Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Report run on 6/17/2016

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ► The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 14,222 (statewide) compared to 13,208 for the last reporting period. This is a 8% increase of 1,014 subclass members.
- ► Total approved amount to date is \$118,844,838 (statewide) compared to \$16,925,304 for the last reporting period. This is a 17% increase of \$16,925,304.
- ► The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 15,103,942 (statewide) compared to 12,938,404 for the last reporting period. This is a 17% increase of 2,165,538 minutes.
- ► The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 16,029,390 (statewide) compared to 13,312,161 for the last reporting period. This is a 20% increase of 2,717,229 minutes.
- ► The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 9,414 (statewide) compared to 8,564 for the last reporting period. This is a 10% increase of 850 subclass members.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 6/17/2016

- ► The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 7,157 (statewide) compared to 6,498 for the last reporting period. This is a 10% increase of 659 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 52.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

Definitions

- Approved Service Claims: The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services: The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*: The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- * Please see Page 72 of the <u>MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual</u> for more information on SMHS procedures.

Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2015. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2015, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 6/17/2016

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	8,342	27,402	48,949	78,445	63,551	60,838	80,425	73,371	90,822	101,436	24,823

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
I	8,343	8,010	7,806	7,417	7,107	7,209	7,089	6,253	5,423	2,589	576	125

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
3,500,844	3,362,888	3,648,158	3,943,156	3,331,090	3,405,198	3,482,370	3,410,934	2,060,960	919,136	64,499	4,098

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 15,182,192	\$ 13,577,902	\$ 13,808,434	\$ 14,033,122	\$ 12,143,771	\$ 12,499,155	\$ 12,430,948	\$ 11,110,673	\$ 9,048,087	\$ 4,376,406	\$ 602,139	\$ 32,010

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	4,500	4,329	4,278	4,205	3,977	4,173	4,168	3,654	2,908	1,236	142	25
IHBS	3,778	3,615	3,616	3,518	3,238	3,337	3,336	2,979	2,355	785	87	15

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	379	379	407	423	413	397	405	412	332	326	-	-
IHBS	458	457	507	587	498	503	517	616	446	618	318	128

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
Report Run on 6/17/2016

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	254	510	1,344	2,117	1,801	1,540	2,240	2,194	2,608	3,330	798

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
209	202	223	223	233	215	216	205	176	91	22	٨

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

I	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
Ī	65,483	68,388	76,620	99,367	73,525	67,486	68,328	73,013	45,849	31,290	3,165	210

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Ī	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
Ī	\$ 346,147	\$ 313,486	\$ 384,144	\$ 441,669	\$ 412,114	\$ 384,718	\$ 382,668	\$ 353,049	\$ 334,059	\$ 181,924	\$ 31,451	\$ 811

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	97	89	90	96	97	93	94	87	74	38	۸	٨
IHBS	57	60	60	62	57	51	54	56	43	21	۸	-

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	247	373	407	485	368	396	356	486	368	578	271	105
IHBS	728	587	666	852	663	601	645	548	434	444	385	-

¹Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016 Report Run on 6/17/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	545	\$ 9,285,742	238,176	388,417	400,006	9,029	42,095	3,149,995	1,646	۸	٨	-	۸	۸	۸	640
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	25 725	40.070		^	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
3 4	Amador* Butte*	25 144		26,725 168,259	13,879 76,738	4,988 6,947	3,130	38,323	4,081 294,556	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	29		۸	6,978	12,567	۸ ۸	۸	14,635				-		_		-
6	Colusa*	16		۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	19,276	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	406		521,040	535,398	488,745	7,550	53,599	2,080,508	784	۸	٨	^	۸			-
8	Del Norte*^																
9	El Dorado*	44		32,195	6,570	19,358	-	۸	64,555	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	495		62,979	22,830	169,560	۸	13,428	622,225 23.812	640	۸	٨	-		-		^
11 12	Glenn* Humboldt*	32 69		63,489 203,214	18,766 67,293	4,913 15,998	٨	9,954	140,432		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	124		41,049	7,496	2,459	۸	19,183	78,670	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	124	, ,,,,,,,	12,043	,,430	2,433		13,103	. 3,070								
15	Kern*	176	\$ 1,213,337	31,176	24,057	6,087	5,271	19,093	265,774	499		٨	-	1	-		_
16	Kings*	40		19,675	2,768	7,518	٨	4,224	37,973	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
17	Lake	39	\$ 72,790	۸	15,895	4,035	-	-	۸	-		-	-		-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	2.245	A 24.540.404	7 500 550	0.045.000	5 074 000	2.004	47.000	4 575 500								
19 20	Los Angeles* Madera*	3,846 154	\$ 34,640,491 \$ 305,058	7,592,650	8,315,932	5,271,283 43,554	3,894	47,932	4,576,598 88.598	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	52		38,596	56,559	41,867	۸	3,822	83.841	^		-	-			-	-
22	Mariposa*	14		۸	۸	۸ ۸	۸	۸ ۸	7,761	-		_	-		-		-
23	Mendocino	71		72,106	55,163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	133	\$ 897,999	24,593	41,347	19,921	٨	٨	133,314	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	297		283,660	502,124 22,885	- 5,860	Α	^	- 28,474	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28 29	Napa* Nevada*	31 43		8,793	13,062	22,123	^	3,230	74,843	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,055		147,622	240,663	209,036	29,267	95,378	1,319,252	۸	-	-	-				-
31	Placer*	38	\$ 138,973	۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	51,159	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^								·								
33	Riverside*	1,542	\$ 4,902,483	526,630	451,290	377,267	3,818	85,954	1,607,850	-	۸	٨	-		-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	472	\$ 2,030,035	371,015	590,176	1,196,725	٨	336,348	1,769,315	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	050	A 5750 440	826.745	745.004	222 525	7.000	C. 57.	4 700 544	۸	۸	۸			۸		
36 37	San Bernardino* San Diego*	850 788	\$ 6,768,119 \$ 6,403,670	826,745 136,512	716,094 464,298	332,536 107,308	7,290 3,122	61,574 104,554	1,730,511 718,005	613	109,138	٨	-	^	٨	-	^
38	San Francisco*	266		1.725.342	668,295	471.363	۸ ۵,122	9.487	1.608.676	- 013	105,136	-	-			-	-
39	San Joaquin*	287	\$ 1,593,899	94,991	104,327	99,263	9,487	30,056	353,112	۸	-	٨	-		-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	146	\$ 2,785,090	639,194	170,746	48,999	5,172	29,404	362,544		-	٨	-		-		۸
41	San Mateo*	110		88,350	41,757	18,776	٨	14,718	153,570	۸	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	174		108,749	169,498	84,965	8,930	40,793	489,882	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	540		849,015	629,089	457,661	-	-	449,846	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44 45	Santa Cruz* Shasta*	99 71		189,394 23,752	52,947 90,066	33,544 26,623	٨	13,836	147,872 105,072	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	/1	\$ 700,570	23,732	90,066	20,023		13,830	103,072	-	-	-	-	-		-	
47	Siskiyou*	27	\$ 106,992	٨	۸	۸	-	۸	15,439	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
48	Solano*	124	\$ 1,715,256	94,024	75,858	17,645	٨	6,654	280,562	۸	۸	٨	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	122	\$ 298,353	104,756	83,840	7,719	-	-	91,789	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	128		49,217	37,445	44,542	2,741	9,016	318,628	-	^	٨	-		-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	Ÿ	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52 53	Tehama	48		-	6,809	6,809	-	-	21,267	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Trinity* Tulare*	31 128	\$ 50,412 \$ 823,095	73,019	56,013	47,800	3,803	17,596	163,598	-	-	-	-	-	-		
55	Tuolomne*^	128	9 023,093	75,019	50,013	47,000	3,003	17,590	105,598	-		-	-	-	_	-	-
56	Ventura*	251	\$ 2,924,678	318,623	128,241	107,705	-	30,453	607,014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	23	\$ 74,114	۸	^	٨	<u>-</u>	۸	^	-		٨	-		-		
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34		-	۸	20,984	۸	8,935	38,673	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S	statewide^^	14,222	\$ 118,844,838	16,029,390	15,103,942	10,284,400	120,637	1,179,469	24,349,883	5,055	114,805	24,624	۸	۸	۸	۸	948

