHCS.

## 2B.1.4 Measure Description

20 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number and percentage point increase in contracted Community Supports providers for those Community Supports offered by the MCP during the measurement period with access to closed-loop referral systems.

NOTE: Closed-loop referrals are defined as coordinating and referring the member to available community resources and following up to ensure services were rendered. A closed-loop referral system refers to a system or process which ensures the referring provider receives information that the Member was appropriately referred to, and received, services.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.2.1 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted ECM care team full time equivalents (FTEs)

Total FTEs are defined as the sum of ECM care team members' working hours divided by their employer's full-time working hours (i.e. 40 hours per week); multiple part-time ECM care team members can equate to one (1) FTE.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*



## 2B.2.2 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of Members enrolled in ECM

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.2.3 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of members who are Black/African American and other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness that are enrolled in ECM during the measurement period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.3.1 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of and percentage of eligible members receiving Community Supports, and number of unique Community Supports received by members.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.3.2 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted Community Supports providers.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

*End of Section*