# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 4/25/2018

### Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

### **Purpose of Report**

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

### **Report Highlights**

The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 21,344 (statewide) compared to 21,339 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 5 subclass members.

Total approved amount to date is \$205,518,919 (statewide) compared to \$205,508,315 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of \$10,603.

The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 26,785,043 (statewide) compared to 26,778,536 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 6,507 minutes.

The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 28,175,680 (statewide) compared to 28,168,346 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 7,334 minutes.

The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 15,435 (statewide) compared to 15,434 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 1 subclass member.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 4/25/2018

The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 11,012 (statewide) compared to 11,011 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 1 subclass member.

► The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.

▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 49.

### Definitions

• Approved Service Claims: The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.

• Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services: The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

• Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*: The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.

• Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members: The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).

• SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members: Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

\* Please see Page 72 of the MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual for more information on SMHS procedures.

### Notes Updated:

## May 31, 2018

1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.

2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.

3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.

4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.

5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.

6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers and providers and providers to delay submission bas no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

### Report run on 4/25/2018


7) Children that are not part of the Katie A. Subclass might be included in this report due to a small amount of queried SMHS claims for ICC and IHBS services that do not have a Katie A DPI. Per MHSUDS Information Notice # 16-004 dated February 5, 2016 – "... neither membership in the Katie A. class or subclass is a prerequisite to receiving medically necessary ICC and IHBS services, and therefore a child need not have an open child welfare services case to be considered for receipt of these services."

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 4/25/2018




<sup>1</sup>All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"


Report Run on 4/25/2018



<sup>1</sup>All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

#### SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA" Report Run on 4/25/2018




<sup>1</sup>Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup>All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.





<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

#### Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 4/25/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	529	\$ 10,218,974	248,349	538,177	125,099	14,823	49,852	2,466,781	209,871	1,437	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador* Butte*	31 207	\$ 184,062 \$ 1,412,158	50,421 189,521	30,240 77,121	7,877	3,995	34,333	8,614 357,251	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	32	\$ 383,001	^ 105,521	8,927	13,345	5,995 A	^ 54,555	26,357	^	-		^	-				
6	Colusa*^		+,		0,011													
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,709,644	471,403	561,198	385,954	7,390	60,601	2,002,901	921,053	1,547	٨	۸	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	127,397	7,360	4,071	٨	^	22,209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	100,685	8,160	40,007	-	^	97,346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 11	Fresno* Glenn*	677 48	\$ 7,914,044 \$ 234,143	^ 27,987	7,804 28,142	697,034 1,628	5,121	70,677	1,740,059 36,029	519,485	3,897	-	۸	-	-	-		295
11	Humboldt*	48	\$ 3,517,365	487,639	131,906	88,670	8,024	57,532	462,653	-	316		-	-		-		-
13	Imperial*	100	\$ 797,998	51,729	2,258	1,716	۸ ۵٫۵۷	24,546	105,712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,086,475	76,975	38,129	38,744	8,644	37,237	402,173	104,171	562	-	-	-	-	-	-	٨
16	Kings*	53		17,297	12,749	7,209	۸	۸	33,481	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17 18	Lake Lassen*^	41	\$ 149,542	24,455	44,064	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Los Angeles*	6,121	\$ 62,940,538	13,035,481	13,803,284	62,960	56.634	273,954	4.064.679	389,147	-	4,128	4,968	-	-	-		
20	Madera*	178	\$ 511,556	-	33,391	65,109	۸	3,480	136,244	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	39,226	95,012	31,637	٨	4,400	79,300	۸	٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	31	\$ 199,259	٨	3,685	٨	۸	۸	31,679	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,423	111,805	155,412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	178	\$ 1,162,213	124,265	65,147	18,425	۸	1,533	147,126	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
25 26	Modoc*^ Mono^																	
20	Monterey	337	\$ 1,698,911	230,219	433,834	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	35	\$ 492,110	^	31,529	6,233	۸	5,754	47,513	۸	٨	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	10,557	16,265	35,111	-	3,746	84,572	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,244	\$ 6,349,925	262,393	374,322	196,794	35,728	100,333	1,152,512	231,968	۸	-	-	-	۸	-	-	-
31	Placer*	146	\$ 754,765	98,337	86,279	6,918	-	^	48,618	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32 33	Plumas*^ Riverside*	2,320	\$ 8,769,366	1,519,278	1,261,936	389,026	6,521	146,663	1,259,758	567,198	٨		۸					
34	Sacramento*	582	\$ 4,525,819	662,352	832,523	865,602	4,051	153,627	1,781,155	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^								, - ,									
36	San Bernardino*	1,498	\$ 12,292,348	2,424,492	1,958,349	284,970	30,015	128,863	3,003,558	951,106	614	۸	۸	-	۸	۸	-	٨
37	San Diego*	1,797	\$ 14,981,691	824,043	2,351,918	33,406	5,981	161,300	838,294	308,891	1,105	138,948	۸	-	۸	87	-	۸
38 39	San Francisco*	278	\$ 15,215,234	1,861,227	611,950	26,298 88,522	- 10,834	2,238 41,962	140,409 663,876	- 44,097	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
39 40	San Joaquin* San Luis Obispo*	537 277	\$ 2,873,891 \$ 3,801,084	148,399 903,938	214,247 291,451	19,938	3,529	29,955	298,075	39,505	-	-	Λ	-	-		-	-
40	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,044,604	73,898	19,839	48,392	^	20,206	193,958	52,726	٨	٨	٨	٨	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	301	\$ 2,800,790	436,050	259,769	43,116	8,756	30,633	319,425	73,588	۸		٨	-	^		-	-
43	Santa Clara*	833	\$ 8,478,106	1,746,296	1,326,722	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81		252,205	53,380	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45 46	Shasta* Sierra**	87	\$ 1,039,665	30,010	100,711	40,562	٨	14,078	147,957	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A
40	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 147,723	11,486	- 7,237	- 2,087	^	-	- 16,589	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
47	Solano*	197		212,533	172,146	25,174	٨	8,785	343,577	^	٨	٨	۰ ـ	-	-	-	-	٨
49	Sonoma	205	\$ 989,301	294,813	162,263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	198	\$ 2,852,661	361,804	172,381	60,631	16,124	15,409	536,066	47,799	۸	-	۸	-	-	-	-	٨
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52 53	Tehama Trinity*	78 22	\$ 23,501 \$ 68,120		13,075	-		-	27,627	-		-	-	-			-	-
53	Tulare*	153	\$ 68,120 \$ 1,034,459	- 77,329	- 79,495	64,007	- 3,386	16,756	27,627	^	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
55	Tuolomne*	20	\$ 162,004	22,623	2,018	^	^	^	26,140		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,943,200	377,942	217,908	122,381	٨	28,867	726,054	58,605	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 399,112	74,517	44,651	6,581	۸	۸	55,450	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52		۸	28,012	10,808	۸	5,736	38,027	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	statewide^^	21,344	\$ 205,518,919	28,175,680	26,785,043	3,978,251	251,330	1,554,104	24,200,616	4,815,141	10,440	148,706	54,566	۸	388	194	۸	1,258

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

#### Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017

Report Run on 4/25/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Therapeutic Behavioral Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	529	\$ 10,218,974	\$ 634,363	\$ 1,112,379	\$ 243,750	\$ 49,478	\$ 224,659	\$ 6,270,478	\$ 517,438	\$ 302,475	۸	٨	۸	۸	٨	٨	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ - :	Ŷ	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	31		\$ 99,408	\$ 58,098	^	^	^	\$ 18,518	\$ - ^	\$ - ^	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -
4	Butte* Calaveras*	207	\$ 1,412,158 \$ 383,001	\$ 323,556	\$ 137,746 \$ 21,241	\$ 14,811 \$ 32,116	\$ 12,656	\$ 144,546	\$ 725,084 \$ 66,838	A		\$ - \$ -	<u>&gt;</u>	Ŷ	Ŧ	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -
6	Colusa*^	52	<i>ç</i> 303,001		<i>y 21,241</i>	<i>y</i> 52,110			÷ 00,050		Ŷ	Ý		Ý	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ý	Ŷ
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,709,644	\$ 1,306,221	\$ 1,048,352	\$ 751,596	\$ 31,149	\$ 293,623	\$ 5,360,391	\$ 1,626,770	\$ 161,181	٨	۸	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
8	Del Norte*	23		\$ 284,095	\$ 13,213		^	۸	\$ 49,332	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	\$-	\$-
9	El Dorado*	52		\$ 214,987			\$ -	^	\$ 206,254	\$ -	Ŷ	\$ -	\$ -	Ŷ		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10 11	Fresno* Glenn*	677 48	\$ 7,914,044 \$ 234,143		\$ 15,640 \$ 57,885		\$ 23,142	\$ 367,753	\$ 4,493,800 \$ 95,285	\$ 1,035,012	\$ 275,558	\$ - \$ -	<u>۸</u>	Ŧ	Ŧ	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ 245,393 \$ -
11	Humboldt*	166	\$ 3,517,365	\$ 1,209,792	\$ 283,125		\$ 30,757	\$ 230.648	\$ 95,285	\$ - ^	\$	\$ -	<u>&gt;</u>	> - ^	\$ -		\$ -	> - ^
13	Imperial*	115					^ 00,707	\$ 177,957	\$ 410,066	\$-		\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	251			\$ 88,188					\$ 256,265	\$ 51,798		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	53			\$ 19,381		^	^	\$ 77,999	^	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	Ŷ	\$ -	Ŷ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -
17 18	Lake Lassen*^	41	\$ 149,542	\$ 59,639	\$ 89,903	Ş -	Ş -	Ş -	Ş -	Ş -	Ş -	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -	Ş -
19	Los Angeles*	6,121	\$ 62,940,538	\$ 28,956,336	\$ 23,768,372	\$ 108,623	\$ 127,776	\$ 1,035,260	\$ 7,860,214	\$ 853,201	Ś -	\$ 79,946	\$ 150,810	\$ -	Ś -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	178	\$ 511,556	\$ - :	\$ 62,909	\$ 126,962	Λ	\$ 15,764		\$ -		\$ -	1		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	\$ 120,728	\$ 382,351	\$ 69,934	۸	\$ 42,323	\$ 379,514	٨	۸	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
22	Mariposa*	31		^	\$ 11,276	^	^	۸	\$ 121,580	\$-	Ŧ	\$-	Ŷ	\$ -	Ŷ	\$ -	\$-	\$-
23	Mendocino	141		\$ 260,941		\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24 25	Merced* Modoc*^	178	\$ 1,162,213	\$ 413,978	\$ 146,301	\$ 43,155	^	\$ 7,968	\$ 489,919	Ş -	ş -	\$ -	ş -	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -	ş -	Ş -
25	Mono^																	
27	Monterey	337	\$ 1,698,911	\$ 747,870	\$ 951,041	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
28	Napa*	35		^	\$ 121,589	\$ 28,977	۸	\$ 35,175	\$ 203,432	٨	۸	\$-	٨	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
29	Nevada*	45		\$ 27,608	\$ 32,798	\$ 70,912	\$-	\$ 17,919	\$ 215,917	\$-	\$ -	\$ -			\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,244	\$ 6,349,925		\$ 736,963		\$ 179,670		, ,	\$ 537,821	^	\$ -		\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31 32	Placer* Plumas*^	146	\$ 754,765	\$ 314,206	\$ 256,861	\$ 10,965	Ş -	^	\$ 118,770	۸	ş -	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
33	Riverside*	2,320	\$ 8,769,366	\$ 2,157,315	\$ 1,729,037	\$ 831,253	\$ 21,905	\$ 736,613	\$ 2,286,373	\$ 971,016	٨	\$ -	٨	\$ -	Ś -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	582	\$ 4,525,819	\$ 782,336	\$ 717,647	\$ 696,205	\$ 10,053	\$ 347,157	\$ 1,951,032	× 571,010	\$ -	\$ -	\$-		ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^												•					
36	San Bernardino*	1,498	\$ 12,292,348		\$ 1,995,743		\$ 108,308	\$ 448,307	\$ 4,212,282	\$ 1,342,131	\$ 22,488	۸	۸	\$ -	۸	۸	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	1,797	\$ 14,981,691	\$ 2,263,476	\$ 5,011,816	\$ 66,402	\$ 25,090			\$ 856,182	\$ 110,281	\$ 3,267,341	^	\$ -	^	\$ 112,604	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	278	\$ 15,215,234		\$ 2,830,493		Ş -	\$ 20,898 \$ 191,964		\$ -	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -	<u>\$</u>	\$ - \$ -	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	Ş -
39 40	San Joaquin* San Luis Obispo*	537 277			\$ 380,275 \$ 740,883		\$ 28,089 \$ 7,474			\$ 66,768 \$ 85,017	Ŧ	\$ - \$ -	۸ ۸	Ŧ	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	<u>ş</u>
41	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,044,604		\$ 78,986	1	۸ (۱) ۸	\$ 152,471		\$ 149,047	^	۰ ۸	۸	Л	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	301	\$ 2,800,790	\$ 1,000,449	\$ 527,440		\$ 35,098	\$ 191,307		\$ 151,124	۸	\$ -	۸	\$-	^	\$-	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	833		\$ 5,339,577	\$ 3,138,529	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	81			\$ 189,418	^	\$ -	\$ -	۸ ۵٫۵۵ ۵۵۵	\$ -		\$ - \$ -	Ŷ	Ŷ		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45 46	Shasta* Sierra**	87	\$ 1,039,665 \$ -	\$ 83,297 : \$ -	\$ <u>275,973</u>	\$ 101,832 \$	Ś	\$ 47,071	\$ 378,325 \$	Ś	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -	ç.	\$ - \$ -	Ŧ	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	^ \$ -
40	Siskiyou*	29	7	τ	Ŧ	\$ 6,344	- ^	- ۲	\$ 67,393	\$ -	Ŧ	\$ -	Ŧ		Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	197					۸	\$ 64,339		^	^	^	۸			\$ -	\$ -	^
49	Sonoma	205	\$ 989,301	\$ 660,897	\$ 328,404	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	198	\$ 2,852,661		\$ 312,373			\$ 84,287		\$ 61,984	٨	\$ -	٨	Ŧ	Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	Ŷ	\$ - :		Ŷ	\$ -	Ŷ	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52 53	Tehama Tripity*			\$ - :		> -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ 64,166	\$ - ¢		\$ -				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity* Tulare*	153		\$ - 196,055	·	\$ 125,128	Ŷ	\$ 74.198		γ - Λ	Ŷ	\$ - \$ -				\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -
55	Tuolomne*	20				× 125,126	× 12,557	× 74,158	\$ 77,668	\$ -		\$ -			Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,943,200	\$ 939,239	\$ 416,734	\$ 256,890	۸	\$ 165,435		\$ 139,419		\$ -				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	76			\$ 80,284		۸	۸	\$ 145,329	^		\$ -				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	\$ 82,312	\$ 32,856	^	\$ 41,221		\$ -	1	\$ -	Ŧ		Ŧ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
S	tatewide^^	21,344	\$ 205,518,919	\$ 69,066,898	\$ 49,328,096	\$ 7,291,748	\$ 917,585	\$ 6,877,686	\$ 54,585,526	\$ 9,379,582	\$ 1,025,100	\$ 3,464,403	\$ 1,958,503	^	\$ 121,884	\$ 279,504	۸	\$ 1,093,158

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specially mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

#### Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 4/25/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Therapeutic Behavioral Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1 2	Alameda*	529	\$ 10,218,974	- 116	- 253	202	38	115	373	40	48	Λ	۸	۸	^	۸	۸	٨
3	Alpine Amador*	- 31	\$ 184,062	- 18	- 29	-	-	-	- 15	-	-		-		-	-		-
4	Butte*	207	\$ 1,412,158	60	119	41	13	50	142		^	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	Calaveras*	32	\$ 383,001	^	22	19	^	^	27		-	-	٨	-	-	-		-
6	Colusa*^																	
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,709,644	83	337	291	27	128	369		39	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	16	17	16	۸	۸	19		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	29	33	41		٨	50		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno* Glenn*	677 48	\$ 7,914,044 \$ 234,143	^ 28	88	573 15	33	298	622 29		- 88	-	۸	-	-	-		33
11 12	Humboldt*	48	\$ 234,143 \$ 3,517,365	57	45	87	28	85	146		- 16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Imperial*	100	\$ 5,517,505 \$ 797,998	72	128	17	۸ 20	86	140		-		-	-	-			
14	Inyo*^		+,															
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,086,475	121	153	116	26	119	231		20	-	-	-	-	-	-	٨
16	Kings*	53	\$ 257,379	20	37	35	۸	۸	38	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	41	\$ 149,542	13	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^																	
19	Los Angeles*	6,121 178	\$ 62,940,538 \$ 511,556	4,958	4,862	354 108	186	900 14	2,457 165		-	23	22	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera* Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	- 34	76	45	A	14	63		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
21	Mariposa*	31		^ 54	12	45	^	^ 10	30			-			-	-		
23	Mendocino	141		83	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	178	\$ 1,162,213	53	67	58	۸	20	170		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
25	Modoc*^																	
26	Mono <sup>^</sup>																	
27	Monterey	337	\$ 1,698,911	214	243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	35	\$ 492,110	^	17	18	۸	15	24		۸		۸	-	-	-		-
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	18	27	44	-	12	44		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,244 146	\$ 6,349,925 \$ 754,765	<u>384</u> 77	598 132	435 20	105	256	856		^	-	-	-	^	-		· · · ·
31 32	Placer* Plumas*^	146	\$ /54,/65	11	132	20	-	ň	32	Ň	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	2,320	\$ 8,769,366	710	1,642	489	32	559	1,300	100	٨	-	۸	-	-	-		
34	Sacramento*	582	\$ 4,525,819	282	453	395	32	246	444		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
35	San Benito*^																	
36	San Bernardino*	1,498	\$ 12,292,348	706	1,248	322	72	374	1,020	199	22	٨	٨	-	۸	۸	-	٨
37	San Diego*	1,797	\$ 14,981,691	685	1,512	125	51	416	693		60	241	۸	-	۸	20	-	۸
38	San Francisco*	278	\$ 15,215,234	231	235	36	-	12	63		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39 40	San Joaquin*	537	\$ 2,873,891	184	317 246	250	<u> </u>	118	357 126			-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo* San Mateo*	277 127	\$ 3,801,084 \$ 2,044,604	208 35	54	65 84	14	52 53	126			-	^	-	-	-		<u>^</u>
41	Santa Barbara*	301	\$ 2,800,790	119	226	102	32	65	103				A .		-			
42	Santa Clara*	833		705	826	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,003,700	79	56	٨	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	87	\$ 1,039,665	22	62	60	٨	39	70	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	٨
46	Sierra**	-	Ŧ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29		17	19	13	۸	۸	24		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	197	\$ 2,718,333	86	155	96	۸	37	154		۸	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	۸
49	Sonoma Staniclauc*	205	\$ 989,301	145 96	193 119	- 95	- 28	- 83	- 180	- 12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50 51	Stanislaus* Sutter***	198	\$ 2,852,661	- 96		95	- 28	83	- 180	12	~	-	~		-	-		
51	Tehama	- 78	\$ 23,501	-	- 78	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
53	Trinity*	22		-	-	٨	-	٨	21		-	-	-		-	-		
54	Tulare*	153	\$ 1,034,459	46	124	109	17	43	128		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolomne*	20	\$ 162,004	13	14	٨	٨	٨	20	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,943,200	91	236	202	۸	96	254		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 399,112	43	51	14	٨	۸	23		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	34	16	۸	13	26		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	tatewide^^	21,344	\$ 205,518,919	11,012	15,435	5,048	838	4,398	11,189	1,018	335	280	77	Λ	18	34	۸	58

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specially mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

### Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

### Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services

2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

### Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system

2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS

**3.** While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members

**4.** Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims

· These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community

• The claiming policy has been updated as follows:

"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."

5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals