FSOR Addendum II R-28-02 2-5-08

Addendum II Preface

Response to Comments R-28-02, Sign Language Interpreter Services Post 45-Day

Addendum II (Response to 45-Day Comments) of the FSOR provides the following information: the commenter number, the subject, the comment, and the response to comment. Under the comment column, different comments presented by a commenter are designated through the addition of a number after the Commenter #. For example, the different comments presented by Commenter #2 are designated as 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 which demonstrate the three different comments presented by the commenter. All of the comments received during the 45-day public proceedings were written testimony.

FSOR Addendum II

Response to Comments R-28-02, Sign Language Interpreter Services Post 45-Day

List of Commenters

- 1. Cris Eggers
- 2. Marilyn Holle
- 3. Sandra E. Bressler
- 4. Mary C. Harris

Commenter #	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
1	Section 51202.5 (Sign Language Interpreters – Standards of Participation)	1.1. I am in favor of the proposed changes to R-28-02 with one very critical exception. Section 51202.5 2, b (sic) says that an interpreter may "Be non-certified". Using a non-certified interpreter is completely antithetical to the intention of the provision of qualified interpreters. Given that their training is in medicine and not interpreting, most if not all medical providers have no way of ascertaining whether or not an interpreter is qualified. Requiring a RID certification or NAD level IV or V or an interpreting degree (AA or BA) from a college or university would be more appropriate than allowing the use (and risk) of a non-certified interpreter.	1.1 Thank you for your comment. The assumption has been made that this comment pertains to Section 51202.5(a)(2) as originally noticed, not Section 51202.5 2, b as indicated in the comment. In response to 45-day public comments that discuss qualified interpreters the Department proposed through the 15-day public availability to delete the references to noncertified sign language interpreters under Sections 51098.5, 51202.5 and 51503.3, allowing only certified sign language interpreters to participate in the Medi-Cal program. However, upon review of a comment presented through the 15-day public availability and further consideration the Department has decided to maintain the existing regulatory language (the inclusion of all references to non-certified sign language interpreters and related language under these three sections). Precluding non-certified sign language interpreters from the Medi-Cal Program could reduce access to health care for Medi-Cal beneficiaries requiring sign language interpretive services, when a sign language interpreter certified in the specific language spoken by the beneficiary is not available. The inclusion of non-certified sign language

Addendum I			2-5-08
Commenter	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
#			
			interpreters will not only encourage
			beneficiaries to seek necessary health care
			and ensure greater access to health care, it
			is also consistent with 1) provisions under
			Civil Code, Section 54.1 and Title 28, CFR
			Section 36.303 that specify individuals with
			disabilities are entitled to the full and equal
			access to accommodations and to effective
			communication between a public
			accommodation (provider) and an individual
			with a disability, and 2) the Department's
			mission to preserve and improve the health
			of Californians, while ensuring access to
			comprehensive health services.
2	Section 51098.5	2.1. Medi-Cal covers services to someone	2.1. Thank you for your comment which is
	(Sign Language	other than the Medi-Cal beneficiary when it is	the impetus for the post 45-Day public notice
	Interpreter	for the benefit of the beneficiary and medically	change as subsequently described. In
	Services –	necessary. The services or training provided to	response to this comment that proposed the
	Definition)	a third person are for services provided to the	inclusion of the following phrase: ", or (c) a
		beneficiary. The third person's Medi-Cal	deaf or hearing impaired individual who
		eligibility is not relevant to payment for the	receives services or training as part of the
		service because the service including training is	medically necessary medical or remedial
		to benefit the beneficiary and would be paid	services provided to the Medi-Cal
		under the beneficiary's Medi-Cal number. This	beneficiary," amendments are proposed to
		person may or may not be the beneficiary's	Section 51098.5. A new subsection (c) is
		representative. The regulations should make it	proposed that would support the context of
		clear that if the person being trained or	the comment as presented and the
		receiving services to benefit the beneficiary is	recommendation to expand the type of
		deaf or hearing impaired, then Medi-Cal funded	persons between which sign language
		interpreter services would be available.	interpreter services may be utilized, but the
			language as proposed to be adopted would
		Additional recommendations for Section	contain some modifications from that
		51098.5 include adding a subsection (c) and	suggested through the comment. The
		further amending proposed subsection (b) as	language as proposed including such

	2-5-08	
ect Comment	Response to Comment	
follows: (b) a deaf or hearing impaired adult representative of the Medi-Cal beneficiary and Medi-Cal enrolled provider when necessary to facilitate the provision of medically necessary health care services to the beneficiary-, or (c) a deaf or hearing impaired individual who receives services or training as part of the medically necessary medical or remedial services provided to the Medi-Cal beneficiary.	modifications would read as follows: ", or (c) a deaf or hearing impaired adult who receives services or training on behalf of the Medi-Cal beneficiary and the Medi-Cal enrolled provider when necessary to provide medically necessary health care services to the beneficiary." This language provides sentence structure that is similar to that under (a) and (b) regarding who the communication is "between" and specifically includes the phrase "Medi-Cal enrolled provider." This proposal would also offer consistent language structure under this section and throughout the regulations. Specifically, the phrase "medically necessary health care services" would replace "medically necessary medical or remedial services," which was suggested through the comment and the term "adult" would replace "individual," which was suggested through the comment. Provisions set forth at Civil Code, Section 54.1 and Title 28 CFR, Section 36.303 specify that individuals with disabilities are entitled to full and equal access to accommodations and to effective communication between a public accommodation (a provider) and an individual with a disability, respectively. To correspond with and to facilitate these mandates the term "adult" is proposed to ensure that the recipient of the communication, in this circumstance, is at the age of legal majority and is considered to	
	(b) a deaf or hearing impaired adult representative of the Medi-Cal beneficiary and a Medi-Cal enrolled provider when necessary to facilitate the provision of medically necessary health care services to the beneficiary. weather the provision of medically necessary health care services to the beneficiary. weather to the medically necessary medical or remedial services provided to the Medi-Cal	

Addendum I	l	2-5-08	
Commenter #	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
			comprehend and receive services or training through interpretation that is necessary to provide medically necessary health care services to a beneficiary.
	Section 51309.5 (Scope and Duration of Benefits)	amendments make clear that Medi-Cal enrolled providers serving beneficiaries who are covered by Medi-Cal and other health coverage also may be reimbursed for interpreter services, which will encourage retention of other health care coverage. Further, absent Medi-Cal funded interpreter services to beneficiaries with other health care coverage, other health care may not be available to the beneficiary to the same extent geographically. The consequence would be a shift to Medi-Cal of the sole responsibility for health care. Propose that Section 51202.5(a) (sic) be further amended as follows: Sign language interpreter services, as set forth in Section 51098.5, are covered as part of the Medi-Cal enrolled provider service subject to the limitation specified in subsection (b). Interpreter services are covered even when the enrolled provider does not bill Medi-Cal because the provider service is paid for by other health care coverage. Sign language interpreter services may be utilized for medically necessary health care services and related services as such, or similar to:	2.2. The assumption has been made that this comment pertains to Section 51309.5(a) not 51202.5(a), as indicated in the comment. The Medi-Cal Program is prohibited by federal law (Title 42, USC, Section 1396b (o)) from paying for services for beneficiaries enrolled in other healthcare coverage (OHC) when services are covered by those plans. As specified at W&I Code Section, 14124.795 Medi-Cal is the payer of last resort, which means that in order for there to be payment of services rendered for a Medi-Cal beneficiary who also has OHC the Medi-Cal enrolled provider would have to document that OHC has been fully utilized before billing the Medi-Cal program. The provider would submit the claim initially to the OHC and then submit a denial notice to the Medi-Cal program to receive reimbursement for services. As with other Medi-Cal services, if sign language interpreter services are not covered by OHC then the claim for these services could be presented to Medi-Cal for payment. Because of such payment constraints and processing procedures that pertain to the Medi-Cal program, the language proposed through this comment has been determined to be unnecessary. Additionally, this language as presented

Addendum I			
Commenter #	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
			would not be of use because it is unclear if the Medi-Cal enrolled provider is actually seeking reimbursement for sign language interpreter services through Medi-Cal. There is no expectation that the provision of sign language services in relation to these billing procedures would result in a shift in health care services from OHC to Medi-Cal.
	Section 51503.3 (Payment)	2.3. In Section 51503.3 (a), it appears there was an oversight in not striking through the phrase "physicians or physicians groups".	2.3. The phrase "physicians or physicians groups" was stricken from the regulation text as originally noticed.
	Comment of Support	2.4 Endorse the proposed amendments extending sign language interpreter services to enable beneficiaries to access all small Medi-Cal providers. The extension to all Medi-Cal enrolled providers brings the State into compliance with State and Federal disability equal access laws.	2.4 The Department appreciates this comment of support.
	Section 51202.5 (Sign Language Interpreters – Standards of Participation)	2.5 Pleased that DHS continues to recognize that Med-Cal beneficiaries may opt for non-certified interpreters. This is important for beneficiaries who learned sign language in another country and for whom there may be no effective certified interpreter.	2.5 See the Department's response to comment 1.1 above. Reimbursement for the provision of sign language interpreter services as described in Section 51309.5 may be sought for both certified and noncertified interpreters who meet the standards set forth in Section 51202.5.
3	Section 51309.5 (Scope and Duration of Benefits)	3.1. In order to assure clarity that these changes remain within the authority of the Department and do not expand the scope of practice of Medi-Cal enrolled providers who are	3.1. Thank you for your comment. The intent of the proposed changes to this section are simply to expand the scope of health care and related services for which

Addendum I			
Commenter #	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
		not physicians, we believe Section 51309.5 needs further amendment. The examples of services in this section were originally constructed with physicians in mind, and some of them are solely within the scope of a physician's practice. Therefore we recommend the following amendment to Section 51309.5: (a) Sign language interpreter services, as set forth in Section 51098.5, are covered as part of the Medi-Cal enrolled *covered physician* provider service subject to the limitation specified in subsection (b) and the scope of practice of the provider. Sign language interpretation services may be utilized for	sign language interpreter services may be utilized and for which Medi-Cal will reimburse enrolled providers that employ fewer than fifteen employees. This non-exhaustive listing of medically necessary services merely provides examples of the types of services for which sign language interpreter services may be utilized. Neither these regulations nor changes proposed through this regulatory action would affect a provider's scope of practice, which is directed by the appropriate regulatory body under the Department of Consumer Affairs such as the Medical Board of California or the California Board of Registered Nursing. It is assumed that Medi-Cal enrolled providers practice within their scope of practice/licensure. Communication occurring through the provision of sign language interpreter services will be relevant to the health care or related service being provided by a Medi-Cal enrolled provider. The Department does not provide scope of practice oversight for health care providers, or by extension for sign language interpreters, thus the language as suggested is not necessary under the regulations.
	Section 51202.5 (Sign Language Interpreters – Standards of Participation)	3.2. We appreciate that the regulations address provider's responsibilities in emergency or acute care situations where the interpreter is not effectively communicating. However, we believe that, as written, providers who make	3.2. It is believed that locating an alternate sign language interpreter would not be problematic. The inclusion of the phrases "make a good faith effort" and "Or otherwise communicate with the beneficiary," are

Addendum I	l		2-5-08
Commenter	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
#			
		good faith efforts to replace the interpreter may	immeasurable and lack clarity, and thus are
		be subject to discipline or liability if, despite their	not being incorporated in the regulations.
		best efforts, they are unable to locate another	
		interpreter. We suggest that the regulations set	
		forth a good faith requirement and recommend	
		the following amendment to section 51202.5(c) (2):	
		(2)* However*, in an emergency or	
		acute care situation or in the event the Medi-Cal	
		enrolled provider determines the interpreter	
		selected by the beneficiary does not	
		communicate effectively, accurately or	
		impartially, *the physician may override the	
		beneficiary's selection and select the	
		interpreter.* and may adversely affect the	
		health and well-being of the beneficiary due to	
		inaccurate diagnosis or misunderstanding of	
		medical advice or instruction, the Medi-Cal	
		enrolled provider is required to make a good	
		faith effort to select a different interpreter or	
		otherwise communicate with the beneficiary.	
		Whenever a Medi-Cal enrolled provider acts	
		pursuant to this paragraph, he or she shall	
		provide a written statement of reasons for the	
		action. The Medi-Cal enrolled provider shall	
		maintain this statement in the medical record of	
		the beneficiary and make it available to the	
		state upon request pursuant to Section 51476	
		<u>(g).</u>	
4	Section 51503.3	4.1. San Diego County Child Welfare	4.1 Thank you for your comment.
	(Payment)	Services recommends an increase in the	Changes to reimbursement rates are not
		reimbursement rate offered, especially for bi-	within the scope of this regulatory action.
		lingual interpreters. Specialty interpreters can	
		be difficult to arrange without appropriate	

Addendum I	<u> </u>		2-5-08
Commenter #	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
		reimbursement. By comparison, the Medi-Cal rate is half of the standard community rate for the first 2 hours. For an additional hour the Medi-Cal rate is \$25.44/hr compared to the standard rate in the San Diego community at \$65 /hr, and Child Welfare Services, San Diego County at \$65/hr.	
	Interpreter Services - Large Providers	4.2. This regulatory action proposed to include reimbursement for sign language interpreter services for other Medi-Cal enrolled providers who employ fewer than fifteen employees. What regulations ensure the requirements for "large" providers to offer interpreter services? Since "Large" agencies do not get reimbursed from Medi-Cal, they tend to notify Deaf clients that they simply can't afford an interpreter and the Deaf client is denied that right (as per Title 28, Section 36.303 regarding "unless the [provision of a sign language interpreter] would result in an undue burden, such as a significant difficulty or expense") San Diego County Child Welfare Services recommends a stronger mandate for the larger agencies to provide interpreting services.	4.2. Thank you for your comment. "Large" agencies have reimbursement for sign language interpreter services built into the cost of the service so the interpreter services are not separately billable. Such large agencies are subject to the provisions under title 45, CFR, Section 84.52(d) that requires a recipient (provider) with fifteen or more employees to provide appropriate auxiliary aids for persons with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills as well as provisions set for the at Title 28, CFR, Section 36.303, which specifies a public accommodation shall furnish appropriate auxiliary aids and services when necessary to ensure effective communication. The Department of Justice (DOJ) provides enforcement of nondiscrimination on the basis of handicap in programs or activities conducted by the DOJ and complaints of related violations may be filed in accordance with Title 28, CFR, Section 39.170.

Commenter #	Subject	Comment	Response to Comment
	Section 51202.5 (Sign Language Interpreters – Standards of Participation)	4.3. The proposal discusses "qualified interpreters" but does not delineate whether or not they are certified. Certification makes a difference in the quality of interpretation and lessens the chance of erroneous information being relayed. San Diego County Child Welfare Services recommends that all interpreters be certified and/or that all interpreters (certified or not) be registered with the Registry of Interpreters for the Deaf (RID) for quality assurance.	4.3. See the Department's response to comment to 1.1 above.