DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

714/744 P STREET P.O. BOX 942732 SACRAMENTO, CA 94234-7320

(916) 657-2941

May 1, 1995

ACWDL Informational Letter No.: 1-95-02

TO: All County Welfare Directors All County Medi-Cal Program Specialists/Liaisons

QUARTERLY REPORT ON DISABILITY PACKET RETURNS

The purpose of this letter is to inform counties of the recent guarterly report on disability packet returns which was compiled by State Programs Disability Evaluation Division (SP-DED). Enclosed is the return report for the guarter covering the months of October through December of 1994.

Report and Findings

During the above quarter, there were 38 of the 58 counties with return rates over 10 percent. This is an increase from the previous quarter. The total number of DED packets received by SP-DED in the above quarter was 11,220 and the total number of packets which were returned was 1,382 which resulted in a statewide return rate of 12.3 percent. There was an increase of 1.3 percent statewide from the previous quarter.

Problem areas which continue to need to be resolved:

- MC 220, Authorization for Release of Medical Information. Missing or improperly completed MC 220 forms contributed to the highest return category. Returns in this category totaled 662 packets or 33.8 percent of the total returns. There was a 1.9 percent increase in this category from the previous quarter.
- MC 223, Applicant's Supplemental Statement of Facts for Medi-Cal. Missing or incomplete MC 223 forms contributed to 30.3 percent of the total returns or 593 returned packets. There was a 1.7 percent increase in this category from the previous quarter.
- The category identified as "Other" contributed to 16.8 percent of the total returns or 328 packets. There was a decrease of 4.6 percent in this category from the previous quarter.

Remedies

Remedies which were previously suggested should continue to be followed.

- Emphasis should be placed on rechecking each DED packet for completeness before submitting to DED.
- Records should be checked to ensure that a DED packet had not previously been submitted. This will decrease some of the returns due to the "Other" category. Some packets are submitted to DED for an applicant already receiving benefits and a reason for resubmitting a duplicate packet is not given.
- In the Medi-Cal Eligibility Procedures Manual under 22 C-4.3, directions are clearly given for completing the MC 220. Counties must ensure that these directions are followed.

All County Welfare Directors All County Medi-Cal Program Specialists/Liaisons Page Two

 All discrepancies should be documented and explanation(s) given preferably under number 10 on the MC 221, Disability Determination and Transmittal, or in the margin of the MC 223 where appropriate.

We continue to stress the importance of striving to reduce DED packet return rates. If you have any questions or require more information, please contact Ms. Marie Taketa of my staff at (916) 657-1250.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Frank S. Martucci, Chief Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch

Enclosure

.

DED-STATE PROGRAMS QUARTERLY COUNTY RETURN REPORT, 10/1/94 - 12/31/94

total

total													
		AEFEA.	DOB/SSN	NAME	MC221	MC223	OLD	MC220	MC220	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	PERCENT
CODE	COUNTY	RAL	DISC.	DISC.	INCOMP.	INCOMP.	MC223	MISS	IMPROP.		RETURNS	RECEPTS	RETURNED
		EARON			OR MISS,	OR MISS.			COMPL.				
-	ALAMEDA	6	9	3	10	43		16	30	27	101	641	15.8%
2	ALPINE	0	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
3	AMADOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%0'0
4	BUTTE	0	0	0	o		0	0	0	-	3	52	3.8%
S	CALAVERAS	0	0	0	0	-	0	+	-	-	8	11	27.3%
ω	COLUSA	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	Ŷ	16.7%
~	CONTRA COSTA	3	2	2	*	ۍ ا	0	-	4	9	15	209	7.2%
æ	DEL NORTE	0	0	0	0	0	¢	•	0	0	Ŧ	9	16.7%
6	EL DORADO	-	0	0	0	2	0	+	2	2	5	38	13.2%
2	FRESNO	12	ŝ	e	10	33	0	2	16	20	65	452	14.4%
=	GLENN	0	0	-		¢	0	2	0	5	7	12	58.3%
42	HUMBOLDT	ю	-	0	£	љ			0	5	19	54	35.2%
5	IMPERIAL	0	0	0	с С		0	3		•	7	31	22.6%
4	OVNI	0	ð	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	9	0.0%
15	KERN	2	0	0	0	-		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	6	2	1 () () () ()	96	7.3%
9	KINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0'0%
5	LAKE	2	0	0	2	9		3	0	9	14	82	17.1%
18	LASSEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.0%
19	LOS ANGELES	25	53	10	28	220	9	197	128	119	548	4182	13.1%
8	MADERA	0	0	0	-	5	-	0	0	0	4	29	13.8%
2	MARIN	0	0	0	0	ŝ	•	2	0	•	9	44	13.6%
2	MARIPOSA	0	0	0	0	-	0		0	0	1	3	33.3%
23	MENDOCINO	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	23	8.7%
24	MERCED	0	0	0	0	0	-	3	Ļ	0	4	38	10.5%
52	MODOC	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1	Ŧ	8	12.5%
26	ONOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ទ	0.0%
27	MONTEREY	2	0	2	ţ	12	0	8	2	6	23	<u> 9</u> 9	23.2%
28	NAPA	+	0	0	0	2	-	3	2	2	7	19	36.8%
29	NEVADA	•	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	2	17	11.8%

DED-STATE PROGRAMS QUARTERLY COUNTY RETURN REPORT, 10/1/94 - 12/31/94

		REFER.	NSS/800	NAME	MC221	MCZZ	9	MC220	MC220	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	PERCENT
SODE	COUNTY	RAL	DISC.	DISC.	INCOMP.	INCOMP.	MC223	MISS	MPROP.		RETURNS	RECEIPTS	RETURNED
		ERROR			CPR MISS.	OR MISS.			COMPL				
g	ORANGE	2	ú	8	3	17	0	9	14	=	5	432	12.5%
3	PLACER	0	0	0	-	4	0	4	-	4	60	33	24.2%
8	PLUMAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	%0'0
g	RIVERSIDE	4	Q	0	80	ន		15	14	14	ន	388	16.2%
â	SACRAMENTO	G	-	-	ς Ω	26	-	8	4	æ	43	340	12.6%
35	SAN BENITO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.0%
98	SAN BERNARDINO	s S	2	υ,	ন্দ	27	0	53	16	21	20	428	16.4%
37	SAN DIEGO	0	-	0	-	9	0	e	4	8	14	624	2.2%
38	SAN FRANCISCO	15	0	2	0	6	0	2	2	12	35	804	4,4%
39	SAN JOAQUIN	2	0		-	S	0	0	0	3	11	110	10.0%
40	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	-	0	0	6	-	4	٢	2	14	103	13.6%
41	SAN MATEO	5 C	e	4	e	26	0	19	2	6	53	320	16.6%
4	SANTA BARBARA	2	0	1	0	11	0	+	2	4	19	128	14.8%
\$	SANTA CLARA	3	S	1	2	27	0	5	8	13	46	440	10.5%
44	SANTA CRUZ	3	1	0	1	9	1	2	0	1	12	88	13.6%
45	SHASTA	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	S	6	61	14.8%
46	SIERRA	0	0	0	0	1	ò	0	0	0	+	4	25.0%
47	SISKIYOU	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	-	0	ß	17	29.4%
48	SOLANO	3	+	+-	0	4	0	5	2	ი	12	74	16.2%
49	SONOMA	4	0	0	ţ	7	0 、	2	+	2	12	71	16.9%
50	STANISLAUS	2	0	1.000	0	3	0	2	0	5	10	156	6.4%
51	SUTTER	0	0	0	نور 0	2	0	3	1	3	4	9	66.7%
52	TEHAMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	%0.0
ន	TRINITY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		Ξ	9.1%
2	TULARE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	e	87	3.4%
22	TUOLUMNE	0	0	Ò	Q	0	0	. 2	-	0	2	9	33.3%
56	VENTURA	0	N	-	2	18	2	8	5	G	32	239	13.4%
57	YOLO	0	0	0	0	2	0	+	0	0	2	43	4.7%
58	YUBA	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	-	S	20.0%
TOTALS		121	66	42	92	593	18	386	276	328	1382	11220	12.3%
ERCEN	PERCENTAGE OF ERRORS	6.2%	5.1%	2.1%	4.7%	30.3%	%6`0	2 19 7%	14.1%	16 R%	TOTAL ERDORS	S a c	1955

.