

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency Department of Health Care Services



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. GOVERNOR

June 29, 2018

Sent via e-mail to: milleras@co.monterey.ca.us

Amie Miller, Director Monterey County Behavioral Health 1270 Natividad Road, Suite 107-108 Salinas, CA 93906

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-18 - County Performance Unit Report

Dear Director Miller:

Enclosed are the results of Monterey County's 2017-18 Substance Abuse (SA) Block Grant (BG)¹ State County Contract compliance review. The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is responsible for monitoring requirements of the SABG County Contract operated by Monterey County.

The County Performance Unit (CPU) within the Performance & Integrity Branch (PIB) of DHCS performed a comprehensive review based on responses to the monitoring instrument, discussion with county staff, supporting documentation and requirements. The enclosed report addresses and/or identifies compliance deficiencies, required corrective actions, advisory recommendations, and referral for technical assistance.

Monterey County is required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) addressing each deficiency noted to the CPU Analyst by 7/30/2018. The CAP must follow the guidelines detailed within the attached report. Please reference the enclosed CAP instructions and e-mail the CAP to the CPU Analyst. Supporting CAP documentation may be e-mailed or mailed to the address listed below. If you have any questions regarding this report or need assistance, please contact the CPU Analyst.

Sincerely,

Jamari Robinson (916) 713-8667 Jamari.Robinson@dhcs.ca.gov

¹ Title 45 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 96, Subpart L – Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant requirements

Substance Use Disorder Program, Policy and Fiscal Division County Monitoring Unit P.O. Box 997413, MS 2627 Sacramento, CA 95814 http://www.dhcs.ca.gov



Substance Abuse Block Grant County Performance Unit Report Monterey County

Distribution:

- To: Director Miller
- CC: Tracie Walker, Performance & Integrity Branch, Branch Chief Denise Galvez, Policy and Prevention Branch, Section Chief Janet Rudnick, Utilization Review Section, Section Chief Cynthia Hudgins, Quality Monitoring Section, Section Chief Susan Jones, County Performance Unit, Unit Supervisor Tianna Hammock, Drug Medi-Cal Monitoring Unit I, Unit Supervisor Stephanie Quok, Drug Medi-Cal Monitoring Unit II, Unit Supervisor Tiffiny Stover, Postservice Postpayment Unit I, Unit Supervisor Eric Painter, Postservice Postpayment Unit II, Unit Supervisor Danielle Stumpf, Office of Women, Perinatal and Youth Services Unit, Unit Supervisor Vanessa Machado, Policy and Prevention Branch, Office Technician Andy Heald, Monterey County, Substance Use Disorder Program Administrator

Date: June 29, 2018

Report Prepared by: Jamarí Robínson, County Performance Analyst Manager Approval: Susan Jones, County Performance Supervísor Lead CPU Analyst: Jamari Robinson

Assisting CPU Analyst: N/A Review Date: June 2018

Review Period: 2017-18

County: Monterey

County Address:

Monterey County Behavioral Health 1270 Natividad Road, Suite 107-108 Salinas, CA 93906

The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is required to provide programmatic, administrative, and fiscal oversight of the statewide Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (SABG), the State County Contract, and Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) Substance Use Disorder (SUD) services through annual compliance reviews.

This report summarizes the findings of the compliance review conducted by the County Performance Unit (CPU).

REVIEW SCOPE

- I. Regulations:
 - a. 45 CFR; Part 96; Subpart L; §96.121 through 96.137: Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant
 - b. 42 USC, Section 300x-21 through 300x-66: Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block
 - c. HSC, Division 10.5, Section 11750 11970: State Department of Health Care
- II. Program Requirements:
 - a. State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2017-18 State County Contract, herein referred to as State County Contract
 - b. State of California Youth Treatment Guidelines Revised August 2002
 - c. DHCS Perinatal Services Network Guidelines FY 2016-17
 - d. National Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS)
 - e. Alcohol and Drug Program (ADP) Bulletins
 - f. Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders Services (MHSUDS) Information Notices

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (CAP)

Pursuant to the State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, 7, (a-d) each compliance deficiency (CD) and new requirement (NR) identified must be addressed via a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). The CAP is due within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this monitoring report.

The CAP shall include:

- a) A statement of CD/NR
- b) A list of action steps to be taken to correct the CD/NR
- c) A date of completion for each CD/NR
- d) Who will be responsible for correction and ongoing compliance.

The CPU analyst will monitor progress of the CAP completion.

NEW REQUIREMENTS (NR)

Due to a delay in the SABG contract finalization, findings related to the standards and requirements will not be identified as a compliance deficiency; however, a plan to fulfil the new requirements must be submitted.

SUMMARY OF FY 2017-18 COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES (CD)

Section:	Number of CD's:
1.0 Administration	2
2.0 SABG Monitoring	1
3.0 Perinatal	0
4.0 Adolescent/Youth Treatment	0
5.0 Primary Prevention	0
6.0 Cultural Competence	0
7.0 CalOMS and DATAR	3
8.0 Privacy and Information Security	2

PREVIOUS CAPs

The following CAPs with CDs are still outstanding.

FY 2014-15:

CD 2: The County's and its providers' annual updates or client discharges for beneficiaries in treatment over one year were not submitted.

FY 2015-16:

CD 6: The County did not monitor all County and subcontracted providers for required SABG fiscal requirements.

CD 21: The County's and its providers' annual updates or client discharges for beneficiaries in treatment over one year were not submitted.

FY 2016-17:

CD 2.20: The County did not monitor all County and subcontracted providers for required SABG fiscal requirements.

CD 3.25.d: The County did not submit all required DMC annual review reports to DHCS.

CD 10.57.d: The County's and its providers' annual updates or client discharges for beneficiaries in treatment over one year were not submitted.

Please provide the following within the completed 2017-18 CAP.

- a) A list of action steps to be taken to correct the CD/NR
- b) A date of completion for each CD/NR
- c) Who will be responsible for correction and ongoing compliance.

Section 1: ADMINISTRATION

A review of the County's Organizational Chart, subcontracted contracts, and policies and procedures were reviewed to ensure compliance with applicable regulations and standards. The following deficiencies in regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 1.8:

State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, C

Contractor shall comply with the sub-recipient pre-award risk assessment requirements contained in 2 CFR Part 200 Uniform Administration Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. Contractor, grant second-tier sub-recipient (subcontractors) annually prior to making an award. Contractor subcontractor and retain documentation for audit purposes.

Finding: The County did not conduct an annual pre-award risk assessment for each subcontracted provider prior to making an award.

CD 1.9:

State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, C

Contractor shall comply with the sub-recipient pre-award risk assessment requirements contained in 2 CFR Part 200 Uniform Administration Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. Contractor, grant second-tier sub-recipient (subcontractors) annually prior to making an award. Contractor subcontractor and retain documentation for audit purposes.

Finding: The County did not provide a copy of a pre-award risk assessment.

NR 1.14:

State County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 1, C, 5

Contractor and all its subcontractors shall comply with the Minimum Quality Drug treatment Standards for SABG for all Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment programs either partially or fully funded by SABG. The Minimum Quality Drug Treatment Standards for SABG are attached to this Contract as Document 2F (b), incorporated by reference. The incorporation of any new Minimum Quality Drug Treatment Standards into this Contract shall not require a formal amendment.

Finding: Minimum Quality Treatment Standards will need to be added into County's current and future monitoring tools. According to the 2017-2020 State County Contract, programs are required to abide by the Minimum Quality Drug Treatment Standards, a component of the contract. Due to a delay in contract finalization, findings related to the standards will not be identified as a deficiency; however, a plan to fulfill the new requirements must be submitted.

2.0 SABG MONITORING

The following deficiencies in the SAPT BG monitoring requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 2.20:

<u>State-County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, Section 3, A, 1, e</u> Monitoring: Contractor's performance under this Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part I, shall be monitored by DHCS during the terms of this Contract. Monitoring criteria shall include, but not limited to:

(e) Whether the Contractor conducted annual onsite monitoring reviews of services and subcontracted services for programmatic and fiscal requirements.

Contractor shall submit copy of its monitoring and audit reports to DHCS within two weeks of issuance. Reports should be sent by secure, encrypted email to

SUDCountyReports@dhcs.ca.gov or mailed to Substance Use Disorder - Program, Policy and Fiscal Division Performance Management Branch, Department of Health Care Services, PO Box 997413, MS-2627, Sacramento, CA 95899-7413

Finding: The County did not monitor all County and subcontracted providers for required SABG fiscal requirements.

7.0 CALIFORNIA OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT SYSTEM TREATMENT (CaIOMS Tx) AND DRUG AND ALCOHOL TREATMENT ACCESS REPORT (DATAR)

The following deficiencies in CalOMS and DATAR regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 7.41.a:

State-County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, B, 3, 5, 6 and D, 3

B (3) Electronic submission of CalOMS-Tx data shall be submitted by Contractor within 45 days from the end of the last day of the report month.

B (5) Contractor shall submit CalOMS-Tx admissions, discharge, annual update,

resubmissions of records containing errors or in need of correction, and "provider No activity" report records in an electronic format approved by DHCS.

B(6) Contractor shall comply with the CalOMsTx Data Compliance Standards established by DHCS identified in Document 3S for reporting data content, data quality, data completeness, reporting frequency, reporting deadlines, and reporting method.

D (3) Contractor shall comply with the treatment and prevention data quality standards established by DHCS. Failure to meet these standards on an ongoing basis may result in withholding SABG funds.

Finding: The County providers did not report accurately CalOMS Tx data, and did not generate a Provider No Activity (PNA) report.

CD 7.41.b:

State-County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, B, 3, 5, 6 and D, 3

B (3) Electronic submission of CalOMS-Tx data shall be submitted by Contractor within 45 days from the end of the last day of the report month.

B (5) Contractor shall submit CalOMS-Tx admissions, discharge, annual update, resubmissions of records containing errors or in need of correction, and "provider No activity" report records in an electronic format approved by DHCS.

B(6) Contractor shall comply with the CalOMsTx Data Compliance Standards established by DHCS identified in Document 3S for reporting data content, data quality, data completeness, reporting frequency, reporting deadlines, and reporting method.

D (3) Contractor shall comply with the treatment and prevention data quality standards established by DHCS. Failure to meet these standards on an ongoing basis may result in withholding SABG funds.

Finding: The County providers' annual updates or client discharges for beneficiaries in treatment over one year were not submitted.

CD 7.41.c:

State-County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, E, 1&2

E (1) The Contractor shall be responsible for ensuring that the Contractor-operated treatment services and all treatment providers, with whom Contractor makes a contract or otherwise

pays for these services, submit a monthly DATAR report in an electronic copy format as provided by DHCS.

E(2) The Contractor shall ensure that all DATAR reports are submitted by either Contractoroperated treatment services and by each subcontracted treatment provider to DHCS by the 10th of the month following the report activity month.

Finding: The County's providers did not submit DATAR reports by the 10th of each month.

8.0 PRIVACY AND INFORMATION SECURITY

The following deficiencies in Privacy and Information Security regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 8.57:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, Attachment I, II, J

Warning Banners. All systems providing access to Department PHI or PI must display a warning banner stating that data is confidential, systems are logged, and system use is for business purposes only by authorized users. User must be directed to log off the system if they do not agree with these requirements.

Finding: The County does not have a warning banner that is operational on all systems providing access to PHI or PI.

CD 8.60:

State-County Contract, Exhibit F, F-1, 3, D, 2

Compliance with the HIPAA Security Rule. To implement administrative, physical, and technical safeguards that reasonably and appropriately protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the Department PHI, including electronic PHI, that it creates, receives, maintains, uses or transmits on behalf of the Department, in compliance with 45 CFR Sections 164.308, 164.310 and 164.312, and to prevent use or disclosure of Department PHI other than as provided for by this Agreement. Contractor shall implement reasonable and appropriate policies and procedures to comply with the standards, implementation specifications and other requirements of 45 CFR Section 164, subpart C, in compliance with 45 CFR Section 164.316. Contractor shall develop and maintain a written information privacy and security program that includes administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of the Contractor's operations and the nature and scope of its activities, and which incorporates the requirements of section 3, Security, below. Contractor will provide the Department with its current and updated policies upon request.

Finding: The County does not have sufficient policies, procedures, or practices in place that govern the usage of Electronic Health Records (EHRs).

10.0 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

DHCS's County Performance Analyst will make referrals for the training and/or technical assistance identified below.

CalOMS and DATAR: The County requested TA for CalOMS and/or DATAR. DHCS's Analyst has been contacted and a referral has been made.