

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 11/20/2017

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 21,224 (statewide) compared to 21,047 for the last reporting period. This is a 1% increase of 177 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$204,031,897 (statewide) compared to \$199,584,645 for the last reporting period. This is a 2% increase of \$4,447,252.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 26,566,331 (statewide) compared to 26,296,615 for the last reporting period. This is a 1% increase of 269,716 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 27,933,949 (statewide) compared to 27,518,084 for the last reporting period. This is a 2% increase of 415,865 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 15,349 (statewide) compared to 15,232 for the last reporting period. This is a 1% increase of 117 subclass members.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 11/20/2017

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 10,956 (statewide) compared to 10,871 for the last reporting period. This is a 1% increase of 85 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS increased to 54 from 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier increased to 49 from 48.

Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
Report Run on 11/20/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	7,585	24,529	52,871	50,265	74,097	67,248	76,323	101,635	92,707	104,152	104,407

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
8,322	8,722	8,580	8,583	8,807	9,041	9,488	9,465	9,984	9,750	10,115	10,154

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
3,589,765	4,491,258	4,356,498	4,233,311	4,226,216	3,883,834	4,572,782	4,649,475	6,134,528	4,750,227	5,076,729	4,535,657

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
\$ 14,689,417	\$ 17,207,564	\$ 16,315,561	\$ 16,070,212	\$ 15,900,092	\$ 15,432,248	\$ 17,795,758	\$ 16,710,811	\$ 19,759,810	\$ 17,455,161	\$ 19,318,503	\$ 17,376,759

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	4,738	5,154	5,133	5,090	5,177	5,243	5,787	5,674	6,238	5,820	6,140	5,847
IHBS	3,750	3,993	3,971	4,013	4,057	4,201	4,368	4,406	4,687	4,580	4,737	4,499

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	362	428	406	388	386	346	388	402	459	386	397	372
IHBS	485	554	552	542	521	474	513	517	672	526	534	503

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 11/20/2017

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
-	216	640	906	1,121	2,147	1,677	2,222	3,375	3,063	3,005	2,948

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
245	241	263	263	309	298	319	327	349	331	334	354

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
55,759	70,540	80,672	80,502	112,298	74,539	89,892	92,098	122,411	97,631	109,687	98,078

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
\$ 396,210	\$ 465,254	\$ 455,745	\$ 474,521	\$ 544,001	\$ 444,045	\$ 544,943	\$ 500,764	\$ 610,241	\$ 496,578	\$ 594,994	\$ 494,537

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	111	116	124	124	153	126	175	164	195	149	167	167
IHBS	62	52	73	72	107	84	87	92	100	93	99	98

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17
ICC	266	330	339	297	340	247	238	296	305	298	303	294
IHBS	424	621	529	606	564	517	554	474	628	572	597	500

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

⁴ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017
Report Run on 11/20/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	528	\$ 10,189,445	248,349	535,808	124,671	14,658	49,742	2,456,329	209,856	1,429	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	30	\$ 140,822	38,467	22,996	^	^	^	6,164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	207	\$ 1,411,233	189,455	77,121	7,877	3,995	34,233	357,059	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	32	\$ 383,001	^	8,927	13,345	^	^	26,357	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,707,936	471,401	561,018	385,460	7,390	58,157	1,998,833	921,053	1,531	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	127,397	7,360	4,071	^	^	22,209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	100,685	8,160	40,007	-	^	97,346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	677	\$ 7,905,063	^	7,804	696,712	5,121	70,224	1,736,333	519,485	3,897	-	^	-	-	-	-	295
11	Glenn*	48	\$ 234,143	27,987	28,142	1,628	^	^	36,029	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	165	\$ 3,508,504	487,639	131,775	88,670	8,024	57,495	460,091	^	278	-	^	^	-	^	-	^
13	Imperial*	115	\$ 797,998	51,729	2,258	1,716	^	24,546	105,712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,081,411	76,975	38,129	38,652	8,603	37,075	401,203	104,171	562	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	53	\$ 256,013	17,297	12,494	7,209	^	^	33,475	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	37	\$ 145,996	^	42,663	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	6,068	\$ 62,068,325	12,863,851	13,640,917	59,660	56,156	270,991	3,962,817	377,070	-	4,134	4,974	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	178	\$ 510,884	-	33,391	65,063	^	3,480	135,984	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	39,226	95,012	31,637	^	4,400	79,300	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	31	\$ 191,730	^	3,685	^	^	^	28,976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,529	111,705	155,412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	176	\$ 1,154,485	123,951	64,912	18,116	^	1,242	145,171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	337	\$ 1,698,024	230,022	433,756	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	35	\$ 491,932	^	31,529	6,233	^	5,713	46,663	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	10,557	16,265	35,111	-	3,746	84,572	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,239	\$ 6,344,791	261,648	372,870	196,712	35,728	100,032	1,150,018	231,968	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	146	\$ 754,765	98,337	86,279	6,918	-	^	48,618	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	2,304	\$ 8,587,444	1,493,786	1,243,731	387,728	6,521	144,507	1,248,110	528,499	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	575	\$ 4,503,766	658,103	828,332	864,652	4,051	152,892	1,772,196	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	1,488	\$ 12,218,557	2,418,363	1,951,543	277,339	29,903	128,383	2,973,242	950,318	614	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	1,797	\$ 14,994,857	824,043	2,351,744	33,280	5,981	161,260	838,087	308,891	1,105	138,948	^	-	^	87	-	^
38	San Francisco*	274	\$ 15,137,413	1,853,980	610,165	26,298	-	^	140,329	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	527	\$ 2,847,208	148,354	212,490	88,457	10,834	41,002	652,826	44,097	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	277	\$ 3,799,756	903,938	291,451	19,938	3,529	29,715	298,075	39,505	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,037,794	72,312	19,839	48,392	^	19,725	193,270	52,726	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	299	\$ 2,778,836	433,002	257,620	43,185	8,756	30,399	318,856	73,064	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	833	\$ 8,489,010	1,746,152	1,326,204	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,003,700	252,205	53,380	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	86	\$ 1,028,609	30,010	100,711	40,112	^	14,078	146,381	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 147,723	11,486	7,237	2,087	^	^	16,589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	196	\$ 2,678,361	209,161	169,137	24,217	^	8,425	336,817	^	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
49	Sonoma	204	\$ 988,847	294,609	162,065	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	197	\$ 2,850,980	361,804	172,351	60,631	16,124	15,409	535,773	47,379	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	78	\$ 23,637	-	13,075	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	22	\$ 68,120	-	-	^	-	^	27,627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	153	\$ 1,019,722	76,465	76,343	63,345	3,330	16,625	189,130	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	20	\$ 162,004	22,623	2,018	^	^	^	26,140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,912,936	375,945	217,351	121,316	^	28,207	720,978	58,605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 395,581	73,597	44,181	6,581	^	^	55,450	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	28,012	10,808	^	5,736	38,027	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Statewide^^		21,224	\$ 204,031,897	27,933,949	26,566,331	3,959,585	250,407	1,539,799	23,985,556	4,757,375	10,344	148,712	54,194	^	388	192	^	1,258

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017
Report Run on 11/20/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Therapeutic Behavioral Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	528	\$ 10,189,445	\$ 634,363	\$ 1,108,504	\$ 243,421	\$ 48,927	\$ 224,596	\$ 6,260,805	\$ 517,438	\$ 297,059	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	30	\$ 140,822	\$ 76,600	\$ 44,352	^	^	^	\$ 13,250	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	207	\$ 1,411,233	\$ 323,371	\$ 137,746	\$ 14,811	\$ 12,656	\$ 144,346	\$ 724,545	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	32	\$ 383,001	^	\$ 21,241	\$ 32,116	^	^	\$ 66,838	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*^																	
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,707,936	\$ 1,315,158	\$ 1,048,669	\$ 751,508	\$ 31,149	\$ 285,064	\$ 5,357,763	\$ 1,627,081	\$ 161,181	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	\$ 284,095	\$ 13,213	\$ 7,270	^	^	\$ 49,332	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	\$ 214,987	\$ 17,394	\$ 85,108	\$ -	^	\$ 206,254	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	677	\$ 7,905,063	^	\$ 15,881	\$ 1,420,515	\$ 23,142	\$ 368,120	\$ 4,484,453	\$ 1,035,012	\$ 275,558	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 245,393
11	Glenn*	48	\$ 234,143	\$ 73,888	\$ 57,885	\$ 3,398	^	^	\$ 95,285	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	165	\$ 3,508,504	\$ 1,209,792	\$ 282,845	\$ 189,186	\$ 30,757	\$ 230,592	\$ 1,230,047	^	\$ 21,967	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	^
13	Imperial*	115	\$ 797,998	\$ 196,723	\$ 6,877	\$ 5,171	^	\$ 177,957	\$ 410,066	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,081,411	\$ 219,498	\$ 88,188	\$ 88,113	\$ 36,396	\$ 196,826	\$ 1,142,991	\$ 256,265	\$ 51,798	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	53	\$ 256,013	\$ 40,649	\$ 18,917	\$ 13,003	^	^	\$ 77,985	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	37	\$ 145,996	^	\$ 89,267	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen*^																	
19	Los Angeles*	6,068	\$ 62,068,325	\$ 28,598,728	\$ 23,519,441	\$ 103,166	\$ 127,457	\$ 1,023,784	\$ 7,639,637	\$ 825,024	\$ -	\$ 80,076	\$ 151,013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	178	\$ 510,884	\$ -	\$ 62,909	\$ 126,872	^	\$ 15,764	\$ 303,630	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	\$ 120,728	\$ 382,351	\$ 69,934	^	\$ 42,323	\$ 379,514	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	31	\$ 191,730	^	\$ 11,276	^	^	^	\$ 114,321	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,529	\$ 261,047	\$ 329,481	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	176	\$ 1,154,485	\$ 413,189	\$ 145,984	\$ 42,543	^	\$ 6,606	\$ 485,269	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																	
26	Mono^																	
27	Monterey	337	\$ 1,698,024	\$ 747,293	\$ 950,731	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	35	\$ 491,932	^	\$ 121,696	\$ 28,977	^	\$ 34,900	\$ 200,387	^	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	\$ 27,608	\$ 32,798	\$ 70,912	\$ -	\$ 17,919	\$ 215,917	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,239	\$ 6,344,791	\$ 501,403	\$ 738,497	\$ 479,258	\$ 179,670	\$ 460,204	\$ 3,408,564	\$ 537,821	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	146	\$ 754,765	\$ 314,206	\$ 256,861	\$ 10,965	\$ -	^	\$ 118,770	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																	
33	Riverside*	2,304	\$ 8,587,444	\$ 2,116,337	\$ 1,718,650	\$ 828,972	\$ 21,905	\$ 727,494	\$ 2,266,016	\$ 881,373	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	575	\$ 4,503,766	\$ 779,148	\$ 714,285	\$ 696,478	\$ 10,058	\$ 347,172	\$ 1,935,235	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																	
36	San Bernardino*	1,488	\$ 12,218,557	\$ 3,153,570	\$ 1,993,459	\$ 528,020	\$ 108,461	\$ 446,674	\$ 4,153,449	\$ 1,343,187	\$ 22,488	^	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	1,797	\$ 14,994,857	\$ 2,263,476	\$ 5,013,149	\$ 66,132	\$ 25,090	\$ 823,851	\$ 2,207,522	\$ 858,690	\$ 110,281	\$ 3,275,598	^	\$ -	^	\$ 112,604	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	274	\$ 15,137,413	\$ 11,487,486	\$ 2,818,536	\$ 104,597	\$ -	^	\$ 706,852	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	527	\$ 2,847,208	\$ 338,262	\$ 376,631	\$ 171,623	\$ 28,089	\$ 188,256	\$ 1,548,631	\$ 66,768	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	277	\$ 3,799,756	\$ 1,858,011	\$ 740,883	\$ 70,516	\$ 7,474	\$ 130,683	\$ 860,824	\$ 85,017	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,037,794	\$ 163,217	\$ 79,139	\$ 133,515	^	\$ 150,581	\$ 677,613	\$ 149,047	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	299	\$ 2,778,836	\$ 988,170	\$ 522,407	\$ 94,961	\$ 35,098	\$ 190,397	\$ 770,114	\$ 149,757	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	833	\$ 8,489,010	\$ 5,347,289	\$ 3,141,721	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,003,700	\$ 814,282	\$ 189,418	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	86	\$ 1,028,609	\$ 83,297	\$ 275,973	\$ 100,775	^	\$ 47,071	\$ 375,837	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 147,723	\$ 46,747	\$ 21,019	\$ 6,344	^	^	\$ 67,393	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	196	\$ 2,678,361	\$ 557,326	\$ 484,707	\$ 68,193	^	\$ 62,603	\$ 1,235,119	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
49	Sonoma	204	\$ 988,847	\$ 660,897	\$ 327,950	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	197	\$ 2,850,980	\$ 747,946	\$ 312,308	\$ 153,945	\$ 49,156	\$ 84,287	\$ 1,345,034	\$ 61,144	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	78	\$ 23,637	\$ -	\$ 23,637	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	22	\$ 68,120	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	^	\$ 64,166	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	153	\$ 1,019,722	\$ 194,023	\$ 147,832	\$ 124,043	\$ 12,379	\$ 73,712	\$ 466,037	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*	20	\$ 162,004	\$ 69,000	\$ 4,765	^	^	^	\$ 77,668	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,912,936	\$ 934,472	\$ 415,739	\$ 253,993	^	\$ 161,070	\$ 2,005,974	\$ 139,419	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 395,581	\$ 139,032	\$ 79,283	\$ 13,293	^	^	\$ 145,329	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	\$ 82,312	\$ 32,856	^	\$ 41,221	\$ 148,820	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Statewide^^		21,224	\$ 204,031,897	\$ 68,552,977	\$ 49,013,493	\$ 7,263,980	\$ 916,293	\$ 6,828,292	\$ 54,144,988	\$ 9,255,667	\$ 1,016,004	\$ 3,472,791	\$ 1,953,240	\$ ^	\$ 121,884	\$ 276,354	\$ ^	\$ 1,093,158

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017
Report Run on 11/20/2017

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Therapeutic Behavioral Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	528	\$ 10,189,445	116	253	201	37	114	371	40	47	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	30	\$ 140,822	17	28	^	^	^	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	207	\$ 1,411,233	60	119	41	13	50	142	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	32	\$ 383,001	^	22	19	^	^	27	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^																	
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,707,936	83	337	291	27	127	368	97	39	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	16	17	16	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	29	33	41	-	^	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	677	\$ 7,905,063	^	88	571	33	298	622	114	88	-	^	-	-	-	-	33
11	Glenn*	48	\$ 234,143	28	45	15	^	^	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	165	\$ 3,508,504	57	128	87	28	85	145	^	15	-	^	^	-	^	-	^
13	Imperial*	115	\$ 797,998	72	19	17	^	86	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,081,411	121	153	116	25	118	231	67	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	53	\$ 256,013	20	37	35	^	^	38	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	37	\$ 145,996	^	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^																	
19	Los Angeles*	6,068	\$ 62,068,325	4,931	4,825	343	185	879	2,413	82	-	23	22	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	178	\$ 510,884	-	31	108	^	14	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	34	76	45	^	16	63	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	31	\$ 191,730	^	12	^	^	^	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,529	83	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	176	\$ 1,154,485	53	67	58	^	17	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^																	
26	Mono^																	
27	Monterey	337	\$ 1,698,024	213	243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	35	\$ 491,932	^	17	18	^	15	24	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	18	27	44	-	12	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,239	\$ 6,344,791	382	594	434	105	255	855	81	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	146	\$ 754,765	77	132	20	-	^	32	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^																	
33	Riverside*	2,304	\$ 8,587,444	705	1,630	488	32	553	1,292	85	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	575	\$ 4,503,766	274	449	392	32	244	439	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^																	
36	San Bernardino*	1,488	\$ 12,218,557	704	1,243	318	72	373	1,005	198	22	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	1,797	\$ 14,994,857	685	1,512	124	51	416	693	85	60	241	^	-	^	20	-	^
38	San Francisco*	274	\$ 15,137,413	230	234	36	-	^	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	527	\$ 2,847,208	183	306	250	34	116	356	18	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	277	\$ 3,799,756	208	246	65	14	52	126	12	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,037,794	35	54	84	^	52	103	13	^	^	^	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	299	\$ 2,778,836	118	225	102	32	65	137	12	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	833	\$ 8,489,010	705	826	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,003,700	79	56	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	86	\$ 1,028,609	22	62	59	^	39	69	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 147,723	17	19	13	^	^	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	196	\$ 2,678,361	85	152	95	^	37	153	^	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
49	Sonoma	204	\$ 988,847	145	192	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	197	\$ 2,850,980	96	119	95	28	83	179	12	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	78	\$ 23,637	-	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	22	\$ 68,120	-	-	^	-	^	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	153	\$ 1,019,722	45	123	109	17	43	127	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	20	\$ 162,004	13	14	^	^	^	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,912,936	91	235	202	^	96	254	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 395,581	43	51	14	^	^	23	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	34	16	^	13	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Statewide^^		21,224	\$ 204,031,897	10,956	15,349	5,022	833	4,357	11,098	997	332	280	77	^	18	34	^	58

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
 - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
 - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals