



# CALAIM INCENTIVE PAYMENT PROGRAM (IPP)

Payment 2 Progress Report (*Updated Spring 2023*)

Submissions 2-A and 2-B

## Contents

Cover Sheet.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Evaluation Criteria .....	4
Instructions .....	7
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 1: Delivery System Infrastructure .....	9
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 2: ECM Provider Capacity Building .....	16
Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 3: Community Supports Provider Capacity Building & Take-Up .....	30
Submission 2-B Measures .....	37

# Cover Sheet

*Response Required to this Section*

This document outlines instructions for completing the Payment 2 Progress Report submissions.

When submitting Payment 2 responses, managed care plans (MCPs) should include: (1) the MCP name; and (2) the county to which this Gap Assessment Progress Report applies in the header of their submission (header should repeat across all pages except Page 1). MCPs should also include a Cover Sheet with tables as shown below.

MCPs that operate in multiple counties will need to submit a separate Progress Report for each county in which they operate.

1. Details of Progress Report	
<b>MCP Name</b>	Santa Clara Family Health Plan
<b>MCP County</b>	Santa Clara
<b>Is County a Former Whole Person Care (WPC) Pilots or Health Homes Program (HHP) County?</b>	Yes; former WPC and HHP county
<b>Program Year (PY) / Calendar Year (CY)</b>	Program Year 1 / Calendar Year 2022 Payment 2 (Submission 2-A and Submission 2-B)
<b>Reporting Periods</b>	Submission 2-A: January 1, 2022 – June 30, 2022 Submission 2-B: July 1, 2022 – December 31, 2022

2. Primary Point of Contact for This Gap Assessment Progress Report	
<b>First and Last Name</b>	
<b>Title/Position</b>	
<b>Phone</b>	
<b>Email</b>	

*End of Section*

## Introduction

The CalAIM Incentive Payment Program (IPP) is intended to support the implementation and expansion of Enhanced Care Management (ECM) and Community Supports by incentivizing MCPs, in accordance with 42 CFR Section 438.6(b), to drive MCP delivery system investment in provider capacity and delivery system infrastructure; bridge current silos across physical and behavioral health care service delivery; reduce health disparities and promote health equity; achieve improvements in quality performance; and encourage take-up of Community Supports.

### IPP Payment 1

To qualify for Payment 1 of the IPP, MCPs submitted the Needs Assessment and the Gap-Filling Plan in January 2022. The Needs Assessment was intended to provide a “point in time” understanding of ECM and Community Supports infrastructure and provider capacity prior to launch. The Gap-Filling Plan—which MCPs were to develop in conjunction with local partners—outlines MCPs’ approaches to addressing gaps identified in the Needs Assessment.

DHCS issued Payment 1 to MCPs in April 2022 on an interim basis, based on DHCS’ review and acceptance of Submission 1. MCPs must demonstrate progress on activities outlined in their Gap-Filling Plan to fully earn Payment 1. MCPs were evaluated based on the set of measures they submitted as part of their Needs Assessment and Gap-Filling Plan to determine acceptance of Submission 1. Points for each measure were either credited in full, or not credited, unless the measure is noted as having a tiered evaluation approach. For the measures that are evaluated using a tiered approach, MCPs received the specified number of points within each tier for having completed the activity associated with each tier, as detailed in the Measure Set and Reporting Template. All other measures do not use a tiered approach and MCPs received either full or no credit for the measures. For counties where ECM and Community Supports have gone live as of June 30, 2022, Payment 2 measures that determine whether Payment 1 is fully earned are outlined in the Progress Report.<sup>1</sup> Please refer to the IPP [All Plan Letter](#) (APL) and IPP [FAQ](#) for more information.

---

<sup>1</sup> Applies only to counties where ECM and Community Supports have gone live as of June 30, 2022. For counties where ECM and Community Supports will not have gone live until July 1, 2022, performance improvement must be shown during the July – December 2022 reporting period.

## IPP Payment 2

For Payment 2 and beyond, MCPs will submit Progress Reports to demonstrate their progress against the Gap-Filling Plans that were developed for Payment 1 submissions. All measures in the Progress Reports build on the requirements contained in the Needs Assessment, Gap-Filling Plan, and associated APL and are referenced, where appropriate, throughout. Before beginning work on the Progress Reports, MCPs should review these documents to ensure complete and robust responses.

*End of Section*

## Evaluation Criteria

### Measure Criteria

Payment to MCPs is based on the successful completion of reporting and performance against measures in the Progress Report. The Progress Report materials indicate performance targets and point allocations for each measure. MCPs may earn no, partial, or all points on measures, as indicated.

Each measure in the Progress Report is assigned to one of the following Program Priority Areas, with a fourth Quality Program Priority Area that is allocated between Priority Areas 2-3:

1. Delivery System Infrastructure;
2. ECM Provider Capacity Building; and
3. Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up

### Points Structure

MCPs can earn a maximum of 1,000 points for Submission 2-A. If an MCP achieves only a subset of these points, it will earn a partial payment. A total of 700 points is assigned between the mandatory and optional<sup>2</sup> measures in Program Priority Areas 1-3. MCPs may allocate the remaining 300 points across Program Priority Areas 1-3; points from this discretionary allocation are earned proportionately based on performance.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> MCPs are required to report on a minimum number of optional measures.

<sup>3</sup> For example, if an MCP allocates 100 points to Priority Area 1 and earns 90% of the Priority Area 1 points, it will earn 90 of those 100 discretionary points.

(Added Spring 2023) MCPs will earn Payment 2 based on their total points achieved across Submission 2-A and Submission 2-B. MCPs can earn a maximum of 120 points for Submission 2-B. If an MCP achieves only a subset of these points, it will earn a partial payment. A total of 120 points is assigned to mandatory measures in Program Priority Areas 1-3.

**MCPs must indicate their discretionary allocation distribution in their submission response for Submission 2-A (does not need to be in table format). Allocations for this submission do not need to align with allocation ratios in other IPP submissions.**

Priority Area	Mandatory Measures	Optional Quality Measures (Priority Area #4)	Discretionary Allocations
<b>1. Delivery System Infrastructure</b>	Up to <b>200</b> points	<i>None</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>2. Enhanced Care Management (ECM) Provider Capacity Building</b>	Up to <b>170</b> points	Up to <b>30</b> points	<i>200</i>
<b>3. Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up</b>	Up to <b>250</b> points	Up to <b>50</b> points	<i>100</i>
<b>Category Totals</b>	Up to <b>620</b> points	Up to <b>80</b> points	Up to <b>300</b> points
<b>TOTAL</b>	Up to <b>1,000</b> points		

DHCS may, at its sole discretion, consider granting exceptions in limited cases where the MCP makes a compelling request to DHCS to allocate more than 30% to the MCP's selected Program Priority Area (i.e., by allocating dollars from another priority area). MCPs requesting to allocate more than 300 points must respond to the following prompt; otherwise, leave blank:

**(OPTIONAL) Describe preferred allocation methodology, including how many points would be allocated to each measure (where different from above), and reason for requesting an allocation different from that above. (100 word limit)**

*End of Section*

## Instructions

MCPs must submit the Submission 2-A Gap-Filling Progress Report to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) by **Thursday, September 1, 2022**.

Please reach out to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) if you have any questions. (Added Spring 2023) MCPs must submit the Submission 2-B Progress Report to [CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:CalAIMECMILOS@dhcs.ca.gov) by March 15, 2023 for the measurement period beginning July 1, 2022 and ending December 31, 2022.

## Progress Report Format

The Progress Report consists of two documents: the Narrative Report (as outlined in this Word document) and an accompanying Quantitative Reporting Template (Excel document). The Narrative Report includes Appendices A-B, which contain additional information, instructions, and references that are applicable to the Submission 2-A Progress Report.

For Submission 2-A, MCPs are required to submit responses to the measures noted as mandatory and for a minimum number of optional<sup>4</sup> measures. **MCPs are permitted and encouraged to work closely with providers and other local partners on these measures and any ongoing strategic planning.**

Sections that require MCPs to submit a response are indicated under each header in this document with the phrase *"Response Required to This Section."* No response is required from MCPs to any other sections.

For Submission 2-B, all measures are mandatory and MCPs are required to submit responses to all measures.

## Narrative Responses

In response to the narrative measure prompts, MCPs should describe activities conducted during the measurement period of January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 for Submission 2-A, and during the measurement period of July 1, 2022 through December

---

<sup>4</sup> Refer to Appendix B for more information on responding to mandatory and optional measures.

31, 2022 for Submission 2-B (regardless of when the Gap-Filling Plan was submitted to and finalized with DHCS).

For several measures, attachments and supplemental information are required (e.g., meeting agendas). MCPs should include these attachments via email submissions.

For several measures, there are multipart narrative prompts within the measure. MCPs are required to respond to all parts of the question for their response to be considered complete.

For several measures, there are alternative narrative prompts within the measure to account for variances among counties (e.g., counties with and without recognized Tribes). MCPs must respond only to the question that is applicable to the county for which the Progress Report is being completed.

## Quantitative Responses

MCPs must submit responses for quantitative measures within the accompanying Quantitative Reporting Template (Excel document). MCPs should read the Instructions tab, follow the prompts in the reporting template, and enter responses accordingly.

For several measures, MCPs may need to use publicly available data sources and complete their own calculations to respond to some measure prompts. Examples of data sources that may be helpful in MCP responses include:

Source	Description	Link
California Department of Finance	Demographic data by county	<a href="https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/">https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/</a>
California Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency	Homeless Data Integration System (HDIS), which provides data on homelessness by county	<a href="https://bcsh.ca.gov/calich/hdis.html">https://bcsh.ca.gov/calich/hdis.html</a>

*End of Section*

# Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 1: Delivery System Infrastructure

*Response Required to This Section*

## 2.1.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points Total  
20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response*

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with HIE capabilities to electronically store, manage, and securely exchange health information and other clinical documents with other care team members (i.e., with other providers outside of the ECM's practice, clinic or care setting). (100 word limit)

SCFHP provided funding to contracted providers that enabled them to complete the following activities:

- Hire a consultant to update their care plan system,
- Implement a data exchange feature within an existing EHR system (for bi-directional data sharing of care plans and clinical information),
- Implement a new care plan management system that was not previously in existence, and
- Start a database build to allow the import and export of data into and out of an EHR system.

SCFHP is developing a member profile platform for ECM/Community Supports providers to have access to member demographics, SDOH needs, ECM/Community Supports enrollment details, PCP assignment, recent hospital/ED visits, diagnoses, medications, and lab data.

## 2.1.2 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points Total  
20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response*

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. (100 word limit)

SCFHP provided funding to contracted providers that enabled them to upgrade their care plan management or EHR systems to generate a care plan and manage it. Providers completed the following activities:

- Build out a new module for an ECM-specific care plan,
- Update current EHR system with the required fields for ECM, and
- Began implementing a new care plan management system and migrated client data into it.

SCFHP is providing additional funding in the second half of 2022 that will support the one remaining ECM provider with being able to generate and manage a care plan for assigned members.

### 2.1.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points Total  
20 Points for the Quantitative Response  
20 Points for the Narrative Response*

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

#### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with the information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS. (100 word limit)

SCFHP provided funding to several providers who did not have the capability to submit claims or invoices to SCFHP. With this funding they completed the following activities:

- Configured their current claims systems with the ECM/Community Supports billing codes and modifiers,
- Established a claims file format and configuration for uploading claims files to a clearing house, and
- Implemented a new billing system that was not in place prior to the launch of ECM.

By 6/30/2022, only a single provider did not have the capability to submit an invoice file to SCFHP. They were successful in doing so in August 2022.

### 2.1.4 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number and percentage point increase in contracted Community Supports providers for those Community Supports offered by the MCP starting January 1, 2022, or July 1, 2022, with access to closed-loop referral systems.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.1.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number and percentage point increase in behavioral health providers with contracts in place to provide ECM that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.1.6 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe progress against Gap-Filling Plan regarding identification of underserved populations and the ECM providers to which they are assigned. Response should provide detail regarding which populations are underserved, the methodology used to identify them, the approach to assigning these members to an ECM provider, and any other relevant information regarding MCP activities completed and investments made. (100 word limit)

SCFHP experiences challenges with identifying members who are homeless/at risk of becoming homeless. SCFHP's HRA contains questions related to housing status. SCFHP utilizes this data to pre-identify members who meet the eligibility criteria for the ECM Homeless POF, authorizes them and assigns them to an appropriate ECM provider to conduct outreach. During the engagement phase, assigned ECM providers collect more details on the members' housing status as part of their initial assessment. In addition, SCFHP is funding a local CBO who is developing a stakeholder collaborative group to explore strategies to better engage members in ECM.

### **2.1.7 Measure Description**

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to enhance and develop needed ECM and Community Supports infrastructure and, if applicable, leverage existing Whole Person Care (WPC) capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support infrastructure building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for ECM and Community Supports. (100 word limit)

SCFHP and Anthem met bi-weekly to discuss and address challenges with ECM/Community Supports providers, align IPP funding requests for infrastructure gaps to jointly support, and discuss options for building a stronger provider network for Community Supports launching after the reporting period (e.g., Housing Tenancy, Recuperative Care, Sobering Center).

Our county's WPC LE experienced (and still experiences) operational challenges with leveraging its existing infrastructure to meet the service and billing requirements for which Anthem and SCFHP are still working collaboratively to resolve. SCFHP is exploring the CHW benefit as being a complementary addition to ECM to expand its reach.

### 2.1.8 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe any progress to build physical plant (e.g., sobering centers) or other physical infrastructure to support the launch and continued growth of ECM and Community Supports benefits. This response should provide detail regarding how the MCP has contributed to this infrastructure building, including but not limited to: financial contributions, participation in planning committees, partnerships with other MCPs and other community entities engaged in infrastructure building, etc. Please exclude technology-related infrastructure (e.g., IT systems) from this response. (100 word limit)

Both MCPs determined that our county's Medical Respite facility could not provide services to members needing ADLs/ IADLs support. Both plans contracted with our county for services with this limitation. We are working collaboratively on identifying other providers in other counties that would either expand their services to Santa Clara County or establish a different model of delivering services to eliminate this limitation (e.g., FQHC, alternative staffing structure, transportation to a facility in a neighboring county). It is still unknown whether a physical structure needs to be built, but it may still be an option in the future.

### 2.1.9 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the Delivery System Infrastructure portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with other local partners within the county. Response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

SCFHP collaborated with 11 of its 17 contracted ECM/Community Supports providers to build the Delivery System Infrastructure portion of its Gap-Filling Plan. SCFHP held a town hall for providers and individual meetings with each provider to assist them with identifying infrastructure gaps/limitations. SCFHP developed an initial application and a RFI that providers completed and conducted follow-up meetings with providers to discuss strategies to address gaps and inform the Gap-Filling Plan. SCFHP and Anthem participated in bi-weekly meetings to review gaps and proposed solutions for our joint providers. See the attached application, RFI, and meeting agendas/notes.

*End of Section*

# Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 2: ECM Provider Capacity Building

*Response Required to This Section*

## 2.2.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted ECM care team full time employees (FTEs).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.2 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Reporting on racial and ethnic demographics of ECM care team FTEs for each Program Year 1 Populations of Focus relative to the racial and ethnic demographics of the beneficiaries in the Program Year 1 Populations of Focus.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of Members receiving ECM.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.4 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of Members across Program Year 1 Populations of Focus receiving ECM. Break out of Members across Program Year 1 Populations of Focus receiving ECM by race, ethnicity, and primary language.

ECM Populations of Focus for Year 1 / Calendar Year 2022 include:

- Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness
- High Utilizer Adults
- Adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) / Substance Use Disorder (SUD)
- Adults and Children/Youth Transitioning from Incarceration (WPC Pilot counties only)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
40 Points*

### Narrative Response Only

Narrative responses should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit per question below)

1. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to increase ECM provider capacity and MCP oversight capacity.
2. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to address ECM workforce, training, TA needs in county, including specific cultural competency needs by county.
3. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to support ECM provider workforce recruiting and hiring of necessary staff to build capacity.

4. List the MCP training and TA programs that have been provided to ECM providers, including details on when and where the trainings were held, how many people attended, and any organizations involved in the planning of training efforts. *NOTE: MCPs may submit relevant meeting minutes and attendee lists, training materials, and other attachments in lieu of a narrative response to Sub-Part 4.*

SCFHP achieved the following:

1. ECM Provider/MCP Oversight Capacity: Provided initial funding for hiring staff to 12 out of 17 providers to expand their capacity to serve more eligible members, provided bi-weekly and monthly operational trainings/TA
2. Workforce, Training, TA: Funded staffing for 12 of the 17 providers, the development of training materials, and third party trainings, including addressing cultural competency needs in our county
3. Workforce Recruiting/Hiring: Funded the development of job descriptions, posting fees, and hiring incentives for 10 of the 17 providers
4. MCP Trainings/TA: Provided trainings on referral and authorization process (1/27/2022 by Zoom, multiple representatives from all 18 contracted ECM providers attended), monthly reporting and data requirements (2/18/2022 by Zoom, multiple representatives from all 18 contracted ECM providers attended), referral process refresher (2/25/2022 by Zoom, multiple representatives from all 18 contracted ECM providers attended), best practices for serving LTC members (3/7/2022 by Zoom, all contracted ECM providers who are serving LTC members), and claims submission (4/6/2022 by Zoom, multiple billing representatives from 19 contracted ECM providers). SCFHP developed the training materials and hosted the trainings without collaboration with external organizations.

## 2.2.6 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

## Narrative Response & Materials Submission

Narrative responses should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners to achieve the below activities. Note that the strategic partnership requirements may be fulfilled through active and meaningful participation in the PATH Collaborative Planning Initiative—MCPs leveraging this must include details regarding any memoranda of understanding (MOUs), activities, and financial investments to support the PATH Collaborative Planning Initiative. (100 word limit per question below)

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding the establishment and maintenance of strategic partnerships with MCPs in the county and other organizations (*see narrative measure 1.2.6, sub-question 2*).
2. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding addressing health disparities through strategic partnerships (*see narrative measure 1.2.6, sub-question 3*).

### **AND**

Submission of (1) MOUs or other collaborative agreements and (2) quarterly meeting agendas and notes (including a list of which organizations attended).

SCFHP and Anthem collaborated on aligning operational processes when appropriate, providing funding to support provider capacity building, discussing joint provider training/support, and expanding the network to reach less engaged communities. SCFHP is providing funding to a local CBO with reach throughout the county to convene a stakeholder group to identify and address health disparities. SCFHP established a data sharing agreement with county behavioral health to identify members with SMI/SUD. In addition, SCFHP presented an overview of ECM, the populations it serves, and the referral process during key meetings with its contracted provider groups to increase PCP referrals to ECM. See the attached agenda/meeting notes.

## 2.2.7 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

## **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

MCPs must complete a narrative response for either of the prompt options below (and associated sub-components), as applicable. MCPs must also submit the required documents, as described below. (100 word limit)

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding collaborative work with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county to develop and support the provision of ECM services for members of Tribes in the county (*see narrative measure 1.2.7, sub-questions 2-3*). This response should include details on (1) concrete actions taken and investments made to demonstrate progress, (2) what issues have been identified in meetings facilitated to date, and (3) upcoming plans for meetings and other activities:
  - a. Working with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county on provider capacity.
  - b. Providing ECM services for members of Tribes in the county.

### **OR**

1. For MCPs operating in counties *without* recognized Tribes, describe the approach taken to supporting culturally competent ECM services for members who receive Tribal services, including efforts to contract with Tribal providers in surrounding counties.

### **AND**

Submission of MOUs or other collaborative agreements and associated agendas and/or meeting notes.

In Santa Clara County, there are not any Indian Health Facilities that serve our AI/AN members. SCFHP is funding Indian Health Center of Santa Clara Valley (IHC), the largest urban Indian health service provider in our county, to develop a landscape of the Tribes, Tribal providers, and members who use Tribal services; develop an appropriate outreach strategy plan, and develop social media and other communication campaigns to engage AI/AN members in ECM. Please see the attached *SCFHP-IPP Payment 2 Measure 2.2.7-IHC Tribal Engagement SOW* for the activities that IHC will be completing by 12/31/2022.

## 2.2.8 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to support ECM capacity expansion and, if applicable, leverage existing Whole Person Care (WPC) capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support ECM capacity building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue capacity and infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for ECM. (100 word limit)

Our WPC LE experienced operational challenges that prevented them with being able to meet the ECM service requirements. They could not adhere to SCFHP's referral process nor submit claims for rendered outreach and ECM services. To resolve, SCFHP developed and implemented a joint bulk referral file and invoice file for billing that meets the requirements for converting to encounters. SCFHP is providing funding to enable our county to configure their EHR billing system to submit claims. SCFHP is exploring the CHW benefit as being a complementary addition to ECM and intends to involve all ECM providers in that process.

## 2.2.9 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

## Quantitative Response

Baseline data for individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately<sup>5</sup> experiencing homelessness and who meet the Population of Focus definition: “people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions.”

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## Narrative Response

Describe the steps taken to reach individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness and who meet the Population of Focus definition: “people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions.” Response should include details on what barriers have been identified in reaching these populations as well as concrete steps taken/investments made to address these barriers, including partnerships with local partners. (100 word limit)

SCFHP experiences challenges with identifying members who are homeless or at risk of homelessness independent of a couple of questions on the HRA. SCFHP is funding a local CBO to focus on this population with a particular focus on the Black/African American, Hispanic/Latinx, and other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness. The CBO created a stakeholder collaborative that includes FQHCs, ECM/Community Supports providers, CBOs, and county departments to define the barriers to serving this population, document current outreach strategies and their success, explore needed resources for new engagement strategies, and develop an implementation plan.

---

<sup>5</sup> MCPs must determine which racial/ethnic groups disproportionately experience homelessness in the county, not just identify which racial/ethnic groups have the highest counts of homelessness. This will require some basic calculations using publicly available data.

## 2.2.10 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response

Baseline data for individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who disproportionately<sup>6</sup> meet the Population of Focus definition (“individuals transitioning from incarceration who have significant complex physical or behavioral health needs requiring immediate transition of services to the community”) and who have been successfully outreached to and engaged by an ECM provider.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the steps taken to reach individuals who are Black/African American or from other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing transitions from incarceration settings and meet the Population of Focus definition: “individuals transitioning from incarceration who have significant complex physical or behavioral health needs requiring immediate transition of services to the community.” Response should include details on what barriers have been identified in reaching these populations and concrete steps taken/investments made to address these barriers, including partnerships with other community entities. (100 word limit)

## 2.2.11 Measure Description

*Mandatory*

*10 Points*

### Quantitative Response Only

---

<sup>6</sup> MCPs must determine which racial/ethnic groups disproportionately experience homelessness in the county, not just identify which racial/ethnic groups have the highest incarceration figures. This may require basic calculations using publicly available data or data obtained from county partners.

Number of contracted behavioral health full-time employees (FTEs)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.12 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Has the MCP hired a full-time Health Equity Officer by July 1, 2022, who has the necessary qualifications or training at the time of hire or within 1 year of hire to meet the requirements of the position, as outlined in the MCP Procurement?

Reply "YES" with the date of hire if this measure has been met.

### **OR**

If this measure has not been met, reply "NO" with a description of concrete actions the MCP is taking to hire a full-time Health Equity Officer, including at a minimum (a) date when the job was posted, (b) how many candidates have been interviewed, and (c) how many job offers have been made to date. (100 word limit)

YES. An internal candidate has been performing these functions. Her title is changing to Chief Health Equity and Strategy Officer.

## 2.2.13 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Plan 30-Day Readmissions (PCR)

For beneficiaries who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and between ages 18-64, the number of acute inpatient stays during the reporting period that were followed by an unplanned acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days and the predicted probability of an acute readmission. Data is reported in the following categories:

- Count of Observed 30-Day Readmissions
- Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.14 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Ambulatory Care—Emergency Department Visits (AMB)

Rate of emergency department (ED) visits per 1,000 beneficiary months for beneficiaries who are in the ECM Populations of Focus.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.15 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Depression Screening and Follow-Up for Adolescents and Adults (DSF)

The percentage of beneficiaries 12 years of age and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and who were screened for clinical depression using a standardized tool and, if screened positive, who received follow-up care.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.16 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Utilization of the PHQ-9 to Monitor Depression Symptoms for Adolescents and Adults (DMS)

The percentage of members 12 years of age and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus with a diagnosis of depression and who had an outpatient encounter with a PHQ-9 score present in their record in the same assessment period as the encounter.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.17 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (FUM)

Percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for beneficiaries age 18 and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and have a principal diagnosis of mental illness or intentional self-harm and who had a follow-up visit for mental illness. Two rates are reported:

- Percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days)
- Percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.18 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (FUA)

Percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for beneficiaries age 18 and older who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and have a principal diagnosis of alcohol or other drug (AOD) abuse or dependence and who had a follow-up visit for AOD abuse or dependence. Two rates are reported:

- Percentage of ED visits for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days)
- Percentage of ED visits for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days)

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.19 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

Controlling High Blood Pressure (CBP)

Percentage of beneficiaries ages 18 to 85 who are in the ECM Populations of Focus and who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled (< 140/90 mm Hg) during the reporting period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.2.20 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on five (5) optional Payment 2 measures in Program Priority Area #2 to earn a total of 30 points*

### Quantitative Response Only

## Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (APM)

Percentage of children and adolescents ages 1 to 17 who are enrolled in the ECM Populations of Focus and who had two or more antipsychotic prescriptions and had metabolic testing. Three rates are reported:

- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received blood glucose testing
- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received cholesterol testing
- Percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received both blood glucose and cholesterol testing

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.2.21 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
10 Points*

#### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the ECM Provider Capacity Building portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

#### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with other local partners within the county. Response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

SCFHP collaborated with 13 of its 17 contracted ECM providers to build the ECM Provider Capacity Building portion of its Gap-Filling Plan. SCFHP held a town hall for providers and individual meetings with each provider to assist them with identifying capacity-building challenges. SCFHP developed an initial application and a RFI that providers completed and conducted follow-up meetings with providers to discuss strategies to address capacity gaps and inform the Gap-Filling

Plan. SCFHP and Anthem participated in bi-weekly meetings to review gaps and proposed solutions for our joint providers. See the attached application, RFI, and meeting agendas/notes.

*End of Section*

# Submission 2-A Measures for Priority Area 3: Community Supports Provider Capacity Building & Take-Up

*Response Required to This Section*

## 2.3.1 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of and percentage of eligible Members receiving Community Supports and number of unique Community Supports received by Members.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.3.2 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

### **Quantitative Response Only**

Number of contracted Community Supports providers.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2.3.3 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

### **Narrative Response Only**

Narrative should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners within the county to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit for each question)

1. Describe steps taken to reduce gaps or limitations in Community Supports coverage across the county.
2. Describe steps taken to increase number and/or reach of Community Supports offered in January 2022 or July 2022.

Between January and June, SCFHP had one limitation on offered Community Supports. Nursing Facility Diversion/Transition to RCFE services had a capacity limit of 15 members. SCFHP provided funding to a CBO to develop a landscape of RCFEs in Santa Clara County, address any contract barriers, and execute contracts for SCFHP members. The CBO expanded the RCFE network from two to four facilities, with two more pending. In order to expand the reach of Community Supports, SCFHP implemented a bulk referral process with OSH for members who are unhoused and in need of housing navigation services.

### 2.3.4 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Narrative should outline progress and results from collaborations with local partners within the county to achieve the below activities. (100 word limit for each question)

1. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to increase Community Supports provider capacity and MCP oversight capability from January through June 2022.
2. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to address Community Supports workforce, training, and TA needs in region/county, including specific cultural competency needs by region/county.
3. Describe what concrete steps have been taken and/or investments made to support Community Supports workforce recruiting and hiring.
4. Please list the MCP training and TA programs that have been provided to Community Supports providers, including details on when and where the trainings were held, how many people attended, and any organizations involved in the planning. *NOTE: MCPs may submit relevant meeting minutes and attendee lists, training materials, and other attachments in lieu of a narrative response to Sub-Part 4.*

SCFHP achieved the following:

1. Community Supports Provider/MCP Oversight Capacity: Provided initial funding to hire staff to 7 out of 9 providers, provided funding to one ECM provider who needed staff to offer housing services in the future
2. Workforce/Training/TA: Funded staffing for 8 of the 9 providers, the development of training materials, trainings for 5 providers, including addressing cultural competency needs in our county
3. Workforce Recruiting/Hiring: Funded the development of job descriptions, posting fees, and hiring incentives for 6 providers
4. MCP Trainings/TA: Provided Community Supports referral process (2/18/2022 via Zoom, multiple representatives from all 9 contracted Community Supports providers attended); referral submission process refresher, eligibility determination process, provider portal set up and navigation, and ROI (2/25/2022 via Zoom, multiple representatives from all 9 contracted Community Supports providers attended); and claims submission TA session (4/6/2022, multiple representatives from all 9 contracted Community Supports providers attended). SCFHP developed the training materials and hosted the trainings without collaboration with external organizations.

### 2.3.5 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

1. Describe progress against the narrative plan submitted for Payment 1 regarding collaborative work with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county to develop and support the provision of Community Supports for members of Tribes in the county (*see narrative measure 1.3.6, sub-questions 2-3*). This response should include details on concrete actions taken/investments made to demonstrate progress made in the below activities. (100 word limit)
  - a. Working with Tribes and Tribal providers used by members in the county on provider capacity.
  - b. Providing Community Supports for members of Tribes in the county.

**OR**

1. For MCPs operating in counties *without* recognized Tribes, describe the approach taken to supporting culturally competent Community Supports for members who receive Tribal services, including efforts to contract with Tribal providers in surrounding counties. (100 word limit)

Along with the development of a landscape, outreach plan, and social media and other communication campaigns to expand the engagement of the AI/AN members into ECM, SCFHP is funding Indian Health Center of Santa Clara Valley (IHC) to do the same for Community Supports. IHC is working with the state consortium to document what outreach strategies are being utilized and explore those that may be more effective. Please see the attached *SCFHP-IPP Payment 2 Measure 2.2.7-IHC Tribal Engagement SOW* for the activities that IHC will be completing by 12/31/2022.

### 2.3.6 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
35 Points*

#### **Narrative Response Only**

Describe how the MCP successfully collaborated with all MCPs in the county to support Community Supports capacity expansion and, if applicable, leverage existing WPC capacity. If only one MCP is operating in the county, the narrative must describe how it successfully leveraged and expanded existing county and, if applicable, WPC infrastructure to support Community Supports capacity building. Response should include details regarding what barriers were encountered, which strategies proved successful, and the MCP's plans to continue capacity and infrastructure building. MCPs are also encouraged to describe how they might leverage the new community health workers (CHW) benefit moving forward to build capacity in the delivery system for Community Supports. (100 word limit)

Santa Clara County operates the only Medical Respite and Sobering Center available to our members. Our county's Medical Respite facility cannot accommodate members with ADL/IADL needs. SCFHP is working with Anthem to determine options for expanding the network beyond the county's facility to expand the capacity to serve this population. In addition, the county's Sobering Center does not conduct a medical assessment, treat nausea, or conduct lab testing. SCFHP is working with Anthem to determine if there is a way to contract with a separate vendor to provide those services as needed.

### 2.3.7 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
30 Points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Percentage of enrollees receiving Community Supports by race, ethnicity, and primary language, relative to the demographics in the underlying enrollee population.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.8 Measure Description

*Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Asthma Medication Ratio (AMR)

The percentage of beneficiaries ages 5 to 64 who are receiving Community Supports and who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the reporting period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2.3.9 Measure Description

*Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

The number of individuals who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus (“people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions”) who were housed for more than 6 consecutive months.

Enter response in the Excel template.

### 2.3.10 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Controlling High Blood Pressure (CBP)

Percentage of beneficiaries who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus ("people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions") 18-85 years of age who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled (< 140/90 mm Hg).

Enter response in the Excel template.

### 2.3.11 Measure Description

*Optional*

*Report on one (1) optional Payment 2 measure in Program Priority Area #3 to earn 50 points*

#### Quantitative Response Only

Comprehensive Diabetes Care (CDC)

Percentage of beneficiaries who meet the criteria for the Population of Focus: "people experiencing homelessness or chronic homelessness, or who are at risk of becoming homeless with complex health and/or behavioral health conditions," 18-75 years of age with diabetes who had hemoglobin A1c > 9.0%.

Enter response in the Excel template.

### 2.3.12 Measure Description

*Mandatory  
20 Points*

### **Narrative Response & Materials Submission**

Submission of a signed letter of collaboration, meeting agendas/notes, or other materials that outline the organizations with which the MCP collaborated in developing the Community Supports Provider Capacity Building and Community Supports Take-Up portion of the Gap-Filling Plan. If the MCP is unable to produce these documents, please specify why in the narrative response.

### **AND**

Describe how all MCPs in the county vetted and iterated the Gap-Filling Plan with local partners within the county. This response should include a list of organizations with which the MCP collaborated, completed activities, and methods of engagement. If no meaningful engagement or vetting with local partners was completed, please explain why and describe upcoming plans for vetting and iteration, including a list of proposed organizations, proposed activities, methods of engagement, and a time frame for completing these activities. (100 word limit)

SCFHP collaborated with 8 of its 9 contracted Community Supports providers to build the Community Support Provider Capacity Building portion of its Gap-Filling Plan. SCFHP held a town hall for providers and individual meetings with each provider to assist them with identifying capacity-building challenges. SCFHP developed an initial application and a RFI that providers completed and conducted follow-up meetings with providers to discuss strategies to address capacity gaps and inform the Gap-Filling Plan. SCFHP and Anthem participated in bi-weekly meetings to review gaps and proposed solutions for our joint providers. See the attached application, RFI, and meeting agendas/notes.

*End of Section*

## Submission 2-B Measures *(Added Spring 2023)*

*Response Required to This Section*

### 2B.1.1 Measure Description

10 Points

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional Health Information Exchange (HIE).

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

#### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-direction Health Information Exchange (HIE). *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

By December 31, 2022, Santa Clara Family Health Plan (SCFHP) confirms that all 17 contracted ECM providers had the ability to engage in bi-directional HIE as defined by SCFHP. All 17 contracted ECM providers were able to successfully use Secured File Transmission Protocol (SFTP) to access and download the monthly Member Information File (MIF) and supplemental monthly data files, and upload and share monthly Return Transmission Files (RTFs) and Initial Outreach Transmission Files (IOTFs) with SCFHP.

In early 2023, DHCS clarified its definition of what constitutes meeting this metric as reflected in the Submission 2B Quantitative Reporting Template. The reporting period of July 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022 was prior to the clarification from DHCS, so SCFHP interpreted this metric to reflect the number of contracted ECM providers that had the ability to engage in bi-directional HIE, which included using SFTP for bi-directional data exchange.

The significant investment that SCFHP made to increase the number of contracted ECM providers that engage in bi-directional HIE is SCFHP's launch of its Member Profile, a data sharing platform accessible through its Provider Portal. Through the Member Profile, SCFHP shares documents and member information (e.g., demographics, social determinants of health (SDOH) needs, hospital utilization, prescription data, referral information on Community Supports and the status of rendered services, care plans, assessments, etc.) with ECM providers for their assigned members who are either eligible for or enrolled in ECM. ECM providers are able to access updated member information and relevant data on their assigned members and upload assessments, care plans, and other documents for SCFHP to view and download. In the coming months, SCFHP will be launching HEDIS data through the Member Profile, allowing ECM providers access to key HEDIS scores for their assigned members.

## 2B.1.2 Measure Description

20 Points

### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### Narrative Response

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care management documentation system able to generate and manage a patient care plan. *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

During the reporting period of July 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022, SCFHP made the following investments in order to increase the number of contracted ECM providers with access to certified EHR technology or a care plan management documentation system capable of generating and managing a care plan for members enrolled in ECM:

- Engaged a consultant to support the development and implementation of EHR interoperability and care plan management to meet ECM requirements;
- Completed development, testing, and launch of enhancements to care plan templates in EHR systems (OCHIN Epic, eClinicalWorks) for ECM modules;
- Implemented OCHIN Epic data exchange feature that allows the ability to share data and care plans with patients, outside providers, and SCFHP;
- Updated SQL server and SSRS environment to 2019 version to allow for the functionality to generate care plans that meet ECM requirements;
- Upgraded EHR to serve as an interface between internal systems to enable a single PDF of a patient chart and case notes to be automatically generated and shared with others;
- Completed training on upgrades and enhancements to EHR systems and care plan management modules to ensure consistency in use by ECM Lead Care Managers; and
- Completed development and deployment of a mechanism to automatically load newly assigned members for ECM into EHR for seamless care plan development.

### 2B.1.3 Measure Description

*20 Points*

#### Quantitative Response

Number and percentage point increase in contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### **Narrative Response**

Describe the concrete steps taken and investments made by the MCP to increase the number of contracted ECM and Community Supports providers capable of submitting a claim or invoice to a MCP, or have access to a system or service that can process and send a claim or invoice to a MCP with the information necessary for the MCP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS. *(No longer than one page per Measure)*

During the reporting period of July 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022, SCFHP made the following investments in order to increase the number of contracted ECM and Community Supports providers with the capability of submitting a claim or invoice to SCFHP or have access to a system or services that can process and send a claim or invoice to SCFHP with the necessary information for SCFHP to submit a compliant encounter to DHCS:

- Completed a mapping of the required fields for ECM with the correct billing details to accurately reflect the provided service;
- Configured provider systems to submit 837 claims to a clearinghouse for submission and processing by SCFHP;
- Completed the development and implementation of the functionality to support billing and submission of 837 claims for ECM directly from an EHR system;
- Updated current billing systems to meeting billing and claims submission requirements for ECM;
- Implemented OCHIN Epic practice management system to allow FQHCs to bill 837 claims to a clearinghouse for submission to SCFHP;
- Implemented enhancements to an API system for upgrading systems to generate and submit claims;

- Finalized data exchange with third-party biller, SyMed, to generate and submit claims;
- Developed a template for providers to generate a bulk claims file and submit to SCFHP via SFTP for SCFHP to automatically process into compliant encounters and payment; and
- Completed training for staff on new or updated billing systems to ensure accurate claims submission by providers.

### 2B.1.4 Measure Description

20 Points

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number and percentage point increase in contracted Community Supports providers for those Community Supports offered by the MCP during the measurement period with access to closed-loop referral systems.

NOTE: Closed-loop referrals are defined as coordinating and referring the member to available community resources and following up to ensure services were rendered. A closed-loop referral system refers to a system or process which ensures the referring provider receives information that the Member was appropriate referred to, and received, services.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

### 2B.2.1 Measure Description

10 Points

#### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted ECM care team full time equivalents (FTEs)

Total FTEs are defined as the sum of ECM care team members' working hours divided by their employer's full-time working hours (i.e. 40 hours per week); multiple part-time ECM care team members can equate to one (1) FTE.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.2.2 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of Members enrolled in ECM

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.2.3 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of members who are Black/African American and other racial and ethnic groups who are disproportionately experiencing homelessness that are enrolled in ECM during the measurement period.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.3.1 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of and percentage of eligible members receiving Community Supports, and number of unique Community Supports received by members.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

## 2B.3.2 Measure Description

10 Points

### Quantitative Response Only

Number of contracted Community Supports providers.

*Enter response in the Excel template.*

*End of Section*