

## State of California—Health and Human Services Agency

# Department of Health Services



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

February 21, 2007

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

RE DIRECTORS Letter No.: 07-05

ALL COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

ALL COUNTY MEDI-CAL PROGRAM SPECIALISTS/LIAISONS

ALL COUNTY HEALTH EXECUTIVES

ALL COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORS

ALL COUNTY MEDS LIAISONS

SUBJECT: MEDI-CAL ELIGIBILITY QUALITY CONTROL GEOGRAPHIC

SAMPLING PLAN PILOT PROJECT

The purpose of this letter is to inform counties of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), approved extension of the Geographic Sampling Plan (GSP) pilot project. The renewed GSP authorizes the California Department of Health Services to continue conducting geographic sampling of Medi-Cal Assistance Only (MAO) cases. The initial pilot was implemented on July 1, 1999, and has been extended effective every July 1<sup>st</sup> thereafter through Fiscal Year (FY) 2006/2007. This All County Welfare Directors' Letter provides information on the latest extension, which is effective July 1, 2007, for FY 2007/2008.

## **BACKGROUND**

Prior to approval of the GSP pilot project, the Program Review Section (PRS) annually reviewed random samples of MAO cases for all 58 counties. The number of Medi-Cal Eligibility Quality Control (MEQC) case reviews selected for each county was proportionate to its share of the statewide MAO beneficiary population. Because of this, small counties had only limited numbers of cases reviewed annually. These minimal numbers of case reviews may not have accurately reflected the performance of these counties in determining Medi-Cal eligibility. Under the renewed GSP, this revised sampling strategy provides for MEQC case reviews in the 25 large counties in terms of

MAO population. This sampling strategy minimizes travel time and costs, increases MEQC efficiency, and enhances the accuracy and usefulness of county reports. In consideration of the GSP, the Department agrees to maintain the level of MEQC effort.

## **GSP PILOT PROJECT**

Based on the 2006/2007 GSP, MEQC case reviews will be conducted in the 25 large counties which comprise approximately 94 percent of the statewide MAO population. As a result of the extension of the GSP pilot project, the annual MEQC coverage for the 25 large counties is projected to be 2,520 cases (15 cases monthly x 12 months x 14 staff persons). As this will provide more MEQC data, it should ensure more accurate measurement of state and county performance in the MAO program as well as suggesting possible Focused Review (FR) issues.

Enclosed for your information is a chart of California counties MAO population size. The chart reflects the MAO population for March 2006 month of eligibility. The 33 smallest and 25 largest counties are identified as well as the MAO numbers for each county.

CMS's approval of the GSP pilot project freezes the MEQC dollar error rate for the State of California at 0.635 percent. This percent is the computed dollar error rate for fiscal year 1997, the most recently completed MEQC period prior to the inception of the GSP pilot project. The terms of the GSP pilot project preclude MEQC fiscal repercussions or sanctions for the duration of the pilot project.

As a part of the extension effective July 1, 2007, the dollar threshold level for a citeable Medi-Cal error will remain at \$400. Any discrepancy in the share of cost which is below \$400 will be reported as a procedural error, not a citeable error. This dollar error threshold will allow both PRS and county Medi-Cal program staff to focus attention on significant dollar issues. However, all MEQC findings will continue to be reported to the counties for corrective action where appropriate, including dollar discrepancies of less than \$400. As part of the GSP, PRS will conduct Corrective Action Reviews on all MEQC cases with citeable errors and procedural errors.

The Department provides an annual report to CMS on the findings of the MEQC pilot project. We anticipate that the pilot will be renewed annually and will continue for an indefinite period of time.

## **ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

Under the GSP pilot project thus far, PRS has achieved the following accomplishments:

All County Welfare Directors Letter No.: Page 3

- Due to refinements in the MEQC review process, the number of MEQC case reviews have increased from 1,500 annually in 1998/1999 to an estimated 2,520 MEQC reviews for 2007/08.
- Much more reliable data concerning error trends has resulted from limiting MEQC reviews to the 25 large counties.
- The dollar error threshold has increased from \$5, which had been in effect since at least 1979 to June 30, 1999, to \$400 effective July 1, 2002.

## SUMMARY

In addition to increasing efficiency and use of Quality Control staff time, the GSP should enhance the accuracy and usefulness of reported findings. The Department is confident the extension of the pilot project effective July 1, 2007, will continue to provide counties with more complete MEQC information and assist in our common quest for excellence in the Medi-Cal eligibility determination process.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. John Lim at (415) 904-9702.

## **ORIGINAL SIGNED BY**

Maria Enriquez, Chief Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch

**Enclosure** 

## PROPOSED GSP LARGE AND SMALL COUNTIES FOR APRIL 2007 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2007 AND OCTOBER 2007 THROUGH MARCH 2008 BASE PERIODS

Medi-Cal Eligibility Quality Control Counties 25 Largest Counties (approximately 94% of CA MAO population) Periodic Case Review Counties 33 Smallest Counties (approximately 6% of CA MAO population)

County	Total	% Total	County	Total	% Total
Los Angeles	1,490,794	38.4%	Madera	22,902	0.6%
Orange	246,304	6.3%	Kings	18,311	0.5%
San Bernardino	197,871	5.1%	Shasta	17,315	0.4%
San Diego	182,180	4.7%	San Luis Obispo	17,131	0.5%
Riverside	171,254	4.4%	Yolo	15,908	0.4%
Fresno	140,436	3.6%	Humboldt	13,548	0.3%
Santa Clara	133,614	3.4%	Placer	13,447	0.3%
Sacramento	128,982	3.3%	Mendocino	12,057	0.3%
Kern	115,475	3.0%	Sutter	11,428	0.3%
Alameda	109,435	2.8%	Marin	10,364	0.3%
Tulare	85,093	2.2%	El Dorado	8,738	0.2%
San Joaquin	74,874	1.9%	Yuba	8,477	0.2%
Ventura	71,172	1.8%	Napa	8,356	0.2%
Stanislaus	65,806	1.7%	Tehama	7,536	0.2%
Contra Costa	61,406	1.6%	Lake	7,487	0.2%
San Francisco	59,739	1.5%	Nevada	4,907	0.1%
Monterey	48,045	1.2%	San Benito	4,899	0.1%
Santa Barbara	43,099	1.1%	Siskiyou	4,532	0.1%
San Mateo	41,403	1.0%	Glenn	4,254	0.1%
Merced	38,611	1.0%	Tuolumne	3,537	0.1%
Solano	32,654	0.8%	Colusa	3,217	0.1%
Sonoma	31,034	0.8%	Del Norte	3,094	0.0%
Imperial	26,442	0.7%	Calaveras	2,575	0.0%
Santa Cruz	23,510	0.6%	Lassen	2,533	0.0%
Butte	23,467	0.6%	Amador	1,951	0.1%
Total	3,642,700	93.9%	Inyo	1,854	0.1%
			Mariposa	1,315	0.1%
			Trinity	1,310	0.1%
			Plumas	1,309	0.1%
			Modoc	1,234	0.1%
			Mono	893	0.0%
			Sierra	226	0.0%
			Alpine	117	0.0%
			Total	236,762	6.1%

Statewide total 3,879,462

Data from Elig0609\_ Benes\_by\_Month Report for March 2006 Medically Indigent+, Medically Needy+, and Other+ categories were used for this Enclosure