 $[\]hbox{\it *Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier}.$

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016 Report Run on 6/17/2016

1 Albrieda	- \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$ - - \$ - - \$ -	\$ 484,375
2 Alpine	Ÿ	- \$ - - \$ - - \$ -	Ÿ
4 Butte*	Ÿ	- \$ - - \$ -	
S	- \$ - \$ - \$	- \$ - - \$	\$ -
6 Colusa* 16 \$ 5, 59,361 ^ A	- \$ - \$		\$ -
To Contra Costa*	\$	- \$ -	\$ -
9 El Dorado*		- \$ -	\$ -
10 Fresno* 495 \$ 2,014,711 \$ 151,772 \$ 23,888 \$ 322,184 ^ \$ 74,994 \$ 1,497,767 \$ 59,063 ^ ^ \$ 5			
11 Glenn* 32 S 248,217 S 167,226 S 39,033 S 10,220 A A S 62,995 S S S S S S S S S	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
12 Humbold** 69 \$ 1,165,55 \$ 530,33 \$ 146,026 \$ 34,718 ^ \$ \$ 42,512 \$ 388,784 ^ \$ \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$.	- \$ - \$	- \$ - - \$ -	, ^ , \$ -
13 Imperial* 124 \$ 714,842 \$ 175,434 \$ 24,855 \$ 8,311 ^ \$ 154,113 \$ 343,622 \$.	- >	- 3 -	\$ -
15	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
16 Kings* 40 \$ 176,186 \$ 46,237 \$ 5,037 \$ 13,682			
17 Lake 39 \$ 72,790	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
18	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
19 Los Angeles* 3,846 \$ 34,640,491 \$ 17,635,031 \$ 14,621,284 \$ 9,056,515 \$ 11,994 \$ 231,744 \$ 10,830,445 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 20 Madera* 154 \$ 305,058 \$ ^ \$ \$ 82,856 ^ ^ \$ ^ \$ \$ 197,235 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	- \$
20 Madera* 154 \$ 305,058 \$ - ^ \$ \$ 82,856 ^ ^ \$ ^ \$ \$ 197,235 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	· \$ -
22 Mariposa* 14 \$ 63,260	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
23 Mendocino 71 \$ 24,820 \$ 143,317 \$ 99,503 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
24 Merced* 133 \$ 897,999 \$ 103,358 \$ 154,122 \$ 75,392	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
25 Modoc*^ 26 Mono*^ 27 Monterey 297 \$ 1,830,877 \$ 794,575 \$ 1,036,302 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$ - \$	- \$ -	\$ -
26 Mono*^ 27 Montrey 297 \$ 1,830,877 \$ 794,575 \$ 1,036,302 \$	- 3	- · · ·	· 3 -
28 Napa* 31 \$ 295,578 ^ \$ 107,944 \$ 31,115 ^ ^ \$ \$ 103,941 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 29 Nevada* 43 \$ 296,364 \$ 22,951 \$ 26,386 \$ 43,550 ^ \$ 15,568 \$ 192,260 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 30 Orange* 1,055 \$ 5,411,575 \$ 273,145 \$ 462,356 \$ 483,486 \$ 153,088 \$ 458,191 \$ 3,626,299 ^ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$			
29 Nevada* 43 \$ 296,364 \$ 22,951 \$ 26,386 \$ 43,550 ^ \$ 15,568 \$ 192,260 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 30 Orange* 1,055 \$ 5,411,575 \$ 273,145 \$ 462,356 \$ 483,486 \$ 153,088 \$ 458,191 \$ 3,626,299 ^ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
30 Orange* 1,055 \$ 5,411,575 \$ 273,145 \$ 462,356 \$ 483,486 \$ 153,088 \$ 458,191 \$ 3,626,299 ^ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
31 Placer* 38 \$ 138,973 ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
32 Plumas*^	Ÿ	,	Ÿ
33 Riverside* 1,542 \$ 4,902,483 \$ 742,976 \$ 582,793 \$ 747,659 \$ 12,144 \$ 410,945 \$ 2,546,578 \$ - ^ ^ \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
34 Sacramento* 472 \$ 2,030,035 \$ 226,156 \$ 287,202 \$ 479,216 ^ \$ 168,031 \$ 998,308 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
35 San Benito*^ 36 San Bernardino*	. ^		Δ.
36 San Bernardino*	- ^	\$ -	^
38 San Francisco* 266 \$ 8,935,670 \$ 5,879,948 \$ 1,658,986 \$ 1,139,037 ^ \$ 6,0865 \$ 5,279,886 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	Ś -
39 San Joaquin* 287 \$ 1,593,899 \$ 225,499 \$ 184,967 \$ 186,986 \$ 26,263 \$ 164,329 \$ 868,421 ^ \$ - ^ \$ - ^ \$ - 5	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
40 San Luis Obispo* 146 \$ 2,785,090 \$ 1,272,016 \$ 403,904 \$ 129,885 \$ 12,124 \$ 147,778 \$ 932,162 \$ - \$ - ^ \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	٨
41 San Mateo* 110 \$ 1,391,922 \$ 215,560 \$ 99,873 \$ 56,121 ^ \$ 112,741 \$ 529,082 ^ \$ - ^ \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
42 Santa Barbara*	\$	- \$ -	\$ -
43 Saltid Lidar 340 3 3,200,977 5 2,109,360 5 1,171,391 5 600,660 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	- Ś	- Ś -	\$ -
45 Shasta* 71 \$ 780,570 \$ 79,982 \$ 240,477 \$ 70,675 ^ \$ 49,643 \$ 329,947 \$ - \$ - ^ \$ 5 - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
46 Sierra** - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
47 Siskiyou* 27 \$ 106,992 ^ ^ ^ \$ 59,427 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
48 Solano* 124 \$ 1,715,256 \$ 247,167 \$ 230,621 \$ 55,888	- Ş	- Ş -	\$ -
49 Sonoma 122 \$ 298,353 \$ 185,025 \$ 113,328 \$ 9,598 \$ - \$ - \$ 163,508 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 50 Stanislaus* 128 \$ 1,224,324 \$ 127,275 \$ 82,445 \$ 123,602 \$ 12,002 \$ 48,317 \$ 743,325 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
51 Sutter** - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
52 Tehama 48 \$ 12,434 \$ - \$ 12,434 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
53 Trinity* 31 \$ 50,412 \$ - \$ - ^ \$ - ^ \$ 47,798 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
54 Tulare* 128 \$ 823,095 \$ 177,207 \$ 101,232 \$ 85,565 \$ 12,348 \$ 72,364 \$ 374,585 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$ -	\$ -
55 Tuolomne*^	- \$	- ¢	ė
50 Ventura	- 3 - ¢	- \$ -	- \$ -
58 Sutter/Yuba* 34 \$ 286,063 \$ - ^ \$ 61,019 ^ \$ 63,341 \$ 147,226 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$		1,	\$ -
Statewide^^ 14,222 \$ 118,844,838 \$ 38,155,888 \$ 26,611,952 \$ 17,148,054 \$ 475,895 \$ 4,266,162 \$ 56,149,242 \$ 467,317 \$ 2,610,733 \$ 1,081,527 ^ ^	- \$	- \$ -	ا- دِا

 $[\]hbox{\it *Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier}.$

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016 Report Run on 6/17/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
	Alameda*	545	\$ 9,285,742	96	238	359	39	103	494	48	Х	Λ	-	A	٨	А	20
3	Alpine Amador*	25	\$ 98.034	17		17	^	^	- 15	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
4	Butte*	144		63		44	13	49	113	Λ	Λ	^			-	<u> </u>	
5	Calaveras*	29		۸	18	16		^	23	-		-	-		-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16		۸	۸	۸	۸	۸	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	406	\$ 7,785,120	121	351	358	27	126	352	29	٨	۸	٨	۸	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^																i
9	El Dorado*	44		22		38	-	۸	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	495	\$ 2,014,711	130		357	۸	154	448	25	۸	٨	-	-	-	-	۸
11	Glenn*	32		27		20	^	۸	28		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	69 124		17 108	56 38	33 24	۸	27 87	65 106	Λ	-	-	-	-	٨	-	-
14	Imperial* Inyo*^	124	<i>></i> /14,842	108	38	24		87	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	Kern*	176	\$ 1,213,337	61	100	41	21	90	162	15	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	40		19		33	۸	13		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	39	\$ 72,790	۸	39	20	-	-	۸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^																ĺ
19	Los Angeles*	3,846	\$ 34,640,491	3,509	3,380	2,733	21	91	3,221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	154		-	^	113	۸	^	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	52 14		15	32	48	۸	12		٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa* Mendocino	71		55	64	-	٨	-	- 12	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-
24	Merced*	133		12		59	^	^	127	-	-	-	-		-	-	
25	Modoc*^	155	y 057,555	12	47	33			127								
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	297	\$ 1,830,877	184	232	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	31		۸	17	17	۸	۸	27		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	43	\$ 296,364	16	29	37	^	15	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,055		114	257	493	104	238		٨	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31 32	Placer* Plumas*^	38	\$ 138,973	۸	^	۸	۸	۸	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
33	Riverside*	1,542	\$ 4,902,483	322	770	593	25	474	1,308	_	۸	٨	_	_	_	_	_
34	Sacramento*	472	\$ 2,030,035	177	307	414	۸	223	404	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^		, ,,,,,,,,,														
36	San Bernardino*	850	\$ 6,768,119	443		537	35			٨	٨	٨	-	-	۸	-	٨
37	San Diego*	788	\$ 6,403,670	190	492	328	24		568	46	234	۸	-	۸	-	-	٨
38	San Francisco*	266	\$ 8,935,670	214		218	۸	25	256	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	287	\$ 1,593,899	82		251	30	110		٨	-	٨	-	-	-	-	
40	San Luis Obispo*	146		106	130	113	16			-	-	٨	-	-	-	<u> </u>	۸
41	San Mateo* Santa Barbara*	110 174	\$ 1,391,922 \$ 1,651,467	41		53 134	23	38 83	78 143	Α .	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	540		453		424	- 25	- 05	342	-	-		-		-	-	
44	Santa Cruz*	99		86		64	۸	۸	72	-	-	٨	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	71		25		46	۸	42		-	-	٨	-	-			_
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	27		۸	۸	۸	-	۸	26	-	-	-	-		-	-	
48	Solano*	124		39		81	۸	34		٨	۸	٨	-	-	-		-
49	Sonoma	122		76		50		-	57	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
50 51	Stanislaus* Sutter***	128	\$ 1,224,324	46	56	75	16	66	114	-	Λ	^ -	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	48	Υ	-	- 48	- 48	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	31		-	-	۸ 40	-	۸	31	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
54	Tulare*	128		48	105	88	17	45	112	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolomne*^																
56	Ventura*	251	\$ 2,924,678	100	179	145		53	198	-	-	-	-		-		-
57	Yolo*	23		۸	۸	۸		۸	^		-	۸	-		-		-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 286,063	-	۸	26	۸	21	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-
S	tatewide^^	14,222	\$ 118,844,838	7,157	9,414	8,607	482	3,025	11,644	202	246	52	^	^	^	۸	41

 $[\]hbox{\it *Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier}.$

^{**} Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

^{***} Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

[^] Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^{^^} The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

- 1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
- 2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

- 1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
- 2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
- **3.** While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
- **4.** Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
- · These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
- · The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
- "ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
- 5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals