

¹ "When you talk to people who have been through these programs and ask them what helped them, it is not the drugs, not the diagnosis. It's the lasting, one-on-one relationships with adults who listen...."

¹ http://www.npr.org/blogs/health/2014/10/20/356640026/halting-schizophrenia-before-it-starts

Leading the Way, yet Lagging Behind:

California is accustomed to being at the forefront of progressive, compassionate policy and legislation. Voters passed the Mental Health Services Act because they couldn't stand to see the misery of unaddressed mental illness and the state was an early adopter of parity laws and Medicaid expansion. As a state, we have been proud of our leadership. So, where has California lagged behind? California has yet to follow the example of 31 other states and the Veterans Administration in establishing and utilizing a standardized curriculum and certification protocol for Peer Specialists' services.

Peers are persons with lived experience as consumers and family members or caretakers of individuals living with mental illness. Their experiences make Peer Specialists invaluable members of a service team. Employment and certification simultaneously bridges the gap between those that need it and those that can best provide it while reinforcing the peer provider's own wellness and sense of purpose.

Right now, more than half of the United States has a Peer Certification Program in place – people practicing, producing, and billing. Making a difference in the lives of people they intimately understand because they have already staved off the same potential devastation. Because if you ask somebody struggling with a life-altering, all-consuming episode of any type of mental distress if they have sought help yet, the response - more often than not - would be "*they don't understand*" or "*I just can't deal with the process of getting that help*". California has not been able to summon up the political will it would take to make the most basic and meaningful connection with somebody who needs it the most.

"A leader is not someone who stands before you, but someone who stands with you²"

What are Peer Specialists?

Peer Specialists are empathetic guides and coaches who understand and model the process of recovery and healing while offering moral support and encouragement to people who need it. Moral support and encouragement have proven to result in greater compliance with treatment/services, better health function, lower usage of emergency departments, fewer medications and prescriptions, and a higher sense of purpose and connectedness on the part of the consumer.

Peer Specialists also model and train on communication between health care provider and consumer in order to educate both on potential barriers or side effects of existing medications or treatment plans. In a world where primary care intersects with mental health care, but

² Native American Proverb

medical records are not necessarily shared, this alone is huge. Bridging that gap becomes one of the single highest predictors of effective treatment plans and positive outcomes. In a population with mortality rates that average 25 years sooner than non-SMI groups - for conditions that could be easily managed or cured - this one benefit alone is worth the investment.

It might be easier to describe Peer specialists by defining what they are NOT. Peer Specialists differ from Case Managers in that they do not identify resources, arrange for social or supportive services, or facilitate job trainings, educational opportunities, or living arrangements. They are not certified to offer medical advice or diagnoses, psychiatric or otherwise, or suggest, prescribe, or manage medications. Their function is not to "do for" but rather to "do with" and ultimately model and train wellness principles and self-sufficiency.

What is Peer Specialist Certification?

Peer Specialist Certification is an official recognition by a certifying body that the practitioner has met qualifications that include lived experience and training from a standardized curriculum on mental health issues. The standardized curriculum has been approved by the certifying body and includes a mandatory number of hours of training in various topics pertaining to mental health care, coaching, and ethics. The "specialist" designation is conferred when additional hours of training specific to special populations or age groups has been completed and the candidate has demonstrated thorough knowledge, skills, and ability within that subgroup.

The standardized curriculum includes topics such as documentation, boundaries and ethics, communication skills, working with specific populations, developing wellness plans, systems of care, principles of practices (i.e., engagement, strength-based planning, WRAP plans, case management); and advocacy, to name a few. At this time, there are several courses available through the community college system, but not on a statewide basis. Working Well Together has compiled an excellent comprehensive report - *Certification of Consumer, Youth, Family, and Parent Providers; A Review of the Research* – which provides detailed information, background, and context.³

Why Certification?

"Regardless of the means selected to demonstrate competency, it is critical that the core competencies of a peer (knowledge, skills, job tasks, and performance domains of the profession) are identified according to a recognized process, such as a job task analysis or role delineation study. This is because –all other program requirements, policies, and standards must tie back to the core competencies of the profession being credentialed."⁴

³ http://www.inspiredatwork.net/uploads/WWT_Peer_Certification_Research_Report_FINAL_6.20.12__1_.pdf

⁴ Hendry, P., Hill, T., Rosenthal, H. Peer Services Toolkit: A Guide to Advancing and Implementing Peer-run Behavioral Health Services. ACMHA: The College for Behavioral Health Leadership and Optum, 2014

Defining and standardizing the classification of Peer Specialist through certification prevents engagement outside one's expertise. Like any other profession, the certification defines the level of care and services so that the parameters established by the standardized curriculum and certification requirements are respected and understood statewide. Any hiring organization can expect these levels of qualifications, training, and expertise in the person they hire and can plan their organizational functions around the duties encompassed by that expertise. It also provides guidance to the peer practitioner through an established code of ethics. This means that roles and functions of other providers will not be usurped or second-guessed by the Peer Specialists.

The role of the certified peer specialist is to encourage partners and lead through example on the best ways to advocate for oneself. Sometimes it is not enough to suggest resources and make recommendations for services – sometimes you have to walk the walk along with the person for the first few steps, or even the first few miles. In this respect, the Peer Specialist is the Sherpa of the mental health care world. As partners, they teach participants how to communicate with care providers, navigate insurance companies and bureaucracies, and lessen the anxieties that arise from these various interactions. As models, they demonstrate that recovery *is* possible.

The Time is Now

First and foremost, the time is now because Affordable Health Care, Mental Health Parity, Coordinated Care Initiative, and potentially even the Public Safety Realignment create workforce shortages, particularly in the area of rehabilitative services. The time is now because recognizing the value of Peer Specialists does not translate into standardized training, skill sets, duties, or pay scales. This will make it difficult to operationalize and maintain utilization on a scale sufficient to meet the workforce needs or government standards and requirements for reimbursement. In other words "failing to plan is planning to fail".

The Center for Medicaid Services gave California permission to amend its State Plan to include Peer Providers in 2007, stating "We encourage States to consider comprehensive programs but note that regardless of how a State models its mental health and substance use disorder service delivery system, the State Medicaid agency continues to have the authority to determine the service delivery system, medical necessity criteria, and to define the amount, duration, and scope of the service"⁵.

The time is now because the state is starting to fully understand the concept and value of peer services as part of both mental health care and the larger arena of primary care. Examples of this are their inclusion in the SB 82 (Steinberg) Investment in Mental Health and Wellness Act

⁵ Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services; SMDL #07-011; August 15, 2007

grant requirements for mobile crisis teams; the intent in the original Prop 63 language to include peers, family members, and parent providers as part of the MHSA workforce; and a one-time dedicated state budget allocation of training funds to the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development for peers to be trained as mobile crisis team members. All of these components will be working together as part of the larger mental health network of care, but run the risk of operating at disparate training levels, scope of work, code of ethics, and pay levels from county to county.

Finally, the time is now because trying to standardize the classification after a piecemeal acceptance is put into place is inefficient and uninformative to potential employers. Moreover, it is unfair to people who are willing to share their expertise and demonstrate their commitment to this important and effective aspect of care and services.

To draw a timely comparison, the classification of drug and alcohol counselors, which often has a strong peer component as part of the qualifications for employment, received an early welcome into the workforce. However, this acceptance was unaccompanied by any defined training, experience, or education requirements. There has been an attempt to retroactively achieve some standardization across the lines, but proponents are finding that, due to the unstructured engagement of their services, there is no uniform requirement or skill level across treatment sites. Worse, there is a reluctance to champion a certification process, due to potential hardships and setbacks created for current successful peer employees who might not meet certification standards after the fact.

Is it Cost-Effective?

In Alameda County, a Peer Mentoring pilot project provided 40 hours of training to 26 peers called "The Art of Facilitating Self-Determination" and matched them with people recently released from psychiatric hospitals. Those accepting a peer mentor experienced a 72% reduction in readmissions to the hospital. The cost savings for Alameda County was over a million dollars with an initial investment of \$238K- making a 470% return on investment⁶.

The Pew Trusts reported recently "In Georgia, a 2003 study compared patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and major depression whose treatment had included peer support, with patients who received traditional day treatment services without peers. The patients who had peer support had better health outcomes—and at a lower cost. The average annual cost of day treatment services is \$6,400 per person, while support services cost about \$1,000."⁷

⁶ <u>http://www.oshpd.ca.gov/HWDD/pdfs/wet/PowerPoint-Peer-Support-Specialist-A-Galvez-S-Kuehn.pdf</u>

⁷ <u>http://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2013/09/11/peers-seen-easing-mental-health-worker-shortage</u>; last accessed 11/5/2014

Who Employs Peer Specialists?

Between October 2013 and January 2015, the Advocacy Committee of the California Mental Health Planning Council (CMHPC) heard presentations from Peer Specialist Advocates and Peer-run programs throughout the state. The programs represented different models ranging from peer-run respites to peer partners in health care, but all of them reported positive outcomes for the participants, cost savings for their respective counties, and a bolstering of their own wellness commitment. Here is a brief review of a few of the models the Advocacy Committee heard from.

Health Navigators USC

The Peer Health navigator connects consumers to mental health, primary care, substance use, and specialty health care services; teaches them how to advocate for themselves and effectively communicate their needs; create a follow-up plan and other self-management skills through a "modeling, coaching, fading". They differ from Case Managers or care coordinators in that the health navigator will ultimately step away from the participant once the modeling/coaching/fading process is successful.

Typically a full-time navigator will have 12 – 15 clients at any one time, and averages 30-40 clients annually, depending on how quickly the clients moves into full self-management. Many of the services are Medicaid billable under Targeted Case Management or Rehabilitation providing the documentation reflects justification for the services rendered. Participants are trained on billing codes and documentation. The program has developed its own curriculum and provides its own training and certification.

2nd Story, Santa Cruz

2nd Story is a SAMHSA-funded program that is an entirely Peer-Run Crisis Center in Santa Cruz. All staff are trained in "Intentional Peer Support" and all wellness class topics are determined by the guests. The program provides its own training. The length of stay is no longer than two weeks, and guests are encouraged to maintain their "normal" life (school, work) during their stay. Outreach is conducted by staff posted at County mental health departments telling potential guests about the program. Referrals are also made by psychiatrists, care managers, and Telecare, a county mental health services provider/contractor, sometimes diverts people to 2nd Story rather than enrolling them in a longer term, more structured social rehabilitation facility. The program is proving to be a key preventative service in Santa Cruz that forestalls or reduces the need for crisis residential and sub-acute stabilization programs.

In-Home Outreach Team (IHOT), San Diego

As Assisted Outpatient Treatment steadily gains ground in more California counties, a small program in San Diego is providing an effective and legitimate alternative at promoting and facilitating voluntary access to services. IHOT teams consist of a Peer Specialist, family member, personal service coordinator and team lead. They provide in-home outreach to adults with serious mental illness (SMI) who are reluctant or resistant to receiving mental health services. IHOT also provides support and education to family members and/or caretakers of IHOT participants. They work with individuals living with severe mental illness and who may also be dually diagnosed with a substance use disorder or drug dependency. Teams serve a combined 240-300 consumers per year (80-100 per team).

A 2013 San Diego Health and Human Services report notes that the average cost per IHOT participant amounts to \$8,100, compared to an annual cost per individual in a Full Service Partnership (\$20,000 including housing) and Assisted Outpatient Treatment (\$34,000). Staff ratios are similarly proportionate: IHOT = 1:25 staff to client ratio; FSP and AOT each have a 1:10 staff to client ratio.

What Other States Employ and Certify Peer Specialists?

As of 2013, Certified Peer Specialists were certified and employed in 31 states and the federal Department of Veteran's Affairs. The extent of engagement and responsibility varies from state to state, but all services are Medicaid billable. These 31 states are consistent in their belief and trust in Peer Specialists – when will California join them?

What is Stopping California?

Despite all of the merits, fiscal and clinical, of Certified Peer Specialists, California has not been able to match its actions to its talk in this area. California embraces the concept of recovery, wellness, and resilience – and recognizes the essential components of both employment and inclusion as part of those processes – but it has failed to turn those concepts to tangible actions.

No State Department feels that it is in their purview to establish, implement or oversee a state certification process. Education may approve a curriculum, but it is not empowered to grant certification. Department of Health Care Services may be able to approve billable services, but is not empowered to establish curriculum or gage mastery of the subject matter. The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) has a Workforce Development Division, and is specifically charged with mental health workforce development issues, but without specific language or policy permitting OSHPD to include or pursue the specific classification of Peer Specialist, OSHPD does not felt comfortable facilitating it. In short, the single, largest barrier has been the identification of a lead agency or organization that can be charged with facilitation, implementation, and identification of a certification and oversight

body. There may be philosophical or conceptual agreement on the importance of Peer Specialists, but no policy or political direction to move it forward.

How Can California Catch Up?

Peer Specialist Certification is a cross-cutting, inclusive, and cost-saving classification that has applications across all vulnerable and at-risk populations in the state – veterans, homeless, Transition Age Youth, elderly, and criminal justice populations to name a few - and has particular utility in integrated services for the dually diagnosed and co-morbid conditions in health care.

The California Mental Health Planning Council (CMHPC) recommends that the Legislature continue and solidify its mission to create a seamless, comprehensive, continuum of mental health services and care by:

- developing clarifying legislative language that MHSA and/or other funding may be used to establish an implementation and oversight body for statewide Peer Specialist Certification; and/or
- making Peer Certification a priority of the 2015-16 Legislative Session as a stand-alone issue ; and/or
- requiring the Certification of Peer Specialists in legislation pertaining to workforce expansion or expanded services for vulnerable populations: and/or
- identifying and including funding for the establishment of a Peer Specialist certifying and oversight body through the annual Budget Act.

The CMHPC has been following and supporting the efforts of Inspired at Work, California Association of Mental Health Peer Run Organizations (CAMHPRO), United Advocates for Children and Families (UACF), National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) and the former Working Well Together Group to bring this issue to the forefront of mental health policy. These groups dedicated countless hours to investigating best practices, training models, potential curriculums, and workforce applications for Certified Peer Specialists and have generously shared their time and information to bring the CMHPC and others up to speed. Their work deserves attention and close consideration by anybody that might be in a position to support the implementation process. For detailed information on the background, issues, application, and potential processes, please visit: http://workingwelltogether.org/resources/recruiting-hiring-and-workforce-retention/wwt-toolkit-employing-individuals-lived or http://www.inspiredatwork.net/Resources.html,

Mental Health Peer Specialists States where Medicaid pays for them



Source: OptumHealth and Appalachian Consulting Group NOTE: In Georgia, Medicaid pays peer specialists to provide *whole health* counseling. Stateline infographic by Adam Rotmil and Christine Vestal September 11, 2013

http://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2013/09/11/peers-seen-easing-mental-health-worker-shortage#map