

| No. | Measure Name   | Description   | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed           | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|--|---|-------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--|-------------------|
| 1   | Hospice and Palliative Care - Comprehensive Assessment | <p><b>Hospice:</b> Percentage of patients enrolled in hospice for &gt;7 days for whom a comprehensive assessment was completed within 5 days of admission. Components of comprehensive assessment include documentation of prognosis (can be prior to admission), functional assessment, screening for physical and psychological symptoms, and assessment of social and spiritual concerns.</p> <p><b>Palliative Care:</b> Percentage of seriously ill patients receiving specialty palliative care in an acute hospital setting for &gt;1 day for whom a comprehensive assessment was completed. Components of comprehensive assessment include screening for physical symptoms and discussion of the patient's and family's emotional or psychological needs. All physical screenings must be completed within 24 hours of admission (screening date – admission date ≤ 1). Discussions regarding emotional or psychological issues can take place at any time during the admission.</p> | N/A   | Measuring What Matters | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services   |                   |
| 2   | Screening for Physical Symptoms                        | Percentage of seriously ill patients receiving specialty palliative care in an acute hospital setting >1 days or patients enrolled in hospice >7 days who had a screening for physical symptoms (pain, dyspnea, nausea, and constipation)   | N/A   | Measuring What Matters | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services; could be adapted to pertain to patients cared for by specialist PC services outside the hospital setting |                   |
| 3   | Pain Treatment (Any)                                   | Seriously ill patients receiving specialty palliative care in an acute hospital setting >1 day or patients enrolled in hospice >7 days who screened positive for moderate to severe pain on admission, and the percent receiving medication or nonmedication treatment, within 24 hours of screening  | N/A   | Measuring What Matters | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services; could be adapted to pertain to patients cared for by specialist PC services outside the hospital setting |                   |

| No. | Measure Name                                   | Description  | NQF #         | Measure Steward/Source                   | Type(s) of care assessed           | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|--|--|---------------|--|------------------------------------|----------|--|-------------------|
| 4   | Dyspnea Screening and Management               | Percentage of patients with advanced chronic or serious life-threatening illnesses that are screened for dyspnea; for those who are diagnosed with moderate or severe dyspnea, a documented plan of care to manage dyspnea exists  | 1639 and 1638 | University of North Carolina Chapel Hill | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services; could be adapted to pertain to patients cared for by specialist PC services outside the hospital setting |                   |
| 5   | Discussion of Emotional or Psychological Needs | Percentage of seriously ill patients receiving specialty palliative care in an acute hospital setting >1 days or patients enrolled in hospice >7 days with chart documentation of a discussion regarding emotional or psychological needs  | N/A           | Measuring What Matters                   | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services; could be adapted to pertain to patients cared for by specialist PC services outside the hospital setting |                   |
| 6   | Discussion of Spiritual/Religious Concerns     | Percentage of hospital patients with documentation in the clinical record of a discussion of spiritual and religious concerns or documentation that the patient or caregiver did not want to discuss these issues  | 1647          | Deyta., LLC                              | Hospice                            |          | Could be adapted to include care delivered by specialist PC services in any setting  |                   |
| 7   | Documentation of Surrogate                     | Percentage of seriously ill patients receiving specialty palliative care in an acute hospital setting >1 day or patients enrolled in hospice >7 days with the name and contact information for the patient's surrogate decision maker in the chart or documentation that there is no surrogate | 326 (adapted) | NCQA                                     | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | If used in its original form (as written applies to all adults age 65 and older) could be an excellent measure for primary palliative care                                     |                   |

| No. | Measure Name  | Description  | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source                   | Type(s) of care assessed           | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)  | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|---|--|-------|--|------------------------------------|----------|---|-------------------|
| 8   | Treatment Preferences   | Percentage of seriously ill patients receiving specialty palliative care in an acute hospital setting >1 day or patients enrolled in hospice >7 days with chart documentation of preferences for life-sustaining treatments  | 1641  | University of North Carolina Chapel Hill | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services; could be adapted to pertain to patients cared for by specialist PC services outside the hospital setting  |                   |
| 9   | Care Consistency with Documented Care Preferences                   | If a vulnerable elder has documented treatment preferences to withhold or withdraw life-sustaining treatment (e.g. a do-not-resuscitate order, no tube feeding, no hospital transfer), then these treatment preferences should be followed   | N/A   | Measuring What Matters                   | None specified                     |          | Could be used to assess care delivered in any setting by specialist or primary providers  |                   |
| 10  | Global Measure  | Although no specific global measure was endorsed by the MWM process, the committee, panels, membership, and stakeholders agreed that patient and/or family assessments of the quality of care is a key part of measuring quality for any setting caring for palliative or hospice patients | N/A   | Measuring What Matters                   | None specified                     |          |   |                   |
| 11  | Terminal hospital stays that include intensive care unit days       | Terminal hospital stays that include intensive care unit days (Benchmark data available from The Dartmouth Atlas analysis of claims data for Medicare FFS patients, updated annually; could be replicated using Medi-Cal claims data)  | N/A   | LGHC (CHCF steward)                      | Population measure                 |          | Corresponds to NQF 0213, "Percentage of patients who died from cancer admitted to the ICU in the last 30 days of life." Could be used to assess intensity of EOL care delivered to all patients; national, state, HRR rates available |                   |
| 12  | Percent of California hospitals providing inpatient palliative care | Percent of California hospitals providing inpatient palliative care (Data source: OSHPD's Utilization Report of Hospitals - updated annually)  | N/A   | LGHC (CHCF steward)                      | Inpatient palliative care          |          | Structure measure for the state: could be used to monitor access across regions   |                   |

| No. | Measure Name  | Description   | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source                   | Type(s) of care assessed   | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|---|---|-------|--|--|----------|--|-------------------|
| 13  | Hospice Enrollment Rates  | Proportion of decedents who utilize hospice services. (Benchmark data from Medicare claims files, which are updated annually; could be replicated using Medi-Cal claims data) | N/A   | LGHC (CHCF steward)                      | Population measure   |          | Population measure that corresponds to NQF 0215, "Percentage of patients who died from cancer not admitted to hospice". Could be used to assess quality of EOL care delivered to all Medi-Cal patients |                   |
| 14  | Hospice and Palliative Care - Pain Screening (UNC) (paired with measure 1637) | Percentage of hospice or palliative care patients who were screened for pain during the hospice admission evaluation/palliative care initial encounter                        | 1634  | University of North Carolina Chapel Hill | Hospice; inpatient palliative care                               |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services; could be adapted to pertain to patients cared for by specialist PC services outside the hospital setting                         |                   |
| 15  | Patients treated with an Opioid who are given a bowel regimen                 | Percentage of vulnerable adults treated with an opioid that are offered/prescribed a bowel regimen or documentation of why this was not needed.                               | 1617  | RAND                                     | None specified   |          | Could be an excellent measure for both specialist and primary PC   |                   |
| 16  | Patients with advanced cancer assessed for pain at outpatient visits          | Adult patients with advanced cancer who have an assessment of pain with a standardized quantitative tool at each outpatient visit   | 1628  | RAND                                     | Primary care and oncologic care delivered in outpatient settings |          |  |                   |

| No. | Measure Name  | Description   | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source                   | Type(s) of care assessed           | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|---|---|-------|--|------------------------------------|----------|--|-------------------|
| 17  | Hospice and Palliative Care - Dyspnea Treatment (UNC) (paired with measure 1638)      | Percentage of hospice or palliative care patients who were screened for dyspnea during the hospice admission evaluation/palliative care initial encounter   | 1639  | University of North Carolina Chapel Hill | Hospice; inpatient palliative care |          | As written, not applicable to community-based specialist PC services; could be adapted to pertain to patients cared for by specialist PC services outside the hospital setting |                   |
| 18  | Comfortable Dying (maintenance)   | Number of patients who report being uncomfortable because of pain at the initial assessment (after admission to hospice services) who report pain was brought to a comfortable level within 48 hours  | 209   | NHPCO                                    | Hospice                            |          |  |                   |
| 19  | Hospitalized patients who die an expected death with an ICD that has been deactivated | Percentage of hospitalized patients who die an expected death from cancer or other terminal illness and who have an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) in place at the time of death that was deactivated prior to death, or there is documentation why it was not deactivated  | 1625  | RAND                                     | Hospital                           |          | Could be used to assess both care delivered by inpatient PC specialists and care delivered by other providers  |                   |
| 20  | Family Evaluation of Hospice Care (NHPCO) (maintenance)                               | <p>Composite Score: Derived from responses to 17 items on the Family Evaluation of Hospice Care (FEHC) survey presented as a single score ranging from 0 to 100.</p> <p>Global Score: Percentage of best possible response (Excellent) to the overall rating question on the FEHC survey.</p> <p>Target Population: The FEHC survey is an after death survey administered to bereaved family caregivers of individuals who died while enrolled in hospice. Timeframe: The survey measures family members perception of the quality of hospice care for the entire enrollment period, regardless of length of service.</p> | 208   | NHPCO                                    | Hospice                            |          |  |                   |

| No.  | Measure Name   | Description   | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source                          | Type(s) of care assessed                     | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|--|--|---|-------|---|--|----------|--|-------------------|
| 21   | CARE - Consumer Assessments and Reports of End of Life | The CARE survey is a mortality follow-back survey that is administered to the bereaved family members of adult persons (age 18 and older) who died of a chronic progressive illness receiving services for at least 48 hours from a home health agency, nursing homes, hospice, or acute care hospital. The survey measures perceptions of the quality of care either in terms of unmet needs, family reports of concerns with the quality of care, and overall rating of the quality of care. The time frame is the last 2 days of life up to last week of life spent in a hospice, home health agency, hospital, or nursing home. | 1632  | Center for Gerontology and Health Care Research | Home health, NH, hospice, hospital           |          |  |                   |
| 22   | Bereaved Family Survey                                 | The purpose of this measure is to assess families' perceptions of the quality of care that Veterans received from the Veteran's Administration in the last month of life. The BFS consists of 19 items (17 structured and 2 open ended). The BFS items were selected from a longer survey that was developed and validated with the support of a VA HSR&D Merit Award and have been approved for use by the Office of Management and Budget.  | 1623  | PROMISE Center                                  | Any setting (for care delivered to Veterans) |          |  |                   |
| <b>Metrics that Address Reach and Use of Palliative Services</b> |  |   |       |   |  |          |  |                   |
| 23   | Number of individuals receiving specialist PC services | Number of Individuals receiving specialist PC services  | N/A   | CCCC  | Specialist PC delivered in any setting       |          | Measure of reach of specialist PC services; potential denominator of individuals with advanced disease, defined through ICD-10 codes |                   |
| 24   | Types of Services                                      | Types of specialist PC services utilized (hospital, clinic, home-based, telephonic, etc.)   | N/A   | CCCC  | Specialist PC delivered in any setting       |          | Could be used to assess use / accessibility / capacity of specialist PC services across regions                                      |                   |

| No.                      | Measure Name                         | Description  | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed  | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)  | Stakeholder Input |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------|------------------------|---|----------|---|-------------------|
| 25                       | Number of contacts                   | Number of contacts with specialist PC per member that receives services  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting  |          | Could be used to assess intensity of specialist PC services provided (multiple visits or just 1-2)            |                   |
| 26                       | Timing of initial offering           | Timing of initial offering of specialist palliative care services, in relation to date of death  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting  |          | Could be used to assess timeliness of recognition of PC needs (i.e., days or months prior to death)           |                   |
| <b>Structure Metrics</b> |                                      |  |       |                        |   |          |   |                   |
| 27                       | Providers with advanced training     | Proportion of providers or supervisors with advanced training in palliative care   | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC services or primary clinics / health homes / home health services |          | Corresponds to NQF Preferred Practices 4 & 5 (provision of adequate clinical support and specialist training) |                   |
| 28                       | Accessibility of specialist services | Accessibility of specialist services (i.e., 24/7, or more restricted)  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting  |          | Corresponds to NQF Preferred Practice #2 (24/7 access to PC)  |                   |
| 29                       | Specialist Team                      | Disciplines on a specialist team   | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting  |          | Corresponds to NQF Preferred Practice #1 (interdisciplinary care)   |                   |
| 30                       | Settings                             | Settings in which palliative care is offered (clinics, home, SNF, etc.)  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting  |          | Structure measure that speaks to accessibility of specialist services   |                   |
| 31                       | Educational Materials Access         | Availability of materials describing hospice, advance care planning and other key concepts that are available in the languages that are predominantly used by a plan's members | N/A   | CCCC                   | Any setting /service that provides care to individuals with advanced disease    |          | Corresponds to NQF Preferred Practice #25 (availability of materials in the patient's preferred language)     |                   |
| <b>Process Metrics</b>   |                                      |  |       |                        |   |          |   |                   |

| No.                    | Measure Name                        | Description  | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed   | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------|------------------------|--|----------|--|-------------------|
| 32                     | Assessment Timeliness               | Proportion of individuals who receive a comprehensive palliative care assessment within a certain time period following referral for specialist services | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting                                       |          | Corresponds to MWM Comprehensive Assessment measure listed above; expanded to address PC delivered outside the hospital setting (interval for comprehensive assessment TBD)  |                   |
| 33                     | Surrogate Decision-Maker Identified | Number of individuals with surrogate decision maker identified and documented  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Any setting /service that provides care to individuals with advanced disease |          | Corresponds to NQF Endorsed Measure 0326, but includes all individuals with advanced disease (not just those age >=65) and NQF Preferred Practice #32 (document surrogate decision maker)  |                   |
| 34                     | Treatment for Pain                  | Proportion of individuals who screen positive for moderate or severe pain who receive treatment within 24 hours  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Any setting /service that provides care to individuals with advanced disease |          | Corresponds to MWM Pain Treatment measure listed above; expanded to eliminate stipulation that care be delivered by specialist PC service or that patient be enrolled in hospice. Denominator could be individuals with advanced disease |                   |
| <b>Outcome Metrics</b> |                                     |  |       |                        |  |          |  |                   |



| No. | Measure Name           | Description   | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed   | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|------------------------|---|-------|------------------------|--|----------|--|-------------------|
| 35  | Concordance            | Concordance between care preferences and treatment received   | N/A   | CCCC                   | Any setting /service that provides care to individuals with advanced disease   |          | Assessment of adherence to NCP Guideline 8.1 (the patient or surrogate's goals, preferences and choices are respected)   |                   |
| 36  | Reduction in Symptoms  | Reduction in severity of physical, psychological, and spiritual symptoms                                  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting   |          | Corresponds to multiple NQF Preferred Practices addressing physical, psychological, spiritual domains (#13, 15, 16); could be defined to include symptoms assessed by the ESAS or similar tool |                   |
| 37  | Family Satisfaction    | Family satisfaction with medical decision-making support  | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting, or any setting / service that provides care to individuals with advanced disease |          | Could be assessed with post-bereavement follow back survey   |                   |
| 38  | Use of ED and Hospital | Use of the emergency department and acute care hospital in the period following referral to specialist PC | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting   |          | Measure commonly used to assess fiscal impact of specialist PC services; multiple options for defining time period of interest and comparison values   |                   |

| No.                             | Measure Name                | Description  | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed               | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)   | Stakeholder Input |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------|------------------------|--|----------|--|-------------------|
| 39                              | Total Cost of Care          | Total cost of care in a defined period (before/after initial palliative care contact, or the final 6 months of life, etc.) | N/A   | CCCC                   | Specialist PC delivered in any setting |          | Measure commonly used to assess fiscal impact of specialist PC services; multiple options for defining time period of interest and comparison values |                   |
| <b>Utilization/Cost Metrics</b> |                             |  |       |                        |  |          |  |                   |
| 44                              | Hospice Referral Timeliness | First referred to hospice less than 3 days before death  | 216   | ASCO                   | Population measure                     |          | NQF endorsed for cancer patients; could be adapted to apply to all deaths, or all deaths from chronic, progressive disease                           |                   |
| 45                              | ICU Use                     | Intensive Care Unit (ICU) used in last 30 days of life   | 213   | ASCO                   | Population measure                     |          | NQF endorsed for cancer patients; could be adapted to apply to all deaths, or all deaths from chronic, progressive disease                           |                   |
| 46                              | ED Use                      | More than 1 Emergency Department (ED) visit in the last 30 days of life  | 211   | ASCO                   | Population measure                     |          | NQF endorsed for cancer patients; could be adapted to apply to all deaths, or all deaths from chronic, progressive disease                           |                   |
| 47                              | Chemotherapy                | Chemotherapy in last 14 days of life (starting or continuing chemo, in inpatient or outpatient setting)                    | 210   | ASCO                   | Population measure                     |          |  |                   |
| 48                              | Place of Death              | Place of Death   | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure                     |          | Could be used to assess intensity of EOL care delivered to all patients  |                   |

| No. | Measure Name                                  | Description                                   | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)  | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|---|---|-------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---|-------------------|
| 49  | In-Hospital Death                             | In-hospital deaths                            | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Previously endorsed NQF measure for cancer patients; useful indicator of EOL care intensity   |                   |
| 51  | Hospital Admission in last 30 days of life    | Admitted to hospital in last 30 days of life  | N/A   | CMS                    | Population measure       |          | Used by CMS for some conditions in the VBP program ("30-day mortalities")   |                   |
| 52  | Died within 3 days of discharge from hospital | Died within 3 days of discharge from hospital | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Useful measure of EOL-care intensity and potentially burdensome transitions   |                   |
| 53  | Number of days enrolled in hospice            | Number of days enrolled in hospice            | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | National data reported by NHPKO; state data available from CHPCA; useful measure of EOL-care intensity and timeliness of hospice referrals. Speaks to NQF Preferred Practice #8 (present hospice as an option to all patients when death within a year would not be surprising) |                   |

| No. | Measure Name                                      | Description   | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)  | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|---|---|-------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---|-------------------|
| 54  | Admits per patient in last 6-12 months of life    | Average number of hospital admits per patient in last 6-12 months of life | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 55  | ICU Days per patient in last 6-12 months of life  | Average number of ICU days per patient in last 6-12 months of life        | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 56  | ED visits per patient in last 6-12 months of life | Average number of ED visits per patient in the last 6-12 months of life   | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 57  | Expenditures in last 6-12 months of life          | Average total expenditures in last 6-12 months of life                    | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |

| No. | Measure Name  | Description  | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)  | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|---|--|-------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---|-------------------|
| 58  | Number of 30 day re-admits in last six months of life | Number of 30-day re-admits (all cause except for chemo) across last six months of life | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 60  | Number of hospital admissions                         | Number of hospital admissions, by month preceding death                                | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 61  | Length of Stay  | Length of Stay (LOS) per admission, by month preceding death                           | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 62  | Number of 30 day readmissions                         | Number of 30-day readmissions, by month preceding death                                | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |

| No. | Measure Name       | Description                                  | NQF # | Measure Steward/Source | Type(s) of care assessed | Priority | Considerations (Pros and Cons)  | Stakeholder Input |
|-----|--------------------|--|-------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---|-------------------|
| 63  | ED visits          | ED visits, by month preceding death          | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 64  | ICU Days           | ICU days, by month preceding death           | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |
| 65  | Total Expenditures | Total expenditures, by month preceding death | N/A   | NA                     | Population measure       |          | Used to document utilization patterns towards the end of life; informs assessments of care intensity and impact of specialist PC services |                   |