

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency Department of Health Care Services



June 29, 2018

Sent via e-mail to: vkelley@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Veronica Kelley, Director San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health 303 E. Vanderbilt Way San Bernardino, CA 92415-0026

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-18 – County Performance Unit Report

Dear Director Kelley:

Enclosed are the results of San Bernardino County's 2017-18 Substance Abuse (SA) Block Grant (BG)¹, and the State Plan Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) Contract compliance review. The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is responsible for monitoring requirements of the SABG and the terms of the State Plan DMC Contract operated by San Bernardino County.

The County Performance Unit (CPU) within the Performance & Integrity Branch (PIB) of DHCS performed a comprehensive review based on responses to the monitoring instrument, discussion with county staff, supporting documentation and requirements. The enclosed report addresses and/or identifies compliance deficiencies, required corrective actions, advisory recommendations, and referral for technical assistance.

San Bernardino County is required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) addressing each deficiency noted to the CPU Analyst by 7/30/2018. The CAP must follow the guidelines detailed within the attached report. Please reference the enclosed CAP instructions and e-mail the CAP to the CPU Analyst. Supporting CAP documentation may be e-mailed or mailed to the address listed below. If you have any questions regarding this report or need assistance, please contact the CPU analyst.

Sincerely,

Karen Sanchez (916) 713-8928 karen.sanchez@dhcs.ca.gov

¹ Title 45 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 96, Subpart L – Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant requirements



Substance Abuse Block Grant and Drug Medi-Cal County Performance Unit Report San Bernardino County

Distribution:

To: Director Kelley

CC: Tracie Walker, Performance & Integrity Branch, Branch Chief
Denise Galvez, Policy and Prevention Branch, Section Chief
Janet Rudnick, Utilization Review Section, Section Chief
Cynthia Hudgins, Quality Monitoring Section, Section Chief
Susan Jones, County Performance Unit, Unit Supervisor
Tianna Hammock, Drug Medi-Cal Monitoring Unit I, Unit Supervisor
Stephanie Quok, Drug Medi-Cal Monitoring Unit II, Unit Supervisor
Tiffiny Stover, Postservice Postpayment Unit I, Unit Supervisor
Eric Painter, Postservice Postpayment Unit II, Unit Supervisor
Danielle Stumpf, Office of Women, Perinatal and Youth Services Unit, Unit Supervisor
Vanessa Machado, Policy and Prevention Branch, Office Technician
Raquel Ramos, San Bernardino Department of Behavioral Health, Executive Secretary II
Michelle Torres, San Bernardino Department of Behavioral Health, Secretary II

Date: June 29, 2018

Report Prepared by: Karen Sanchez, County Performance Analyst Manager Approval: Susan Jones, County Performance Supervisor County Performance Report San Bernardino

Lead CPU Analyst:

Karen Sanchez May 2018 – June 2018

Review Date:

Assisting CPU Analyst(s): Review Period: 2017-18

N/A

County: San Bernardino

County Address: 303 E. Vanderbilt Way San Bernardino, CA 92415-0026

The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is required to provide programmatic, administrative, and fiscal oversight of the statewide Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (SABG), the State County Contract, and Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) Substance Use Disorder (SUD) services through annual compliance reviews.

This report summarizes the findings of the compliance review conducted by the County Performance Unit (CPU).

REVIEW SCOPE

- I. Regulations:
 - a. 22 CCR § 51341.1 Drug Medi-Cal Substance Use Disorder Services
 - b. 45 CFR; Part 96; Subpart L; §96.121 through 96.137: Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant
 - c. 42 USC, Section 300x-21 through 300x-66: Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block
 - d. HSC, Division 10.5, Section 11750 11970: State Department of Health Care
- II. Program Requirements:
 - State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2017-18 State County Contract, herein referred to as State County Contract
 - b. State of California Youth Treatment Guidelines Revised August 2002
 - c. DHCS Perinatal Services Network Guidelines FY 2016-17
 - d. National Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS)
 - e. Alcohol and Drug Program (ADP) Bulletins
 - f. Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders Services (MHSUDS) Information Notices

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Pursuant to the State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, 7, (a-d) each compliance deficiency (CD) and new requirement (NR) identified must be addressed via a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). The CAP is due within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this monitoring report. Advisory recommendations are not required to be addressed in the CAP.

The CAP shall include:

- a) A statement of the compliance deficiency (CD) and new requirement (NR).
- b) A list of action steps to be taken to correct the CD/NR.
- c) A date of completion for each CD/NR.
- d) Who will be responsible for correction and ongoing compliance.

The CPU analyst will monitor progress of the CAP completion.

NEW REQUIREMENTS (NR)

Due to a delay in the SABG contract finalization, findings related to the standards and requirements will not be identified as a compliance deficiency; however, a plan to fulfil the new requirements must be submitted.

SUMMARY OF FY 2017-18 COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES (CD)

Section:	Number of CD's:
1.0 Administration	1
2.0 SABG Monitoring	2
3.0 Perinatal	0
4.0 Adolescent/Youth Treatment	0
5.0 Primary Prevention	0
6.0 Cultural Competence	0
7.0 CalOMS and DATAR	3
8.0 Privacy and Information Security	6
9.0 Drug Medi-Cal (DMC)	0

PREVIOUS CAPs

During the FY 2017-18 review, the following CAP(s) with CD(s) were discussed and are still outstanding.

2015-16:

CD 10: The County did not submit CalOMS Tx discharge data or annual updates as required.

2016-17:

CD 3.28: The County did not provide documentation detailing the County's process for notifying DHCS in the event that a subcontractor's license, registration, certification, or approval to operate a substance use treatment program or provide a covered service is revoked, suspended, modified, or not renewed outside of DHCS.

CD 10.57.d: The County and its providers did not submit CalOMS Tx discharge data or annual updates as required.

Please provide the following within the completed 2017-18 CAP.

- a) A list of action steps to be taken to correct the CD.
- b) A date of completion for each CD.
- c) Who will be responsible for correction and ongoing compliance.

1.0 ADMINISTRATION

A review of the County's Organizational Chart, subcontracted contracts, and policies and procedures was conducted to ensure compliance with applicable regulations and standards. The following deficiencies in regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 1.9:

State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, C

Contractor shall comply with the sub-recipient pre-award risk assessment requirements contained in 2 CFR Part 200 Uniform Administration Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. Contractor, grant second-tier sub-recipient (subcontractors) annually prior to making an award. Contractor subcontractor and retain documentation for audit purposes.

Finding: The County did not provide a copy of a pre-award risk assessment.

NR 1.10

State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, F

Contractor shall document the total number of referrals necessitated by religious objection to other alternative SUD providers. The Contractor shall annually submit this information to DHCS' Program Support and Grants Management Branch by e-mail at CharitableChoice@dhcs.ca.gov by October. The annual submission shall contain all substantive information required by DHCS and be formatted in a manner prescribed by DHCS.

Finding: The County did not submit the total number of referrals necessitated by religious objection to DHCS Program Support and Grants Management Branch by October.

2.0 SABG MONITORING

The following deficiencies and recommendations in the SABG monitoring requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 2.18

State-County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, A, 1, a-e Monitoring

Contractor's performance under this Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, shall be monitored by DHCS during the terms of this Contract. Monitoring criteria shall include, but not limited to:

(a) Whether the quantity of work or services being performed conforms to Exhibit B A2;(b) Whether the Contractor has established and is monitoring appropriate quality standards;(c) Whether the Contractor is abiding by all the terms and requirements of this Contract;(d) Whether the Contractor is abiding by the terms of the Perinatal Services Network Guidelines (Document 1G); and(e) Whether the Contractor conducted annual onsite monitoring reviews of services and subcontracted services for programmatic and fiscal requirements.

Contractor shall submit copy of its monitoring and audit reports to DHCS within two weeks of issuance. Reports should be sent by secure, encrypted e-mail to: sudcountyreports@dhcs.ca.gov or Substance Use Disorder - Program, Policy and Fiscal Division Performance Management Branch, Department of Health Care Services, PO Box 997413, MS-2627, Sacramento, CA 95899-7413

Finding: The County did not have all the SABG program requirements within their monitoring tool. The following criteria are missing:

- California Outcomes Measurement System (CalOMS) Treatment (Tx)
- National Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) Standards (Standard 11 only)
- Fiscal Requirements

CD 2.21:

State-County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, A, 1, e

Whether the Contractor conducted annual onsite monitoring reviews of services and subcontracted services for programmatic and fiscal requirements. Contractor shall submit copy of its monitoring and audit reports to DHCS within two weeks of issuance. Reports should be sent by secure, encrypted email to:

SUDCountyReports@dhcs.ca.gov or

Substance Use Disorder-Program, Policy, and Fiscal Division Performance Management Branch

Department of Health Care Services PO Box 997413, MS-2627 Sacramento, CA 95899-7413 **Finding:** The County did not submit all required SABG monitoring and auditing reports for FY 16-17 to DHCS within two weeks of report issuance.

ADVISORY RECOMMENDATIONS:

AR 2.22:

State-County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, A, 1, e

Whether the Contractor conducted annual onsite monitoring reviews of services and subcontracted services for programmatic and fiscal requirements. Contractor shall submit copy of its monitoring and audit reports to DHCS within two weeks of issuance. Reports should be sent by secure, encrypted email to:

SUDCountyReports@dhcs.ca.gov or

Substance Use Disorder-Program, Policy, and Fiscal Division Performance Management Branch

Department of Health Care Services

PO Box 997413, MS-2627

Sacramento, CA 95899-7413

Recommendation: It is recommended the County ensures all SABG monitoring reports are submitted encrypted and secure when submitting reports electronically.

7.0 CALIFORNIA OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT SYSTEM TREATMENT (CalOMS Tx)

The following deficiencies in CalOMS and DATAR regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 7.41.a:

State-County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, B, 3, 5, 6 and D, 3

- B(3) Electronic submission of CalOMS-Tx data shall be submitted by Contractor within 45 days from the end of the last day of the report month.
- B(5) Contractor shall submit CalOMS-Tx admissions, discharge, annual update, resubmissions of records containing errors or in need of correction, and "provider No activity" report records in an electronic format approved by DHCS.
- B(6) Contractor shall comply with the CalOMsTx Data Compliance Standards established by DHCS identified in Document 3S for reporting data content, data quality, data completeness, reporting frequency, reporting deadlines, and reporting method.
- D(3) Contractor shall comply with the treatment and prevention data quality standards established by DHCS. Failure to meet these standards on an ongoing basis may result in withholding SABG funds.

Finding: The County and its providers did not report any CalOMS Tx data, and did not generate a Provider No Activity (PNA) report.

CD 7.41.b:

State-County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, B, 3, 5, 6 and D, 3

- B(3) Electronic submission of CalOMS-Tx data shall be submitted by Contractor within 45 days from the end of the last day of the report month.
- B(5) Contractor shall submit CalOMS-Tx admissions, discharge, annual update, resubmissions of records containing errors or in need of correction, and "provider No activity" report records in an electronic format approved by DHCS.
- B(6) Contractor shall comply with the CalOMsTx Data Compliance Standards established by DHCS identified in Document 3S for reporting data content, data quality, data completeness, reporting frequency, reporting deadlines, and reporting method.
- D(3) Contractor shall comply with the treatment and prevention data quality standards established by DHCS. Failure to meet these standards on an ongoing basis may result in withholding SABG funds.

Finding: The County and its providers' annual updates or client discharges for beneficiaries in treatment over one year were not submitted. No provider should have open admissions for more than 12 months; all open admissions require an annual update or to be discharged.

CD 7.41.c:

State-County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, E, 1&2

E(1) The Contractor shall be responsible for ensuring that the Contractor-operated treatment services and all treatment providers, with whom Contractor makes a contract or otherwise

pays for these services, submit a monthly DATAR report in an electronic copy format as provided by DHCS.

E(2) The Contractor shall ensure that all DATAR reports are submitted by either Contractor-operated treatment services and by each subcontracted treatment provider to DHCS by the 10th of the month following the report activity month.

Finding: The County's providers do not submit DATAR reports by the 10th of each month.

8.0 PRIVACY AND INFORMATION SECURITY

The following deficiencies in Privacy and Information Security regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 8.53:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, Attachment I, II, G

Username must be promptly disabled, deleted, or the password changed upon the transfer or termination of an employee with knowledge of the password, at maximum within 24 hours.

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, F-2, 3, B, 2

Safeguards. To implement appropriate and reasonable administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to protect the security, confidentiality and integrity of Department PI and PII, to protect against anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of Department PI and PII, and to prevent use or disclosure of Department PI or PII other than as provided for by this Agreement. Contractor shall develop and maintain a written information privacy and security program that include administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of Contractor's operations and the nature and scope of its activities, which incorporate the requirements of section 3, Security, below. Contractor will provide DHCS with its current policies upon request.

Finding: The County did not provide a current policy ensuring all county and subcontracted employee usernames are promptly disabled, deleted, or the password changed upon the transfer or termination of an employee, within 24 hours.

CD 8.56:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, Attachment I, Section I, D

Background Check. Before a member of the workforce may access

Department PHI or PI, a background screening of that worker must be conducted. The screening should be commensurate with the risk and magnitude of harm the employee could cause, with more thorough screening being done for those employees who are authorized to bypass significant technical and operational security controls. The Contractor shall retain each workforce member's background check documentation for a period of three (3) years.

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, F-2, 3, B, 2

Safeguards. To implement appropriate and reasonable administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to protect the security, confidentiality and integrity of Department PI and PII, to protect against anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of Department PI and PII, and to prevent use or disclosure of Department PI or PII other than as provided for by this Agreement. Contractor shall develop and maintain a written information privacy and security program that include administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of Contractor's operations and the nature and scope of its activities, which incorporate the requirements of section 3, Security, below. Contractor will provide DHCS with its current policies upon request.

Finding: The County did not provide a policy currently in place to determine employee eligibility to access PHI or PI.

CD 8.57:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, Attachment I, II, J

Warning Banners. All systems providing access to Department PHI or PI must display a warning banner stating that data is confidential, systems are logged, and system use is for business purposes only by authorized users. User must be directed to log off the system if they do not agree with these requirements.

Finding: The County and its subcontractors did not provide evidence indicating a warning banner is operational on all systems providing access to PHI or PI.

CD 8.58:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, Attachment I, II, L

Access Controls. The system providing access to Department PHI or PI must use role based access controls for all user authentications, enforcing the principle of least privilege.

Finding: The County did not provide a policy in place describing the technical access controls of PHI or PI based upon user roles, enforcing the principle of least privilege.

CD 8.59:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, Attachment I, III, B

Log Reviews. All systems processing and/or storing Department PHI or PI must have a routine procedure in place to review system logs for unauthorized access.

Finding: The County did not demonstrate a routine procedure to review system logs to deter unauthorized access to systems and networks containing PHI or PI.

CD 8.60:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, F-1, 3, D, 2

Compliance with the HIPAA Security Rule. To implement administrative, physical, and technical safeguards that reasonably and appropriately protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the Department PHI, including electronic PHI, that it creates, receives, maintains, uses or transmits on behalf of the Department, in compliance with 45 CFR Sections 164.308, 164.310 and 164.312, and to prevent use or disclosure of Department PHI other than as provided for by this Agreement. Contractor shall implement reasonable and appropriate policies and procedures to comply with the standards, implementation specifications and other requirements of 45 CFR Section 164, subpart C, in compliance with 45 CFR Section 164.316. Contractor shall develop and maintain a written information privacy and security program that includes administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of the Contractor's operations and the nature and scope of its activities, and which incorporates the requirements of section 3, Security, below. Contractor will provide the Department with its current and updated policies upon request.

Finding: The County did not provide sufficient policies, procedures, or practices in place that govern the usage of Electronic Health Records (EHRs).

10.0 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

The County did not request Technical Assistance for FY 2017-18.