

State Fiscal Year 2021 CalOptima Health Mainstream Rate Development Template

Auditor's Report

California Department of Health Care Services May 14, 2024

Contents

1.	xecutive Summary	1
2.	Procedures and Results	2
3.	Summary of Findings	12
Ар	endix A: Administrative Duties in Subcontracted Arrangements	13

Section 1 Executive Summary

Pursuant to federal requirements under Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations 438.602(e), the State of California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) must periodically, but no less frequently than once every three years, conduct, or contract for the conduct of, an independent audit of the accuracy, truthfulness, and completeness of the encounter and financial data submitted by, or on behalf of, each managed care organization (MCO). DHCS contracted with Mercer Government Human Services Consulting (Mercer), part of Mercer Health & Benefits LLC, to fulfill this requirement for the financial data submitted in the Medi-Cal rate development template (RDT) for state fiscal year (SFY) 2021 by CalOptima Health (CalOptima). Mercer designed and DHCS approved procedures to test the accuracy, truthfulness, and completeness of self-reported financial data in the RDT.

The specific financial schedules selected for testing are used by Mercer as a critical part of the base data development process for capitation rate development related to the calendar year 2023 rating period. The RDT tested was the final version, including any revisions stemming from resubmissions as a result of the RDT Question and Answer discussion guide process with the MCO.

The key schedules subject to testing from the RDT included, but were not limited to:

- Schedule 1 Utilization and Cost Experience
- Schedule 1-A Global Subcontracted Health Plan Information
- Schedule 1-C Base Period Enrollment by Month
- Schedule 1-U UM/QA/CC
- Schedule 5 Large Claims Report
- Schedules 6a and 6b Financial Reports
- Schedule 7 Lag Payment Information

The data collected in the RDT is reported on a modified accrual (incurred) basis for SFY 2021 and does not follow generally accepted accounting principles with regards to retroactivity from prior year activity, including claim or capitation accruals, retroactive enrollment, or termination of enrollment of members from prior years. The data provided is designed to report only financial and enrollment activity incurred for the SFY reported.

The procedures and results of the test work are enumerated in Table 1 of Section 2.

Section 2 Procedures and Results

Mercer has performed the procedures enumerated in Table 1 below, which were designed by Mercer and were reviewed and agreed to by DHCS, solely to test the completeness, accuracy, and truthfulness of information reported in the Medi-Cal RDT from CalOptima for SFY 2021. CalOptima's management is responsible for the content of the RDT and has responded in a timely manner to all requests for information.

Table 1: Procedures

Fee-For-Service (FFS) Medical Expense		
Description of Procedures	Results	
Mercer reviewed all paid claims data for each category of service (COS) to verify control totals, verify eligibility and enrollment in the Mainstream Medi-Cal program, confirm the COS grouping was correct, confirm the year reported was correct, and confirm enrollment with the MCO for date of service.	 Control Totals: No variance noted. Eligibility: 0.07% of claim submissions with no matching eligibility totaling \$6,918,731 or 0.25% of total medical expense and is included in the variance noted below. COS Map: Review of each COS showed a 97%–100% match rate. Service Year: No variance noted. All dates of service fall within SFY 2021. 	
Mercer compared detailed lag tables for each major COS (Inpatient, Outpatient, Physician, Facility-LTC, and All Others) created from the paid claims data files provided by the MCO and compared the support to the information reported in Schedule 7. Mercer compared the paid claims amounts from Schedule 7, line 35 and the incurred but not reported (IBNR) amount from Schedule 7, line 40 to total paid claims data as provided by the MCO.	 Variance: RDT FFS Expenses are over/(understated) as compared to the support provided: Inpatient (1.90%) Outpatient (1.02%) LTC 0.80% Physician 0.07% All Other 0.95% In total (0.54%) or (\$5,136,532), which is (0.19%) of total medical expense. 	

Using data files (paid claims files) provided by the MCO, Mercer sampled and tested 60 transactions and traced them through the MCO's claims processing system, the payment remittance advice, and the bank	
statements.	

No variance noted.

Global Subcontracted Payments		
Description of Procedures	Results	
Mercer requested global capitation supporting detail. Mercer compared the support provided to the amounts reported in Schedule 1-A.	Variance: RDT Global Capitation Expense is understated by 0.29% or \$443,243.	
	The total of the detail provided was more than the amounts reported in the RDT.	
Mercer reviewed the contractual arrangement with the MCO's global subcontractor(s) and recalculated the total payment amounts using global	Variance: Detailed support for global capitation expense is understated by 0.54% or \$782,931.	
roster information provided for all 12 months of SFY 2021 multiplied by the contracted rates.	The recalculated amounts were more than the global capitation amount reported in the supporting detail provided.	
Mercer selected the three highest months of payment by globally subcontracted health plan/provider and five randomly selected additional	Variance: Proof of payment was more than the total detail provided by 0.86% or \$836,455.	
months of payment. Mercer observed proof of payments via relevant bank statements, clearing house documentation, or other online financial institution support for the sampled global capitated payments.	The supporting detail was understated as compared to the proof of payment information for the sampled sub- capitation payments.	
Mercer obtained roster information for the globally subcontracted provider and verified eligibility of members, confirmed enrollment with the MCO, and analyzed claims to verify none of	Eligibility was verified for 99.99% of the members on the provided rosters. The amount of global capitation paid for the ineligible members was \$5,628 and is included in the variance noted above.	

the FFS Claims paid should have been paid under the global arrangement.	FFS claims totaling \$291,174 were paid for members that were part of the global contract. This represents 0.01% of total medical expense.
Mercer compared the global per member per month (PMPM) payment rates to relevant PMPM experience for non-global members for reasonableness.	Mercer found the average global PMPM to be reasonable as compared to the cost experience of the non-global membership.
If applicable, Mercer reviewed Full-Dual member global contracted PMPMs to determine whether the amount(s) are at a reduced rate as compared to the non-Full Dual category of aid (COA) groups.	Confirmed reduced rates as compared to the non-Full Dual COA groups.
Mercer reviewed the sampled global capitated contracts to determine delegated administrative duties. Using this information, Mercer then reviewed the amount of administrative dollars reported in the RDT as compared to the delegated administrative functions.	Per review of the global contract, all administrative functions in Appendix A were delegated to the global subcontractor. CalOptima segregated 1.16% of the global capitation expense as administrative expense in the Schedule 1-A Data tab in the RDT. This percentage is considered low for the breadth of administrative responsibilities included in the global contract. CalOptima does not distinguish administrative expense in Schedule 6a. Therefore, this is likely an understatement of administrative expenses and an equal overstatement of medical expenses.
Mercer reviewed members included on the member roster to ensure there were no Coordinated Care Initiative members or payments provided in the steps above.	None identified.

Sub-Capitated Medical Expense	
Description of Procedures	Results
Mercer requested non-global sub-capitation supporting detail. Mercer compared the support provided to the amounts reported in Schedule 7.	Variance: RDT Sub-capitated Medical Expense is understated by 0.06% or \$538,518, which is 0.02% of total medical expense. The total of the detail provided was more than the amounts reported in the RDT.
Mercer reviewed a sample of the five highest provider payments and 10 random payments, reviewed the related contractual arrangements, and recalculated the total payment amounts by sub-capitated provider using roster information provided by the MCO.	Variance: Detailed support for sub-capitation expense was understated by 0.71% or \$711,078, or 0.08% of total medical expense. The recalculated amounts were more than the sub-capitation amount reported in the supporting detail provided.
Mercer observed proof of payments via relevant bank statements, clearinghouse documentation, or other online financial institution support for the sampled sub-capitated provider payments in the previous step. The proof of payment information validated the supporting detail provided for the sampled sub-capitated providers.	Variance: Detailed support for the sampled sub-capitated providers is overstated by 0.08%, or \$77,159. The proof of payment information was less than the supporting detail provided for the sampled sub-capitated providers.
Mercer obtained roster information for the sampled provider payments and verified eligibility of members and confirmed enrollment with MCO.	Eligibility was verified for 99.86% of rostered members in the sample. The amount of non-global sub-capitation paid for the ineligible members is \$15,574 and is included in the variance noted above.
If applicable, Mercer reviewed Full-Dual COA subcontracted PMPM payment rates to determine whether the amount(s) are at a reduced rate as compared to the non-Full Dual COAs.	Confirmed reduced rates as compared to the non-Full Dual COA groups.

For sub-capitated arrangements accounting for 5% or more of total medical expense, Mercer reviewed the sampled sub-capitated contracts to determine delegated administrative duties. Using this information, Mercer then reviewed the amount of administrative dollars reported in the RDT as compared to the delegated administrative functions. CalOptima had two sub-capitated arrangements that exceeded the 5% or more of total medical expense threshold. There were seven administrative functions delegated to the two sub-capitated providers and the plan did not report administrative dollars in the RDT. Therefore, this is likely an undetermined amount of understatement of administrative expenses and an equal overstatement of medical expenses.

Utilization and Cost Experience		
Description of Procedures	Results	
Mercer compared summarized total net cost data from amounts reported in Schedule 1 to total incurred claims by COS for Schedule 7 for consistency.	Variance: Schedule 1 is overstated by 0.68%, or \$12,693,571. This amount is 0.46% of Total Medical Expense.	

Member Months	
Description of Procedures	Results
Mercer compared MCO-reported member months from Schedule 1-C to eligibility and enrollment information provided by the State. Mercer's procedures are to request explanations for any member months with greater than 0.5% variance in total or greater than 1.0% variance by major COA.	Variance: RDT Member Months are overstated by 0.33% in total.

Provider Incentive Arrangements	
Description of Procedures	Results
Mercer requested a listing of all provider incentive arrangements, by provider and by month, and compared the amounts to Schedule 1.	Variance: RDT Provider Incentive Expense is overstated by 3.65% or \$1,438,318. The overstatement was due to differences between estimated and actual expenses and represents 0.05% of total medical expense.

From the listing of provider incentive payments, Mercer sampled the highest two payment amounts and one random payment. Mercer observed proof of payments for the sampled provider incentive payments.	No Variance noted.	
Mercer reviewed the listing of provider incentive payments for any payments to related parties. If the review of the provider incentive payment listing showed payments to related parties, and the sample selection in the previous step did not include related party arrangements, Mercer selected the two highest related party provider incentive payments. Mercer observed proof of payments for the sampled related party provider incentive payments.	There were no incentive payments made to related parties.	
If related party provider incentive payments were noted, Mercer reviewed the incentive terms to determine whether the terms align with similar arrangements for non-related parties.	Not applicable.	
Reinsurance		
Description of Procedures	Results	
Mercer reviewed the reinsurance contract and compared the amount on the RDT to the requested supporting schedule.	CalOptima did not have any reinsurance contracts during SFY 2021.	
Mercer recalculated reinsurance premiums, based on SFY 2021 membership as of February 2023, to compare to reported amounts.	Not applicable.	
Mercer recalculated recoveries for a sample of five members.	Not applicable.	

Mercer compared the amount of		
reinsurance recoveries as compared to		
the information in Schedule 5 for		
reasonableness.		

Not applicable.

reasonableness.		
Settlements		
Description of Procedures	Results	
Mercer inquired of the MCO if they incurred any settlement amounts with providers related to SFY 2021 dates of service. If settlements exist, Mercer noted whether the amounts are actual, or estimates based on the status of the settlements and where the amount(s) are reported in the RDT.	No settlements were paid for SFY 2021.	
Third-Party Liability (TPL)		
Description of Procedures	Results	
Mercer reviewed information submitted by the MCO as to how TPL is identified and reported. Per DHCS All Plan Letter (APL) 21-007, the MCO is not required to collect TPL, however, they are required to report to DHCS service and utilization information for covered services related to TPL.	Per review of the support provided and confirmation with DHCS, CalOptima is submitting TPL information as required by APL 21-007. No further testing necessary.	
Administrative Expenses		
Description of Procedures	Results	
Mercer benchmarked administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenue across all County Organized Health System (COHS) plans and	The benchmark administrative percentage was 4.71% of Net Revenue and CalOptima reported 3.82%. This differential is considered reasonable	

Health System (COHS) plans and compared to the amount reported in Schedule 6a, taking into consideration the membership size of the plan when reviewing the results.

differential is considered reasonable based on Cal Optima's larger than average enrollment.

Mercer compared detailed line items
from the MCO's trial balance mapped to
line items in Schedule 6a and
Schedule 6b for reasonableness.
Mercer reviewed allocation
methodologies and reviewed for
reasonableness.

Variance: RDT is understated by 1.13%, or \$1,179,332. This amount is 0.04% of Total Net Revenue.

Taxes			
Description of Procedures	Results		
Mercer reviewed to ensure proper reporting of federal, State, and local taxes on line 59 of Schedule 6a. If no taxes were reported on Schedule 6a, we confirmed the organization is not subject to taxes.	CalOptima is exempt from income taxes; therefore, no taxes reported on the RDT.		

Related Party Transactions				
Description of Procedures	Results			
Mercer obtained related party agreements for medical services and reviewed to determine whether the terms are at fair market value. Mercer compared the terms (e.g., PMPM or other payment rate amounts) to other similar non-related party terms for reasonableness.	CalOptima had no related parties as defined by the Financial Accounting Standard Board. However, CalOptima had two members who held executive positions at hospitals or provider organizations that provided services to CalOptima's Medi-Cal members. One of the members is related to three hospitals which represent approximately 35% of the total reported inpatient expense. Per review of related party contracts, payment terms appear reasonable as compared to similar non-related party terms.			
If related party contracts are a material portion of the related medical COS, Mercer also reviewed any allocation methodologies for reasonableness.	Not applicable. No allocation methodologies present.			
Mercer reviews that all services included in the related party	All services considered allowable.			

agreements are allowable for Medicaid rate setting.			
When applicable, Mercer obtained related party corporate allocation methodologies for administrative services. Where significant, Mercer reviewed the amounts for reasonableness.	Not applicable. Per CalOptima, there were no allocated administrative services provided.		
UM/QA/CC			
Description of Procedures	Results		
Mercer benchmarked UM/QA/CC expenses as a percentage of total medical expense across all COHS plans and compared to the amount reported on Schedule 1-U, taking into consideration the membership size of the plan when reviewing the results.	The benchmark UM/QA/CC percentage was 1.69% and CalOptima reported 1.54%.		
Mercer interviewed financial management to determine how health care quality improvement activities such as care coordination are isolated from general administrative expenses in the general ledger.	Confirmed with CalOptima management that UM/QA/CC costs were not also included in general administrative expenses.		

Capitation Revenue			
Description of Procedures	Results		
Mercer compared capitation amounts reported in Schedule 6a for calendar year 2020 plus January–June 2021 (1H2021) with the Capitation Management System (CAPMAN) file received from DHCS for the same period. The CAPMAN file contains all amounts paid to the MCO by DHCS.	Variance: RDT is understated by 1.39%, or \$39,112,512.		

Interest and Investment Income				
Description of Procedures	Results			
Mercer requested interest and investment income for the MCO entity as a whole and information regarding how the income provided in Schedule 6a was allocated to the Medi-Cal line of business.	Variance: RDT is understated by 64.90% or \$12,032,250. Per CalOptima, the variance is due to unrealized gains and losses reported in the audited financial statements, but not in the RDT.			
Other Information				
Description of Procedures	Results			
Mercer reviewed the plan's audited financial statements for SFY 2021 for a clean audit opinion or identification of significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.	Mercer confirmed a clean audit opinion.			
Mercer compared reported expenses, including IBNR and administrative expenses, to audited financial statements for consistency.	No material variances identified other than the understated interest and investment income as noted above.			
Mercer requested information on the efforts to identify and recover provider overpayments and on how the recoveries are recorded in the RDT.	CalOptima provided the written policy for the identification and recovery of overpayments. Based on a review of that policy, CalOptima is appropriately excluding provider overpayments from the RDT medical expenses.			

Section 3 Summary of Findings

Based on the procedures performed, the total amount of capitation revenue for the SFY 2021 RDT was understated by \$39,112,512, or 1.39%.

Based on the procedures performed, the total amount of gross medical expenditures in the RDT were overstated by \$4,639,461 or 0.17% of total medical expenditures in the SFY 2021 RDT.

Based on the procedures performed, administrative expenditures in the SFY 2021 RDT showed an understatement of \$1,179,332 or 1.13% of total medical expenditures variance. However, the plan should be properly recording a portion of their global and provider sub-capitation expense as administrative, thus reducing their medical expense.

Based on the defined variance threshold, the results of the audit are determined to be immaterial and do not warrant corrective action.

CalOptima reviewed and accepted this report.

Appendix A Administrative Duties in Subcontracted Arrangements

Administrative Task	Kaiser (Global)	СНОС	Monarch
Quality Management	X	X	X
Quality Measure Tracking	x	X	X
Utilization Management	X		
Case Management	x	X	X
Member Services	x		
Member Grievance	x		
Claims Processing	x	X	X
Claims Adjudication and Payment	X	X	X
Encounter Submission	X	X	X
Provider Services	X		
Provider Contracting	X		
Provider Relations and Education	x		
Credentialing and Recredentialing	X	X	X



Mercer Health & Benefits LLC

2325 East Camelback Road, Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016 www.mercer-government.mercer.com

Services provided by Mercer Health & Benefits LLC. Copyright © 2024 Mercer Health & Benefits LLC. All rights reserved.