

Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Performance Review Report
Siskiyou County Program Review
September 27, 2022

FINDINGS

Finding #1: Siskiyou County's adopted Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-23 Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan (Plan) and FY 2021-22 Annual Update (Update) did not contain a budget summary for each fiscal year, including the total budgeted for each funding category of Community Services Support (CSS), Prevention Early Intervention (PEI), Innovation (INN), Workforce Education and Training (WET), Capital Facilities (CF), and Technological Needs (TN). (California Code of Regulations, title 9, § 3650(a)(6)(c)).

Recommendation #1: The County must include a budget summary for each fiscal year, including the total budgeted for each funding category of CSS, PEI, INN, WET, CF, and TN in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter

Finding #2: Siskiyou County did not report the cost per person for PEI and INN services/programs in the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan and FY 2021-22 Update; however, the County did report the cost per person for CSS. (Welfare and Institution Code (W&I Code) § 5847(e); Mental Health Services Oversight & Accountability Commission (MHSOAC) FY 2015-2016 MHSA Annual Update Instructions (pgs. 4-5)).

Recommendation #2: The County must report the cost per person for CSS, PEI, and INN services/programs in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #3: Siskiyou County did not include a narrative description of the training provided to participants in the Community Program Planning Process (CPPP) in the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan and FY 2021-22 Update. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3300(c); MHSOAC FY 2015-2016 MHSA Annual Update Instructions (pg. 3); MHSOAC FY 2014-2015 Through FY 2016-2017 MHSA Plan Instructions (pg. 3)).

Recommendation #3: The County must include a description of the training provided to participants in the CPPP in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #4: Siskiyou County did not include a description of how stakeholder involvement demonstrates a partnership with constituents and stakeholders throughout the process that includes meaningful stakeholder involvement on: mental health policy, program planning and implementation, monitoring, quality improvement, evaluation, and budget allocations in the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan and FY 2021-22 Update. (W&I Code § 5848(a); MHSOAC FY 2015-2016 MHSA Annual Update Instructions (pg. 2); MHSOAC FY 2014-2015 Through FY 2016-2017 MHSA Plan Instructions (pg. 3)).

Recommendation #4: The County must include a description of how stakeholder involvement demonstrates a partnership with constituents and stakeholders throughout the process that includes meaningful stakeholder involvement on mental health policy,

Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Performance Review Report
Siskiyou County Program Review
September 27, 2022

program planning and implementation, monitoring, quality improvement, evaluation, and budget allocations in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #5: Siskiyou County's FY 2020-23 Plan and FY 2021-22 Update did not include documentation that the Board of Supervisors adopted the Plan and Update and the date of the adoption. (W&I Code § 5847(a); MHSOAC FY 2015-2016 MHSA Annual Update Instructions (pg. 6); MHSOAC FY 2014-2015 Through FY 2016-2017 MHSA Plan Instructions (pg. 5)).

Recommendation #5: The County must include documentation that the Board of Supervisors adopted the Plan and Update and included the date of the adoption in each subsequent Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #6: Siskiyou County did not summarize the recommended revisions received during the 30-day public comment period in the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan or FY 2021-22 Update. (W&I Code § 5848(b); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3315(a)(3); MHSOAC FY 2015-2016 MHSA Annual Update Instructions (pg 3)).

Recommendation #6: The County must summarize the recommended revisions received during the 30-day public comment period in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #7: Siskiyou County did not analyze the recommended revisions received during the 30-day public comment period in the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan and FY 2021-22. (W&I Code § 5848(b); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3315(a)(3); MHSOAC FY 2015-2016 MHSA Plan Instructions (pg 3)).

Recommendation #7: The County must give a description of the analysis from the recommended revisions received during the 30-day public comment period in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #8: Siskiyou County did not include a description of the substantive changes made to the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan and FY 2021-22 Update that was circulated for review. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3315(a)(4); MHSOAC FY 2015-2016 MHSA Plan Instructions (pg. 4)).

Recommendation #8: The County must include a description of any substantive changes made to each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter that was circulated for review. If no changes made, identify no changes made in the Plan or Update.

Finding #9: Siskiyou County did not include a narrative analysis of the mental health needs of unserved, underserved/inappropriately served, and fully served county

Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Performance Review Report
Siskiyou County Program Review
September 27, 2022

residents who qualify for MHSA services in the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3650(a)).

Recommendation #9: The County must include a narrative analysis of the mental health needs of unserved, underserved/inappropriately served, and fully served county residents who qualify for MHSA services in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #10: Siskiyou County did not include an assessment of the County's capacity to implement mental health programs and services in the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3650(a)(5)).

Recommendation #10: The County must include an assessment of its capacity to implement mental health programs and services in each subsequent adopted Plan hereafter. The assessment must include:

- a. The strengths and limitations of the county and service providers that impact their ability to meet the needs of racially and ethnically diverse populations. The evaluation should include an assessment of bilingual proficiency in threshold languages.
- b. Percentages of diverse cultural, racial/ethnic and linguistic groups represented among direct service providers, as compared to percentage of the total population needing services and the total population being served.
- c. Identification of possible barriers to implementing the proposed programs/services and methods of addressing these barriers.

Finding #11: Siskiyou County did not provide an estimate of the number of clients, in each age group, to be served in the Full-Service Partnership (FSP) category for each fiscal year of the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan and FY 2021-22 Update. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3650(a)(3)).

Recommendation #11: The County must provide an estimate of the number of clients, in each age group, to be served in the FSP service category for each fiscal year of the Plan and Update in each subsequent adopted Plan and Update hereafter.

Finding #12: Siskiyou County did not include the Annual PEI Report as a part of the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan or FY 2021-22 Update. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3560.010)

Recommendation #12: The County must include the Annual PEI Report as part of each subsequent adopted Plan or Update hereafter. The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) will accept the Annual PEI Report submitted to MHSOAC, as an addendum or attachment, as being a part of the Plan or Update; if it is clearly labeled and the location

Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Performance Review Report
Siskiyou County Program Review
September 27, 2022

of the report is identified. The Annual PEI Report is not in lieu of Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3755 (Prevention and Early Intervention Component of the Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan and Annual Update).

Finding #13: Siskiyou County did not include the Three-Year PEI Evaluation Report as part of the adopted FY 2020-23 Plan or FY 2021-20 Update. (Cal. Code Regs., tit .9, § 3560.020).

Recommendation #13: The County must include the Three-Year PEI Evaluation Report as part of each subsequent adopted Plan or Update hereafter. DHCS will accept the Three-Year PEI Evaluation Report submitted to MHSOAC, as an addendum or attachment, as being a part of the Plan or Update; if it is clearly labeled and the location of the report is identified. The Three-Year PEI Evaluation Report is not in lieu of Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3755 (Prevention and Early Intervention Component of the Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan and Annual Update).