Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Report run on 1/10/2018

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 17,244 (statewide) compared to 17,242 for the last reporting period. This is a decrease of 2 subclass members.

- Total approved amount to date is \$176,170,259 (statewide) compared to \$176,172,922 for the last reporting period. This is a decrease of \$2,663.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 22,164,735 (statewide), which is unchanged from the last reporting period.
- ► The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 24,157,293 (statewide), which is unchanged from the last reporting period.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 11,926 (statewide), which is unchanged from the last reporting period.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 1/10/2018

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 9,022 (statewide), which is unchanged from the last reporting period.
- ► The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 52.
- ► The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

Definitions

• Approved Service Claims: The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.

• Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services: The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

• Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*: The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.

• Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members: The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).

• SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members: Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: March

March 28, 2016

1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.

2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.

3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.

4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.

5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.

6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.


Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 1/10/2018




¹All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month


Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"



¹All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA" Report Run on 1/10/2018




¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

²All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016 Report Run on 1/10/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	593		271,821	460,321	229,614	14,354	73,331	3,519,318	247,428	2,028	٨	٨	-	۸	^	٨	706
2	Alpine	-	τ	- 51.657	-	-	-	- 2.822	- 12.527	-		-	-	-	-		-	-
3	Amador* Butte*	36 156		226.652	31,870 104.102	9,723	4.363	2,822	333.012	- 77.675	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	31		^	7,661	11,896	^+,505	^	24,641	^	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	
6	Colusa*	16		^	^	^	٨	٨	20,369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,019,344	628,295	656,612	306,175	8,746	65,746	1,735,597	638,882	1,038	۸	۸	۸	۸	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	50	A 070 477	40.000	0.404	22.457			24 500						-			
9 10	El Dorado* Fresno*	50 50	\$ 370,477 \$ 7.846.021	48,200 107.089	9,121 38.889	33,467 616,562	- 3.872	61.088	91,590 1.792.325	- 500.807	- 3.576	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 277
10	Glenn*	37	\$ 303,049	76,133	21,972	2,584	۸ 5,672	^	16,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	117		500,397	95,253	51,351	۸	29,337	321,839	^	241	-	۸	-	-	۸	-	-
13	Imperial*	135	\$ 856,971	50,067	8,702	2,829	٨	23,828	102,787	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	100	A		22.540	10.101	0.505		202 525	56 597			۸		-			
15 16	Kern* Kings*	<u>198</u> 41	\$ 1,589,022 \$ 265,500	44,185 23,177	32,510 3,023	12,134 9,031	8,506	24,240 5,437	282,536 40,128	56,537	- 559	^	ň	-	-	-	-	-
10	Lake	41	\$ 203,300	^ 23,177	18,164	-	-	- 5,457	40,128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^		+															
19	Los Angeles*	4,849	\$ 50,014,516	11,710,666	12,711,892	23,494	26,140	104,685	1,218,750	375,085	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	-	12,950	55,452	^	1,700	114,512	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
21 22	Marin* Mariposa*	57 21	\$ 929,675 \$ 95,539	51,891	79,116	39,959	^	5,535	73,097	^	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mendocino	93		111,903	90,896	-		-	-	-			-		-			
24	Merced*	150		33,823	52,404	17,442	۸	2,250	178,143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^																	
26	Mono*^																	
27 28	Monterey Napa*	332	\$ 2,251,649 \$ 698,470	336,051 33.371	585,196 49.277	- 5.554	-	- 6,171	47.176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	41 48	\$ 367,959	11,369	18,071	26,141	٨	3,958	92,496	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
30	Orange*	1,136		166,368	271,048	207,241	32,285	111,740	1,295,928	219,530	^	-	-	-	۸	-	-	-
31	Placer*	59	\$ 580,069	20,541	31,172	14,931	۸	4,701	79,314	56,713	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	1.050	A 0.004 677	4 4 95 9 99	0.50.050	207.000	7.550	100.051		074 077								٨
33 34	Riverside* Sacramento*	1,968 579	\$ 8,321,677 \$ 3,332,518	1,105,092 519,771	869,850 797,465	387,839 1,194,247	7,558	130,064 371,205	1,611,708 2,210,747	871,077	~	~	ĸ	-	-	-	-	~
35	San Benito*^	515	<i>y</i> 3,332,310	515,771	157,405	1,134,247	-,035	571,205	2,210,747									
36	San Bernardino*	992	\$ 8,688,642	1,208,161	957,689	207,542	11,111	83,822	1,854,663	390,210	۸	۸	٨	٨	-	۸	-	٨
37	San Diego*	993	\$ 11,037,018	245,259	841,025	21,614	5,989	179,937	920,369	278,648	1,038	187,348	۸	-	۸	^	-	۸
38	San Francisco*	301	\$ 13,530,589	2,856,559	1,046,274	104,988	^	15,107	745,311 436,592		-	-	-	-	-		-	-
39 40	San Joaquin* San Luis Obispo*	356 167	\$ 2,324,805 \$ 3,182,196	133,112 736,574	155,320 197,290	82,907 20,181	11,563 5,714	40,708 34,482	436,592 341,236	41,412	^ -	-	۸ ۸	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Mateo*	115		102,106	44,771	24,218	^	17,713	172,116	^	۸	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	198	\$ 2,110,177	123,811	200,905	39,791	10,428	48,579	314,433	153,410	-	-	-	-	۸	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	612	\$ 6,180,718	968,560	727,116			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	113 78		275,364 31,090	70,490 109,278	18,206 36,433	^	^ 19,937	115,353 216,726	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta* Sierra**	- /8		- 31,090	- 109,278	36,433	-	- 19,937	216,726	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	Siskiyou*	30		8,087	7,890	۸	-	۸	18,570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	154	\$ 2,756,669	217,146	128,938	32,813	٨	9,878	379,714	^	^	۸	٨	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	183	\$ 560,318	160,733	134,333	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	164		145,437	90,369	67,781	5,560	16,013	437,795	^	-	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	^
51 52	Sutter*** Tehama	- 73	Ŧ		- 14,094	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	33		-	-	^	-	^	24,924	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
54	Tulare*	141		92,929	71,524	61,223	5,259	21,884	202,751	^	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
55	Tuolomne*	13		^	^	^		٨	7,102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	306		471,530	185,646	160,581	۸	40,231	820,186	76,252	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57 58	Yolo* Sutter/Yuba*	45		119,133	102,853	^ 20,088	-	18,101 10,291	164,554 45,223	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	17,244		24,157,293	22,164,735	4,171,168	183,452	1,647,226	22,471,794	4,353,038	9,464	197,645	38,328	^	^	160	^	1,398
	Jucwide	17,244	÷ 1/0,1/0,235	24,137,293	22,104,733	4,171,108	105,452	1,047,220	22,4/1,/34	-,555,038	5,404	157,045	30,328	~	~	100		1,398

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 1/10/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Therapeutic Behavioral Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,920,839	\$ 590,407	\$ 793,096	\$ 426,117	\$ 48,037	\$ 303,959	1 27 27 27		\$ 203,174	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 565,408
2	Alpine Amador*	- 36	\$ - \$ 211,010	\$ - \$ 103.055	\$ - \$ 62.907	Ş -	Ş -	\$ - \$ 13.336	Ŷ	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	Ş -	Ş -	τ	\$ - \$ -
3	Butte*	156	\$ 1,738,707	\$ 103,055	\$ 183,793	\$ 16.672	\$ 14,212		\$ 50,278 \$ 690.085		ς - Λ			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
5	Calaveras*	31		× 415,762	\$ 18,200	\$ 28,794	^ 14,212	× 251,045	\$ 62.465	^ 151,215	\$ -	Ś -	٨	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
6	Colusa*	16		٨	^	^	٨	٨	\$ 53,162	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,019,344	\$ 1,625,843	\$ 1,188,650	\$ 573,683	\$ 40,982	\$ 322,039	\$ 4,745,707	\$ 1,170,269	\$ 107,713	۸	۸	٨	^	\$-	\$-	\$-
8	Del Norte*^	50	\$ 370.477	A 400.040	A 47.007	\$ 66.400	^		A	4				4				\$ -
9 10	El Dorado* Fresno*	705	\$ 370,477 \$ 7,846,021	\$ 100,943 \$ 265,463	\$ 17,987 \$ 55,787	\$ 66,400 \$ 1,213,302	\$ - \$ 15,865	\$ 343,618	\$ 180,134 \$ 4,471,229		\$ 279,436	Ş - Λ	Ş - Λ	\$ - ¢ -	\$ - ¢ -	\$ - ¢ -	*	\$ 165,616
10	Glenn*	37		\$ 200,731	\$ 45,702	\$ 5,375	× 15,805	> 545,018 ^	\$ 44,316		\$ <u>275,450</u>	Ś -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
12	Humboldt*	117	· · · ·		\$ 205,573	\$ 110,385	٨	\$ 117,350	\$ 886,801	۸	\$ 26,182	\$ -	٨	\$ -	\$ -	۸		\$ -
13	Imperial*	135	\$ 856,971	\$ 205,578	\$ 26,854	\$ 8,886	۸	\$ 185,545	\$ 420,258	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -
14	Inyo*^														4		4	
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,589,022		\$ 83,821	\$ 32,520 \$ 15,695	\$ 40,097		\$ 902,357	\$ 149,020	\$ 45,000	^ \$ -	ć	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -
16 17	Kings* Lake	41 43		\$ 52,069	\$ 5,501 \$ 36,457	\$ 15,695		\$ 22,116	\$ 92,870 \$ -	Ś	φ - ζ -	Ŧ	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	φ - ζ -	φ - ζ -	Ŧ	\$ - \$ -
18	Lassen*^	10	φ <u>σ</u> 2,675		ç 30,137	Ŷ	Ý	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ý	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ý	Ŷ
19	Los Angeles*	4,849	\$ 50,014,516	\$ 25,611,369	\$ 20,753,684	\$ 42,190	\$ 15,654		\$ 2,191,811		\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -
20	Madera*	168			\$ 23,702	\$ 105,715	۸	\$ 6,953	\$ 254,341	\$-	\$-			Ŧ	Ŧ			\$ -
21	Marin*	57 21		\$ 132,126	\$ 254,695	\$ 89,561	^	\$ 58,742		^	^	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ - \$ -
22	Mariposa* Mendocino	93		\$ 236,448	\$ 170,469	ć	ć	ć	\$ 45,448		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - ¢	ې - د	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -
23	Merced*	150		\$ 139.820	\$ 195.238	\$ 64.361	<u>,</u> _	\$ 12.697	\$ 746.929	Ŧ	Ŧ	\$ -	ş - \$ -	\$ -	s -	s -	Ŧ	\$ -
25	Modoc*^			+	+	+ .,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+	-	Ť	. T.	Ŧ	, T	Ŧ	Ť	Ŧ	T
26	Mono*^																	
27	Monterey	332			\$ 1,236,903	\$ -	Ŷ	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -
28 29	Napa*	41 48		\$ 102,798 \$ 29,162	\$ 240,077 \$ 36,415	\$ 29,587 \$ 51,161	۸ ۸	\$ 41,376 \$ 19,077	\$ 208,539 \$ 230,908	^ ¢	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	۸ خ	Ş -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -
30	Nevada* Orange*	1,136	\$ 6,212,044		\$ 517,445	\$ 491,473	\$ 168,193		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 406,567	ς - Λ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	> - ^	-		\$ -
31	Placer*	59		\$ 59,679	\$ 92,980	\$ 36.007	^ 100,155	\$ 20.511	\$ 198.608		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																	
33	Riverside*	1,968	\$ 8,321,677		\$ 1,114,894	\$ 844,761	\$ 24,583				^	۸	٨	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	^
34	Sacramento*	579	\$ 3,332,518	\$ 422,443	\$ 468,454	\$ 580,523	\$ 2,900	\$ 249,740	\$ 1,607,446	۸	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -
35 36	San Benito*^ San Bernardino*	992	\$ 8.688.642	\$ 2.355.240	\$ 1.066.220	\$ 303.465	\$ 47.624	\$ 340,529	\$ 3.384.812	\$ 967,272	^	٨	٨	٨	ć	^	ć	^
37	San Diego*	993	\$ 11,037,018	\$ 648.480	\$ 1,747,536	\$ 39.370	\$ 24,762		\$ 2.323.407	\$ 741.811	\$ 58.356	\$ 4,284,988	٨	Ś -	, ,	۸	s -	^
38	San Francisco*	301	\$ 13,530,589	\$ 8,876,551	\$ 2,382,547	\$ 196,945	^^	\$ 89,174	1 /2 -7 -7	A	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	ş -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	356			\$ 282,476	\$ 165,638	\$ 31,949		\$ 1,099,370		۸	\$ -	۸	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	167		,,			\$ 13,257		\$ 895,192	^	\$ -	\$ -	^ 	\$ -	\$ -	Ŷ	\$ -	^
41 42	San Mateo* Santa Barbara*	115 198	\$ 1,662,213 \$ 2,110,177		\$ 109,728 \$ 402,758	\$ 72,353 \$ 69,871	^ \$ 32,925	\$ 132,146 \$ 225,199	\$ 602,736 \$ 742,421		ć	\$ - \$ -	ć	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -
42	Santa Clara*	612		\$ 3,941,408	\$ 2,239,310	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$	\$ -	ś -	Ŷ	\$ -	Ś -	\$ -	Ŷ	Ŷ	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	113			\$ 205,298	\$ 50,971	۸	<u>х</u>	\$ 265,753	۸		\$ -	<u>х</u>	\$ -	\$ -	Ŧ	Ŧ	\$ -
45	Shasta*	78		\$ 98,149	\$ 291,513	\$ 93,782	۸	\$ 73,296	\$ 536,091	۸	\$ -	\$-	۸	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	Ŧ		\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	30 154				^ \$ 95,385	\$ -	^ \$ 80,993	\$ 71,520 \$ 1,386,713	\$ - ^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -
48 49	Solano* Sonoma	154			\$ <u>357,499</u> \$ 230,864	\$ 95,385 \$	\$	\$ 80,993	\$ 1,386,713	Ś	\$	Ś	\$	\$ -	φ - ζ	Ŷ	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -
50	Stanislaus*	164			\$ 185,128	\$ 187,287	\$ 22,647	\$ 91,718	\$ 1,184,100	· ·	\$ -	> - ^	γ - Λ	\$ -	Ŷ	Ŧ	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-			\$ -				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	7	Ŧ	Ŧ	τ	\$ -
52	Tehama	73		\$ -	\$ 26,352	\$-		\$-	\$ -	Ŧ		- ب	- ب	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ		\$ -
53	Trinity*	33			\$ -		\$ -	^	\$ 55,848	\$ -			\$ -		Ŷ			\$ -
54 55	Tulare* Tuolomne*	141 13		\$ 219,950	\$ 129,182	\$ 110,581	\$ 17,749	\$ 90,369	\$ 461,228 \$ 18,536	^ 6		τ	\$ - \$ -	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŧ	τ	\$ - \$ -
55	Ventura*	306		\$ 1,153,591	\$ 360,038	\$ 292,173	- د د	\$ 188,566	\$ 2,063,752		Ŷ			\$ - \$ -	Ŷ	Ŷ	*	\$ - \$ -
57	Yolo*	45		\$ 36,948	\$ 21,872	^	\$ -	\$ 14,352	\$ 92,626		Ŧ	ş -	Λ.	\$ -	ş -	Ŷ	Ŷ	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	35		\$ -	٨	\$ 58,337	٨	\$ 72,182	\$ 171,413	\$-		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -
	Statewide^^	17,244	\$ 176,170,259	\$ 56,533,701	\$ 38,405,080	\$ 6,662,282	\$ 646,771	\$ 6,373,178	\$ 50,327,733	\$ 9,025,076	\$ 817,343	\$ 4,490,191	\$ 1,551,841	\$ ^	\$ ^	\$ 240,922	\$ ^	\$ 1,010,293

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specially mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016 Report Run on 1/10/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Therapeutic Behavioral Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,920,839	109	268	297	47	165	504	50	51	۸	۸	-	۸	۸	۸	22
2	Alpine Amador*	- 36	Ŧ	- 26	32	-	-	- 16	- 20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
4	Butte*	156		69			20	54	121	- 13	^	^	^		-			
5	Calaveras*	31		^	19		^	۸	26	^	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16		۸	٨	^	٨	٨	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,019,344	129	388	272	34	141	375	97	38	۸	۸	۸	۸	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^																	
9	El Dorado*	50		26	35		-	^	50	-	- 93	-	-	-	-	-		-
10 11	Fresno* Glenn*	705	\$ 7,846,021 \$ 303.049	152 29			24	287	605 35	- 111	- 93	ň	Λ	-	-	-		23
11	Humboldt*	117		34			^	67	111	^	- 14	-	^		-	^		
13	Imperial*	135		115			٨	98	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	198		82			25	102	182	46	17	^	۸	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41		19	18	34	۸	14	34	^	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
17 18	Lake Lassen*^	43	\$ 81,679	^	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Los Angeles*	4.849	\$ 50.014.516	4,449	4,324	127	51	191	531	35	-	-	-				-	
20	Madera*	168	\$ 392,731	-	14		^	12	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
21	Marin*	57	\$ 929,675	16	38	48	٨	14	52	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	21		۸	۸	۸	٨	۸	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93		65			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,176,936	21	50	58	۸	12	143	-		-	-	-	-	-		-
25 26	Modoc*^ Mono*^																	
20	Monterey	332	\$ 2,251,649	207	257	-	-	-		-	-	-	-				-	
28	Napa*	41		14			٨	19	38	۸		-	٨	-	-	-		-
29	Nevada*	48		17			٨	16	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,136		122			117		1,093	68	۸	-	-	-	۸	-	-	-
31	Placer*	59	\$ 580,069	26	49	37	۸	15	40	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32 33	Plumas*^ Riverside*	1,968	\$ 8,321,677	509	1,208	503	44	576	1,515	117	٨	٨	٨					٨
34	Sacramento*	579	\$ 3,332,518	251			12		481			-						
35	San Benito*^	575	¢ 0,002,010	201	100			200	101									
36	San Bernardino*	992	\$ 8,688,642	525	742		43	323	861	193	۸	۸	۸	۸	-	٨	-	۸
37	San Diego*	993	\$ 11,037,018	278		97	43	450	743	93	65	272	۸	-	۸	٨	-	۸
38	San Francisco*	301	\$ 13,530,589	248			۸	27	120	^	-	-	-		-	-		-
39 40	San Joaquin* San Luis Obispo*	356 167	\$ 2,324,805 \$ 3,182,196	111 120			<u> </u>	126 63	285 139	^ 28	^	-	۸ ۸	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Mateo*	167	\$ 3,182,196 \$ 1,662,213	44			^ 18	41	84		^	-	A	-	-	-		-
42	Santa Barbara*	198	\$ 2,110,177	50			27	91	160		-	-	-		۸	-		-
43	Santa Clara*	612	\$ 6,180,718	533	597	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	113		101			٨	٨	64		-	-	٨	-	-	-		-
45	Shasta*	78		30			۸	46	72	^	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra** Siskiyou*	- 30	τ	- 15	- 18	-	-	-	- 29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
47	Siskiyou* Solano*	154		54			-	39	142	^	^	^	-	-	-	-		-
48	Sonoma	183		106			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
50	Stanislaus*	164		68			19	89	153	^	-	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	٨
51	Sutter***	-	\$-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	73		-	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
53	Trinity*	33		-		^	-	٨	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54 55	Tulare* Tuolomne*	141 13		57	120	103	19	49	126 12	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Ventura*	306		131	224	182	-	64	229	- 14	-	-	-	-	-	-		
57	Yolo*	45		131	224	× 102	-	15	223	-	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	35			^	27	٨	21	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	Statewide^^	17,244	\$ 176,170,259	9,022	11,926	4,865	662	3,806	9,647	989	324	290	60	۸	۸	21	^	68

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specially mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services

2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system

2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS

3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members

4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims

· These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community

• The claiming policy has been updated as follows:

"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."

5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals