Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017 Report run on 7/18/2018

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 21,363 (statewide) compared to 21,344 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 19 subclass members.
- ► Total approved amount to date is \$205,609,252 (statewide) compared to \$205,518,919 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of \$90,333.
- ► The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 26,868,564 (statewide) compared to 26,785,043 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 83,521 minutes.
- ► The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 28,219,510 (statewide) compared to 28,175,680 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 43,830 minutes.
- ► The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 15,443 (statewide) compared to 15,435 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 8 subclass members.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 7/18/2018

- ► The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 11,016 (statewide) compared to 11,012 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 4 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 49.

Definitions

- Approved Service Claims: The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services: The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*: The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members: The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- * Please see Page 72 of the MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: May 31, 2018

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017


Report run on 7/18/2018

7) Children that are not part of the Katie A. Subclass might be included in this report due to a small amount of queried SMHS claims for ICC and IHBS services that do not have a Katie A DPI. Per MHSUDS Information Notice # 16-004 dated February 5, 2016 – "... neither membership in the Katie A. class or subclass is a prerequisite to receiving medically necessary ICC and IHBS services, and therefore a child need not have an open child welfare services case to be considered for receipt of these services."

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 7/18/2018




¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"


Report Run on 7/18/2018



¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA" Report Run on 7/18/2018



¹Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 7/18/2018





Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month³



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 7/18/2018

# C	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	531	\$ 10,239,292	248,349	538,177	126,325	14,823	50,844	2,491,915	209,871	1,437	^	٨	٨	^	٨	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	31	\$ 184,062	50,421	30,240	۸	۸	۸	8,614	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	207		189,521	77,121	7,877	3,995	34,333	357,251	٨	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	33	\$ 387,944	۸	9,307	13,345	۸	۸	27,288	۸	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	422	40.704.705	102.512	644 740	107.500	0.426	62.720	2 000 025	070.070	4 702							
	Contra Costa*	432	· , ,	492,612	611,719	407,690	8,436	62,739	2,098,025	979,978	1,702	٨	٨	-	-	-	-	-
	Del Norte* El Dorado*	23 52		127,397 100,685	7,360 8,160	4,071 40,007	-	۸	22,209 97,346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-
10	Fresno*	678		Λ	7,804	697,188	5,121	70,677	1,740,507	521,460	3,897	_	^	-	_	_	-	295
11	Glenn*	48	. , ,	27,987	28,142	1,628	۸	۸ ۸	36,029	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
	Humboldt*	166	· ,	487,639	131,906	88,670	8,024	57,532	462,653	٨	316	-	۸	۸	-	٨	-	٨
13	Imperial*	115	\$ 797,998	51,729	2,258	1,716	۸	24,546	105,712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	251	. ,	76,975	38,129	38,778	8,724	37,299	405,842	104,171	566	-	-	-	-	-	-	۸
