Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017 Report run on 8/28/2017

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ► The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 19,630 (statewide) compared to 18,373 for the last reporting period. This is a 7% increase of 1,257 subclass members.
- ► Total approved amount to date is \$178,740,714 (statewide) compared to \$158,527,194 for the last reporting period. This is a 13% increase of \$20,213,520.
- ► The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 23,461,360 (statewide) compared to 20,509,369 for the last reporting period. This is a 14% increase of 2,951,991 minutes.
- ► The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 24,721,083 (statewide) compared to 21,883,280 for the last reporting period. This is a 13% increase of 2,837,803 minutes.
- ► The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 14,283 (statewide) compared to 13,306 for the last reporting period. This is a 7% increase of 977 subclass members.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 8/28/2017

- ► The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 10,136 (statewide) compared to 9,500 for the last reporting period. This is a 7% increase of 636 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 48.

Definitions

- Approved Service Claims: The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services: The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*: The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members: The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- * Please see Page 72 of the MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: March 28, 2016


- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 8/28/2017




¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA" Report Run on 8/28/2017



Oct-16

Nov-16

Sep-16

361

Jul-16

Aug-16

400

300

200 100 346

Dec-16

Jan-17

Feb-17

Mar-17

Apr-17

May-17

IHBS

246

Jun-17

¹All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 8/28/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	7,598	24,542	52,951	50,318	74,182	67,303	76,615	101,849	92,954	104,374	104,598

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-1	6 Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
8,313	8,685	8,542	8,533	8,745	8,918	9,320	8,844	9,302	8,668	8,206	3,115

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
3,	,575,032	4,452,910	4,329,033	4,192,110	4,168,866	3,829,525	4,414,814	4,425,492	5,808,123	4,156,444	3,973,409	856,685

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
\$ 14,692,259	\$ 17,133,636	\$ 16,249,574	\$ 15,968,186	\$ 15,749,231	\$ 15,243,372	\$ 17,386,077	\$ 15,414,330	\$ 18,328,070	\$ 14,260,896	\$ 13,885,962	\$ 4,429,121

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	4,726	5,129	5,114	5,055	5,136	5,176	5,674	5,438	5,941	5,268	5,075	1,550
IHBS	3,741	3,973	3,958	3,988	4,026	4,161	4,267	4,181	4,442	4,068	3,823	1,127

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²


	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	361	427	406	387	384	346	381	396	452	378	381	246
IHBS	485	552	550	541	519	472	507	522	676	511	513	402

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA" Report Run on 8/28/2017



¹Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 8/28/2017





¹Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC) by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 8/28/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-:	.6 Aug	16 Sep-	.6 Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	2	.6 64	906	1,122	2,147	1,677	2,223	3,382	3,068	3,006	2,954

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Ī	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
	245	238	258	258	303	287	309	316	335	295	257	134

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
55,053	66,321	77,798	77,453	109,175	73,613	87,336	89,045	118,492	85,818	82,270	22,435

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Í	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
	\$ 394,722	\$ 438,157	\$ 438,850	\$ 456,065	\$ 528,927	\$ 423,985	\$ 522,596	\$ 468,621	\$ 582,243	\$ 376,571	\$ 370,997	\$ 152,816

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	111	115	122	123	151	125	171	158	190	138	137	57
IHBS	62	50	72	71	105	82	83	89	96	81	79	27

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	262	319	335	290	336	247	238	298	301	290	287	202
IHBS	419	593	513	588	557	521	561	472	638	565	544	404

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 8/28/2017

4 Butte* 20/5 Calaveras* 3: 6 Colusa*^^ 42: 8 Del Norte* 11: 9 El Dorado* 5: 10 Fresno* 55: 11 Glenn* 4: 12 Humboldt* 15: 13 Imperial* 11: 14 Inyo*^^ 15: 16 Kings* 5: 17 Lake 3: 18 Lassen - 19 Los Angeles* 5,33: 20 Madera* 17: 21 Marin* 8: 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13: 24 Merced* 17: 25 Modoc*^ 27 Monterey 33: 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4: 30 Orange* 1,22: 31 Placer* 12: 32 Plumas*^	\$ -0 \$ 142,508 4 \$ 1,376,079 2 \$ 328,677 3 \$ 10,644,431 9 \$ 330,145 1 \$ 522,317 3 \$ 4,549,222 3 \$ 234,143 3 \$ 3,179,130	38,467 173,671 ^ 471,315 113,202	535,808 - 22,996 74,239 8,927 558,857	124,619 - ^ 7,629 13,345	14,658	48,588				(Hours)	(Days)	(Days)	(Days)	(Days)	Facility (Days)
3 Amador* 30 4 Butte* 20 5 Calaveras* 3: 6 Colusa*^ 7 7 Contra Costa* 42: 8 Del Norte* 11: 9 El Dorado* 5: 10 Fresno* 55: 11 Glenn* 4: 12 Humboldt* 15: 13 Imperial* 11: 14 Inyo*^ 15: 15 Kern* 25: 16 Kings* 5: 17 Lake 3: 18 Lassen 19 Los Angeles* 5,33: 20 Madera* 17: 21 Marin* 8: 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13: 24 Merced* 17: 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33: 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4: 30 Orange* 1,22: 31 Placer* 12: 32 Plumas*^	4 \$ 1,376,079 2 \$ 328,677 3 \$ 10,644,431 9 \$ 330,145 1 \$ 522,317 3 \$ 4,549,222 3 \$ 234,143 3 \$ 3,179,130	173,671 ^ 471,315 113,202	74,239 8,927		Λ		2,661,161	1,429	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸
4 Butte* 20 5 Calaveras* 3: 6 Colusa*^^ 7 Contra Costa* 42: 8 Del Norte* 1: 9 El Dorado* 5: 10 Fresno* 55: 11 Glenn* 4: 12 Humboldt* 15: 13 Imperial* 11: 14 Inyo*^^ 15 Kern* 25: 16 Kings* 5: 17 Lake 3: 18 Lassen	4 \$ 1,376,079 2 \$ 328,677 3 \$ 10,644,431 9 \$ 330,145 1 \$ 522,317 3 \$ 4,549,222 3 \$ 234,143 3 \$ 3,179,130	173,671 ^ 471,315 113,202	74,239 8,927		**	-	6.164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Calaveras* 6 Colusa** 7 Contra Costa* 8 Del Norte* 9 El Dorado* 10 Fresno* 11 Glenn* 12 Humboldt* 13 Imperial* 14 Inyo*^ 15 Kern* 16 Kings* 17 Lake 18 Lassen 19 Los Angeles* 20 Madera* 21 Marin* 22 Mariposa* 23 Mendocino 24 Merced* 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Montrey 28 Napa* 30 Orange* 31 Placer* 32 Losia Pulmas*^ 33 Plumas*^ 34 Description	2 \$ 328,677 3 \$ 10,644,431 9 \$ 330,145 1 \$ 522,317 8 \$ 4,549,222 8 \$ 234,143 8 \$ 3,179,130	471,315 113,202	8,927		3,995	33,450	355,497	^		-	-	-	-	-	
7 Contra Costa* 421 8 Del Norte* 11 9 El Dorado* 55: 10 Fresno* 55: 11 Glenn* 44: 12 Humboldt* 15: 13 Imperial* 11: 14 inyo*^ 15: 15 Kern* 25: 16 Kings* 5: 17 Lake 3. 18 Lassen 19 Los Angeles* 5,33: 20 Madera* 17: 21 Marin* 8: 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13: 24 Merced* 17: 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33: 29 Nevada* 3: 29 Nevada* 3: 29 Nevada* 4: 30 Orange* 1,22: 31 Placer* 12: 32 Plumas*^ 12:	9 \$ 330,145 1 \$ 522,317 3 \$ 4,549,222 3 \$ 234,143 3 \$ 3,179,130	113,202	558.857	13,345	۸ ۸	۸	48,021	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
8 Del Norte* 1: 9 El Dorado* 5: 10 Fresno* 55: 11 Glenn* 4: 12 Humboldt* 15: 13 Imperial* 11: 14 Inyo*^ 15: 16 Kirgs* 5: 17 Lake 3: 18 Lassen	9 \$ 330,145 1 \$ 522,317 3 \$ 4,549,222 3 \$ 234,143 3 \$ 3,179,130	113,202	558.857	·			-,-								
9 El Dorado* 5: 10 Fresno* 55! 11 Glenn* 4! 12 Humboldt* 15! 13 Imperial* 11- 14 Inyo*^ 15: 16 Kings* 5: 17 Lake 3- 18 Lassen - 19 Los Angeles* 5.33- 20 Madera* 17/ 21 Marin* 8: 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13- 24 Merced* 17/ 25 Modoc*^ 12- 26 Mono^ 12- 27 Monterey 33! 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 44 30 Orange* 1,22- 31 Placer* 12-	1 \$ 522,317 8 \$ 4,549,222 3 \$ 234,143 8 \$ 3,179,130			382,806	7,390	57,536	2,903,445	1,503	۸	۸	-		-		-
10 Fresno* 55i 11 Glenn* 44 12 Humboldt* 15i 13 Imperial* 11i 14 Inyo*^ 15i 16 Kings* 5i 17 Lake 3 3 18 Lassen - 19 Los Angeles* 5,33i 20 Madera* 17i 21 Marin* 8i 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13i 24 Merced* 17i 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33i 29 Nevada* 3: 29 Nevada* 3: 20 Orange* 1,22i 31 Placer* 12i	3 \$ 4,549,222 3 \$ 234,143 3 \$ 3,179,130		6,545	3,831	۸	٨	19,353	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Glenn* 44 12 Humbold* 15i 13 Imperial* 11- 14 Inyo*^ 15 15 Kern* 25i 16 Kings* 5i 17 Lake 3- 18 Lassen 19 Los Angeles* 5,33- 20 Madera* 17i 21 Marin* 8- 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13- 24 Merced* 17i 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Montrey 33i 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4: 30 Orange* 1,22- 31 Placer* 12- 32 Plumas*^ 15i	3 \$ 234,143 3 \$ 3,179,130		8,160 4,248	39,767 410,527	2,609	39,437	96,520 1,318,668	- 1,714	-	^	-	-	-	-	106
12 Humboldt* 15i 13 Imperial* 11: 14 Inyo*^ 15 Kern* 25i 16 Kings* 5i 17 Lake 3: 18 Lassen - 19 Los Angeles* 5,333: 20 Madera* 17i 21 Marin* 8: 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13: 24 Merced* 17i 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33i 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4 30 Orange* 1,22i 31 Placer* 12: 32 Plumas*^	3,179,130		28,142	1.628	Λ	۸	36.029	1,714	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 inyo*^ 15 Kern* 251 16 Kings* 55 17 Lake 3. 18 Lassen - 19 Los Angeles* 5,33* 20 Madera* 17/ 21 Marin* 8. 22 Mariposa* 3. 23 Mendocino 13. 24 Merced* 17/ 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33/ 28 Napa* 3. 29 Nevada* 4. 30 Orange* 1,22* 31 Placer* 12. 32 Plumas*^ 12.			116,260	80,198	6,799	52,354	477,771	266	-	۸	٨	-	٨	-	٨
15 Kern* 256 16 Kings* 55 17 Lake 34 18 Lassen	4 \$ 784,801	51,004	2,258	1,716	۸	24,206	103,908	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 Kings* 5: 17 Lake 3: 18 Lassen 19 Los Angeles* 5,33: 20 Madera* 17: 21 Marin* 8: 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13: 24 Merced* 17: 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33: 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4: 30 Orange* 1,22: 31 Placer* 12: 32 Plumas*^															1
17 Lake 34 18 Lassen		76,975	38,129 11,864	37,566	8,603	36,565	489,422 62.684	538	•	-	-	-	-	-	^
18 Lassen 19 Los Angeles* 5,33* 20 Madera* 17/ 21 Marin* 8* 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13* 24 Merced* 17/ 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Montrey 33/ 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4* 30 Orange* 1,22* 31 Placer* 12*		16,265	11,864 28,417	7,209 -		-	62,684	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19 Los Angeles* 5,334 20 Madera* 177 21 Marin* 84 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 134 24 Merced* 177 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 334 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 44 30 Orange* 1,224 31 Placer* 124		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
21 Marin* 8/ 22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 13/ 24 Merced* 17/ 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33/ 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4/ 30 Orange* 1,22/ 31 Placer* 12/ 32 Plumas*^	4 \$ 52,541,808	11,118,237	11,679,728	27,498	41,396	193,015	3,084,301	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
22 Mariposa* 3: 23 Mendocino 134 24 Merced* 176 25 Modoc*^\ 26 Mono^\ 27 Monterey 336 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 44 30 Orange* 1,224 31 Placer* 124 32 Plumas*^\		-	33,391	64,915	۸	3,420	134,892	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23 Mendocino 13- 24 Merced* 17- 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33- 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4- 30 Orange* 1,22- 31 Placer* 12- 32 Plumas*^			72,633	25,514	۸	3,660	65,613	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24 Merced* 17/ 25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 33/ 28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 44 30 Orange* 1,22* 31 Placer* 12- 32 Plumas*^		105,503	^ 145,388	۸	۸	٨	28,561	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono^ 27 Montrey 336 28 Napa* 33 29 Nevada* 44 30 Orange* 1,22 31 Placer* 12 32 Plumas*^			64,912	18,116	۸	1,242	145,049	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26 Mono^ 27 Monterey 338 28 Napa* 3 29 Nevada* 4 30 Orange* 1,22- 31 Placer* 124 32 Plumas*^	2,231,277	123,331	01,512	10,110		2,2.12	113,013								
28 Napa* 3: 29 Nevada* 4: 30 Orange* 1,22* 31 Placer* 12: 32 Plumas*^											ĺ				
29 Nevada* 44 30 Orange* 1,224 31 Placer* 124 32 Plumas*^		230,022	427,847	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30 Orange* 1,224 31 Placer* 124 32 Plumas*^		^ 10.557	21,044	5,252	۸	4,562	36,650	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
31 Placer* 124 32 Plumas*^			16,265 368,838	35,111 194,707	35,728	3,746 99,676	84,572 1,382,950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32 Plumas*^		83,809	71,154	5,929	- 53,726	Λ	54,819		-	-	-		-	-	-
22 Disconsides 2.444		05,005	71,131	3,323			3 1,013								
33 Riverside* 2,110		1,330,845	1,100,139	332,537	6,060	128,430	1,571,879	۸	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
34 Sacramento* 540	5 \$ 4,104,111	602,029	748,297	795,695	3,723	139,976	1,643,887	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35 San Benito*^ 36 San Bernardino* 1,35(10 400 054	2,081,136	1,667,158	243,467	26,071	107,171	3,269,110	614	۸	۸		٨	٨		^
36 San Bernardino* 1,350 37 San Diego* 1,709		751,998	2,133,698	31,366	5,525	151,353	1,067,206	1,042	127,812	^	-	۸	87		^
38 San Francisco* 243			513,209	26,298	-	۸	140,441	1,042	127,612	-	-	-	-	-	-
39 San Joaquin* 486			179,751	76,107	10,198	35,900	597,992	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
40 San Luis Obispo* 258			265,410	18,319	3,319	26,825	307,521	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	٨
41 San Mateo* 12			19,839	48,243	۸	19,245	244,757	^	۸	۸	٨	-	-	-	-
42 Santa Barbara* 286 43 Santa Clara* 82			249,582 1,306,766	41,987	8,668	28,692	383,395	^ -	-	^ -	-	۸	-	-	-
	7 \$ 8,423,124 1 \$ 1,000,782		53,238	^		-	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2 \$ 972,301		100,711	37,800	۸	13,457	172,981	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	٨
46 Sierra** -	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	9 \$ 147,555		7,182	2,087	۸	٨	16,589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48 Solano* 183			136,497	18,984	۸	6,620	279,703	۸	۸	^	-	-	-	-	-
49 Sonoma 198 50 Stanislaus* 179			157,177 131.687	- 45.863	11.433	- 11.972	436.735	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	_
51 Sutter*** -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	250,590	131,087	45,805	- 11,433	- 11,972	430,/33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	7 \$ 21,487		11,968	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53 Trinity*	9 \$ 44,494	-	-	۸	-	-	18,809	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54 Tulare* 153			76,343	63,345	3,330	16,625	189,780	-	-	-	-	-	-		
55 Tuolomne* 20			2,018	۸ ٥٢ ٦٥٥	۸	۸	24,505	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			176,445 38,652	95,768 6,581	٨	22,244	619,593 57,265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1 \$ 3,137,132		28,012	10,808	۸	5,572	38,027	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Statewide^^ 19,630			23,461,360	3,392,683	218,137	1,336,298	24,713,136	7,852	132,866	41,174		349	184	۸	1,045

^{*}Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiories in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 8/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ıcc	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	527	\$ 10,171,014			\$ 243,305	\$ 48,927	\$ 222,911	\$ 6,770,028	\$ 297,059	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸
2	Alpine	-	- 112.500	\$ -	Ÿ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador* Butte*	30 204 3	\$ 142,508 \$ 1,376,079	\$ 76,934 \$ 314,648	\$ 45,992 \$ 135,924	\$ 14,497	\$ 12,656	\$ 142,547	\$ 13,250 \$ 748,153	۸ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	32		λ 514,046	\$ 21,241	\$ 32,116	۸ 12,030	۸ ۱42,547	\$ 130,688	\$ -	\$ -	۸ -	т		\$ -		\$ -
6	Colusa*^	32	320,077		Ψ 22,211	ŷ 52,110			ψ 130,000	Ÿ	Y		Y	Ÿ	Ÿ	Ÿ	Ÿ
7	Contra Costa*	428	\$ 10,644,431	\$ 1,314,877		\$ 745,792	\$ 31,149	\$ 281,445			٨	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*	19	\$ 330,145	\$ 252,441	\$ 11,786	\$ 6,847	۸	۸	\$ 42,963	•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	51 558 558 558 558 558 558 558 558 558 5	\$ 522,317 \$ 4,549,222	\$ 212,878	\$ 17,394 \$ 8,667		\$ - \$ 11,481	\$ 208,704	\$ 204,803 \$ 3,202,401		\$ -	\$ -	7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 87,565
11	Fresno* Glenn*	48	\$ 4,549,222	\$ 73.888	\$ 8,667	\$ 832,574	\$ 11,481 ^	\$ 208,704 ^	\$ 3,202,401		\$ -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 87,565
12	Humboldt*	158	\$ 3,179,130	\$ 1,096,820	\$ 249,486	\$ 171,044	\$ 25,698	\$ 209,542		\$ 21,012	\$ -	^	۸	\$ -	۸	\$ -	۸
13	Imperial*	114	\$ 784,801	\$ 193,693	\$ 6,877	\$ 5,171	^	\$ 175,336	\$ 402,521	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	250	\$ 2,059,390	\$ 219,498	\$ 88,495	\$ 86,458	\$ 36,396	\$ 195,561		\$ 51,637	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	۸
16 17	Kings* Lake	53	\$ 239,951 \$ 97,969	\$ 38,222	\$ 18,234 \$ 59,276	\$ 13,003	ć		\$ 146,647 \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	Υ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ψ	7	7	\$ -	7	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	5,334	\$ 52,541,808	\$ 25,025,509	\$ 20,513,349	\$ 51,094	\$ 98,090	\$ 720,725	\$ 6,133,042	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	170	\$ 507,877	\$ -	\$ 62,909	\$ 126,583	۸	\$ 15,493		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	т	\$ -	\$ -	Ÿ	\$ -
21	Marin*	84	\$ 767,431	\$ 70,437		\$ 53,392	۸	\$ 35,049		٨	•	т	, '	т	\$ -	т	\$ -
22	Mariposa* Mendocino	31 134	\$ 189,081 \$ 555,942	\$ 248,344	\$ 307,598	ć	۸	۸ .	\$ 112,681	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	, T	\$ - \$ -
24	Merced*	176	\$ 1,154,177	\$ 248,344		\$ 42,543	۸ -	\$ 6,606	\$ 484,962	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^	270	2,231,277	Ų 123,203	113,301	Ţ 12,3 13		0,000	ψ 101,30 <u>2</u>	Ť	¥	Y	ų.	Ÿ	Ÿ	Ÿ	Ÿ
26	Mono^																
27	Monterey	336	\$ 1,683,469	\$ 747,293	\$ 936,176	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28 29	Napa* Nevada*	33 × 45 ×	\$ 394,005 \$ 365,153	\$ 27,608	\$ 96,465 \$ 32,798	\$ 24,881 \$ 70,912	۸	\$ 29,937 \$ 17,919	\$ 162,601 \$ 215,917	\$ -	\$ -	^ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -
30	Orange*	1,224	\$ 6,387,176	\$ 504,337		\$ 478,827	\$ 181,395			۸ -	\$ -	т	\$ -	λ -	\$ -		\$ -
31	Placer*	124	\$ 646,357	\$ 268,775	\$ 217,277	\$ 9,548	\$ -	۸ ۸	\$ 142,616	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	, T	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																
33	Riverside*	2,116	\$ 7,527,366	\$ 1,863,201	\$ 1,467,058	\$ 716,823	\$ 20,320	\$ 648,373		٨	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento* San Benito*^	546	\$ 4,104,111	\$ 703,767	\$ 637,470	\$ 644,759	\$ 8,991	\$ 313,593	\$ 1,795,531	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35 36	San Benito** San Bernardino*	1,350	\$ 10,498,954	\$ 2,786,269	\$ 1,731,900	\$ 457,330	\$ 98,299	\$ 378,687	\$ 4.613.936	\$ 22,488	۸	٨	¢ .	٨	٨	¢ _	۸
37	San Diego*	1,705	\$ 13,785,705	\$ 2,066,065	\$ 4,547,029	\$ 62,220	\$ 22,910	\$ 772,951	\$ 2,854,182	\$ 104,005	\$ 3,015,851	۸	\$ -	۸	\$ 112,604	\$ -	۸
38	San Francisco*	243	\$ 11,426,723	\$ 8,449,088	\$ 2,145,211	\$ 104,597	\$ -	۸	\$ 707,883	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	486	\$ 2,526,285	\$ 301,702	\$ 338,554	\$ 151,261	\$ 26,801	\$ 169,770	\$ 1,431,516	\$ -	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo* San Mateo*	258 127 1	\$ 3,418,914 \$ 2,031,017	\$ 1,671,257 \$ 161,773	,	\$ 64,991 \$ 132,888	\$ 6,964	\$ 115,726 \$ 148.977	\$ 852,924 \$ 824,113	\$ -	\$ -	٨	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	^ s -
41	San Mateo* Santa Barbara*	286	\$ 2,031,017				\$ 34.602			۸	\$ -	٨	\$ -	Λ .	\$ -	т	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	827	\$ 8,423,124	\$ 5,303,306	\$ 3,119,818	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,000,782	\$ 811,900	\$ 188,882	۸	7	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	82		\$ 83,297		\$ 96,491	۸	\$ 44,799			•				\$ -	\$ -	٨
46 47	Sierra**	29		\$ -		\$ - \$ 6,344	\$ -	\$ -	7	\$ -	\$ -				\$ -		\$ -
48	Siskiyou* Solano*	181	\$ 147,555 \$ 2,067,294	\$ 46,747 \$ 439,622	\$ 20,851 \$ 405,700	\$ 6,344 \$ 55,543	^	\$ 50,532	\$ 67,393 \$ 1.036.810	٠	γ -	γ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
49	Sonoma	198	\$ 952,168	\$ 635,556		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	7	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	175				\$ 115,602	\$ 33,292	\$ 64,666	\$ 1,045,651	٨	\$ -	۸		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	۸
51	Sutter***	- :	~	\$ -	7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	77		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	Ÿ .	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	+ '	7		\$ -	+ '	\$ -
53 54	Trinity* Tulare*	19 1 153		\$ - \$ 194,023		\$ 124,132	T	\$ - \$ 73,712	\$ 42,717 \$ 467,733						\$ - \$ -		\$ -
55	Tuolomne*	20	\$ 1,020,039	\$ 69,000		λ 124,132	۸ 12,5/9	۸ /3,/12	\$ 74,740	т	\$ -	т	T	т	\$ -		\$ -
56	Ventura*	311	\$ 3,137,132	\$ 764,944		\$ 197,926	۸	\$ 127,587		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	66	\$ 349,026	\$ 103,350	\$ 71,602	\$ 13,293	۸	۸	\$ 150,719	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	7	\$ -	\$ -	7	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52		^	\$ 82,312	\$ 32,856	^	\$ 40,195	\$ 149,445	т	\$ -	\$ -	т	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
S	tatewide^^	19,630	\$ 178,740,714	\$ 59,900,467	\$ 43,604,630	\$ 6,189,383	\$ 825,503	\$ 5,964,616	\$ 55,245,178	\$ 882,512	\$ 3,120,866	\$ 1,598,997	\$ ^	\$ 112,275	\$ 263,589	\$ ^	\$ 915,113

^{*}Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiories in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 8/28/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	527	\$ 10,171,014	116		201	37	114	374	47	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸
3	Alpine Amador*	30	\$ - \$ 142,508	- 17	- 28	-	^	^	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	204	\$ 1,376,079	57	118	41	13	49		^		-	-	-			-
5	Calaveras*	32		۸	22	19	۸	۸ م	28	-		٨	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^																
7	Contra Costa*	428		83		286	27		367	39	۸	٨	-	•		-	-
8	Del Norte*	19	\$ 330,145	14		15	٨	۸	16	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
9 10	El Dorado* Fresno*	51 558		29	33 53	41 467	- 19	243	49 512	- 50	-	^	-	-	-	-	- 22
11	Glenn*	48	\$ 234,143	28	45	15	۸	۸ ۸	29	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	158	\$ 3,179,130	56		84	27	79		14	-	٨	۸	-	٨	-	٨
13	Imperial*	114	\$ 784,801	71	19	17	٨	85	101	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
14	Inyo*^																
15 16	Kern*	250 53	\$ 2,059,390 \$ 239,951	121 20		116 35	25	117	233 38	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	Λ
17	Kings* Lake	34		Δ .	33	- 35	-	-	- 38	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	5,334	\$ 52,541,808	4,473	4,364	199	132	646	1,818	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
20	Madera*	170	\$ 507,877	-	31	107	٨	14		-	-	-	-		-	-	-
21	Marin*	84		28	70	37	۸	13		۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa* Mendocino	31 134	\$ 189,081 \$ 555,942	80	125	Α -	Α -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	30	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
24	Merced*	176		53	67	58	۸	17	168	-	-	-	-			-	
25	Modoc*^		-,		-												
26	Mono^																
27	Monterey	336	\$ 1,683,469	213	242	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
28 29	Napa*	33 45	\$ 394,005	^ 18	15 27	18 44	٨	15 12	24 44	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
30	Nevada* Orange*	1,224	\$ 365,153 \$ 6,387,176	381		433	105	254	855	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	124	\$ 646,357	68	113	19	-	۸ ۸	29	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
32	Plumas*^		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,														
33	Riverside*	2,116	\$ 7,527,366	619	1,516	404	30	486	1,074	٨	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	546	\$ 4,104,111	250	421	378	30	227	415	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35 36	San Benito*^ San Bernardino*	1,350	\$ 10,498,954	636	1,133	295	64	345	910	22	۸	۸	-	۸	٨	_	٨
37	San Diego*	1,705	\$ 13,785,705	648		110	45		676	57	239	٨	-	۸	20	-	٨
38	San Francisco*	243	\$ 11,426,723	203		36	-	۸	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	486	\$ 2,526,285	159	271	224	31	111	336			٨	-		-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	258	\$ 3,418,914	197		60	13			-	-	۸	-		-	-	۸
41	San Mateo* Santa Barbara*	127 286	\$ 2,031,017 \$ 2,693,372	35 116		84 96	^ 31	52 61		٨	۸	۸	۸	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Barbara*	827	\$ 2,693,372 \$ 8,423,124	703	820	96	- 31	- 61	134	-	-	-	-			-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81		703	55	٨	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	82	\$ 972,301	22	62	56	٨	38	65	-	-	-	-		-	-	۸
46	Sierra**	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29		17		13	۸	^ 20	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano* Sonoma	181 198	\$ 2,067,294 \$ 952,168	74 142		83	Λ	30	124	Λ	^ -	٨	-	-	-		-
50	Sonoma Stanislaus*	198		83		- 80	- 22	- 78	162	Α		۸	-	-		-	Λ
51	Sutter***	-	, , , , , , ,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	77		-	77	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
53	Trinity*	19		-	-	۸	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	153		45		109	17	43			-	-		-	-	-	-
55 56	Tuolomne* Ventura*	20 311	\$ 155,971 \$ 3,137,132	13 74		176	٨		20 241	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	66		35		1/6	٨	۸ 85	241	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52		۸	34	16	٨	13		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	19,630	\$ 178,740,714	10,136	14,283	4,524	729	3,891	9,916	280	254	53	^	18	32	^	46

 $[\]hbox{\it *Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier}.$

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiories in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

- 1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
- 2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

- 1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
- 2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
- **3.** While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
- **4.** Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
- · These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
- · The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
- "ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
- **5.** Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals