

## Contents

Cover Sheet .....	2
Introduction.....	3
Evaluation Criteria.....	4
Instructions .....	7
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 1: Delivery System Infrastructure .....	9
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 2: ECM Provider Capacity Building.....	16
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 3: Community Supports Provider Capacity Building & Take-Up.....	28
Submission 2-B Measures .....	35

## Cover Sheet

### *Response Required to this Section*

This document outlines instructions for completing the Payment 2 Progress Report submissions.

When submitting Payment 2 responses, managed care plans (MCPs) should include: (1) the MCP name; and (2) the county to which this Gap Assessment Progress Report applies in the header of their submission (header should repeat across all pages except Page 1). MCPs should also include a Cover Sheet with tables as shown below.

MCPs that operate in multiple counties will need to submit a separate Progress Report for each county in which they operate.

1. Details of Progress Report	
<b>MCP Name</b>	Partnership HealthPlan of California
<b>MCP County</b>	Humboldt
<b>Is County a Former Whole Person Care (WPC) Pilots or Health Homes Program (HHP) County?</b>	No
<b>Program Year (PY) / Calendar Year (CY)</b>	Program Year 1 / Calendar Year 2022 Payment 2 (Submission 2-A and Submission 2-B)
<b>Reporting Periods</b>	Submission 2-A: January 1, 2022 – June 30, 2022 Submission 2-B: July 1, 2022 – December 31, 2022

2. Primary Point of Contact for This Gap Assessment Progress Report	
<b>First and Last Name</b>	
<b>Title/Position</b>	
<b>Phone</b>	
<b>Email</b>	

*End of Section*

## Introduction

The CalAIM Incentive Payment Program (IPP) is intended to support the implementation and expansion of Enhanced Care Management (ECM) and Community Supports by incentivizing MCPs, in accordance with 42 CFR Section 438.6(b), to drive MCP delivery system investment in provider capacity and delivery system infrastructure; bridge current silos across physical and behavioral health care service delivery; reduce health disparities and promote health equity; achieve improvements in quality performance; and encourage take-up of Community Supports.

### IPP Payment 1

To qualify for Payment 1 of the IPP, MCPs submitted the Needs Assessment and the Gap-Filling Plan in January 2022. The Needs Assessment was intended to provide a “point in time” understanding of ECM and Community Supports infrastructure and provider capacity prior to launch. The Gap-Filling Plan—which MCPs were to develop in conjunction with local partners—outlines MCPs’ approaches to addressing gaps identified in the Needs Assessment.

DHCS issued Payment 1 to MCPs in April 2022 on an interim basis, based on DHCS’ review and acceptance of Submission 1. MCPs must demonstrate progress on activities outlined in their Gap-Filling Plan to fully earn Payment 1. MCPs were evaluated based on the set of measures they submitted as part of their Needs Assessment and Gap-Filling Plan to determine acceptance of Submission 1. Points for each measure were either credited in full, or not credited, unless the measure is noted as having a tiered evaluation approach. For the measures that are evaluated using a tiered approach, MCPs received the specified number of points within each tier for having completed the activity associated with each tier, as detailed in the Measure Set and Reporting Template. All other measures do not use a tiered approach and MCPs received either full or no credit for the measures. For counties where ECM and Community Supports have gone live as of June 30, 2022, Payment 2 measures that determine whether Payment 1 is fully earned are outlined in the Progress Report.<sup>1</sup> Please refer to the IPP [All Plan Letter](#) (APL) and IPP [FAQ](#) for more information.

---

<sup>1</sup> Applies only to counties where ECM and Community Supports have gone live as of June 30, 2022. For counties where ECM and Community Supports will not have gone live until July 1, 2022, performance improvement must be shown during the July – December 2022 reporting period.

## IPP Payment 2

For Payment 2 and beyond, MCPs will submit Progress Reports to demonstrate their progress against the Gap-Filling Plans that were developed for Payment 1 submissions. All measures in the Progress Reports build on the requirements contained in the Needs Assessment, Gap-Filling Plan, and associated APL and are referenced, where appropriate, throughout. Before beginning work on the Progress Reports, MCPs should review these documents to ensure complete and robust responses.

*End of Section*

## Evaluation Criteria

### Measure Criteria

Payment to MCPs is based on the successful completion of reporting and performance against measures in the Progress Report. The Progress Report materials indicate performance targets and point allocations for each measure. MCPs may earn no, partial, or all points on measures, as indicated.

Each measure in the Progress Report is assigned to one of the following Program Priority Areas, with a fourth Quality Program Priority Area that is allocated between Priority Areas 2-3:

1. Delivery System Infrastructure;
2. ECM Provider Capacity Building; and
3. Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up

### Points Structure

MCPs can earn a maximum of 1,000 points for Submission 2-A. If an MCP achieves only a subset of these points, it will earn a partial payment. A total of 700 points is assigned between the mandatory and optional<sup>2</sup> measures in Program Priority Areas 1-3. MCPs may allocate the remaining 300 points across Program Priority Areas 1-3; points from this discretionary allocation are earned proportionately based on performance.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> MCPs are required to report on a minimum number of optional measures.

<sup>3</sup> For example, if an MCP allocates 100 points to Priority Area 1 and earns 90% of the Priority Area 1 points, it will earn 90 of those 100 discretionary points.

(Added Spring 2023) MCPs will earn Payment 2 based on their total points achieved across Submission 2-A and Submission 2-B. MCPs can earn a maximum of 120 points for Submission 2-B. If an MCP achieves only a subset of these points, it will earn a partial payment. A total of 120 points is assigned to mandatory measures in Program Priority Areas 1-3.

**MCPs must indicate their discretionary allocation distribution in their submission response for Submission 2-A (does not need to be in table format). Allocations for this submission do not need to align with allocation ratios in other IPP submissions.**

Priority Area	Mandatory Measures	Optional Quality Measures (Priority Area #4)	Discretionary Allocations
<b>1. Delivery System Infrastructure</b>	Up to <b><u>200</u></b> points	<i>None</i>	<i>300</i>
<b>2. Enhanced Care Management (ECM) Provider Capacity Building</b>	Up to <b><u>170</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>30</u></b> points	
<b>3. Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up</b>	Up to <b><u>250</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>50</u></b> points	
<b>Category Totals</b>	Up to <b><u>620</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>80</u></b> points	Up to <b><u>300</u></b> points
<b>TOTAL</b>	Up to <b><u>1,000</u></b> points		

DHCS may, at its sole discretion, consider granting exceptions in limited cases where the MCP makes a compelling request to DHCS to allocate more than 30% to the MCP's selected Program Priority Area (i.e., by allocating dollars from another priority area). MCPs requesting to allocate more than 300 points must respond to the following prompt; otherwise, leave blank:

**(OPTIONAL) Describe preferred allocation methodology, including how many points would be allocated to each measure (where different from above), and reason for requesting an allocation different from that above. (100 word limit)**

*End of Section*

## Instructions

MCPs must submit the Submission 2-A Gap-Filling Progress Report to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) by **Thursday, September 1, 2022**.

Please reach out to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) if you have any questions. (Added Spring 2023) MCPs must submit the Submission 2-B Progress Report to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) by March 15, 2023 for the measurement period beginning July 1, 2022 and ending December 31, 2022.

## Progress Report Format

The Progress Report consists of two documents: the Narrative Report (as outlined in this Word document) and an accompanying Quantitative Reporting Template (Excel document). The Narrative Report includes Appendices A-B, which contain additional information, instructions, and references that are applicable to the Submission 2-A Progress Report.

For Submission 2-A, MCPs are required to submit responses to the measures noted as mandatory and for a minimum number of optional<sup>4</sup> measures. **MCPs are permitted and encouraged to work closely with providers and other local partners on these measures and any ongoing strategic planning.**

Sections that require MCPs to submit a response are indicated under each header in this document with the phrase *"Response Required to This Section."* No response is required from MCPs to any other sections.

For Submission 2-B, all measures are mandatory and MCPs are required to submit responses to all measures.

## Narrative Responses

In response to the narrative measure prompts, MCPs should describe activities conducted during the measurement period of January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 for Submission 2-A, and during the measurement period of July 1, 2022 through December

---

<sup>4</sup> Refer to Appendix B for more information on responding to mandatory and optional measures.

31, 2022 for Submission 2-B (regardless of when the Gap-Filling Plan was submitted to and finalized with DHCS).

For several measures, attachments and supplemental information are required (e.g., meeting agendas). MCPs should include these attachments via email submissions.

For several measures, there are multipart narrative prompts within the measure. MCPs are required to respond to all parts of the question for their response to be considered complete.

For several measures, there are alternative narrative prompts within the measure to account for variances among counties (e.g., counties with and without recognized Tribes). MCPs must respond only to the question that is applicable to the county for which the Progress Report is being completed.

## Quantitative Responses

MCPs must submit responses for quantitative measures within the accompanying Quantitative Reporting Template (Excel document). MCPs should read the Instructions tab, follow the prompts in the reporting template, and enter responses accordingly.

For several measures, MCPs may need to use publicly available data sources and complete their own calculations to respond to some measure prompts. Examples of data sources that may be helpful in MCP responses include:

Source	Description	Link
California Department of Finance	Demographic data by county	<a href="https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/">https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/</a>
California Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency	Homeless Data Integration System (HDIS), which provides data on homelessness by county	<a href="https://bcsh.ca.gov/calich/hdis.html">https://bcsh.ca.gov/calich/hdis.html</a>

*End of Section*



# Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 1: Delivery System Infrastructure

*Response Required to This Section*

## 2.1.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points Total*

*20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response*

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with HIE capabilities to electronically store, manage, and securely exchange health information and other clinical documents with other care team members (i.e., with other providers outside of the ECM's practice, clinic or care setting). (100 word limit)

PHC requires all contracted ECM providers to use single, common Health Information Exchange (HIE) platform called Collective Medical Technologies. On this platform, PHC's contracted ECM providers can store, manage, and exchange care plan information and clinical documents with other care team members in the community and medical settings. Since January 1, 2022 PHC has successfully moved all contracted ECM providers in Humboldt County to this platform. The actions PHC has taken to increase the percentage of contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional HIE include (a) Contractual requirements of the use of the Collective Medical Platform for the delivery of the ECM benefit, (b) Investments by PHC to cover the licensing fees for ECM providers to use the platform, and (c) On-boarding and on-going training and support made available to PHC's ECM contracted network. 100% of contracted ECM providers in Humboldt County now engage in bi-directional HIE.

## 2.1.2 Measure Description

*Mandatory*

40 Points Total  
20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. (100 word limit)

PHC requires all contracted ECM providers to generate and manage an individualized care plan in the delivery of the ECM benefit to members. 100% contracted ECM providers in Humboldt County have access to a certified electronic health record system or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. Since January 1, 2022 PHC has successfully supported contracted ECM providers in their ability to access, configure, use, connect, update, and/or integrate their electronic health record or care management documentation systems for the ECM benefit by (a) Strategically approving financial investments for contracted ECM providers, (b) Creating and making available an electronic care plan template to better aid contracted ECM providers in their efforts to crosswalk, configure and align systems with benefit and reporting requirements, and (c) Leveraging existing opportunities in Collective Medical Technologies to enhance care plan management for members enrolled in the ECM benefit.

## 2.1.3 Measure Description

Mandatory  
40 Points Total  
20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system

or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### **Narrative Response**

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with the information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS. (100 word limit)

PHC solicited from all contracted and potential ECM and CS providers their desires and needs in regards to proper billing systems. This solicitation included an opportunity to request funds to support their individual identified needs in updating an existing system or implementing a new system. Additionally, PHC made an internal investment to engage with a clearinghouse vendor that will (1) Provide a direct data entry portal for claim submission, or (2) Convert any invoices to billable claims. The addition of a clearinghouse vendor will support a quicker and more automated process for including all provider billing information to DHCS in a compliant submission.

## **2.1.4 Measure Description**

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number and percentage point increase in contracted Community Supports providers for those Community Supports offered by the MCP starting January 1, 2022, or July 1, 2022, with access to closed-loop referral systems.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2.1.5 Measure Description**

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number and percentage point increase in behavioral health providers with contracts in place to provide ECM that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2.1.6 Measure Description**

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe progress against Gap-Filling Plan regarding identification of underserved populations and the ECM providers to which they are assigned. Response should provide detail regarding which populations are underserved, the methodology used to identify them, the approach to assigning these members to an ECM provider, and any other relevant information regarding MCP activities completed and investments made. (100 word limit)

When and where possible, PHC has run available data that identifies members by county, by the population of focus, and by race, which qualifies them for ECM. In Humboldt County the population of members who are Native American and White seem to be underserved and qualify for ECM at a disproportionate rate. To address health equity and improve disparity gaps, PHC assigns all ECM eligible members to ECM providers that have experience and expertise in serving the population. In addition, during this grant period PHC has funded ECM providers in delivering staff trainings and supports necessary to maintain and enhance and competencies in serving members experience disparities. Examples of such trainings funded by PHC include, but are not limited to, Trauma Informed Care, ACEs, Motivational Interviewing, ACT Model, Camden "Putting Care at the Center Conference," outreach & engagement strategies for hard to reach populations and others. PHC has also funded ECM providers to recruit, development, and hire Community Health Workers for the delivery of ECM; a proven key partner for some populations who experience disparities.

## **2.1.7 Measure Description**

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to enhance and develop needed ECM and Community Supports infrastructure and, if applicable, leverage existing Whole Person Care (WPC) capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing

county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support infrastructure building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for ECM and Community Supports. (100 word limit)

Humboldt did not participate in WPC. However PHC has been partnering closely with Humboldt on areas of funding to support ECM infrastructure and capacity building such as IPP funds and state PATH funds. PHC has been closely following new and emerging guidance from DHCS regarding the new Community Health Worker (CHW) benefit. Through the use of IPP dollars, PHC has invested in workforce to build capacity in Humboldt. We feel CHWs could possibly improve capacity and services for ECM in Humboldt and we look forward to further guidance from DHCS on the use of CHWs for the ECM benefit.

### 2.1.8 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe any progress to build physical plant (e.g., sobering centers) or other physical infrastructure to support the launch and continued growth of ECM and Community Supports benefits. This response should provide detail regarding how the MCP has contributed to this infrastructure building, including but not limited to: financial contributions, participation in planning committees, partnerships with other MCPs and other community entities engaged in infrastructure building, etc. Please exclude technology-related infrastructure (e.g., IT systems) from this response. (100 word limit)

At this time, PHC has not yet invested in any physical plants or builds, instead we focused our efforts on showing an increase workforce capacity and determining how we can best support the launch of ECM/CS programs within 2022. PHC is open to and willing to provide funding for physical plants if requested by ECM and/or CS providers in the County. PHC will identify if the request is justified to serve the population followed by a selection/evaluation criteria for all grant applications in which we scored applications by a scoring committee to determine if the request was feasible, measurable, and fiscally responsible. We also considered whether or not it positively impacts ECM/CS in the network. Requests that met these minimum criteria would be deemed "justified to serve the population."

## 2.1.9 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the Delivery System Infrastructure portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with other local partners within the county. Response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

PHC engaged with multiple agencies, in multiple forums during the look back period, to discuss delivery system infrastructure and gap filling needs. Please see the attached documents for evidence of collaborations and discussions with providers, agencies, counties, and stakeholders:

- Presentation: CalAIM Overview 5.25.22 - Area Agency on Aging - Area 1 (Humboldt & Del Norte County)
- PHC Hospital Quality Symposium 6.21.22 and 6.23.22
- PHC CalAIM Events Tracker

During these discussions, individual provider needs that were identified included necessary upgrades and investments to existing electronic health record systems for ECM documentation, reporting, and information sharing. These discussions highlighted that providers in the community were using a variety of different systems and/or case management documentation software including; EPIC OCHIN, e Clinical Works, to name a couple.

*End of Section*

## Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 2: ECM Provider Capacity Building

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2.2.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of contracted ECM care team full time employees (FTEs).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.2 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a  
total of 30 points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**

Reporting on racial and ethnic demographics of ECM care team FTEs for each Program Year 1 Populations of Focus relative to the racial and ethnic demographics of the beneficiaries in the Program Year 1 Populations of Focus.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of Members receiving ECM.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.4 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

#### **Quantitative Response Only**



Number of Members across Program Year 1 Populations of Focus receiving ECM. Break out of Members across Program Year 1 Populations of Focus receiving ECM by race, ethnicity, and primary language.

ECM Populations of Focus for Year 1 / Calendar Year 2022 include:

- Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness
- High Utilizer Adults
- Adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) / Substance Use Disorder (SUD)
- Adults and Children/Youth Transitioning from Incarceration (WPC Pilot counties only)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points*

### Narrative Response Only

Narrative responses should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit per question below)

1. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to increase ECM provider capacity and MCP oversight capacity.
2. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to address ECM workforce, training, TA needs in county, including specific cultural competency needs by county.
3. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to support ECM provider workforce recruiting and hiring of necessary staff to build capacity.
4. List the MCP training and TA programs that have been provided to ECM providers, including details on when and where the trainings were held, how many people attended, and any organizations involved in the planning of training efforts. *NOTE: MCPs may submit relevant meeting minutes and attendee lists, training materials, and other attachments in lieu of a narrative response to Sub-Part 4.*

During the reporting period, PHC provided IPP funds to contracted ECM providers in Humboldt County for ECM Staff recruitment, technical assistance, and/or training needs including those training needs that addressed cultural competencies and considerations that are reflective of the needs of the ECM populations of focus in Humboldt County. With these funds ECM providers could begin their recruiting, hiring and training plans. PHC remains optimistic that these investments for workforce development will assist in an increase in ECM capacity and uptake in the county.

PHC also sponsored a number of ECM providers from this county to attend the Putting the Care at the Center Conference in Sacramento, CA on 9/21/22 - 9/23/22. While the conference event was held outside of this reporting period, participants registered and were encouraged to review and prepare with pre-conference webinars, reading materials, and sign up for newsletters from the Camden Coalition's Center on Complex Care which sponsored the conference.

## 2.2.6 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a  
total of 30 points*

### Narrative Response & Materials Submission

Narrative responses should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners to achieve the below activities. Note that the strategic partnership requirements may be fulfilled through active and meaningful participation in the PATH Collaborative Planning Initiative—MCPs leveraging this must include details regarding any memoranda of understanding (MOUs), activities, and financial investments to support the PATH Collaborative Planning Initiative. (100 word limit per question below)

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding the establishment and maintenance of strategic partnerships with MCPs in the county and other organizations (*see narrative measure 1.2.6, sub-question 2*).
2. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding addressing health disparities through strategic partnerships (*see narrative measure 1.2.6, sub-question 3*).

### **AND**

Submission of (1) MOUs or other collaborative agreements and (2) quarterly meeting agendas and notes (including a list of which organizations attended).

## 2.2.7 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### Narrative Response & Materials Submission

MCPs must complete a narrative response for either of the prompt options below (and associated sub-components), as applicable. MCPs must also submit the required documents, as described below. (100 word limit)

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding collaborative work with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county to develop and support the provision of ECM services for members of Tribes in the county (*see narrative measure 1.2.7, sub-questions 2-3*). This response should include details on (1) concrete actions taken and investments made to demonstrate progress, (2) what issues have been identified in meetings facilitated to date, and (3) upcoming plans for meetings and other activities:
  - a. Working with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county on provider capacity.
  - b. Providing ECM services for members of Tribes in the county.

**OR**

1. For MCPs operating in counties *without* recognized Tribes, describe the approach taken to supporting culturally competent ECM services for members who receive Tribal services, including efforts to contract with Tribal providers in surrounding counties.

**AND**

Submission of MOUs or other collaborative agreements and associated agendas and/or meeting notes.

PHC engaged with multiple agencies, in multiple forums during the look back period to discuss delivery system infrastructure and gap filling needs. All PHC members who have a Tribal affiliation are direct members and can seek/receive care from anywhere in PHC's network. These providers who may or may not serve PHC's Tribal members in the county were included in PHC's engagement, gap filling, and collaborative planning discussions. Please see the attached documents for evidence of collaborations and discussions with providers, agencies, counties, and stakeholders:

- PHC Hospital Quality Symposium 6.21.22 and 6.23.22
- PHC CalAIM Events Tracker

## **2.2.8 Measure Description**

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to support ECM capacity expansion and, if applicable, leverage existing Whole Person Care (WPC)

capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support ECM capacity building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue capacity and infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for ECM. (100 word limit)

As a COHS plan, PHC is the only health plan in Humboldt County and other nearby contiguous counties. However, for members that transfer into or out of PHC's 14-county network, PHC follows guidance set forth for continuity of care in both the DHCS ECM Policy Guide (May 2022) and DHCS ECM APL 21-012 to ensure that ECM services are continued during the health plan transfer, while the member remains eligible for the ECM benefit, is currently receiving services/supports directed by the ECM Individualized Care Plan and the ECM provider agrees to the COC criteria set forth by DHCS for the ECM benefit.

## 2.2.9 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### Quantitative Response

Baseline data for individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately<sup>5</sup> experiencing homelessness and who meet the Population of Focus definition: "people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions."

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the steps taken to reach individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness and who meet the Population of Focus definition: "people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health

---

<sup>5</sup> MCPs must determine which racial/ethnic groups disproportionately experience homelessness in the county, not just identify which racial/ethnic groups have the highest counts of homelessness. This will require some basic calculations using publicly available data.

and/or behavioral health conditions.” Response should include details on what barriers have been identified in reaching these populations as well as concrete steps taken/investments made to address these barriers, including partnerships with local partners. (100 word limit)

According to the statewide California's Continuums of Care (COCs/HDIS) level Data, in the 2020 Humboldt County homelessness response system, the following demographics were the greatest disproportionately experiencing homelessness:

- Black or African American
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- American Indian, Alaska Native

Some of the action items outlined in PHC's gap filling plan for 1.2.9 have already taken place including:

- Successfully assigning members who are underserved and/or disproportionately impacted to the appropriate ECM provider with skills/training and staff available to best meet the members' needs
- Monitoring and tracking of ECM provider level engagement rates and leveraging best practices
- Since 1/1/22, PHC has been hosting bi-weekly ECM provider roundtable discussions to share ideas, approaches, and best practices for successful outreach and engagement for these and other groups
- As part of PHC's ECM contract, we have included a one-time successful engagement fee with contracted ECM providers to promote and incentive quality engagement

## 2.2.10 Measure Description

*Optional*  
*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response

Baseline data for individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who disproportionately<sup>6</sup> meet the Population of Focus definition (“individuals transitioning from incarceration who have significant complex physical or

---

<sup>6</sup> MCPs must determine which racial/ethnic groups disproportionately experience homelessness in the county, not just identify which racial/ethnic groups have the highest incarceration figures. This may require basic calculations using publicly available data or data obtained from county partners.

behavioral health needs requiring immediate transition of services to the community”) and who have been successfully outreached to and engaged by an ECM provider.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### **Narrative Response**

Describe the steps taken to reach individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing transitions from incarceration settings and meet the Population of Focus definition: “individuals transitioning from incarceration who have significant complex physical or behavioral health needs requiring immediate transition of services to the community.” Response should include details on what barriers have been identified in reaching these populations and concrete steps taken/investments made to address these barriers, including partnerships with other community entities. (100 word limit)

## **2.2.11 Measure Description**

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of contracted behavioral health full-time employees (FTEs)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2.2.12 Measure Description**

*Optional  
Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Has the MCP hired a full-time Health Equity Officer by July 1, 2022, who has the necessary qualifications or training at the time of hire or within 1 year of hire to meet the requirements of the position, as outlined in the MCP Procurement?

Reply “YES” with the date of hire if this measure has been met.

### **OR**

If this measure has not been met, reply “NO” with a description of concrete actions the MCP is taking to hire a full-time Health Equity Officer, including at a minimum (a) date

when the job was posted, (b) how many candidates have been interviewed, and (c) how many job offers have been made to date. (100 word limit)

### 2.2.13 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Plan 30-Day Readmissions (PCR)

For beneficiaries who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and between ages 18-64, the number of acute inpatient stays during the reporting period that were followed by an unplanned acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days and the predicted probability of an acute readmission. Data is reported in the following categories:

- Count of Observed 30-Day Readmissions
- Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.14 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Ambulatory Care—Emergency Department Visits (AMB)

Rate of emergency department (ED) visits per 1,000 beneficiary months for beneficiaries who are in the ECM Populations of Focus.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.15 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Depression Screening and Follow-Up for Adolescents and Adults (DSF)

The percentage of beneficiaries 12 years of age and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and who were screened for clinical depression using a standardized tool and, if screened positive, who received follow-up care.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.16 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Utilization of the PHQ-9 to Monitor Depression Symptoms for Adolescents and Adults (DMS)

The percentage of members 12 years of age and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus with a diagnosis of depression and who had an outpatient encounter with a PHQ-9 score present in their record in the same assessment period as the encounter.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.17 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (FUM)

Percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for beneficiaries age 18 and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and have a principal diagnosis of mental illness or intentional self-harm and who had a follow-up visit for mental illness. Two rates are reported:

- Percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days)
- Percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.18 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only



Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (FUA)

Percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for beneficiaries age 18 and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and have a principal diagnosis of alcohol or other drug (AOD) abuse or dependence and who had a follow-up visit for AOD abuse or dependence. Two rates are reported:

- Percentage of ED visits for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days)
- Percentage of ED visits for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.19 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Controlling High Blood Pressure (CBP)

Percentage of beneficiaries ages 18 to 85 who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled (< 140/90 mm Hg) during the reporting period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.20 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (APM)

Percentage of children and adolescents ages 1 to 17 who are enrolled in the ECM Populations of Focus and who had two or more antipsychotic prescriptions and had metabolic testing. Three rates are reported:

- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received blood glucose testing

- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received cholesterol testing
- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received both blood glucose and cholesterol testing

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.21 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the ECM Provider Capacity Building portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with other local partners within the county. Response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

In our previous submission, PHC did not identify a gap. However, during this look-back window, PHC was engaged in many local conversations throughout the county and learned of many partners who would be interested in addressing perceived needs. We have invested strategically in partners through PHC's CalAIM IPP Grant Funds to address these newly discovered needs.

The perceived needs identified through conversations with local partners included:

- Finding appropriate staff to fill open positions from support to management positions
- Providing appropriate training for duties such as, motivational interviewing, trauma informed care, adult personal caregiver training
- Purchasing computer software and implementing it in a timely manner

- Development of documentation such as, policies, procedures, training, reporting

Several times a week, PHC meets with providers, both contracted and potential, to ascertain what is needed for the provider to fully engage in providing for a full capacity of members. Our team monitors the CalAIM inbox several times a day to retrieve and distribute inquiries or referrals. Our intent is to be as engaged and supportive as possible with all providers and potential resources in our service areas.

*End of Section*

## Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 3: Community Supports Provider Capacity Building & Take-Up

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2.3.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number of and percentage of eligible Members receiving Community Supports and number of unique Community Supports received by Members.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.2 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted Community Supports providers.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### Narrative Response Only

Narrative should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners within the county to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit for each question)

1. Describe steps taken to reduce gaps or limitations in Community Supports coverage across the county.
2. Describe steps taken to increase number and/or reach of Community Supports offered in January 2022 or July 2022.

PHC had gaps at the time of our initial report, but currently there is not a county without a provider. PHC continues to do outreach for CBO providers in all of our counties. If we have a deficit of providers, PHC will partner with providers in surrounding counties to

address CS requests that come in. As for outreach to members, we have been very successful in our approach. We reach out to members up to three times, leaving call back information and a message with what it is we hope to help them with. 100% of members that were successfully reached were connected with the requested CS.

### 2.3.4 Measure Description

Mandatory  
35 Points

#### Narrative Response Only

Narrative should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners within the county to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit for each question)

1. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to increase Community Supports provider capacity and MCP oversight capability from January through June 2022.
2. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to address Community Supports workforce, training, and TA needs in region/county, including specific cultural competency needs by region/county.
3. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to support Community Supports workforce recruiting and hiring.
4. Please list the MCP training and TA programs that have been provided to Community Supports providers, including details on when and where the trainings were held, how many people attended, and any organizations involved in the planning. *NOTE: MCPs may submit relevant meeting minutes and attendee lists, training materials, and other attachments in lieu of a narrative response to Sub-Part 4.*

Please see the attached list of outreach webinars by county and Community Supports provider. Due to the volume of meeting documents, we refrained from sending all as support. If there is a need to access or see any of the listed documents, please reach out and we can provide those.

As we are sensitive to cultural competency needs, we attempt to have impartial trainers with the ability to work with any culture, gender, color or creed. It is our goal to address the individual member and/or provider in whatever modality they can relate to.

Roundtables are an open forum Webex meeting not a website. These roundtable forums are announced by fax blast to all contracted and potential providers with a link and

information on what will be covered. At each Webex Q&A session there are typically 25-45 participants, one or more from each of our contracted CS providers.

The Putting Care at the Center conference was held in September. We invited ECM and CS providers, and approximately 30 attended. We shared a general overview slide deck of basic information, and ended with a variety of contact information for DHCS and PHC resources.

### 2.3.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding collaborative work with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county to develop and support the provision of Community Supports for members of Tribes in the county (*see narrative measure 1.3.6, sub-questions 2-3*). This response should include details on concrete actions taken/investments made to demonstrate progress made in the below activities. (100 word limit)
  - a. Working with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county on provider capacity.
  - b. Providing Community Supports for members of Tribes in the county.

#### **OR**

1. For MCPs operating in counties *without* recognized Tribes, describe the approach taken to supporting culturally competent Community Supports for members who receive Tribal services, including efforts to contract with Tribal providers in surrounding counties. (100 word limit)

Humboldt County has Tribal groups and has one Tribal health provider we are working with to contract for housing community support services. One additional provider is considering contracting with PHC as early as January 2023. Our members identified as Native American are categorized as direct members and can be seen in any county. Our Tribal groups, no matter what county, are sensitive and accommodating to all Native American members. We will continue to follow up.

### 2.3.6 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to support Community Supports capacity expansion and, if applicable, leverage existing WPC capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support Community Supports capacity building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue capacity and infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for Community Supports. (100 word limit)

PHC has created a referral template to lessen the burden on providers. The referral template includes the necessary medical and mental health information so the provider does not have to invest time in research. Instead of pending a TAR request, we call the provider to clarify information, preventing a delay in services being approved and provided. We send out a monthly capacity report which indicates how many members are being served, or can still be served, by the individual provider. We do not refer if a provider says they cannot take referrals at a specific time. We rotate who we send the referrals to so as to ease the burden on providers in our network.

### 2.3.7 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Percentage of enrollees receiving Community Supports by race, ethnicity, and primary language, relative to the demographics in the underlying enrollee population.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.8 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Asthma Medication Ratio (AMR)

The percentage of beneficiaries ages 5 to 64 who are receiving Community Supports and who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the reporting period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.9 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

The number of individuals who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus ("people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions") who were housed for more than 6 consecutive months.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.10 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Controlling High Blood Pressure (CBP)

Percentage of beneficiaries who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus ("people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions") 18-85 years of age who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled (< 140/90 mm Hg).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.11 Measure Description

*Optional  
Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Comprehensive Diabetes Care (CDC)

Percentage of beneficiaries who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus: "people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions," 18-75 years of age with diabetes who had hemoglobin A1c > 9.0%.



*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.3.12 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with local partners within the county. This response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

In our previous submission, PHC did not identify a gap. However, during this look-back window, PHC was engaged in many local conversations throughout the county and learned of many partners who would be interested in addressing perceived needs. We have invested strategically in partners through PHC's CalAIM IPP Grant Funds to address these newly discovered needs.

The perceived needs identified through conversations with local partners included:

- Finding appropriate staff to fill open positions from support to management positions
- Providing appropriate training for duties such as motivational interviewing, trauma informed care, adult personal caregiver training
- Purchasing computer software and implementing it in a timely manner
- Ability to purchase and provide equipment and supplies to staff or facilities such as computers, cell phones, wheelchairs, bedframes
- Development of documentation such as policies, procedures, training, reporting

Several times a week, PHC meets with providers, both contracted and potential, to ascertain what is needed for the provider to fully engage in providing for a full capacity of members. Our team monitors the CalAIM inbox several times a day to retrieve and distribute inquiries or referrals. Our intent is to be as engaged and supportive as possible with all providers and potential resources in our service areas.

Please reference the included list of outreach and Webex trainings ("CalAIM External Calendar of Events"). These activities were during the reporting period. The CalAIM inbox has and continues to be monitored several times a day. Calls, inquiries, and referrals are sent to either the ECM or CS teams for follow up.

*End of Section*

## Submission 2-B Measures *(Added Spring 2023)*

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2B.1.1 Measure Description

10 Points

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

#### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-direction Health Information Exchange (HIE). *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

PHC requires all contracted ECM providers to use a single and common Health Information Exchange (HIE) platform called Collective Medical Technologies. On this platform, PHC's contracted ECM providers can store, manage, and exchange care plan information and clinical documents. The actions PHC has taken to increase the percentage of contracted ECM providers that engage in bidirectional HIE include: 1) Contractual requirements for the use of the Collective Medical Platform in the delivery of the ECM benefit, 2) Investments by PHC to cover the licensing fees for ECM providers to use the platform, and 3) Both on-boarding and recurring training and support made available to PHC's ECM contracted network. 100% of contracted ECM providers in Humboldt County now engage in bi-directional HIE. PHC has awarded \$40,989.81 funds to help support ECM providers in Humboldt County to further develop the bi-direction Health Information HIE engagement.

### 2B.1.2 Measure Description

20 Points

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### **Narrative Response**

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

100% of PHC's contracted ECM providers have access to EHR or a care management documentation system. However, many providers have expressed a need for improved EHR systems and processes. PHC will be looking into a more robust plan-wide solution than what we currently offer our providers. PHC made investments through a grant program which provided direct investment to providers in our counties for various activities aligned with the priority areas set forth by DHCS. This grant program has awarded \$98,847.90 in funds to help support ECM providers in Humboldt County to increase access to certified Electronic Health Record (EHR) technology or a care management documentation system to generate and manage patient care plans. In addition to this direct investment, PHC is participating in the collaborative planning groups in an effort to hear and address providers' barriers to program participation/success.

## **2B.1.3 Measure Description**

*20 Points*

### **Quantitative Response**

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### **Narrative Response**

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and

send a claim or invoice to a MCP with the information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS. *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

PHC solicited and evaluated the needs related to an effective billing system from all contracted and potential ECM and CS providers in Humboldt County. This solicitation offered providers an opportunity to request funds to support their individually identified needs. The needs shared by providers could support updates to their existing system or implementation of a new system. Additionally, PHC made an internal investment to engage with a clearinghouse vendor that will: 1) Provide a Direct Data Entry (DDE) portal for claim submission, or 2) Convert invoices submitted by providers to billable claims. The addition of a clearinghouse vendor will support a quicker and more automated process for claim submission by providers and compliant encounter submission to DHCS by PHC. PHC has also awarded \$29,020.20 funds to help support ECM and CS providers in Humboldt County to increase their billing processing and capacity.

## **2B.1.4 Measure Description**

*20 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number and percentage point increase in contracted Community Supports providers for those Community Supports offered by the MCP during the measurement period with access to closed-loop referral systems.

NOTE: Closed-loop referrals are defined as coordinating and referring the member to available community resources and following up to ensure services were rendered. A closed-loop referral system refers to a system or process which ensures the referring provider receives information that the Member was appropriately referred to, and received, services.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2B.2.1 Measure Description**

*10 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of contracted ECM care team full time equivalents (FTEs)

Total FTEs are defined as the sum of ECM care team members' working hours divided by their employer's full-time working hours (i.e. 40 hours per week); multiple part-time ECM care team members can equate to one (1) FTE.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2B.2.2 Measure Description**

10 Points

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of Members enrolled in ECM

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2B.2.3 Measure Description**

10 Points

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of members who are Black/African American and other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness that are enrolled in ECM during the measurement period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2B.3.1 Measure Description**

10 Points

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of and percentage of eligible members receiving Community Supports, and number of unique Community Supports received by members.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## **2B.3.2 Measure Description**

10 Points

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of contracted Community Supports providers.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*