16	Kings*	53	·	17,297	12,749	7,209	۸	۸	33,481	٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	41	\$ 149,542	24,455	44,064	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 19 L	Lassen*^ Los Angeles*	6,123	\$ 63,027,417	13,054,856	13,831,814	64,260	56,634	275,585	4,073,652	389,946	_	4,200	4,968	_	_	_	_	
20	Madera*	178	, ,	13,034,830	33,391	65,109	۸	3,480	136,244	- 365,540		4,200	4,508	-	_	_	-	-
21	Marin*	94	. ,	39,226	95,012	31,637	۸	4,400	79,300	٨	٨	_	-	_	_	_	-	-
	Mariposa*	31	· , ,	۸	3,685	۸	۸	۸	31,679	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,423	111,805	155,412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	178	\$ 1,162,213	124,265	65,147	18,425	۸	1,533	147,126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^																	
26	Mono^		1															
	Monterey	338	· , ,	230,348	433,834	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa* Nevada*	35 45	,	10,557	31,529 16,265	6,233 35,111	-	5,754 3,746	48,111 84,572	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,246	<u>' </u>	262,486	374,255	194,073	34,312	100,127	1,144,039	231,968	^	_	-	-	^	_	-	-
31	Placer*	146		98,337	86,279	6,918	-	۸	48,618	۸	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
	Plumas*^		,		22,210	3,5 = 5			10,000									
33	Riverside*	2,323	\$ 8,781,762	1,519,278	1,262,066	389,229	6,521	146,958	1,260,849	572,703	۸	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
34 S	Sacramento*	582	\$ 4,524,117	661,717	832,316	865,602	4,051	153,627	1,781,030	٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	San Benito*^																	
	n Bernardino*	1,502	, ,	2,424,614	1,961,121	285,854	30,063	128,880	3,006,655	953,127	614	٨	۸	-	۸	۸	-	۸
	San Diego*	1,799		824,043	2,352,592	33,406	5,981	161,371	839,807	308,891	1,105	138,948	۸	-	٨	87	-	٨
	an Francisco* San Joaquin*	279 537	, ,	1,861,227 148,399	611,950 214,247	26,298 88,522	10,834	2,238 41,962	141,404 663,876	44,097	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
	in Luis Obispo*	277	· , ,	903,938	291,451	19,938	3,529	29,955	298,075	39,505	-	-	٨	-	_	_	-	^
	San Mateo*	127	. , ,	73,898	19,839	48,392	۸ ۸	20,206	193,958	52,726	^	٨	٨	٨	-	_	-	-
	anta Barbara*	301	· , ,	436,153	259,769	43,116	8,756	30,973	319,425	73,588	۸	-	٨	-	٨	-	-	-
	Santa Clara*	833	, ,	1,748,593	1,327,441	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44 (Santa Cruz*	81		252,205	53,380	۸	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	87	\$ 1,039,837	30,010	100,711	40,562	۸	14,078	148,017	٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	٨
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29	. ,		7,237	2,087	۸	۸ ۵ ۵ ۵ ۵	16,589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	197 205	. , ,	212,533	172,146	25,249	٨	8,865	344,449	۸	۸	Λ	X	-	Λ	-	-	Λ
50	Sonoma Stanislaus*	198	·	294,941 361,804	162,263 172,381	60,631	16,124	15,409	- 536,159	47,799		-	-	-	-	-	-	^
	Sutter***	-	. , ,	- 301,804	- 1/2,361	-	-	15,409	- 530,139	47,799	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	
52	Tehama	78	7	-	13,145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
53	Trinity*	22	·	-	-	٨	-	۸	27,627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	153		77,329	79,495	64,007	3,386	16,756	192,287	٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolomne*	20			2,018	٨	۸	۸	26,140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Ventura*	341	. , ,	377,942	217,908	122,381	۸	28,867	726,211	58,605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	76	·		44,651	6,581	۸	۸	55,450	٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sutter/Yuba*	52	• ,		28,012	10,808	^	5,736	38,027	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State	ewide^^	21,363	\$ 205,609,252	28,219,510	26,868,564	4,001,142	251,087	1,559,525	24,334,774	4,885,543	10,594	148,778	54,566	^	405	194	^	1,258

^{*} Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 7/18/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Therapeutic Behavioral Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hochital Innationt	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	531	\$ 10,239,292 \$	634,363 \$	1,112,379	\$ 245,012	\$ 49,478	\$ 225,906	\$ 6,287,914	\$ 517,438	\$ 302,475	٨	۸	۸	٨	۸	٨	٨
2	Alpine	-	\$ - \$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	31		99,408 \$	58,098	^ 44.044	۸	^ 144.546	\$ 18,518		\$ -	\$ -	<u>\$</u> -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Butte* Calaveras*	207 33	, ,	323,556 \$	137,746 22,051	•	\$ 12,656	\$ 144,546	\$ 725,084 \$ 68,819	^	¢ _	\$ -	<u>-</u> Λ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*^	33	307,344	Ų	22,031	7 32,110			7 00,013		7	7		<u> </u>				7
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,704,765 \$	1,302,830 \$	1,046,260	\$ 751,520	\$ 31,149	\$ 293,323	\$ 5,358,847	\$ 1,629,294	\$ 161,181	٨	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*	23			13,213	· ,	۸	۸	\$ 49,332	·	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	52	. ,	214,987 \$	17,394	•		٨	\$ 206,254	·	Т	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno* Glenn*	678 48	, , ,	^ Ş	15,640	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 23,142	\$ 367,753			\$ 275,558	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 245,393
12	Humboldt*	166			57,885 283,125		\$ 30,757	\$ 230,648	\$ 95,285 \$ 1,235,549	۸ -	\$ 24,992	\$ -	<u>γ -</u> Λ	γ -	\$ -	λ -	\$ -	γ -
13	Imperial*	115	. , , ,		6,877		۸ م	\$ 177,957		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	inyo*^				·	· ·				·								
15	Kern*	251			88,188		\$ 37,123	\$ 198,407		\$ 256,019	\$ 52,334	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	٨
16	Kings*	53			19,381		۸	۸	\$ 77,999	۸	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lake Lassen*^	41	\$ 149,542 \$	59,639 \$	89,903	<u> -</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	<u>-</u>	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	6,123	\$ 63,027,417 \$	28,982,861 \$	23,809,217	\$ 109,756	\$ 127,776	\$ 1,039,890	\$ 7,872,849	\$ 854,311	\$ -	\$ 79,946	\$ 150,810	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	178	. , ,	, , ,	62,909		٨	\$ 15,764				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	94	. , ,	120,728 \$	382,351	\$ 69,934	۸	\$ 42,323		٨	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	31		^ \$	11,276	۸	۸	۸	\$ 121,580	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino Merced*	141 178	. , .	260,941 \$ 413,978 \$	329,481 146,301	·	ς -	\$ 7,968	\$ 489.919	\$ -		\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^	178	۶ 1,102,213 Ş	413,376	140,301	3 43,133		7,308	7 463,313	, -	· -	· -	-	<u>, </u>	-	-		, -
26	Mono^																	
27	Monterey	338		748,248 \$	951,041		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	35	. ,	^ \$	121,589	·	۸	\$ 35,175		۸	۸	\$ -	٨	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Nevada* Orange*	45 1,246		, ,	32,798 732,036	·		\$ 17,919 \$ 457,679		•	ς -	\$ - ¢ _	<u> </u>	\$ - \$ -	ς -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -
31	Placer*	146	. , ,	314,206 \$	256,861			γ 437,079 Λ	\$ 3,380,273	λ 337,621	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^		Ţ,,, ec ļ	7		+	7		+ ====,		*	7	T	7	T	T	7	*
33	Riverside*	2,323	. , ,	2,157,315 \$	1,729,264	·	, ,			\$ 979,824	۸	\$ -	٨	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	582	\$ 4,524,117 \$	781,162 \$	717,351	\$ 696,205	\$ 10,053	\$ 347,157	\$ 1,950,800	۸	\$ -	\$ -	<u> -</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35 36	San Benito*^ San Bernardino*	1,502	\$ 12,286,491 \$	3,155,047 \$	1,995,908	\$ 537,938	\$ 106,633	\$ 448,212	\$ 4,209,216	\$ 1,341,799	\$ 22,488	۸	Λ	ė	۸	۸	ė	Λ
37	San Diego*	1,799			5,012,811		*					\$ 3,267,341	^	\$ -	٨	\$ 112,604	\$ -	٨
38	San Francisco*	279	. , ,		2,830,493			\$ 20,898			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	537	. , ,	, ,	380,275		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	\$ -	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	San Luis Obispo*	277	. , ,	, ,	739,454		\$ 7,474			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ -	\$ -	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	۸
41	San Mateo* Santa Barbara*	127 301	. , ,	167,184 \$ 1,000,799 \$	78,986 527,440		\$ 35,098	\$ 152,471 \$ 191,875			^	Ċ	Λ	Α	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$
43	Santa Barbara*	833	. , ,		3,140,269		\$ 33,098	\$ -	\$ 772,360	\$ 131,124	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	81	, , ,	814,282 \$	189,418	٨	\$ -	\$ -	٨	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	87	\$ 1,039,837 \$	83,297 \$	275,973	\$ 101,832	٨	\$ 47,071	\$ 378,497	۸	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	۸
46	Sierra**	-	\$ - \$	- \$	- 21.513	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou* Solano*	29 197			21,019 492,215		^	\$ 64,646	\$ 67,393 \$ 1,256,933	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - ^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	ς -
49	Sonoma	205	, , ,		328,404		\$ -	\$ 04,040	\$ 1,230,933	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	198	. ,	, ,	312,373		\$ 49,156	\$ 84,287	\$ 1,346,139	\$ 61,984	^	\$ -	٨	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***		\$ - \$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	78		- \$	23,501	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53 54	Trinity* Tulare*	22 153		- \$ 196,055 \$	154,199	\$ 125,128	\$ 12,597	\$ 74,198	\$ 64,166 \$ 470,586	\$ -	τ	\$ - \$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolomne*	20	, , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,765	۸ 1۷۵,1۷8	λ 12,597 Λ	Δ.	\$ 470,586	\$ -	ć	Y	<u>-</u> \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	341			416,734	\$ 256,890	۸	\$ 165,435									\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 399,112 \$		80,284	\$ 13,293	۸	۸	\$ 145,329	۸	\$ -		•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52		^ \$	82,312			\$ 41,221			\$ -		\$ -			\$ -		\$ -
St	atewide^^	21,363	\$ 205,609,252 \$	69,095,559 \$	49,364,135	\$ 7,285,152	\$ 908,584	\$ 6,883,342	\$ 54,601,845	\$ 9,398,468	\$ 1,025,095	\$ 3,464,403	\$ 1,958,503	۸	\$ 122,258	\$ 279,504	۸	\$ 1,093,158

^{*} Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017 Report Run on 7/18/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count		Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Therapeutic Behavioral Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	531 \$	10,239,292	116	253	203	38	117	375	40	48	۸	۸	٨	۸	۸	۸	٨
2	Alpine	- 9	- 104.003	- 18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>3</u>	Amador* Butte*	31 5	,	60	29 119	41	13	50	15 142	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	Calaveras*	33 \$, ,	۸	23	19	^	^	28	۸	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	_
6	Colusa*^		,															
7	Contra Costa*	432 \$, ,	83	337	293	27	128	370	98	39	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	23 \$,	16	17	16	۸	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	El Dorado* Fresno*	52 \$ 678 \$,	29	33 88	41 573	- 33	298	50 623	115	- 88	-	- ^	- -	-	-	-	- 22
11	Glenn*	48 5		28	45	15	۸	Λ	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-
12	Humboldt*	166	,	57	128	87	28	85	146	۸	16	-	٨	٨	-	۸	-	٨
13	Imperial*	115	797,998	72	19	17	۸	86	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	251 \$		121	153	116	27	119	231	67	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	٨
16 17	Kings* Lake	53 S 41 S	•	20	37 40	35	^ -	_	38	-	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	41 ,	149,342	13	40	-	-	_	-		_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_
19	Los Angeles*	6,123	63,027,417	4,960	4,864	354	186	902	2,459	87	-	23	22	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	178		-	31	108	۸	14	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	94 \$		34	76	45	۸	16	63	۸	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	31 \$		۸	12	۸	۸	۸	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	141 5	,	83 53	129 67	- 58	-	- 20	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Merced* Modoc*^	1/8 ;	5 1,102,213	53	67	58	^	20	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^																	
27	Monterey	338	1,699,289	215	243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	35 \$	·	۸	17	18	۸	15	24	۸	۸	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	45 \$,	18	27		-	12		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,246		384	597		103	256	858	81	۸	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
32	Placer* Plumas*^	146 \$	754,765	77	132	20	-	^	32	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	2,323	8,781,762	710	1,643	491	32	561	1,303	102	۸	_	٨	-	-	-	-	_
34	Sacramento*	582		282	453	395	32		444	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^																	
36	San Bernardino*	1,502		706	1,251	322	72		1,023	199	22	۸	۸	-	۸	۸	-	٨
37	San Diego*	1,799 \$		685	1,514	125	51	416	695	85	60	241	۸	-	۸	20	-	٨
38	San Francisco* San Joaquin*	279 Ş 537 Ş	, ,	231 184	235 317	36 250	34	12 118	64 357	18	-	-	^	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	277	, ,	208	246	65	14	52		12	_	-	٨	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	٨
41	San Mateo*	127	, ,	35	54	84	۸	53	103	13	۸	٨	۸	٨	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	301 \$		119	226	102	32	66	139	12	۸	-	٨	-	٨	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	833 \$		705	826	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81 \$		79	56	^	-	-	۸ 70	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45 46	Shasta* Sierra**	87 \$		22	62	60	-	39	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
47	Siskiyou*	29 5		17	19	13	٨	۸	24	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
48	Solano*	197	,	86	155	96	۸	39	154	۸	۸	۸	۸	-	٨	-	_	٨
49	Sonoma	205	988,865	145	193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	198	, ,	96	119	95	28	83	180	12	۸	-	۸	-	-	-	-	٨
51	Sutter***	- 5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama Trinity*	78 Ş 22 Ş		-		- ^	-	^	21	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	153	,	46	124	109	17	43	128	^	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolomne*	20 \$,	10			^	^	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	341		91	236	202	۸	96	254	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	76 \$	399,112	43	51	14	۸	۸	23	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52 \$,	۸	34	16	۸	13	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	statewide^^	21,363	205,609,252	11,016	15,443	5,052	837	4,407	11,207	1,022	335	280	77	^	19	34	۸	58

^{*} Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

- 1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
- 2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

- 1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
- 2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
- **3.** While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
- **4.** Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
- · These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
- · The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
- "ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
- **5.** Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals