Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - 12 Month Rolling Report run on 1/10/2018

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 22,082 (statewide).
- ► Total approved amount to date is \$190,424,284 (statewide).
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 25,064,223 (statewide).
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 25,942,914 (statewide).
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 15,773 (statewide).

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - 12 Month Rolling

Report run on 1/10/2018

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 10,892 (statewide).
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 48.

Definitions

- Approved Service Claims: The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services: The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*: The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members: The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- * Please see Page 72 of the MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: March 28, 2016


- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 1/10/2018




¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 1/10/2018



Mar-17

Apr-17

Feb-17

Dec-16

Jan-17

Jun-17

Jul-17

Aug-17

Sep-17

Oct-17


Nov-17

May-17

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA" Report Run on 1/10/2018




¹Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.


² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA" Report Run on 1/10/2018





¹Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months December 2016 - November 2017 Report Run on 1/10/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	494	\$ 7,837,532	223,206	505,557	83,585	10,685	40,549	1,748,731	95,475	589	۸	٨	۸	۸	٨	۸	۸
3	Alpine Amador*	26	\$ - \$ 105,959	-	17,721	-	-	-	4,751	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	211	\$ 1,347,945	183,461	65,867	6,309	4,158	25,219	336,969	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	34		7,521	9,979	12,457	۸	۸	18,607	۸	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^																	
7 8	Contra Costa* Del Norte*	414 27	\$ 9,440,328 \$ 359,553	384,810 123,306	531,143 8,675	326,650 2,731	6,206	45,347	1,627,666 25,784	590,850	1,177	۸	۸	-	-	۸	-	-
9	El Dorado*	43		78,522	4,890	30,565	-	٨	66,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	537		^	4,063	400,938	4,113	42,773	998,137	322,851	2,590	-	٨	-	-	-	-	226
11	Glenn*	67		18,531	30,837	2,085	-	۸	25,365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt* Imperial*	161 104	\$ 2,851,125 \$ 748,015	389,044 46,509	142,113 2,142	67,485	5,809	40,871 21,117	366,372 89,933	۸	۸	-	٨	۸	-	۸		^
14	Invo*	12		A 40,309	۸ ۸	-	۸	- 21,117	۸			-	-	-	-	-		-
15	Kern*	310	\$ 2,101,609	98,454	54,213	42,444	5,817	45,425	464,058	122,705	510	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	64	\$ 250,787	12,549	12,737	5,187	۸	٨	32,393	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17 18	Lake Lassen*^	38	\$ 159,459	28,508	42,902	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	6,814	\$ 67,322,362	12,048,680	12,547,114	125,296	82,201	469,022	6,779,072	804,007	-	7,374	10,116	-	-	_	-	_
20	Madera*	183	\$ 474,189	-	29,436	67,184	^	3,855	124,814	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	91	\$ 939,175	56,607	91,750	21,891	۸	٨	63,410	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	29		۸	5,127	۸	۸	٨	34,929	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino Merced*	132 164	\$ 501,613 \$ 840,581	90,978 106,977	135,375 56,759	21,440	^	1,413	128,457	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*	16		100,577	-	۸ ۸	-	۸ ۸	9,017	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^								·									
27	Monterey	298		159,276	319,578	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
28 29	Napa* Nevada*	34 48	\$ 360,632 \$ 366,748	17,725	28,464 19,786	4,234 30,654	۸	3,097	33,331 79,995		^	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,389	\$ 7,017,909	452,934	557,743	196,418	36,697	104,639	1,112,559	204,690	346	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	145	\$ 746,428	111,194	80,858	6,992	-	۸	41,871	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^																	
33	Riverside*	2,417 600	\$ 9,742,486 \$ 3,728,861	1,528,152 544.710	1,391,726 748,280	405,717 653,182	6,247 2,988	145,746 118,774	1,308,776 1,337,965	689,591	^ -	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
34 35	Sacramento* San Benito*	12	\$ 3,728,861	544,/10 ^	/48,28U	- 053,182	2,988	110,774	1,337,905	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	1,622	\$ 12,246,365	2,551,691	2,171,127	289,401	19,326	133,185	3,087,526	1,157,532	223	٨	٨	-	۸	٨	-	۸
37	San Diego*	1,623	\$ 11,589,759	716,987	2,049,310	26,469	3,749	113,722	685,234	273,059	933	92,832	٨	-	-	109	-	-
38	San Francisco	209	\$ 9,052,643	1,022,568	341,776	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39 40	San Joaquin* San Luis Obispo*	608 323	\$ 2,601,966 \$ 4,365,448	124,503 1.009,449	238,818 323,788	64,821 20,635	11,212 5,433	36,988 28,934	529,479 292,686	34,821 32,437	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	112	\$ 2,027,014	57,513	18,742	44,538	۸ ۸	22,116	187,467	62,177	۸	۸	٨	۸	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	331	\$ 3,033,440	582,108	320,969	35,350	9,411	25,378	246,680	۸	-	-	٨	-	۸	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	844	\$ 8,325,539	1,708,334	1,298,015	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44 45	Santa Cruz* Shasta*	75 76		194,120 20.934	43,295 73,550	^ 38.095	^	13.852	166.446	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-		20,934	- 73,530	- 30,093	-	13,832	100,446	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	51		9,188	9,139	1,588	۸	٨	20,135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	201		158,947	152,652	22,503	۸	9,092	324,244	۸	^	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	۸
49	Sonoma Stanislaus*	175 187		175,939	107,390 100,794	- 36,925	12,797	9,535	329,440	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50 51	Stanislaus* Sutter***	187	\$ 1,954,311 \$ -	216,486	100,794	36,925	12,797	9,535	329,440		-	-		-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	48	\$ 13,551	-	7,277	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	20	\$ 100,433	-		٨	۸	٨	35,668	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	163		77,164	80,083	61,329	2,765	14,463	206,806	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55 56	Tuolomne* Ventura*	19 323	\$ 182,880 \$ 3,670,644	21,155 409,663	3,771 207,600	124,549	^	27,857	30,740 671,477	38.848	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	86		82.785	207,600	6,238	۸	2,390	73.065	38,848	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	49	\$ 389,475	۸	37,021	16,401	-	۸ ۸	37,101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	22,082	\$ 190,424,284	25,942,914	25,064,223	3,315,348	249,051	1,571,675	23,812,276	4,747,860	7,339	104,550	51,294	۸	475	158	۸	752

^{*}Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Col specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Col beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

 $[\]verb|^{\wedge \wedge} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.$

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months December 2016 - November 2017 Report Run on 1/10/2018

Part	Uni	Unique Katie A.	Total Approved	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support	Mental Health	Therapeutic	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment	Adult Residential		Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient	Psychiatric Health
The content	unty Name					Brokerage		Services	Services				Intensive	Treatment Services	s Treatment Services		Admin	Facility
A		494	\$ 7,837,53	2 \$ 609,	184 \$ 1,081,290	\$ 180,943	\$ 35,424	\$ 194,186	\$ 4,748,932	\$ 261,584	\$ 140,073	۸	۸	٨	٨	۸	۸	۸
4			т	\$, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ÿ	Υ	Ÿ	Ÿ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3							7			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	7	\$ -	, Y	т	т	\$ -
Collage*** Collage*** Collage** Col											ć	\$ -	Υ	Ÿ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7	т	\$ - \$ -
The content of the		54	\$ 344,80	9 3 15,	723 \$ 22,810	\$ 29,061	^		\$ 45,694		, -	\$ -		\$ -	- 3 -	\$ -	ş -	\$ -
B		414	\$ 9,440.32	8 \$ 1.175.	588 \$ 1.097.517	\$ 692,598	\$ 27.917	\$ 245.782	\$ 4.816.070	\$ 1.166.833	\$ 131.076	٨	۸	Ś -	- Ś -	۸	\$ -	\$ -
10											•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	I Dorado*				885 \$ 10,514				\$ 141,226	\$ -	Ÿ	Y	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
The Intercept 1.00										\$ 641,748	\$ 151,994	\$ -	۸	\$ -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7	т	\$ 189,056
13 Impertal 104 5 746,015 5 935,017 5 6.785 6 6 7 5 70,105 5 70,105 5 70,105 5 70,105 5 70,105 5 70,105 5 70,105 5 70,105 5 70,105										\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	т	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14 Ings. 12 2 30,133 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							\$ 22,310			۸	^	7		۸	\$ -	۸	\$ -	٨
Sect					101 \$ 6,785	ć	^	\$ 164,225	\$ 3/8,/25	\$ -	\$ -	7	т	\$ -		т		\$ - \$ -
Fig.					660 \$ 99.483	\$ 87.745	\$ 20.150	\$ 207 387	\$ 1157.243	\$ 256.037	7	7	т	Y	т			\$ -
12 Like 38 \$ 150,499 \$ 00,599 \$ 00,099 \$											\$ -		т	т	т	т	т	\$ -
Second Color Seco					/		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -		<u> </u>	\$ -
20 Mariprost* 381 S 474,388 S 57,381 S	Lassen*^																	
27											\$ -							\$ -
22 Marjopas* 39 \$21,323 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$								\$ 17,463		\$ -	\$ -		7	7				\$ -
23								۸		۸	۸	Y	т	7	т			\$ -
24 Merced* 166 \$ 840,581 \$ 280,566 \$ 113,896 \$ 42,856 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$							Λ	^		\$ -	<u> </u>	т	т	Y	•			\$ - \$ -
25 Modoc* 16 5 22,477 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5							\$ -	\$ - ¢ 6.626	T	_		т		т	т	т	т	\$ -
The property The					_ ¢	γ 42,630	¢ .			\$ -				+'			<u> </u>	\$ -
28 Napa* 38 \$ 360,622		10	ŷ 52, i.	, ,	Ť		Ÿ		27,555	Ŷ	Y	Ť	Ψ	<u> </u>	,	Ÿ	Ÿ	Ÿ
29 Nevorda*		298	\$ 1,193,11	3 \$ 487,	588 \$ 705,525	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30 Orange* 1,389 S 7,017,909 S 943,06 S 1,108,304 S 486,525 S 188,516 S 47,009 S 3,338,689 S 472,942 S 37,336 S S S S S S S S S	Napa*							\$ 18,268		\$ -	۸	\$ -	۸	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31 Placer* 145 \$ 746,428 \$ 357,336 \$ 234,541 \$ 1,1325 \$										\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	7	\$ -
32 Plumas*											\$ 37,396	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	. ^	\$ -	Ÿ	\$ -
33 Riverside*		145	\$ 746,42	8 \$ 357,	336 \$ 234,541	\$ 11,325	\$ -	۸	\$ 105,795	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34 Sarzamento* 600 \$ 3,728,861 \$ 659,050 \$ 680,983 \$ 543,824 \$ 7,689 \$ 277,188 \$ 1,523,023 \$ ^ \$ \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$		2.417	ć 0.742.40	C ¢ 2.207	T1 ¢ 2.130.004	ć 040.030	¢ 20.590	ć 752 520	ć 2.20C 412	ć 1.1C0.201	Δ.	ć	Δ.	ć	ć	ć	ć	¢ _
San Benton										, ,	ė -	\$ -	ė -	\$ -	7	Ÿ	Ÿ	\$ -
So San Bernardino* 1,622 S 11,246,365 S 3,030,361 S 2,053,619 S 525,212 S 67,262 S 473,804 S 4,131,809 S 1,060,306 S 8,679 N N N N N N N N N						\$ 545,024	\$ 7,005			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Š -	· ·	Y .	· ·	\$ -
38					861 \$ 2,053,619	\$ 525,212	\$ 67,262	\$ 473,804	\$ 4,131,890	\$ 1,606,306	\$ 8,679	٨	۸	\$ -	. ^	۸	\$ -	۸
San Joaquin* G08 \$ 2,601,966 \$ 298,978 \$ 445,281 \$ 124,858 \$ 30,852 \$ 186,674 \$ 1,309,023 \$ 52,830 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	an Diego*	1,623	\$ 11,589,75	9 \$ 1,930,	97 \$ 4,186,179	\$ 48,131	\$ 15,770	\$ 546,162	\$ 1,712,443	\$ 633,560	\$ 91,211	\$ 2,165,373	۸	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ 162,565	\$ -	\$ -
40 San Luis Obispo* 323 \$ 4,365,448 \$ 2,266,532 \$ 862,564 \$ 75,446 \$ 12,863 \$ 137,182 \$ 878,382 \$ 74,253 \$ - \$ - ^ ^ \$ \$ - \$ - ^ ^ \$ \$ - \$ - \$ -	n Francisco						Y	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
41 San Mateo*											۸	\$ -		\$ -	. ^	\$ -	\$ -	۸
42 Santa Barbara* 331 \$ 3,033,440 \$ 1,329,719 \$ 664,283 \$ 84,237 \$ 35,747 \$ 165,150 \$ 616,197											5 -	Ş -		Ş -	- \$ -	т	т	۸
43 Santa Clara*										,	ć	ć		ć	Υ	\$ -	7	\$ -
44 Santa Cruz* 75 \$ 831,168 \$ 669,842 \$ 161,326									\$ 616,197	\$	\$ -	ş -		\$		ş -	7	\$ -
45 Shasta* 76 \$ 826,422 \$ 59,566 \$ 207,937 \$ 87,413							т	7	Λ .	\$ -	Ÿ	Ÿ	Y	7	· Ś -	Ÿ	7	\$ -
46 Sierra** - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -									\$ 336.355	۸	т	т	т	т	т —	т		\$ -
48 Solano* 201 \$ 2,469,094 \$ 436,147 \$ 460,427 \$ 62,109		-	, ,		- \$	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	т	т	т	\$ -
49 Sonoma 175 \$ 699,648 \$ 459,899 \$ 239,749 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Siskiyou*							۸		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50 Stanislaus*										۸	۸	٨	۸	\$ -				۸
51 Sutter*** - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$										\$ -	\$ -	Ÿ	\$ -	\$ -	7			\$ -
										٨	\$ -	Ÿ	٨	\$ -	7	7	7	۸
32 rendind			т	Ÿ	Ÿ	7	Ÿ	Y	Ÿ	\$ -	Ÿ	т	Y	\$ -	Ÿ	Ÿ	Ÿ	\$ -
53 Trinity* 20 \$ 100,433 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$						> -	\$ -	> -	Y	\$ -	т	т	т	\$ -	т —	т	т	\$ -
53 Trinity 20 \$ 100,433 \$ -						\$ 122.540	\$ 10.413	\$ 67,800		٠ .								\$ -
34 Tuldiffe							Λ 10,413	۸ ۵۲٫۵۵۵		\$ -	\$ -		т	т	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7	т	\$ -
56 Ventura* 323 \$ 3,670,644 \$ 911,063 \$ 390,010 \$ 257,820 ^ \$ 158,310 \$ 1,859,323 \$ 91,586 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$							۸	\$ 158.310		\$ 91.586	\$ -	т	т	Ÿ	T.	т	· ·	\$ -
57 Yolo* 86 \$ 437,243 \$ 171,470 \$ 47,677 \$ 12,510 ^ \$ 10,027 \$ 192,751 ^ \$ 5 - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$							۸				\$ -	т	т	\$ -	т	т	т	\$ -
58 Sutter/Yuba* 49 \$ 389,475 ^ \$ 113,423 \$ 53,578 \$ - ^ \$ 152,299 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$							\$ -	۸		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Statewide^^ 22,082 \$ 190,424,284 \$ 61,462,984 \$ 45,564,560 \$ 6,290,303 \$ 922,990 \$ 7,056,491 \$ 53,765,492 \$ 9,185,830 \$ 679,442 \$ 2,401,490 \$ 1,880,014 \$ ^ \$ 124,658 \$ 242,010 \$	vide^^	22,082	\$ 190,424,28	4 \$ 61,462,	984 \$ 45,564,560	\$ 6,290,303	\$ 922,990	\$ 7,056,491	\$ 53,765,492	\$ 9,185,830	\$ 679,442	\$ 2,401,490	\$ 1,880,014	\$ ^	\$ 124,658	\$ 242,010	\$ ^	\$ 674,641

^{*}Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months December 2016 - November 2017 Report Run on 1/10/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Therapeutic Behavioral Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	494	\$ 7,837,532	122	257	173	21	99	329	28	32	٨	٨	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸
2	Alpine Amador*	- 26	Ÿ	-	-	-	-	-	- 42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador* Butte*	26 211	\$ 105,959 \$ 1,347,945	56	24 133	37	- 14	44	12 137	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	34		14	26	26	۸	^	28		-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,															
7	Contra Costa*	414	\$ 9,440,328	83	334	275	23		351	80	38	۸	٨	-	-	۸	-	-
8 9	Del Norte* El Dorado*	27		17 25	23 21	16 32	^ -	^	25 41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	537	\$ 4,666,151	Δ5	51	435	25	232	489	83	- 60	-	Α	-	-	-	-	- 21
11	Glenn*	67	\$ 181,488	24	63	12	-	^	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	161	\$ 2,851,125	52	133		21	77	148	۸	۸	-	٨	۸	-	۸	-	۸
13	Imperial*	104		58	16	۸	۸	79	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 15	Inyo* Kern*	12 310		131	202	132	^ 20	130	255	- 64	- 18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	64		16	49	37	Λ	۸ 130	41	۸ 64	- 16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	38		14	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^																	
19	Los Angeles*	6,814	\$ 67,322,362	4,801	4,729	599	268	1,563	3,788	146	-	27	24	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera* Marin*	183 91	\$ 474,189 \$ 939,175	- 39	30 72	113 33		16	172 55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	29		۸ م	19	۸	^	۸	26		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	132	\$ 501,613	81	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	164	\$ 840,581	47	63	61	۸	16	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*	16	\$ 32,477	-	-	۸	-	۸	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26 27	Mono^ Monterey	298	\$ 1,193,113	185	215	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
28	Napa*	34	\$ 360,632	۸ ۱85	16	13	۸	12	19	-	۸	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 366,748	27	38	44		۸	48	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,389	\$ 7,017,909	512	847	424	115	277	816	76	17	-	-	-	۸	-	-	-
31	Placer*	145	\$ 746,428	84	132	13	-	۸	38	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^ Riverside*	2,417	\$ 9,742,486	750	1,714	496	30	553	1,425	119	٨	_	٨	_			_	_
34	Sacramento*	600	\$ 3,728,861	264	475	342	21	202	382	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*	12	\$ 11,669	۸	۸	-	-	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	1,622	\$ 12,246,365	692	1,347	331	56	378	1,029	209	15		۸	-	۸	^	-	۸
37 38	San Diego*	1,623	\$ 11,589,759	595	1,400	126	38	350	615	83	45	171	۸	-	-	16	-	-
38	San Francisco San Joaquin*	209 608	\$ 9,052,643 \$ 2,601,966	195 172	194 424	209	33	111	342	17	^	-	Α .	-	^	-	-	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	323	\$ 4,365,448	234	292	62	17	43	116	13	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	۸
41	San Mateo*	112	\$ 2,027,014	33	44	79	۸	50	93	14	۸	۸	٨	۸	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	331		158	266	97	23	58	127	۸	-	-	۸	-	۸	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara* Santa Cruz*	844 75	\$ 8,325,539 \$ 831,168	716 72	832 53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	76		18	55	57	^	35	64	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	٠	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	51		32	28	18	۸	۸	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	201	\$ 2,469,094	83	169	81	۸	32	146	۸	۸	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	۸
49 50	Sonoma Stanislaus*	175 187	\$ 699,648 \$ 1,954,311	126 87	171 105	- 87	- 20	- 77	171	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ 1,934,311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
52	Tehama	48		-	48	-	-	-		-	-				-	-	-	
53	Trinity*	20		-	-	^	^	^	20	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
54 55	Tulare*	163 19		51	137 12	107	^	39	134	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Tuolomne* Ventura*	323		13 110	236	193	^	94	17 236	- 14		-	-	-			-	
57	Yolo*	86	\$ 437,243	53	53	35	^	18	64	۸ ۱4	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	49	\$ 389,475	۸	42	12		^	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	22,082	\$ 190,424,284	10,892	15,773	4,926	832	4,789	12,164	1,012	266	211	69	۸	13	28	۸	36

 $[\]hbox{* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.}$

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

- 1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
- 2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

- 1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
- 2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
- **3.** While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
- **4.** Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
- · These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
- · The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
- "ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
- **5.** Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals