



**California Behavioral Health  
Planning Council**

ADVOCACY • EVALUATION • INCLUSION

## **Behavioral Health Services Act Public Forums**

### **Questions on SB 326**

**The proposed Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) requires counties to spend a minimum of 30% on housing with no additional funds supplied. Keeping that in mind we want you to answer two questions about the housing component of the BHSA:**

1. Considering the proposed BHSA funding for housing, do you support or oppose that funding be used for capital development even if it decreases funding for other services?
2. If you support funding housing subsidies, do you support limiting 50% of the funding to subsidies for chronically homeless people, especially those in encampments?

**This next set of questions is about changes to Full-Service Partnerships in the proposed BHSA:**

3. Do you think that substance use disorder treatment should be added to the current MHSA umbrella of services? Will this integration improve services to Californians?
4. Are you concerned that specific population groups or communities will not receive adequate services with the changes from the changes from current MHSA to the proposed BHSA Full-Service Partnerships?

**These last two questions are about community planning/involvement in the proposed BHSA.**

5. Does the proposed BHSA funding design adequately address health disparities and inequities? Are there specific programs or communities that will be adversely impacted (either in Full-Service Partnerships, Prevention and Early Intervention or Behavioral Services and Supports)?
6. What do you think needs to happen in order to get good stakeholder engagement for approval of the proposed Integrated Plan for Behavioral Health Services and Outcomes in local counties?