

November 24, 2025

#### THIS LETTER SENT VIA EMAIL

Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan 21215 Burbank Boulevard Woodland Hills, CA 91367

FINAL NOTICE OF MONETARY SANCTION FOR FAILURE TO MEET AND EXCEED MINIMUM PERFORMANCE LEVELS FOR MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE ACCOUNTABILITY SET PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Dear Les Ybarra,

The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) sends this Final Notice of Monetary Sanction Letter to Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan (Anthem) for failure to meet and exceed the required minimum performance levels (MPLs) for measurement year 2024 (MY24)<sup>1</sup> Medi-Cal Managed Care Accountability Set (MCAS) performance measures (Managed Care Plan (MCP) Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment 3, section 2.2 Quality Improvement System, subsection 2.2.9 External Quality Review Requirements).

Under California Welfare and Institutions Code (W&I) section 14197.7 and the MCP contract, DHCS has the authority to impose monetary sanctions for Anthem's failure to meet and exceed required MPLs for MY24, which has directly impacted Anthem's Members (MCP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment 3, section 2.2 Quality Improvement System, subsection 2.2.9 External Quality Review Requirements). Pursuant to W&I section 14197.7(f) and the MCP contract, DHCS is authorized to impose a \$25,000 sanction per violation of Anthem's contractual obligation to meet and exceed MPLs for each MCAS performance measure (MCP Contract, Exhibit E, Program Terms and Conditions, section 1.1.19 Sanctions; W&I section 14197.7(e)).

Successful administration of the Medi-Cal program requires a collaborative partnership between DHCS and Anthem. This collaboration includes the expectation that Anthem meet their contractual and programmatic requirements on an ongoing basis. DHCS provides Anthem with regular opportunities for collaboration on strategies for improving

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Measurement Year 2024 (MY 24) covered activities conducted from January 1, 2024, to December 31, 2024





Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 2 November 24, 2025

Anthem's MCAS performance measures required to meet and exceed MPLs, including engaging directly with Anthem on required Quality Improvement (QI) work, providing QI coaching, and providing opportunities to participate in statewide and regional quality improvement collaboratives.

On April 25, 2025, DHCS published APL 25-007 Attachment C<sup>2</sup>, which provided MCPs with an overview of the MCAS monetary sanction methodology.

On August 21, 2025, DHCS received Anthem's reported MCAS rates through the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO).

On October 7, 2025, DHCS sent Anthem the Quality Sanction Bulletin via the Quality Monitoring Inbox, which provided a detailed overview of MCAS monetary sanction methodology for MY24.

DHCS determined that Anthem has 139 county-level measures below the MPL across four domain(s), triggering Tier 2 or Tier 3 quality sanctions in 13 county(ies). See Table 2 for enforcement tier designation triggers.

On October 16, 2025, DHCS notified Anthem that DHCS was imposing monetary sanctions in the amount of \$334,000.00 for Anthem's failure to comply with its obligations set forth in the contract. See Table 3 and/or 4 for Anthem's Sanction **Determination Details.** 

Anthem did not request a meet and confer conference with DHCS within two business days of receiving the Notice of Intent to Impose Monetary Sanctions letter on October 16, 2025. This Final Notice of Monetary Sanction letter supersedes the Notice of Intent to Impose Monetary Sanctions letter and is made in accordance with W&I section 14197.7(g) and with the Quality Sanction Bulletin issued October 7, 2025.

As a result, DHCS determined that Anthem's final total sanction amount is \$334,000.00, which will be paid to DHCS via ACH/Wire or check payment. Please follow the included payment instructions to effectuate payment in full to DHCS. Please indicate the name of the MCP, MY, and the reason for payment when submitting your payment to DHCS. The effective date of this sanction is November 24, 2025. Payment for the MCAS MY24 Quality Sanctions is due immediately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Health Care Services. Attachment C – Managed Care Accountability Set (MCAS) Monetary Sanction Methodology. APL 25-007, 2025.





California Health and Human Services Agency

Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 3 November 24, 2025

If Anthem does not pay within 30 business days, Anthem will receive a past due notice. Please provide notice of receipt and payment via email to DHCS' Quality Monitoring inbox at <a href="mailto:QualityMonitoring@dhcs.ca.gov">QualityMonitoring@dhcs.ca.gov</a>. Please include the account number and check number, or wire transfer number, once payment has been sent. Notice of Appeal Rights is included in the letter below.

If you have any questions, send an email to the Quality Monitoring inbox at QualityMonitoring@dhcs.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Pamela Riley, MD, MPH Assistant Deputy Director, Chief Health Equity Officer Quality and Population Health Management Department of Health Care Services Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 4 November 24, 2025

#### ACH/Wire to:

Beneficiary Account Name: Department of Health Care Services

Bank Name: US Bank

Laurel Heights Branch

3471 California St

San Francisco, CA 94118

Routing Number: 122235821

Bank Account Number: 1-583-0005-7623

Reference: Sanction or any identifier

## For Check Payment:

Payable to: Department of Health Care Services

Cash Receipts Unit 1501 Capitol Avenue MS 1101 PO Box 997415

Sacramento, CA 95899-7415

For check payment, please include the Final Notice of Monetary Sanction Letter and any backup documents that will identify the payment.



Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 5 November 24, 2025

CC

Michelle Baass Director Department of Health Care Services

Tyler Sadwith State Medicaid Director Chief Deputy Director, Health Care Programs Department of Health Care Services

Lindy Harrington Assistant State Medicaid Director Department of Health Care Services

Palav Babaria, MD, MHS
Deputy Director, Chief Quality Officer
Quality and Population Health Management
Department of Health Care Services

Judith Recchio
Deputy Director and Chief Counsel
Department of Health Care Services

Susan Philip Deputy Director, Health Care Delivery Systems Department of Health Care Services

Bambi Cisneros
Assistant Deputy Director, Managed Care
Health Care Delivery Systems
Interim Chief, Managed Care Quality and Monitoring Division
Department of Health Care Services

Michelle Retke
Contracting Officer, Chief of Managed Care Operating Division
Health Care Delivery Systems
Department of Health Care Services

Dr. Rafael Gonzalez-Amezcua, Manager Medical Director Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan 21215 Burbank Boulevard Woodland Hills, CA 91367



Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 6 November 24, 2025

> Teresa Cortez, Compliance Manager Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan 21215 Burbank Boulevard Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Chryshanee McNeely, Director II, Compliance Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan 21215 Burbank Boulevard Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Dr. Mayra Serrano, Health Equity Director Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan 21215 Burbank Boulevard Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Cassie Kam, Staff Vice President, Finance Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan 21215 Burbank Boulevard Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 7 November 24, 2025

#### **NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Anthem has the right to request a hearing in connection with any sanctions within fifteen (15) working days after the notice of the effective date of sanctions has been given. DHCS will stay the imposition of sanctions upon receipt of the request for a hearing until the effective date of a final decision from the Office of Administrative Hearings and Appeals (OAHA). Anthem may request a hearing by sending a letter so stating to the Office of Administrative Hearings and Appeals at the address below:

Chief Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings and Appeals
Department of Health Care Services
3831 N. Freeway Blvd., Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95834

A copy of the hearing request must also be sent to:

Angelico Razon

Quality and Health Equity Measurement Monitoring Section Chief
Quality and Population Health Management
Department of Health Care Services
MS 0020
P.O. Box 997413
Sacramento CA 95899-7413

Judith Recchio
Deputy Director and Chief Counsel
Office of Legal Services
Department of Health Care Services
MS 0010
P.O. Box 997413
Sacramento CA 95899-74



### **Enclosure**

TABLE 1: DOMAIN, MEASURE, & ACRONYM

Domain	Measure	Acronym
Behavioral Health (BH)	Follow-Up After ED Visit for Mental Illness— 30 days	FUM
	Follow-Up After ED Visit for Substance Use—30 days	FUA
Children's	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits	WCV
Health (CH)	Childhood Immunization Status: Combination 10	CIS-10
	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life	DEV
	Immunizations for Adolescents: Combination 2	IMA-2
	Lead Screening in Children	LSC
	Topical Fluoride for Children	TFL-CH
	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life – 0 to 15 Months – Six or More Visits	W30-6+
	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life  – 15 to 30 Months – Two or More Visits	W30-2+
Reproductive Health	Chlamydia Screening in Women	CHL
and Cancer Prevention (RC)	Prenatal and Postpartum Care: Postpartum Care	PPC-Pst
	Prenatal and Postpartum Care: Timeliness of Prenatal Care	PPC-Pre
	Breast Cancer Screening	BCS-E
	Cervical Cancer Screening	CCS
	Asthma Medication Ratio	AMR
Chronic Disease	Controlling High Blood Pressure	CBP
Management (CD)	Glycemic Status Assessment for Patients with Diabetes (>9%)*	GSD

<sup>\*</sup>A lower rate is better for this measure.



Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 9 November 24, 2025

# **TABLE 2: QUALITY ENFORCEMENT TIER DESIGNATION TRIGGERS**

Enforcement Tiers	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Triggers	One (1) measure below the MPL in any one (1) domain	Two (2) or more measures below the MPL in any one (1) domain	Three (3) or more measures below the MPL in two (2) or more domains
Enforcement Action	Not subject to monetary sanction	Subject to monetary sanction	Subject to monetary sanction

**Quality and Population Health Management** 

Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 10 November 24, 2025

TABLE 3: ANTHEM MY24 MCAS QUALITY SANCTION DETERMINATION DETAILS

County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Amador	BCS-E	46.26%	52.68%	1.4	1	158	0%	\$221.20
Amador	CBP	60.00%	64.48%	1.2	1	96	0%	\$115.20
Amador	CHL	51.38%	55.95%	1.2	1	88	0%	\$105.60
Amador	WCV	39.62%	51.81%	1.6	0.8	1163	0%	\$1,488.64
Calaveras	BCS-E	45.39%	52.68%	1.4	1.2	154	10%	\$232.85
Calaveras	CBP	59.00%	64.48%	1.2	1.2	123	10%	\$159.41
Calaveras	CCS	44.00%	57.18%	1.6	1.4	697	10%	\$1,405.15
Calaveras	CHL	44.68%	55.95%	1.6	1.4	78	10%	\$157.25
Calaveras	DEV	25.30%	35.70%	1.4	1.2	186	10%	\$281.23
Calaveras	GSD	54.00%	33.33%	1.8	2	88	10%	\$285.12
Calaveras	TFL-CH	9.10%	19.00%	1.4	1.2	1788	10%	\$2,703.46
Calaveras	WCV	39.64%	51.81%	1.6	0.8	1107	10%	\$1,275.26
Fresno	AMR	60.12%	66.24%	1.4	1.2	384	50%	\$322.56
Fresno	BCS-E	51.26%	52.68%	1.1	0.8	3067	50%	\$1,349.48
Fresno	CCS	57.00%	57.18%	1	0.8	12252	50%	\$4,900.80
Fresno	CIS-10	25.00%	27.49%	1.1	0.8	1748	50%	\$769.12
Fresno	DEV	31.99%	35.70%	1.2	0.4	3793	50%	\$910.32
Fresno	FUA	27.70%	36.18%	1.4	0.2	663	50%	\$92.82
Fresno	FUM	40.72%	53.82%	1.6	0	361	50%	\$0.00
Fresno	IMA-2	32.00%	34.30%	1.1	1.2	1628	50%	\$1,074.48
Fresno	LSC	60.00%	63.84%	1.2	0.2	935	50%	\$112.20

**Quality & Population Health Management** 



Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 11 November 24, 2025

County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Fresno	W30-2	64.97%	69.43%	1.2	0.8	833	50%	\$399.84
Fresno	W30-6	33.29%	60.38%	2	1.6	537	50%	\$859.20
Fresno	WCV	49.37%	51.81%	1.1	0.6	23574	50%	\$7,779.42
Inyo	TFL-CH	9.03%	19.00%	1.4	1.2	826	0%	\$1,387.68
Kings	CIS-10	16.00%	27.49%	1.6	1.4	336	50%	\$376.32
Kings	DEV	3.89%	35.70%	2	1	1037	50%	\$1,037.00
Kings	IMA-2	34.00%	34.30%	1	0.6	343	50%	\$102.90
Kings	LSC	50.00%	63.84%	1.6	1.2	201	50%	\$192.96
Kings	TFL-CH	11.68%	19.00%	1.4	0.6	8147	50%	\$3,421.74
Kings	W30-2	56.59%	69.43%	1.6	0.8	181	50%	\$115.84
Kings	W30-6	42.92%	60.38%	1.8	1.4	137	50%	\$172.62
Kings	WCV	45.46%	51.81%	1.4	0.6	4766	50%	\$2,001.72
Mono	TFL-CH	9.98%	19.00%	1.4	1.2	505	0%	\$848.40
Sacramento	AMR	63.44%	66.24%	1.1	0.8	480	0%	\$422.40
Sacramento	BCS-E	47.75%	52.68%	1.2	0.8	6156	0%	\$5,909.76
Sacramento	CBP	53.33%	64.48%	1.6	1.2	5427	0%	\$10,419.84
Sacramento	CCS	48.33%	57.18%	1.4	1.2	25984	0%	\$43,653.12
Sacramento	CIS-10	25.00%	27.49%	1.1	0.8	2403	0%	\$2,114.64
Sacramento	GSD	40.57%	33.33%	1.4	1.2	6633	0%	\$11,143.44
Sacramento	PPC-Post	75.51%	80.23%	1.2	0.8	766	0%	\$735.36
Sacramento	TFL-CH	16.17%	19.00%	1.1	0.8	66051	0%	\$58,124.88
Sacramento	W30-2	62.36%	69.43%	1.4	0.8	1217	0%	\$1,363.04

### **Quality & Population Health Management**



County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Sacramento	W30-6	38.12%	60.38%	2	1.4	974	0%	\$2,727.20
Sacramento	WCV	44.24%	51.81%	1.4	1	41981	0%	\$58,773.40
San Francisco	CCS	42.00%	57.18%	1.6	1.4	3451	0%	\$7,730.24
San Francisco	FUA	33.25%	36.18%	1.1	0.4	261	0%	\$114.84
San Francisco	GSD	34.00%	33.33%	1	1.2	1084	0%	\$1,300.80
San Francisco	PPC-Pre	83.00%	84.55%	1.1	1.2	29	0%	\$38.28
San Francisco	W30-2	64.57%	69.43%	1.2	1.2	62	0%	\$89.28
San Francisco	WCV	45.98%	51.81%	1.2	0.8	2272	0%	\$2,181.12
Santa Clara	AMR	64.29%	66.24%	1.1	1	100	0%	\$110.00
Santa Clara	BCS-E	46.64%	52.68%	1.4	0.8	2393	0%	\$2,680.16
Santa Clara	CBP	59.00%	64.48%	1.2	1	1960	0%	\$2,352.00
Santa Clara	CCS	48.00%	57.18%	1.4	1.2	8759	0%	\$14,715.12
Santa Clara	FUA	32.99%	36.18%	1.2	0.4	396	0%	\$190.08
Santa Clara	PPC-Pre	84.00%	84.55%	1	1.2	132	0%	\$158.40
Santa Clara	TFL-CH	15.83%	19.00%	1.2	0.8	18884	0%	\$18,128.64
Santa Clara	W30-2	68.76%	69.43%	1	0.6	293	0%	\$175.80
Santa Clara	W30-6	47.52%	60.38%	1.6	0.4	275	0%	\$176.00
Santa Clara	WCV	45.88%	51.81%	1.2	0.8	11416	0%	\$10,959.36
Tulare	CIS-10	26.42%	27.49%	1.1	1.4	1746	50%	\$1,344.42
Tulare	DEV	12.18%	35.70%	2	0.6	5110	50%	\$3,066.00
Tulare	FUA	31.82%	36.18%	1.2	0.4	450	50%	\$108.00
Tulare	W30-6	56.55%	60.38%	1.2	1.4	471	50%	\$395.64

### **Quality & Population Health Management**



Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 13 November 24, 2025

County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Tulare	WCV	50.18%	51.81%	1.1	0.8	24622	50%	\$10,833.68
Tuolumne	BCS-E	50.25%	52.68%	1.1	0.6	201	0%	\$132.66
Tuolumne	CBP	64.00%	64.48%	1	0.6	138	0%	\$82.80
Tuolumne	CCS	S	57.18%	1.4	1	701	0%	\$981.40
Tuolumne	CHL	46.96%	55.95%	1.4	1.2	96	0%	\$161.28
Tuolumne	DEV	S	35.70%	2	2	226	0%	\$904.00
Tuolumne	TFL-CH	5.47%	19.00%	1.6	1.4	1917	0%	\$4,294.08
Tuolumne	WCV	33.77%	51.81%	1.8	1.4	1273	0%	\$3,207.96

S - Values are not shown to protect confidentiality of the individuals summarized in the data.

TABLE 4: ANTHEM MY24 MCAS QUALITY SANCTION DETERMINATION DETAILS WITH AGGREGATION OF COUNTY-LEVEL RATES WITH SMALL DENOMINATORS USING RATING REGION GROUPINGS

County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Alpine	CBP	63.72%	64.48%	1	0.6	S	50%	S
El Dorado	CBP	63.72%	64.48%	1	0.6	332	0%	\$199.20
Alpine	CCS	52.78%	57.18%	1.2	0.6	21	50%	\$7.56
El Dorado	CCS	52.78%	57.18%	1.2	0.6	2344	0%	\$1,687.68
Alpine	CHL	42.73%	55.95%	1.6	1.6	S	50%	S
El Dorado	CHL	42.73%	55.95%	1.6	1.6	391	0%	\$1,000.96
Alpine	CIS-10	20.39%	27.49%	1.4	1.2	S	50%	S
El Dorado	CIS-10	20.39%	27.49%	1.4	1.2	147	0%	\$246.96

**Quality & Population Health Management** 



Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 14

November 24, 2025

County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Alpine	DEV	12.85%	35.70%	2	1.8	S	50%	S
El Dorado	DEV	12.85%	35.70%	2	1.8	404	0%	\$1,454.40
Alpine	GSD	34.51%	33.33%	1.1	0.8	S	50%	S
El Dorado	GSD	34.51%	33.33%	1.1	0.8	529	0%	\$465.52
Alpine	IMA-2	31.37%	34.30%	1.1	0.8	S	50%	S
El Dorado	IMA-2	31.37%	34.30%	1.1	0.8	178	0%	\$156.64
Alpine	LSC	49.51%	63.84%	1.6	0.6	S	50%	S
El Dorado	LSC	49.51%	63.84%	1.6	0.6	93	0%	\$89.28
Alpine	PPC-Post	79.21%	80.23%	1.1	1	0	50%	\$0.00
El Dorado	PPC-Post	79.21%	80.23%	1.1	1	47	0%	\$51.70
Alpine	TFL-CH	18.85%	19.00%	1	0.4	66	50%	\$13.20
El Dorado	TFL-CH	18.85%	19.00%	1	0.4	6779	0%	\$2,711.60
Alpine	W30-2	62.77%	69.43%	1.4	0.8	S	50%	S
El Dorado	W30-2	62.77%	69.43%	1.4	0.8	69	0%	\$77.28
Alpine	WCV	41.98%	51.81%	1.4	0.8	46	50%	\$25.76
El Dorado	WCV	41.98%	51.81%	1.4	0.8	4667	0%	\$5,227.04
Mono	CBP	53.80%	64.48%	1.4	1.4	27	0%	\$52.92
Inyo	CBP	53.80%	64.48%	1.4	1.4	50	0%	\$98.00
Mono	CHL	46.73%	55.95%	1.4	1.2	24	0%	\$40.32
Inyo	CHL	46.73%	55.95%	1.4	1.2	33	0%	\$55.44
Mono	CIS-10	24.55%	27.49%	1.1	0.8	18	0%	\$15.84
Inyo	CIS-10	24.55%	27.49%	1.1	8.0	32	0%	\$28.16

### **Quality & Population Health Management**



County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Tuolumne	CIS-10	24.55%	27.49%	1.1	0.8	64	0%	\$56.32
Amador	CIS-10	24.55%	27.49%	1.1	0.8	65	0%	\$57.20
Calaveras	CIS-10	24.55%	27.49%	1.1	0.8	73	10%	\$57.82
Mono	FUM	49.32%	53.82%	1.2	0.6	S	0%	S
Inyo	FUM	49.32%	53.82%	1.2	0.6	S	0%	S
Tuolumne	FUM	49.32%	53.82%	1.2	0.6	15	0%	\$10.80
Calaveras	FUM	49.32%	53.82%	1.2	0.6	22	10%	\$14.26
Amador	FUM	49.32%	53.82%	1.2	0.6	31	0%	\$22.32
Mono	GSD	38.13%	33.33%	1.2	1.2	38	0%	\$54.72
Inyo	GSD	38.13%	33.33%	1.2	1.2	61	0%	\$87.84
Mono	IMA-2	21.98%	34.30%	1.6	1.6	27	0%	\$69.12
Inyo	IMA-2	21.98%	34.30%	1.6	1.6	34	0%	\$87.04
Calaveras	IMA-2	21.98%	34.30%	1.6	1.6	69	10%	\$158.98
Tuolumne	IMA-2	21.98%	34.30%	1.6	1.6	76	0%	\$194.56
Amador	IMA-2	21.98%	34.30%	1.6	1.6	77	0%	\$197.12
Mono	LSC	63.17%	63.84%	1	0	S	0%	\$0.00
Inyo	LSC	63.17%	63.84%	1	0	15	0%	\$0.00
Amador	LSC	63.17%	63.84%	1	0	32	0%	\$0.00
Tuolumne	LSC	63.17%	63.84%	1	0	32	0%	\$0.00
Calaveras	LSC	63.17%	63.84%	1	0	36	10%	\$0.00
Mono	PPC-Pre	83.15%	84.55%	1.1	1.2	S	0%	S
Inyo	PPC-Pre	83.15%	84.55%	1.1	1.2	S	0%	S

### **Quality & Population Health Management**



Les Ybarra, Medicaid Chief Executive Officer Page 16

November 24, 2025

County	Measure	MCP Rate	MPL	Severity Factor	Trending Factor	Population Impacted	HPI Reduction Factor	Sanction per Measure Per County
Amador	PPC-Pre	83.15%	84.55%	1.1	1.2	S	0%	S
Calaveras	PPC-Pre	83.15%	84.55%	1.1	1.2	13	10%	\$15.44
Tuolumne	PPC-Pre	83.15%	84.55%	1.1	1.2	14	0%	\$18.48
Mono	W30-2	65.52%	69.43%	1.2	0.6	11	0%	\$7.92
Inyo	W30-2	65.52%	69.43%	1.2	0.6	14	0%	\$10.08
Amador	W30-2	65.52%	69.43%	1.2	0.6	29	0%	\$20.88
Tuolumne	W30-2	65.52%	69.43%	1.2	0.6	33	0%	\$23.76
Calaveras	W30-2	65.52%	69.43%	1.2	0.6	33	10%	\$21.38
Mono	W30-6	21.97%	60.38%	2	2	S	0%	S
Inyo	W30-6	21.97%	60.38%	2	2	S	0%	S
Amador	W30-6	21.97%	60.38%	2	2	21	0%	\$84.00
Tuolumne	W30-6	21.97%	60.38%	2	2	27	0%	\$108.00
Calaveras	W30-6	21.97%	60.38%	2	2	35	10%	\$126.00
Madera <sup>^</sup>	FUA	32.38%	36.18%	1.2	0.2	66	40%	\$0.00
Kings	FUA	32.38%	36.18%	1.2	0.6	76	50%	\$27.36

<sup>\*</sup>See Table 5 for the detailed Quality Rating Regions and associated counties.

### **TABLE 5: QUALITY RATING REGIONS**

Quality Rating Region	Counties
Central California	Mariposa, Merced, Monterey, Santa Cruz
Central Coast	San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara

**Quality & Population Health Management** 



<sup>^</sup>Included for aggregation information only. Tier 1 does not trigger sanctions.

S - Values are not shown to protect confidentiality of the individuals summarized in the data.

November 24, 2025

Quality Rating Region	Counties
Inland Empire	Riverside, San Bernardino
North Bay	Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Yolo
Rural Central	Alpine, El Dorado
Rural North	Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Shasta, Siskiyou, Trinity
Rural South	Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mono, Tuolumne
Rural Upper Central	Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Tehama, Yuba
San Joaquin Valley	San Joaquin, Stanislaus
Tri County	Fresno, Kings, Madera
Alameda	Alameda
Contra Costa	Contra Costa
Imperial	Imperial
Kern	Kern
Los Angeles	Los Angeles
Orange	Orange
Sacramento	Sacramento
San Benito	San Benito
San Diego	San Diego
San Francisco	San Francisco
San Mateo	San Mateo
Santa Clara	Santa Clara
Tulare	Tulare
Ventura	Ventura

# **Quality & Population Health Management**

