

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 8/23/2018

## Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

## Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

## Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 21,373 (statewide) compared to 21,363 for the last reporting period. This is a 0% increase of 10 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$205,685,499 (statewide) compared to \$205,609,252 for the last reporting period. This is a 0% increase of \$76,247.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 26,876,104 (statewide) compared to 26,868,564 for the last reporting period. This is a 0% increase of 7,540 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 28,231,163 (statewide) compared to 28,219,510 for the last reporting period. This is a 0% increase of 11,653 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 15,447 (statewide) compared to 15,443 for the last reporting period. This is a 0% increase of 4 subclass members.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Report run on 8/23/2018

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 11,016 (statewide) compared to 11,016 for the last reporting period. This is a 0% increase of subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 51.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 49.

## Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

\* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

## Notes Updated: May 31, 2018

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2016. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2016, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at [MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov) or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

## **Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2016/2017**

**Report run on 8/23/2018**

7) Children that are not part of the Katie A. Subclass might be included in this report due to a small amount of queried SMHS claims for ICC and IHBS services that do not have a Katie A DPI. Per MHSUDS Information Notice # 16-004 dated February 5, 2016 – “... neither membership in the Katie A. class or subclass is a prerequisite to receiving medically necessary ICC and IHBS services, and therefore a child need not have an open child welfare services case to be considered for receipt of these services.”

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And


Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 8/23/2018

## Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members


► The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members is 2,712,699 compared to 1,435,791 for the previous reporting period. This is an increase of 1,276,908 minutes.

Count of service lines by Month of Submission




## Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members

By Service Month<sup>2</sup>




## Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members

By Service Month<sup>2</sup> in Thousands



## Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>1</sup> Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members

By Service Month<sup>2</sup> in Thousands




<sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 8/23/2018

## ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month<sup>2</sup>







## Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month<sup>2</sup>



<sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

**SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month  
 Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 8/23/2018**



<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.


<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

**SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month  
 Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 8/23/2018**

**ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of  
 OOC Katie A. Subclass Members  
 By Service Month<sup>3</sup>**



**Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated  
 OOC Katie A. Subclass Member  
 By Service Month<sup>3</sup>**



<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

**Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017  
Report Run on 8/23/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	531	\$ 10,238,883	248,349	538,177	126,325	14,823	50,844	2,492,416	209,871	1,437	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	31	\$ 184,062	50,421	30,240	^	^	^	8,614	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	207	\$ 1,412,158	189,521	77,121	7,877	3,995	34,333	357,251	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	33	\$ 387,944	^	9,307	13,345	^	^	27,288	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,708,158	492,616	611,724	407,758	8,436	62,844	2,099,666	979,981	1,702	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	127,397	7,360	4,071	^	^	22,209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	100,685	8,160	40,007	^	^	97,346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	678	\$ 7,919,419	^	7,804	697,188	5,121	70,677	1,740,507	521,460	3,897	-	^	-	-	-	-	295
11	Glenn*	48	\$ 234,143	27,987	28,142	1,628	^	^	36,029	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	167	\$ 3,517,365	487,639	131,906	88,670	8,024	57,532	462,686	^	-	^	-	^	-	^	-	^
13	Imperial*	115	\$ 797,998	51,729	2,258	1,716	^	^	24,546	105,712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,099,392	76,975	38,129	38,778	8,724	37,299	405,842	104,171	566	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	53	\$ 255,127	17,297	12,749	7,209	^	^	33,481	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	41	\$ 149,542	24,455	44,064	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	6,126	\$ 63,041,210	13,065,402	13,835,471	64,402	56,634	276,605	4,074,439	389,946	-	4,200	4,968	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	178	\$ 511,556	-	33,391	65,109	^	3,480	136,244	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	39,226	95,012	31,637	^	4,400	79,300	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	31	\$ 199,259	^	3,685	^	^	^	31,679	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,423	111,805	155,412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	178	\$ 1,162,213	124,265	65,147	18,425	^	1,533	147,126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	338	\$ 1,699,289	230,348	433,834	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	35	\$ 488,655	^	31,544	6,233	^	5,754	48,111	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	10,557	16,265	35,111	^	3,746	84,572	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,250	\$ 6,349,339	262,486	376,416	196,968	35,956	100,796	1,154,799	233,565	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	146	\$ 754,765	98,337	86,279	6,918	-	^	48,618	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	2,323	\$ 8,781,844	1,519,278	1,262,296	389,344	6,521	146,973	1,261,289	572,703	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	583	\$ 4,525,350	662,012	832,528	865,602	4,051	153,627	1,781,178	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	1,502	\$ 12,286,826	2,424,675	1,961,444	285,854	30,063	128,880	3,006,869	953,127	614	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	1,800	\$ 14,987,346	824,043	2,352,745	33,406	5,981	161,508	840,119	308,891	1,105	138,948	^	-	^	87	-	^
38	San Francisco*	279	\$ 15,216,081	1,861,227	611,950	26,298	-	2,238	141,404	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	537	\$ 2,873,535	148,399	214,247	88,752	10,834	41,962	663,876	44,097	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	277	\$ 3,800,248	904,088	291,451	19,938	3,529	29,955	298,617	39,505	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,044,604	73,898	19,839	48,392	^	20,206	193,958	52,726	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	301	\$ 2,801,708	436,153	259,769	43,116	8,756	30,973	319,425	73,588	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	833	\$ 8,488,941	1,749,190	1,327,733	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,003,700	252,205	53,380	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	87	\$ 1,039,837	30,010	100,711	40,562	^	14,078	148,017	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 147,723	11,486	7,237	2,087	^	^	16,589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	197	\$ 2,724,155	212,533	172,146	25,249	^	8,895	344,824	^	-	^	^	-	^	-	-	^
49	Sonoma	205	\$ 988,865	294,941	162,263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	198	\$ 2,852,976	361,804	172,381	60,631	16,124	15,409	536,159	47,799	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	78	\$ 23,501	-	13,145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	22	\$ 68,120	-	-	^	-	^	27,627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	153	\$ 1,034,459	77,329	79,495	64,007	3,386	16,756	192,287	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	20	\$ 162,004	22,623	2,018	^	^	^	26,140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,943,943	377,942	218,399	122,381	^	28,867	726,211	58,605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 399,112	74,517	44,651	6,581	^	^	55,450	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	28,012	10,808	^	5,736	38,027	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>21,373</b>	<b>\$ 205,685,499</b>	<b>28,231,163</b>	<b>26,876,104</b>	<b>4,004,592</b>	<b>252,732</b>	<b>1,561,501</b>	<b>24,350,528</b>	<b>4,887,144</b>	<b>10,599</b>	<b>148,778</b>	<b>54,566</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>1,258</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017  
Report Run on 8/23/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Therapeutic Behavioral Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	531	\$ 10,238,883	\$ 634,363	\$ 1,112,379	\$ 245,012	\$ 49,478	\$ 225,906	\$ 6,287,505	\$ 517,438	\$ 302,475	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	31	\$ 184,062	\$ 99,408	\$ 58,098	^	^	^	\$ 18,518	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	207	\$ 1,412,158	\$ 323,556	\$ 137,746	\$ 14,811	\$ 12,656	\$ 144,546	\$ 725,084	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
5	Calaveras*	33	\$ 387,944	^	\$ 22,051	\$ 32,116	^	^	\$ 68,819	^	\$ -	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
6	Colusa*^																	
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,708,158	\$ 1,302,830	\$ 1,046,260	\$ 751,687	\$ 31,149	\$ 292,123	\$ 5,363,273	\$ 1,629,294	\$ 161,181	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	\$ 284,095	\$ 13,213	\$ 7,270	^	^	\$ 49,332	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	\$ 214,987	\$ 17,394	\$ 85,108	^	^	\$ 206,254	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	678	\$ 7,919,419	^	\$ 15,640	\$ 1,421,091	\$ 23,142	\$ 367,753	\$ 4,494,888	\$ 1,038,964	\$ 275,558	^	^	^	^	^	^	245,393
11	Glenn*	48	\$ 234,143	\$ 73,888	\$ 57,885	\$ 3,398	^	^	\$ 95,285	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	167	\$ 3,517,365	\$ 1,209,792	\$ 283,125	\$ 189,186	\$ 30,757	\$ 230,648	\$ 1,235,549	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
13	Imperial*	115	\$ 797,998	\$ 196,723	\$ 6,877	\$ 5,171	^	\$ 177,957	\$ 410,066	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																	
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,099,392	\$ 219,309	\$ 88,188	\$ 88,508	\$ 37,123	\$ 198,407	\$ 1,158,170	\$ 256,019	\$ 52,334	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	53	\$ 255,127	\$ 40,649	\$ 19,381	\$ 12,930	^	^	\$ 77,658	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	41	\$ 149,542	\$ 59,639	\$ 89,903	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen*^																	
19	Los Angeles*	6,126	\$ 63,041,210	\$ 28,994,012	\$ 23,811,201	\$ 109,756	\$ 127,776	\$ 1,040,179	\$ 7,873,218	\$ 854,311	\$ -	\$ 79,946	\$ 150,810	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	178	\$ 511,556	\$ -	\$ 62,909	\$ 126,962	^	\$ 15,764	\$ 304,213	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	\$ 120,728	\$ 382,351	\$ 69,934	^	\$ 42,323	\$ 379,514	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
22	Mariposa*	31	\$ 199,259	^	\$ 11,276	^	^	^	\$ 121,580	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,423	\$ 260,941	\$ 329,481	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	178	\$ 1,162,213	\$ 413,978	\$ 146,301	\$ 43,155	^	\$ 7,968	\$ 489,919	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																	
26	Mono^																	
27	Monterey	338	\$ 1,699,289	\$ 748,248	\$ 951,041	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	35	\$ 488,655	^	\$ 121,671	\$ 28,977	^	\$ 35,175	\$ 206,576	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	\$ 27,608	\$ 32,798	\$ 70,912	\$ -	\$ 17,919	\$ 215,917	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,250	\$ 6,349,339	\$ 501,297	\$ 735,496	\$ 477,157	\$ 180,926	\$ 462,255	\$ 3,415,012	\$ 537,821	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
31	Placer*	146	\$ 754,765	\$ 314,206	\$ 256,861	\$ 10,965	^	^	\$ 118,770	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																	
33	Riverside*	2,323	\$ 8,781,844	\$ 2,157,315	\$ 1,729,264	\$ 831,556	\$ 21,905	\$ 738,151	\$ 2,287,975	\$ 979,824	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
34	Sacramento*	583	\$ 4,525,350	\$ 781,707	\$ 717,654	\$ 696,205	\$ 10,053	\$ 347,157	\$ 1,951,184	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																	
36	San Bernardino*	1,502	\$ 12,286,826	\$ 3,155,047	\$ 1,996,038	\$ 537,938	\$ 106,633	\$ 448,212	\$ 4,209,420	\$ 1,341,799	\$ 22,488	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
37	San Diego*	1,800	\$ 14,987,346	\$ 2,263,476	\$ 5,013,140	\$ 66,402	\$ 25,090	\$ 823,477	\$ 2,210,888	\$ 856,182	\$ 110,281	\$ 3,267,341	^	^	^	^	^	^
38	San Francisco*	279	\$ 15,216,081	\$ 11,552,103	\$ 2,830,493	\$ 104,597	\$ -	\$ 20,898	\$ 707,991	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	537	\$ 2,873,535	\$ 338,409	\$ 380,275	\$ 171,740	\$ 28,089	\$ 191,964	\$ 1,567,343	\$ 66,768	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	^	^	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	277	\$ 3,800,248	\$ 1,856,489	\$ 739,454	\$ 70,516	\$ 7,474	\$ 132,142	\$ 862,808	\$ 85,017	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	^	^	^
41	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,044,604	\$ 167,184	\$ 78,986	\$ 133,515	^	\$ 152,471	\$ 678,721	\$ 149,047	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
42	Santa Barbara*	301	\$ 2,801,708	\$ 1,000,799	\$ 527,440	\$ 94,879	\$ 35,098	\$ 191,875	\$ 772,560	\$ 151,124	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
43	Santa Clara*	833	\$ 8,488,941	\$ 5,347,965	\$ 3,140,976	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,003,700	\$ 814,282	\$ 189,418	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
45	Shasta*	87	\$ 1,039,837	\$ 83,297	\$ 275,973	\$ 101,832	^	\$ 47,071	\$ 378,497	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 147,723	\$ 46,747	\$ 21,019	\$ 6,344	^	^	\$ 67,393	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	197	\$ 2,724,155	\$ 566,126	\$ 492,215	\$ 70,653	^	\$ 64,646	\$ 1,256,933	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
49	Sonoma	205	\$ 988,865	\$ 660,461	\$ 328,404	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	198	\$ 2,852,976	\$ 747,933	\$ 312,373	\$ 153,945	\$ 49,156	\$ 84,287	\$ 1,346,139	\$ 61,984	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama*	78	\$ 23,501	\$ -	\$ 23,501	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	22	\$ 68,120	\$ -	^	^	^	^	\$ 64,166	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	153	\$ 1,034,459	\$ 196,055	\$ 154,199	\$ 125,128	\$ 12,597	\$ 74,198	\$ 470,586	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
55	Tuolumne*	20	\$ 162,004	\$ 69,000	\$ 4,765	^	^	^	\$ 77,668	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,943,943	\$ 939,239	\$ 417,477	\$ 256,890	^	\$ 165,435	\$ 2,023,213	\$ 139,419	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 399,112	\$ 141,562	\$ 80,284	\$ 13,293	^	^	\$ 145,329	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	\$ 82,312	\$ 32,856	^	\$ 41,221	\$ 148,820	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>21,373</b>	<b>\$ 205,685,499</b>	<b>\$ 69,108,542</b>	<b>\$ 49,371,872</b>	<b>\$ 7,292,788</b>	<b>\$ 917,648</b>	<b>\$ 6,887,575</b>	<b>\$ 54,644,200</b>	<b>\$ 9,396,846</b>	<b>\$ 1,025,635</b>	<b>\$ 3,464,403</b>	<b>\$ 1,951,823</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 122,258</b>	<b>\$ 279,504</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 1,093,158</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2016 - June 2017  
Report Run on 8/23/2018

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Therapeutic Behavioral Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	531	\$ 10,238,883	116	253	203	38	117	375	40	48	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	31	\$ 184,062	18	29	^	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	207	\$ 1,412,158	60	119	41	13	50	142	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	33	\$ 387,944	^	23	19	^	^	28	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	432	\$ 10,708,158	83	337	293	27	128	370	98	39	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*	23	\$ 373,066	16	17	16	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	52	\$ 526,394	29	33	41	-	^	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	678	\$ 7,919,419	^	88	573	33	298	623	115	88	-	^	-	-	-	-	33
11	Glenn*	48	\$ 234,143	28	45	15	^	^	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	167	\$ 3,517,365	57	128	87	28	85	147	^	16	-	^	-	^	-	-	^
13	Imperial*	115	\$ 797,998	72	19	17	^	86	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	251	\$ 2,099,392	121	153	116	27	119	231	67	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	53	\$ 255,127	20	37	35	^	^	38	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	41	\$ 149,542	13	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	6,126	\$ 63,041,210	4,960	4,865	355	186	902	2,461	87	-	23	22	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	178	\$ 511,556	-	31	108	^	14	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	94	\$ 1,020,139	34	76	45	^	16	63	^	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	31	\$ 199,259	^	12	^	^	^	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	141	\$ 590,423	83	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	178	\$ 1,162,213	53	67	58	^	20	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	338	\$ 1,699,289	215	243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	35	\$ 488,655	^	17	18	^	15	24	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	45	\$ 365,153	18	27	44	-	12	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,250	\$ 6,349,339	384	598	435	106	256	863	81	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	146	\$ 754,765	77	132	20	-	^	32	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	2,323	\$ 8,781,844	710	1,643	491	32	561	1,303	102	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	583	\$ 4,525,350	282	453	395	32	246	445	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	1,502	\$ 12,286,826	706	1,251	322	72	374	1,023	199	22	^	^	-	^	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	1,800	\$ 14,987,346	685	1,515	125	51	417	696	85	60	241	^	-	^	20	-	^
38	San Francisco*	279	\$ 15,216,081	231	235	36	-	12	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	537	\$ 2,873,535	184	317	250	34	118	357	18	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	277	\$ 3,800,248	208	246	65	14	52	126	12	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	127	\$ 2,044,604	35	54	84	^	53	103	13	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	301	\$ 2,801,708	119	226	102	32	66	139	12	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	833	\$ 8,488,941	705	826	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	81	\$ 1,003,700	79	56	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	87	\$ 1,039,837	22	62	60	^	39	70	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 147,723	17	19	13	^	^	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	197	\$ 2,724,155	86	155	96	^	39	154	^	-	^	^	-	^	-	-	^
49	Sonoma	205	\$ 988,865	145	193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	198	\$ 2,852,976	96	119	95	28	83	180	12	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	78	\$ 23,501	-	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	22	\$ 68,120	-	-	^	-	^	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	153	\$ 1,034,459	46	124	109	17	43	128	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	20	\$ 162,004	13	14	^	^	^	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	341	\$ 3,943,943	91	237	202	^	96	254	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	76	\$ 399,112	43	51	14	^	^	23	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	52	\$ 331,346	^	34	16	^	13	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>21,373</b>	<b>\$ 205,685,499</b>	<b>11,016</b>	<b>15,447</b>	<b>5,054</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>4,408</b>	<b>11,217</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>58</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

## Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

### Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

### Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

### Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
  - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
  - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:  
*"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."*
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals