1. Some aggressive prostate cancers produce only small amounts of PSA and therefore DRE’s should always be performed in addition to the PSA test. Prior to the blood draw, the physician should tell the patient that the physician is only looking for potentially lethal prostate cancer.

2. After obtaining an initial PSA for a patient, the physician should refer to guidelines that stratify the patient’s risk for life-threatening prostate cancer. Frequency of future PSA testing depends on that risk assessment. (www.mskcc.org/cancer-care/adult/prostate/screening-guidelines-prostate)

3. Having a father or brother with prostate cancer more than doubles a man’s risk of developing prostate cancer. The risk is greater for men with several affected relatives, especially young relatives. Men who eat a lot of red meat or dairy products seem to have a higher chance of developing prostate cancer. Other possible risk factors include obesity, prostatitis, STD’s, exposure to Agent Orange and lack of exercise.

4. To determine if a biopsy is warranted, asymptomatic patients with a high PSA and at least a 10-year life expectancy should have a repeat PSA. A free calculator (http://tinyurl.com/caprisk) can integrate PSA, age, family history, and other factors to generate risks of prostate cancer diagnosis and high-risk cancer diagnosis. Other tests used in some cases include free-versus-bound PSA and the PHI algorithm. (Journal of Urology Volume 185, Issue 5, Pages 1650-1655, May 2011)

5. Since the 1990s when PSA testing became widespread, there has been a >40% decline in prostate cancer mortality. (American Cancer Society). Most of this decline can be attributed to screening efforts and improvements in treatment for high-risk disease detected early through screening.

6. A large European randomized trial of screening vs. no screening (ERSPC) found a 21-29% reduction in prostate cancer mortality risk through PSA screening. (Schroder, NEJM 2012) A randomized trial in the U.S. (PLCO) found no benefit—but 79% of the men in the “usual care” arm of this study received at least one PSA test, so the trial authors concluded that the trial shows only that annual screening offers no clear benefit over ad hoc PSA testing associated with routine primary care. (Andriole, JNCI 2012) Thus the PLCO does not contradict the ERSPC, and there really should be no controversy about the fact that screening saves lives.

7. Risk of infection with a biopsy is minimized when the patient pre-medicates with antibiotics; and pain from a biopsy should be minimized with anesthetic compounds.

8. Most prostate cancers found today are low-risk and do not require treatment. Active Surveillance (AS) is an accepted alternative for low-risk, non-aggressive prostate cancer. Currently there are tools, including genomic and imaging tests, that help determine who is an appropriate candidate for AS. Overtreatment of low-risk disease does remain prevalent in the U.S., however, and patients should be referred to urologists who understand risk stratification of prostate cancer and who routinely offer the surveillance option to men with low-risk disease.

9. When cancer has progressed to the point that symptoms are present, the disease has usually spread and is no longer curable.

10. A man cannot begin to make any decision about his prostate health without knowing his PSA and keeping track of any changes. Focusing testing on men at highest risk of life-threatening disease helps balance the potential benefits and harms of screening. 

PSA testing is currently a man’s best defense against dying of potentially lethal prostate cancer and against developing metastatic prostate cancer. Individuals have a fundamental right to choose whether or not they want to know if they have prostate cancer, prior to becoming symptomatic.